

Home (1918) Department.

~~Official~~
Education.
Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1045

DATED 13. 8. 18

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Subsidy.-

Sanctioning the payment to the Trichinopoly District Board of an additional ----- of Rs. 1,300 to meet the extra cost involved in the reorganization of the Hindustani sessional school sanctioned in G.O. No. 903-L., dated the 6th July 1918.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Sectt. II-26 (a)-33,650-21-1-18.

Received 12-JUL-1918 1918, Registered 13-7-1918



Abstract.

Education.-

Sanctioning with remarks the proposals of the Trichinopoly District Board for the reorganisation of the sessional schools in the district.

Local and Municipal DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 903 L.Mis., dated 6th July 1918

Read the following papers:-

From the President, District Board, Trichinopoly, No. 1540 dated 16th March 1918.

Endorsement of the Director of Public Instruction, No. 2894, dated 15th May 1918.

Order.-

The Government approve the proposals of the Trichinopoly District Board for the reorganisation of the two sessional schools in the district, subject to the conditions

- (1) that the pay of the teacher of the Hindu sessional school should not be less than Rs.30 per mensem, and
- (2) that the whole cost of this school is met from local funds.

The extra cost involved in the reorganisation of the Hindustani sessional school will be borne from Provincial funds. Necessary orders will issue in the Home (Education) Department in regard to the sanction of a subsidy for the purpose.

(True Extract)

R. A. Graham,
Acting Secretary to Government.

To
The President, District Board, Trichinopoly.
The Home (Education) Department, with a copy of Financial notes.
Copy to the Financial Department.


Forwarded to the Home (Education) Department.

(By Order)

R. A. Graham
Registrar.

B.S.9/7.

kuP978



Financial Department.

The present cost of the two sessional schools in the Trichinopoly District is Rs.4,610 of which a sum of Rs.2,500 is borne by Government. It is proposed to increase the number of students in each school from 20 to 30, to raise the monthly stipend by Rs.2, and to extend the course of instruction from 6 months to 11 months.

2. The extra cost involved is estimated at Rs.2,350 per annum, and the Director of Public Instruction recommends the grant from Provincial funds of a subsidy of Rs.1,175 per annum (one-half of the extra cost). The Director adds that the District Board should not suppose as they now seem to do, that teachers who pass through a sessional school are trained and have achieved any improvement in their professional status. Both Local and Municipal and Home (Education) accept the Director's proposal to grant a subsidy of Rs.1,175 per annum from Provincial funds.

3. Attention is solicited to page 2 of Notes to G.O. 1068 L, dated 3-8-16 in which the Government declined to sanction the subsidy applied for by the Coimbatore District Board towards the increased cost of the sessional schools in that district.

4. It is submitted that, following this decision, it does not seem necessary to grant any subsidy in the case of the Hindu sessional school in Trichinopoly (this is intended mainly for the benefit of the students in the Trichinopoly district and the present cost is met entirely out of the funds of the District Board).

4. In regard to the Hindustani sessional school at Trichinopoly which is intended for Muhammadan students of the Southern districts, this school is more or less Provincial in character, the Government are at present paying a subsidy of Rs.2,500 per annum (i.e. the present cost is borne entirely by Government). The extra cost involved so far as this school is concerned is —

Rs.

I agree.

L.F.K.

Rs. (3,800—2,500) or Rs. 1,300 per annum, and it is submitted that this charge can properly be borne by Provincial funds. Orders are solicited whether a subsidy of this sum (Rs. 1,300 per annum) may be sanctioned from Provincial funds, although it is slightly more than the subsidy of Rs. 1,175 recommended by the Director of Public Instruction.

10/10/61 I support the suggestion made above even though it involves some extra expenditure. The distinction between the Hindu and Muhammadan schools is however maintained.

L.E.K.
17-6-1918.

H. M. Financial should see.

L.D.

L. D.
18-6-18.

Home (2074) DEPARTMENT.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 9058, dated 7-2-1918

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 78187, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 191

” ” ” ” ” ” 191

” ” ” ” ” ” 191

ORDER.

No. 1043, dated 13. 8. 1918.

mis

The Govt sanctioned the payment to the Trichinopoly District Board of an additional subsidy of Rs. 1,300 ~~towards the~~ ^{to meet} ~~towards~~ the extra cost involved in the reorganization of the Hindustani Seasonal School sanctioned in G.O. No. 903 d, dated the 6th July 1918. The A.G. is requested to place the amount at the disposal of the District Board.

2. The expenditure will

$$\begin{array}{r} 87x \\ 248715 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{r} 17x \\ 210700 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

When signed

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.