

Home (1918) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 628

DATED 16.5.1918

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant.

Raising the amount of the ----- sanctioned for the provision of a play ground for the C.M.S. high school, Megnanapuram, from Rs.675 to Rs.795.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

C.F.—29.

Home (Education) DEPARTMENT.

Received



191

Registered

234

1918

Subject.

Requesting Government to sanction an additional grant of Rs.120 in connection with the purchase of the play-ground for the C.M.S. High school, Megnanapuram, Tinnevely District.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

A.C. No. 4364/14

Station MADRAS, Dated the 22 April 1918. 191

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. J.H. Stone, M.A., B.E.S., C.I.E.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Education) Department.

Sir,

In Government order No.47, Educational, dated 15-1-15, a full grant of Rs.675/- was sanctioned towards the cost of providing a play-ground for the C.M.S. High school, Megnanapuram, Tinnevely district. A site for the purpose was accordingly acquired under the Land Acquisition Act with reference to Government Order No.628, Home (Education), dated 14-5-1917. The Correspondent reports that the total cost of acquisition has exceeded the grant by Rs.120/- and requests that this amount may be paid to him as additional grant. In view of the fact that

also for 11/18th
30.8.17

675
120
795

(102) 2104

2

102

that full grant was sanctioned originally, I have the honour to recommend that the additional grant of Rs. 120/- now applied for may be sanctioned as a special case.

I have the honour to be,

S I A,

Your most obedient servant,

R. Narayana.

B.R.22-4-18

For Director of Public Instruction.

Seott. II-10 (M) 35,008-21-1-18. (6)

102

Grant.

Home (Edu) DEPARTMENT.

Raising the amount of the — sanctioned for the provision of a play-ground for the C. M. S. high school, Megnana-puram from Rs 675 to Rs 795/-

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 47 Edl, dated 15-1-1915. (M)

" " " " 628 Home (Edu) 14-5-1917. (R)

" " " " 1118 " " 30-8-1917. (O)

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 886 B From the D.O. No. 4364/14 dated 22-4-1918.

" " " " " " " " 191.

" " " " " " " " 191.

ORDER.

No. 628, dated 16.5.1918.

His

The amount of the Grant Sanctioned

(M) in G.O. No. 47 Edl, the 15-1-15 towards the cost of providing a play-ground for the C. M. S. high school, Megnana-puram, Tinnevely dt. is, as a special case, raised from Rs 675 to Rs 795/-

26.4.18
28.4.18.

21/5/18

16/5/18

Note

the amount (Rs 120) will apparently be met by the D.O. from the provision under "Grant-in-aid" in the budget for 1918-19.

2. Financial may see the case before issue.

Don

See For orders.

I doubt whether we should sanction the grant at all. There

To,

Me D.O.

A. G. B. 9th Fair

Collr. of Tinnevely


(L.S.)

1-5-18

is no obligation on the part of Govt to pay the full grant. The accident of the grant of Rs. 675 (the ^{full} amount then estimated to be the cost of acquisition) having been sanctioned was due to the statk. made by the D.P.D. that the school was not then able to provide any portion of the cost of acquisition. This statk. was made in 1914. The present request is not based on any such plea of inability to find the amount, and it is hardly likely that the school cannot find the small amount of Rs. 120, when the large portion of Rs. 675 was contributed by Govt. fee. The application is made on the ground that the full cost should be borne by Govt. as it was originally ~~to be~~ undertaken by them to do so. On principle it does not appear that the application should be entertained without any examination of the financial ability of the school to bear the small addl. cost of Rs. 120. Obviously

Rs 5 of 47 Rs 157/15 (14)

(the property is to be in the mission)



Home (E.S.) No. 886 B-1 3-5-18

It is going so. The fact that the sum is small hardly presents a reason for refusing it and in the strained circumstances of the mission before it is an effort to them. There is another case ^{of a similar kind} that of the S.P.S. where Govt. has sanctioned a grant of half the cost of acquisition on a certain sum wherever is less. It seems likely that the condition will prevent the acquisition altogether. I am not concerned how much with the fact that about 25% of the cost is to be borne by the mission.

The payment has already been made; and in 1915-16 & 1916-17 the school authorities were specifically asked to provide, besides the sum granted by Govt., any large amount as might be found necessary on actual determination of the award. That the amount of grant will be exceeded in actual ~~examination~~ determination of the award was already foreseen & apparently accepted by the Mission. That body has been very liberally treated already.

CA 15/18

The increased amount is small and cannot be sanctioned without concurrence of financial. Does D.P.D. press his recommendation?

3/5/18

592/100 No. C. 25/18, d/9-5-18

The sum for the acquisition is small. The mission believed it would actually cost that for would in fact be the cost. If they go and let the cost be Rs. 675 + 120 they will have more money. Govt. have so consistently made supplementary grants for building that they have paid higher than was anticipated that not to do so in this case would be a great loss.



Home (ES) Dept

Please see the D.P. 2's note on the previous page. He presses his recommendation for the payment of an additional grant of Rs 120. If his recommendation is to be accepted the draft order may be forwarded to Financial for acceptance.

The D.P. hardly gives, in his submission, adequate reasons for in support of his recommendation. The "shattered circumstances of the mission" has apparently been assumed by the D.P., as the request in the current is based on other grounds; and that is the criterion on which the applⁿ should be based. The fact that the mission will feel it a grievance is of no moment. Each case is decided on its merits & is entirely based on the financial position of the school authorities concerned. For order.

12/5/18

The amt is small & I am not disposed to reject the D.P.'s recommendation. Accepted in full. Home (ES) Dept. 14.5.18



The draft on page 1 above may issue.

15/5/18 Mr 16/7/18

The D.P. hardly gives, in his submission, adequate reasons for in support of his recommendation. The "shattered circumstances of the mission" has apparently been assumed by the D.P., as the request in the current is based on other grounds; and that is the criterion on which the applⁿ should be based. The fact that the mission will feel it a grievance is of no moment. Each case is decided on its merits & is entirely based on the financial position of the school authorities concerned. For order.

The amt is small & I am not disposed to reject the D.P.'s recommendation. Accepted in full. Home (ES) Dept. 14.5.18

When given for copying *188*
When copied *188*
When examined *188*
When signed *188*

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
101

DATED

RECENT No.

ENCLOSURE to G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH LETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

H.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.