

Government  of Madras

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 362, 18th March 1918

Subsidy

Sanctioning the distribution among certain local bodies of a — of Rs. 1,26,560 towards the construction, etc., of buildings for certain secondary schools.

INDEXED

# ORIGINAL

## Government of Madras

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION)

**G.O. No. 362, 18th March 1918**

### Subsidy

Sanctioning the distribution among certain local bodies of a — of Rs. 1,26,560 towards the construction, etc., of buildings for certain secondary schools.

**Order—No. 362, Home (Education), dated 18th March 1918.**

The Government sanction the distribution among the local bodies mentioned below of a subsidy of Rs. 1,26,560 towards the construction, etc., of secondary school buildings:—

| Name of district board or municipality. | Item.                                                                                   | Amount.<br>RS. |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| (1) Walajapet Municipal Council ...     | Construction of new building for the Municipal High School, Walajapet                   | 52,000         |
| (2) Dindigul Municipal Council ...      | Extensions and improvements to Municipal High School, Dindigul ...                      | 10,200         |
| (3) Trichinopoly District Board ...     | Construction of a building for the Board High School, Lalgudi ...                       | 25,160         |
| (4) Nellore District Board ...          | Construction of additional building for the Board Secondary School, Kavali.             | 27,300         |
| (5) Tanjore District Board ...          | Construction of new building for the Board incomplete secondary school, Pattukottai ... | 11,900         |
|                                         |                                                                                         | 1,26,560       |

In respect of items (1) to (4) above the local bodies concerned are informed that no additional grants for these works will be paid in future years. The grant sanctioned for item (5) will be subsequently adjusted with reference to the estimate for the work as finally sanctioned.

2. The Accountant-General is requested to take immediate steps to place the amounts at the disposal of the local bodies concerned before the close of the current official year.

3. The expenditure will be met from the provision of Rs. 1,50,000 made in the current year's budget estimate under "22 E. Education—Government Schools, General—Payment in support of local board and municipal schools—for contribution to local bodies for the construction of secondary school buildings".

(True extract)

L. D. SWAMIKANNU,  
*Acting Secretary to Government.*

To the Director of Public Instruction.  
" Accountant-General, (through Financial).  
" Presidents of the Trichinopoly, Nellore and Tanjore district boards.  
" Chairmen of the Walajapet and Dindigul municipal councils.  
" Collectors of Madurai and North Arcot.  
" Financial Department.  
" Local and Municipal Department.  
Editors' Table.



NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 362, HOME (EDUCATION),  
DATED THE 18TH MARCH 1918

[SUBJECT—*Payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction of buildings for secondary schools.*]

*Previous papers :*

|                                      |      |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| G.O. 655, Home (Education), 18th May | 1917 |
| " 761 " 11th June                    | "    |
| " 768 " 12th "                       | "    |
| " 939 " 16th July                    | "    |
| " 1284 " 10th Oct.                   | "    |
| " 2196 M., 20th Dec.                 | "    |
| " 172, Home (Education), 12th Feb.   | 1918 |

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

I have marked this file (relating to the application for grant in regard to the Board High School, Lalgudi), for Local and Municipal. Send.

2. To save time—the subsidies have to be drawn before 31st March—take all the unsanctioned items in the list and get Local and Municipal's opinion on the financial position of local bodies concerned in advance if not already received.

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—2-3-18.

The lump provision of 1.50 lakhs in the current year's budget estimate for the payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction of buildings for secondary schools has been provisionally suggested for distribution among the following works. In the case of items in respect of which subsidies have already been sanctioned, the amount actually sanctioned is noted against each.

| Item.                                                                                                                     | Amount of subsidy provisionally noted. | Amount of subsidy sanctioned.                                |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                           | RS.                                    | RS.                                                          |
| (1) Building for the Municipal High School, Walajpet ... ..                                                               | 46,400                                 | ...                                                          |
| (2) Extensions to Municipal Secondary School, Anakapalle (G.O. No. 1284, dated 10th October 1917) ... ..                  | 10,000                                 | 5,000                                                        |
| (3) Building for the Municipal Incomplete Secondary School, Tiruvannamalai (G.O. No. 761, dated 11th June 1917) ... ..    | 6,000                                  | 4,200                                                        |
| (4) Extensions and improvements to Municipal High School, Dindigul ... ..                                                 | 20,500                                 | ...                                                          |
| (5) Improvements to Board Secondary School, Harpanahalli (G.O. No. 768, dated 12th June 1917) ... ..                      | 10,700                                 | 6,840                                                        |
| (6) Improvements to Board Incomplete Secondary School, Kottai ... ..                                                      | 3,000                                  | [No grant required, G.O. No. 172, dated 12th February 1918.] |
| (7) Improvements to Board Secondary School, Chowghat (G.O. No. 655, dated 18th May 1917) ...                              | 4,300                                  | 4,300                                                        |
| (8) Extensions to Board High School, Lalgudi ...                                                                          | 28,500                                 | ...                                                          |
| (9) Building for the Board Incomplete Secondary School, Pattukkottai ... ..                                               | 12,500                                 | ...                                                          |
| (10) Extensions to the Board Incomplete Secondary School, Kollur (G.O. No. 939, Educational, dated 17th July 1917) ... .. | 3,100                                  | 3,100                                                        |
| (11) Building for the Board Secondary School, Kavali ... ..                                                               | 10,000                                 | ...                                                          |
| Total ...                                                                                                                 | 1,50,000                               | 23,440                                                       |

2. The Director has reported that no grant is necessary for item No. 6 as the amount would be met from the board's funds—G.O. No. 172, dated 12th February 1918. As regards the other items which have not yet been sanctioned, plans and estimates are now ready in respect of Nos. 1, 4, 8 and 11. The Public Works Department have scrutinized the plans and estimates relating to No. 11. No such scrutiny is needed in regard to No. 4 as the amount has now been reduced to Rs. 10,200. In the case of item 9 the Superintending Engineer is reported to be revising the plans and estimates for Rs. 29,550 forwarded by the District Board of Tanjore.

3. The balance of the provision yet to be distributed is Rs. 1,26,560 including the saving of Rs. 13,660 under items Nos. 2, 3, 5 and 6. In regard to the distribution of this balance each unsanctioned item in the list in paragraph 1 above is examined below.

4. *Item No. 1—Municipal High School, Walajapet.*—Plans and estimates for Rs. 78,800 were first prepared. As they seemed susceptible of alteration and as the total cost was much in excess of the grant already noted (Rs. 46,400) the municipal council was asked to reduce the estimate to about Rs. 52,000, the amount which the Government hoped to grant from the provision already made and the savings in certain other items—G.O. No. 2196 M., dated 20th December 1917. Accordingly revised plans and estimates for Rs. 56,000 have been prepared by the Superintending Engineer and the council has applied for a subsidy of Rs. 52,000, the balance of Rupees 4,000 being met from municipal funds. The scrutiny by the Public Works Department of the plans and estimates is not likely to affect appreciably the present estimated cost (Rs. 56,000). The impecuniosity of the council has already been recognized by the Government (in G.O. No. 2196 M., dated 20th December 1917). It is therefore submitted that the subsidy of Rs. 52,000 asked for by the council may be sanctioned at once and the plans and estimates forwarded to Public Works Department.

5. *Item No. 4—Municipal High School, Dindigul.*—A provision of Rs. 20,500 has been noted provisionally for this work. It is now reported that plans and estimates for the extension as approved by the Superintending Engineer cost only Rs. 10,200. The municipal council applies for a subsidy of the full amount. As the works cost only Rs. 10,200, it need not be scrutinized by the Public Works Department. The grant of Rs. 10,200 may be sanctioned at once if the Local and Municipal Department certify that the municipal council cannot find any portion of this amount from its revenues.

6. *Item No. 8—Extensions to the Board High School, Lalgudi.*—The plans and estimates for this work have been submitted. The cost is estimated at Rs. 25,160 against the provision of Rs. 23,500 noted for the purpose. The Local and Municipal Department point out that the district board cannot provide any portion of this amount. As the Superintending Engineer has approved of the plans and estimates the cost is not likely to be altered much by a scrutiny in Public Works Department. It is submitted that a grant of Rs. 25,160 may be sanctioned and the plans and estimates forwarded to Public Works Department for scrutiny.

7. *Item No. 9—Board Incomplete Secondary School, Pattukōttai.*—An allotment of Rs. 12,500 has been provisionally made for this work. It is understood that the Superintending Engineer is revising, with a view to reducing the cost, the plans and estimates for this work already prepared by the district board (for Rs. 29,550). The district board requests that a half grant may be sanctioned for this work. It is not known how far the cost will be reduced by the Superintending Engineer. It is submitted that the balance remaining after distribution in regard to other items may be sanctioned on receipt of the revised plans and estimates, subject to an examination of the district board's financial position by the Local and Municipal Department.

8. *Item No. 11—Board Secondary School, Kavali.*—A provision of Rs. 10,000 has been noted for this work. The plans and estimates have been scrutinized by the Public Works Department and the total cost (including Rs. 2,000 for acquisition of necessary land, etc.) is put down at Rs. 27,800. The local board pleads inability to bear any

portion of the cost and requests that the full amount may be sanctioned as a subsidy. The Local and Municipal Department recommend the request. It is submitted that the request may be complied with.

9. If the suggestions in paragraphs 4 to 6 and 8 are approved, the total amount that could be disbursed now comes to Rs. 1,14,660 as shown below:—

| Number of item and subject.                 | Amount.<br>RS. |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------|
| (1) Municipal High School, Walajapet ... .. | 52,000         |
| (4) Do. Dindigul ... ..                     | 10,200         |
| (8) Board High School, Lalgudi ... ..       | 25,160         |
| (11) Board Secondary School, Kavali ... ..  | 27,300         |
| Total ... ..                                | 1,14,660       |

A Deducting this from the amount of the provision still remaining undistributed (Rs. 1,26,560) the balance is Rs. 11,900. This balance may be sanctioned for the Pattukōttai school referred to in paragraph 7 supra provisionally once subject to alteration with reference to the revised plans and estimates.

10. Submitted that the file may be sent to Local and Municipal and Financial for remarks.

S.A.—9-3-18.

T.K.—12-3-18.

The above is, under the circumstances, the only means of utilizing the provision for grant. The proposal no doubt involves a deviation from the usual procedure of having the scrutiny of plans and estimates done in advance of sanctioning the grant. In view of the facts that the transactions are with *local bodies*, that under the rules the plans and estimates of works undertaken by them have to undergo scrutiny by various grades of Public Works officers, and that it may be desirable not to allow the grant to lapse, the procedure proposed may be accepted.

2. After all Public Works Department scrutiny is yet to be conducted only in respect of (1) and (8), but in those cases Superintending Engineers have either drawn up the estimates or approved of them. They may be accepted tentatively for present purposes.

3. Local and Municipal have yet to note about the financial position of the Dindigul Municipal Council and the Tanjore District Board in respect of items (4) and (9).

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—13-3-18.

L. D. SWAMIKANNU—13-3-18.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

The budget estimate of the Tanjore District Board for 1918-19 is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 30,800 under general funds which amounts to 3.6 per cent of the receipts under those funds against the 5 per cent prescribed in article 301 of the Local Fund Code. The suggestion at A above may be supported in this department.

P.L.—14-3-18.

The budget estimate of the Dindigul Municipal Council for 1918-19 is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 7,990 or only 8.9 per cent of the estimated ordinary receipts against the prescribed minimum of 10 per cent (G.O. No. 1198 M., dated 5th July 1906). The average annual surplus in the ordinary revenues of the municipality during the triennium ending 1916-17 was also only Rs. 8,697 (page 6 of notes to G.O. No. 1265 M., dated 26th July 1917). In these circumstances, the



council's request for a full grant of Rs. 10,200 for the extension of the High school building may, it is submitted, be supported in this department, and the file returned to Home (Education) Department u.o.

B.G.—14-3-18.  
P.R.—14-3-18.  
T.K.A.—14-3-18.

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARIYAR—14-3-18.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

The file may now be forwarded to the Financial Department for remarks with reference to the proposal summarized in paragraph 9 of the note on page 3 ante.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—14-3-18.  
L. D. SWAMIKANNU—14-3-18.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The budget for the current year contains a provision of 1.50 lakhs for grants to local bodies for buildings for secondary schools (page 131 Civil Budget Estimate). Of this amount, a sum of Rs. 23,440 only has till now been distributed (pages 1-2 ante). The present case relates to the utilization of the balance, viz., Rs. 1,26,560, and Home (Education) propose to distribute this sum as follows:—

|                                                                                                                            | Total cost <sup>2</sup> | Grant to be paid by Government. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                            | RS.                     | RS.                             |
| (1) Municipal High school, Walaipet (paragraph 4 on page 2 ante) ...                                                       | 56,000                  | * 52,000                        |
| (2) Municipal High school, Dindigul (paragraph 5 on page 2 ante) ...                                                       | 10,200                  | 10,200                          |
| (3) Board High school, Laigudi (Trichinopoly) (paragraph 6 on page 2 ante) ...                                             | 25,160                  | * 25,160                        |
| (4) Board Secondary school, Kavali (paragraph 8 on page 3 ante) ...                                                        | 27,300                  | 27,300                          |
| (5) Board Incomplete Secondary school, Pattukottai (Tanjore) (paragraph 7 on pages 2-3 and paragraph 9 on page 3 ante) ... | † 29,550                | 11,900                          |
| Total ...                                                                                                                  | 1,48,210                | 1,26,560                        |

\* Estimate to be sanctioned by Public Works Department.

† Estimate prepared by the district board. The Superintending Engineer is revising the estimate.

2. Items (1) to (4).—The estimates for items (1) and (3) have to be scrutinised by Public Works Department. It is proposed that Government should bear the entire cost for items (2) to (4), and almost the entire cost in the case of item (1).

It is presumed that any excess over the present estimated cost, which may be incurred when the works are actually carried out, will be borne by the local bodies concerned.

This, I think must be made a condition precedent to the grants.

L.E.K.—16-3-18.

Submitted that there seems to be no objection to accepting the proposals.

3. Item (5).—The total cost, according to the estimate prepared by the Tanjore District Board, is Rs. 29,550 (paragraph 7 on page 2 ante); and the Superintending Engineer is revising the estimate with a view to reducing the cost. The district

board has applied for a half grant, i.e., Rs. 14,775. Home (Education) propose to disburse a grant of Rs. 11,900 for the present. The difference between this sum and one-half of the cost of the revised estimate will have to be paid by Government next year (1918-19).

This means further liability but need not be objected to.

L.E.K.—16-3-18.

Submitted for orders whether the proposal may be accepted.

V.G.K.—16-3-18.

I think so.

L. E. KERSHAW—16-3-18.

Yes. Honourable Member (Financial) should see.

L. DAVIDSON—16-3-18.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

Please draft at once.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—16-3-18.

A draft order is submitted for approval. The file may be circulated to His Excellency the Governor and the Hon'ble Sir Alexander Cardew.

S.A.—16-3-18.

T.K.—16-3-18.

C.R.—16-3-18.

L. D. SWAMIKANNU—16-3-18.

P[ENTLAND]—16-3-18.

A. G. C[ARDEW]—18-3-18.

Issue.

C.R.—18-3-18.

L.D.S.—18-3-18.

[G.O. No. 362, Home (Education), dated 18th March 1918.]

Subsidiary  
Sanctioning the distribution among certain local bodies of a—  
of Rs. 1,26,560 towards the construction etc. of buildings for certain secondary  
schools.

191

C, No. 4075A- From the \_\_\_\_\_, No. \_\_\_\_\_, dated \_\_\_\_\_

99    99    ..... 3         99    99    ..... 777    ,    99    ..... 99    ..... 191

No. 362, dated 18. 3. 1918

The Govt. sanction the distribution  
among the local bodies mentioned below  
of a subsidy of Rs. 1,26,560 towards the  
construction etc. of secondary school buildings.

(1) Valayapeti Municipal Council

(2) Dindigul Municipal Council

(3) Trichinopoly St. 120

(4.) Nellore Dr. Bd.

(5) Tanjore Str. Bd.

|                                                                                                      |                |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| Construction of new building for the<br>Municipal High School, <del>Wagapet</del> <sup>Wagapet</sup> | R.<br>52,000 ✓ |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|

Extensions and improvements to  
Municipal High School, Dindigul } 10. 20

Construction of a building for the } 25,160  
Boys High School, Dalgudi }

Construction of additional building for }  
the Parent Secondary School, Kavali. } 27,300 ✓

Construction of new building for the  
Board incomplete secondary school, Pakhalstai } 11,900

12656



In respect of items (1) & (4) above the local bodies concerned ~~are informed~~ <sup>are informed</sup> that no additional grants for these works will be paid in future years. The grant sanctioned for item (5) will be subsequently adjusted with reference to the estimate for the work, as finally sanctioned. The A.C. is requested to take immediate steps to place the amount at the disposal of the local bodies concerned before the close of the current financial year.

3. The expenditure will be met from the provision of Rs. 1,50,000 made in the current year's budget estimate under 22B - Education - Govt. Schools, & payment in support of local board and municipal schools - for contribution to local bodies for the construction of ~~the~~ secondary school buildings.

By 16.3.18  
JH  
16.3.18  
16.3.18  
16.3.18

20/3/18  
To the D.D.  
A.C. this  
P.O.S. Trichur, Kollur  
and Bangalore  
C.M. to Walajpet & Dindigul  
Collectors, Madras, & N. And.  
File Sept  
L.M. Dept  
S.T. 1000

For approval  
21/4/18  
28/3/18  
NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 382, HOME (EDUCATION),  
DATED THE 18TH MARCH 1918

[SUBJECT—Payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction of buildings for secondary schools.]

Previous papers :  
G.O. 655, Home (Education), 18th May 1917  
" 761 " 11th June "  
" 768 " 12th " "  
" 939 " 16th July "  
" 1284 " 10th Oct. "  
" 2196 M. " 20th Dec. 1918  
" 172, Home (Education), 12th Feb. 1918

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT  
I have marked this file (relating to the application for grant in regard to the Board High School, Lalgudi), for Local and Municipal. Send.

2. To save time—the subsidies have to be drawn before 31st March—take all the unsanctioned items in the list and get Local and Municipal's opinion on the financial position of local bodies concerned in advance if not already received.

R. RAMACHANDRA RAO—2-3-18.

The lump provision of 1.50 lakhs in the current year's budget estimate for the payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction of buildings for secondary schools has been provisionally suggested for distribution among the following works. In the case of items in respect of which subsidies have already been sanctioned, the amount actually sanctioned is noted against each.

| Item.                                                                                                                  | Amount of subsidy provisionally noted. | Amount of subsidy sanctioned.                               |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                        | RS.                                    | RS.                                                         |
| (1) Building for the Municipal High School, Walajpet ...                                                               | 46,400                                 | ...                                                         |
| (2) Extensions to Municipal Secondary School, Anakapalle (G.O. No. 1284, dated 10th October 1917) ...                  | 10,000                                 | 5,000                                                       |
| (3) Building for the Municipal Incomplete Secondary School, Tiruvannamalai (G.O. No. 761, dated 11th June 1917) ...    | 6,000                                  | 4,300                                                       |
| (4) Extensions and improvements to Municipal High School, Dindigul ...                                                 | 20,500                                 | ...                                                         |
| (5) Improvements to Board Secondary School, Harpanayalli (G.O. No. 768, dated 12th June 1917) ...                      | 10,700                                 | 6,840                                                       |
| (6) Improvements to Board Incomplete Secondary School, Kottayi ...                                                     | 3,000                                  | [No grant required, G.O. No. 172, dated 12th February 1918] |
| (7) Improvements to Board Secondary School, Chowghat (G.O. No. 655, dated 18th May 1917) ...                           | 4,300                                  | 4,300                                                       |
| (8) Extensions to Board High School, Lalgudi ...                                                                       | 23,500                                 | ...                                                         |
| (9) Building for the Board Incomplete Secondary School, Pattukottai ...                                                | 12,500                                 | ...                                                         |
| (10) Extensions to the Board Incomplete Secondary School, Kollur (G.O. No. 939, Educational, dated 17th July 1917) ... | 3,100                                  | 3,100                                                       |
| (11) Building for the Board Secondary School, Kavali ...                                                               | 10,000                                 | ...                                                         |
| Total ...                                                                                                              | 1,50,000                               | 23,440                                                      |

High

2. The Director has reported that no grant is necessary for item No. 6 as the amount would be met from the board's funds—G.O. No. 172, dated 12th February 1918. As regards the other items which have not yet been sanctioned, plans and estimates are now ready in respect of Nos. 1, 4, 8 and 11. The Public Works Department have scrutinized the plans and estimates relating to No. 11. No such scrutiny is needed in regard to No. 4 as the amount has now been reduced to Rs. 10,200. In the case of item 9 the Superintending Engineer is reported to be revising the plans and estimates for Rs. 29,550 forwarded by the District Board of Tanjore.

3. The balance of the provision yet to be distributed is Rs. 1,26,560 including the saving of Rs. 13,660 under items Nos. 2, 3, 5 and 6. In regard to the distribution of this balance each unsanctioned item in the list in paragraph 1 above is examined below.

4. *Item No. 1—Municipal High School, Walajapet.*—Plans and estimates for Rs. 78,800 were first prepared. As they seemed susceptible of alteration and as the total cost was much in excess of the grant already noted (Rs. 46,400) the municipal council was asked to reduce the estimate to about Rs. 52,000, the amount which the Government hoped to grant from the provision already made and the savings in certain other items—G.O. No. 2196 M., dated 20th December 1917. Accordingly revised plans and estimates for Rs. 56,000 have been prepared by the Superintending Engineer and the council has applied for a subsidy of Rs. 52,000, the balance of Rupees 4,000 being met from municipal funds. The scrutiny by the Public Works Department of the plans and estimates is not likely to affect appreciably the present estimated cost (Rs. 56,000). The impecuniosity of the council has already been recognized by the Government (in G.O. No. 2196 M., dated 20th December 1917). It is therefore submitted that the subsidy of Rs. 52,000 asked for by the council may be sanctioned at once and the plans and estimates forwarded to Public Works Department.

5. *Item No. 4—Municipal High School, Dindigul.*—A provision of Rs. 20,500 has been noted provisionally for this work. It is now reported that plans and estimates for the extension as approved by the Superintending Engineer cost only Rs. 10,200. The municipal council applies for a subsidy of the full amount. As the works cost only Rs. 10,200, it need not be scrutinized by the Public Works Department. The grant of Rs. 10,200 may be sanctioned at once if the Local and Municipal Department certify that the municipal council cannot find any portion of this amount from its revenues.

6. *Item No. 8—Extensions to the Board High School, Laludi.*—The plans and estimates for this work have been submitted. The cost is estimated at Rs. 25,160 against the provision of Rs. 23,400 noted for the purpose. The Local and Municipal Department point out that the district board cannot provide any portion of this amount. As the Superintending Engineer has approved of the plans and estimates the cost is not likely to be altered much by a scrutiny in Public Works Department. It is submitted that a grant of Rs. 25,160 may be sanctioned and the plans and estimates forwarded to Public Works Department for scrutiny.

7. *Item No. 9—Board Incomplete Secondary School, Pattukkottai.*—An allotment of Rs. 12,500 has been provisionally made for this work. It is understood that the Superintending Engineer is revising, with a view to reducing the cost, the plans and estimates for this work already prepared by the district board (for Rs. 29,550). The district board requests that a half grant may be sanctioned for this work. It



is not known how far the cost will be reduced by the Superintending Engineer. It is submitted that the balance remaining after distribution in regard to other items may be sanctioned on receipt of the revised plans and estimates, subject to an examination of the district board's financial position by the Local and Municipal Department.

8. *Item No. 11—Board Secondary School, Kaval.*—A provision of Rs. 10,000 has been noted for this work. The plans and estimates have been scrutinized by the Public Works Department and the total cost (including Rs. 2,000 for acquisition of necessary land, etc.) is put down at Rs. 27,300. The local board pleads inability to bear any portion of the cost and requests that the full amount may be sanctioned as a subsidy. The Local and Municipal Department recommend the request. It is submitted that the request may be complied with.

9. If the suggestions in paragraphs 4 to 6 and 8 are approved, the total amount that could be disbursed now comes to Rs. 1,14,600 as shown below:—

| Number of item and subject.             |     | Amount.  |
|-----------------------------------------|-----|----------|
|                                         |     | RS.      |
| (1) Municipal High School, Walajpet ... | ... | 52,000   |
| (4) Do. Dindigul ...                    | ... | 10,200   |
| (8) Board High School, Lalgudi ...      | ... | 25,160   |
| (11) Board Secondary School, Kaval ...  | ... | 27,300   |
| Total ...                               |     | 1,14,600 |

A Deducting this from the amount of the provision still remaining undistributed (Rs. 1,26,560) the balance is Rs. 11,900. This balance may be sanctioned for the Pattukottai school referred to in paragraph 7 *supra*, ~~providing~~ *provisionally* at once subject to alteration with reference to the revised plans and estimates.

10. Submitted that the file may be sent to Local and Municipal and Financial for remarks.

S.A.—9-3-18.

T.K.—12-3-18.

The above is, under the circumstances, the only means of utilizing the provision for grant. The proposal no doubt involves a deviation from the usual procedure of having the scrutiny of plans and estimates done in advance of sanctioning the grant. In view of the facts that the transactions are with *local bodies*, that under the rules the plans and estimates of works undertaken by them have to undergo scrutiny by various grades of Public Works officers, and that it may be desirable not to allow the grant to lapse, the procedure proposed may be accepted.

2. After all Public Works Department scrutiny is yet to be conducted only in respect of (1) and (8), but in those cases Superintending Engineers have either drawn up the estimates or approved of them. They may be accepted tentatively for present purposes.

3. Local and Municipal have yet to note about the financial position of the Dindigul Municipal Council and the Tanjore District Board in respect of items (4) and (9).

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—13-3-18.

L. D. SWAMIKANNU—13-3-18.



## LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

The budget estimate of the Tanjore District Board for 1918-19 is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 30,800 under general funds which amounts to 3.6 per cent of the receipts under those funds against the 5 per cent prescribed in article 301 of the Local Fund Code. The suggestion at A on page 3 may be supported in this department.

P.L.—14-3-18.

The budget estimate of the Dindigul Municipal Council for 1918-19 is expected to close with a balance of Rs. 7,990 or only 8.9 per cent of the estimated ordinary receipts against the prescribed minimum of 10 per cent (G.O. No. 1198 M., dated 5th July 1906). The average annual surplus in the ordinary revenues of the municipality during the triennium ending 1916-17 was also only Rs. 5,697—(page 6 of notes to G.O. No. 1265 M., dated 26th July 1917). In these circumstances, the council's request for a full grant of Rs. 10,200 for the extension of the High school building may, it is submitted, be supported in this department, and the file returned to Home (Education) Department u.o.

B.G.—14-3-18.

P.R.—14-3-18.

T.K.A.—14-3-18.

V. T. KRISHNAMA ACHARI—14-3-18.

## HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

The file may now be forwarded to the Financial Department for remarks with reference to the proposal summarized in paragraph 9 of the note on page above.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIAR—14-3-18.

L. D. SWAMIKRANTU—14-3-18.

## FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The budget for the current year contains a provision of 1.50 lakhs for grants to local bodies for buildings for secondary schools (page 131 Civil Budget Estimate). Of this amount, a sum of Rs. 23,440 only has till now been distributed (pages 1-2 ante). The present case relates to the utilization of the balance, viz., Rs. 1,26,560, and Home (Education) propose to distribute this sum as follows:—

|                                                                                                                            | Total cost.<br>RS. | Grant to be<br>paid by<br>Government.<br>RS. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| (1) Municipal High school, Walajapet (paragraph 4 on page 2 ante) ...                                                      | 56,000             | * 52,000                                     |
| (2) Municipal High school, Dindigul (paragraph 5 on page 2 ante) ...                                                       | 10,200             | 10,200                                       |
| (3) Board High school, Lalgudi (Trichinopoly) (paragraph 6 on page 2 ante) ...                                             | 25,160             | * 25,160                                     |
| (4) Board Secondary school, Kavali (paragraph 8 on page 3 ante) ...                                                        | 27,300             | 27,300                                       |
| (5) Board Incomplete Secondary school, Pattukottai (Tanjore) (paragraph 7 on pages 2-3 and paragraph 9 on page 3 ante) ... | † 29,550           | † 11,900                                     |
| Total ...                                                                                                                  | 1,48,210           | 1,26,560                                     |

\* Estimate to be scrutinized by Public Works Department.

† Estimate prepared by the district board. The Superintending Engineer is revising the estimate.

2. *Items (1) to (4).*—The estimates for items (1) and (3) have to be scrutinized by Public Works Department. It is proposed that Government should bear the entire cost for items (2) to (4), and almost the entire cost in the case of item (1). Attention is solicited to pages 7-11 of notes. It is presumed that any excess

This, I think must be made a condition precedent to the grants.

L.E.K.—16-3-18.

Submitted that there seems to be no objection to accepting the proposals.

3. Item (5).—The total cost, according to the estimate prepared by the Tanjore District Board, is Rs. 29,550 (paragraph 7 on page 2 ante); and the Superintending Engineer is revising the estimate with a view to reducing the cost. The district board has applied for a half grant, i.e., Rs. 14,775. Home (Education) propose to

This means further liability but need not be objected to.

L.E.K.—16-3-18.

disburse a grant of Rs. 11,900 for the present. The difference between this sum and one-half of the cost of the revised estimate will have to be paid by Government next year (1918-19).

Submitted for orders whether the proposal may be accepted.

V.G.K.—16-3-18.

I think so.

L. E. KERSHAW—16-3-18.

Yes. Honourable Member (Financial) should see.

L. DAVIDSON—16-3-18.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT

Please draft at once.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—16-3-18.

A draft order is submitted for approval. The file may be circulated to His Excellency the Governor and the Hon'ble Sir Alexander Cardew.

S.A.—16-3-18.

T.K.—16-3-18.

C.R.—16-3-18.

L. D. SWAMIKANNU—16-3-18.

P[ENTLAND]—16-3-18.

A. G. C[ARDEW]—18-3-18.

Issue.

L.D.S.—18-3-18.

[G.O. No. 362, Home (Education), dated 18th March 1918.]

ORDINARY.

PRESS SLIP.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

Seott. II-38-2-15.

DEPARTMENT.

Current No. .... dated ..... 191  
 G.O. No. 322, dated 18.3.1918.

Number of MS. pages sent {  
 (b) Correspondence ..... 2  
 (b<sup>1</sup>) Notes ..... 10

Brief subject .....

| (c)<br>Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press). | E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public. | Complete correspondence and orders. | Notes. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------|
| FOR RECORD.*                                                                                                                            |                                                                 | for without                         |        |
| Madras Records ...                                                                                                                      | 4                                                               | 10                                  | 21     |
| Coty Records ...                                                                                                                        |                                                                 | 3                                   | 8      |
| For filing with original                                                                                                                |                                                                 |                                     | 1      |
| Total ..                                                                                                                                |                                                                 | 19                                  | 30     |

FOR ISSUE.†

|                                      | Letter only. | Complete G.O. | (b)<br>Special issue copies of G.O. |         | Notes. |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|
|                                      |              |               | No.                                 | Papers. |        |
| Signature copies .....               |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| India Office .....                   |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Govt. of India .....                 |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Revenue Department .....             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Public Works Department .....        |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Local and Municipal Department ..... |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Educational Department .....         |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Public Dept. ....                    |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Judicial Dept. ....                  |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Political ....                       |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Financial Dept. ....                 |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Marine Dept. ....                    |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Ecclesiastical Dept. ....            |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Total .....                          |              | 2             |                                     |         |        |
| The! DPJ                             |              |               | 4                                   |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               | 1                                   |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               | 5                                   |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               | 2                                   |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               | 10                                  |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               | 2                                   |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| The! ...                             |              |               |                                     |         |        |
| Total ...                            |              |               |                                     |         |        |

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b<sup>1</sup>) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 215 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

\* Copies distributed on ..... †(Press Clerk).

† Copies distributed on ..... †(Press Despatcher).

† To be initialled and dated.

P.T.O.



A

B

C

Type may be released after usual interval.

*Sundt*

# CURRENT FILE

## Pages.

Initials of  
Press official

Special  
E.T. edition

[P. T. O. FOR PUT UP PAPERS]

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

| Number. | Date. | Original (=O)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.). | Remarks.<br>(In this column such of the<br>papers as have been removed<br>for copying, printing,<br>etc., should be marked with<br>a cross.) | Initials of Referencer with date<br>in token of return to Reference<br>department. |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |

Papers of other departments.

| Department. | Number. | Date. | Original (=O.)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.). | Despatcher to note the return of the papers to<br>the departments concerned. |
|-------------|---------|-------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |
|             |         |       |                                              |                                                                              |

Encl 4756

22.12.16

H O M E DEPARTMENT.  
(EDUCATION)

Received 191 . Registered 191 .

Subject.

Recommending subsidies to certain local bodies for the  
construction of secondary school buildings.

|                    |
|--------------------|
| ENCLOSURES         |
| No. _____          |
| Spare copies _____ |

Current No. 10462 of 16

Station Madras, Dated the 21<sup>st</sup> December 1916

From (Name) The Hon. Mr. J. H. Stone, M.A., C.I.E., F.R.S.,

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

H O M E Department.  
(EDUCATION)

Sir,

I have the honour to bring it to the notice of Government that a number of applications for subsidies towards the construction or improvement of secondary schools under Board or Municipal management have been received in this office. These applications, which are shown in the accompanying statement, have in some cases been long pending although the urgent need for improvement in accommodation has been repeatedly pointed out by the Inspecting Officers. On official grounds the applications could not be considered plans and estimates were

Page 3 infra.

should be considered, and desire to recommend strongly that provincial funds may be allotted and payment made this year in advance subject to scrutiny by the Public Works Department of the plans and estimates which I am now calling for again. As a justification for this procedure I can only plead the urgency of the case, the inability of the local bodies themselves to find the funds, the desirability of having schools under public management well-housed, and as an analogy the payment in advance of subsidies for the construction of elementary school buildings. The total amount involved in this recommendation is Rs. 1,72,100/-.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

*R. G. Griev*

for Director of Public Instruction.

*W*  
*26.11.16.*  
M. S. S.



| Serial No. | Name of District Board or Municipality | Name of School, for which building is proposed to be constructed or improvements are proposed to be effected | Total cost of the estimate Rs. |
|------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 1          | (a) Municipality Walajapet             | Municipal High School, Walajapet (New building)                                                              | 67,000                         |
| 2          | Anakapalle                             | Municipal Secondary School, Anakapalle (extension)                                                           | 10,000                         |
| 3          | Tiruvannamalai                         | Municipal Incomplete Secondary School Tiruvannamalai                                                         | 20,700                         |
| 4          | Dindigul                               | Municipal High School, Dindigul (Extensions and improvements)                                                | 20,500                         |
| 5          | (b) District Boards Bellary.           | Board Secondary School, Harpanahalli (Improvements)                                                          | 15,700                         |
| 6          | Malakal                                | Board Incomplete Secondary School Kottayam (Improvements)                                                    | 3,000                          |
| 7          | DO                                     | DO Chougar (Improvements)                                                                                    | 8,600                          |
| 8          | Trichinopoly                           | Board High School, Lalgudi (extension)                                                                       | 23,500                         |
| 9          | Tanjore                                | Board Incomplete Secondary School Pattukottai (New building)                                                 | 25,100                         |
| 10         | Quntar                                 | DO Koller (extension)                                                                                        | 6,200                          |
| 11         | Nellore.                               | Board Secondary School, Kavali (separate building)                                                           | 20,000                         |
| Total      |                                        |                                                                                                              | 172,100                        |

| Amount of contribution applied for Rs. | Remarks.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 17,000                                 | The plans and estimates of the Municipal High School, Anantapur proposed to be adopted. In G.O. No. 112 M dated 15.1.16 the Commissioner informed that no contribution could be given at present. Please vide G.O. No. 490 Edl. mis dated 7.5.15. (B) |
| 10,000                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| 6,000                                  | The balance is proposed to be met from the donation given by A. Annasagiri Mudaliar and sale proceeds of the present building (miscellaneous)                                                                                                         |
| 20,500                                 | The chairman says that the estimate is being sent to the Superintending Engineer for sanction after revision                                                                                                                                          |
| 10,700                                 | In G.O. No. 1696 L. mis dated 18.11.15 the President was informed that there was little prospect of the grant being found during the continuance of the war.                                                                                          |
| 3,000                                  | The Inspector, 8th circle, who recommended the application was informed by the Director that no funds were available for the purpose. No plan was received with the application                                                                       |
| 4,300                                  | Recommendation has been made by the Director in his endorsement to Government, Local and Municipal Departments. No. R.E. 7761 dated 29.9.16.                                                                                                          |
| 25,000                                 | The President was informed by the Director that the application might be renewed after the termination of the war.                                                                                                                                    |
| 12,500                                 | DO ——— DO.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| 3,100                                  | The President was informed by the Director that no funds were available.                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 10,000                                 | DO ——— DO plan and estimates are in this office.                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| 172,100                                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

R. A. A. Rave.  
For Director of Public Instruction

21-10-16.

## LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 22 DEC 1916 Registered 191

Subject.

Recommending that a subsidy of Rs. 38,650/- be sanctioned to the Cuddapah Municipal Council during the current year for the construction of a hostel for the Municipal High School.

## ENCLOSURES

No. ....

Spare copies: .....

Ref on Cur No. 7465/1914.

Station M a d r a s., Dated the 21<sup>st</sup> December 1916

Transferred to  
Home (Education) Dept  
40 in continuation  
of dt. 40 7504-17  
dated 21. 12. 16

22/12/16  
R. S. S.

V.T.K.  
23/12/16

From (Name) The Hon. Mr. J. H. Stone, M.A., C.I.E., F.R.H.S.

(Designation) DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my endorsement N.R.C. No. 7465 of

1914, dated 14th December 1916, I have the honour to recommend that a subsidy of Rs. 38,650/- may be sanctioned to the Cuddapah Municipal Council during the current year for the construction of a hostel on condition that they adopt the plans drawn up by the Public Works Department for the hostel at Anantapur with such modifications as may prove necessary. The sum mentioned is the cost of a hostel for 50 students on the Anantapur plan which is designed for 30.

I have the honour to be, Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

R. A. A. A.

for Director of Public Instruction.

M. S. S.

11/12/16  
S. S. S.

Edl 4629

14.12.16

DEPARTMENT.

5

C.E.-29

Received



Registered

191

Subject.

Submitting application for  
Rs 6000/- as building grant  
for the Mpl Secondary school  
building -

ENCLOSURES

No.

Spare copies

No. P.O.C. 380 Eng. Cur. of 16.

Station Tiruvannamalai, Dated the 5th December 1916

210 7315-107 dtm sept

85 12-12-16

Have (Edu) no.

Lu

12-12-16

Cm

12-12-16

VTK

13/12

From (Name) M.R.V. P. Radhacanthan Ayl.  
(Designation) Chairman, Municipal Council,  
Tiruvannamalai.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office reference on 21 Eng. Cur.  
of 15 dated 20-11-16, I have the honor to request that Rs 6000/-  
may be sanctioned as building grant towards the construction  
of a new building for the Municipal Secondary School Tiruvannamalai.



2. The cost of the building and acquisition of site

come up to Rs 20700/- as noted below.

Cost of acquisition of site Rs 4,335 1 3

Amount of estimate Rs 14,500/-  
The work was let on contract  
for 3% less. Therefore the  
cost of building would be Rs 14,035 0 0

Unforeseen charges as may result  
from revision of estimate Rs 2,000 0 0



E.

Regarding funds available, M.R.Py M.Arunagiri Mudaliar

Avl. has given a donation of Rs 5,000/-

Interest got on ---do.---

Rs 508 5 4

Further donation promised by the gentleman.

Rs 5,000 0 0

Estimated proceeds from the sale of the present school building.

Rs 4,000 0 0

14,508 5 4

3. Thus a sum of Rs 8,191 12 5 is required further. I therefore request that the Government may be pleased to sanction a grant of Rs 8,000/-.

4. A copy of the Council's resolution on the subject is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be, Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

Chairman.

Proceedings of the Municipal Council, Tiruvannamalai  
passed at its meeting held on Friday the 1st. December 1916  
at 5 P.M.

Present. 1. M.R.Py. P.Nadivachariar Avl. Chairman.

Official Councillors.

2. M.R.Py S.Sreenivasa Rao Panthulu B.A.

Revenue Divisional Officer.

3. C.Gopala Iyengar Avl. Sub Assistant  
Inspector of Schools.

Non official Councillors.

4. M.R.Py P.V.Ardhanari Iyer Avl.

5. P.S.Pachiappa Chettiar Avl.

6. Arunagiri Mudaliar Avl.

7. Abdul Gunny sahib Bahadur.

8. M.R.Py S.V.Vijayaragavachariar Avl. B.A.

9. K.Mottaya Mudaliar Avl.

X X X X

17. Read - Chairman's note dated 28-11-16 that an application be made for a building grant of Rs 8,000/- towards the construction of the Secondary School building.

Resolution.

Approved.

X X X X

(sd.) P.Nadivachar  
Chairman  
1-12-16.

(True Extract).

M.V. 8/12/16.

Chairman.



4629-1 of 18-12-16

Com 4629

Under

Referred to the D. O. S. for  
remarks. He requested the  
obtaining and forward to give  
the plans and estimates for  
the work.


1/11  
1/11/16

1/11  
1/11/16

2

in D. O. S. (and et in original  
to be returned)

1/11/16  
1/11/16  
1/11/16



9  
Educn 4802  
25.12.16.

N. Ref on Cur. No. 8982 of 1916 dated 22<sup>nd</sup> December 1916. 20

Resubmitted to Government with reference to their memorandum No. 4629-1 dated 18th December 1916.

2. Attention is solicited to my letter C. No. 10462 of 1916 dated 21st December 1916 recommending the grant of the subsidy asked for. The Chairman, Municipal Council, has been requested to forward to me the plans and estimates.

N. A. Grieve.

for Director of Public Instruction.

ku  
22-12-16.



Municipal DEPARTMENT.

Received



191

Registered

Subject

1 YEAR

DEC. 1916

Soliciting Government to make a Grant of Rs.20,500 from Provincial Funds for 1917-18 on account of additions to the Municipal High school buildings.

191 21  
Sol 100  
10-1-17

ENCLOSURES

copies

Original

Pro No. 1104 JK

Station Dindigul., Dated the 2<sup>nd</sup> December 1916. 1/4

(Edr.) no.


From (Name) M.R.Ry., K.Kuppuswamy Ayyar Avl., B.A., B.L.,

(Designation) Chairman, Municipal Council, Dindigul

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
Educational Department.  
(Thro' the Revenue Divisional Officer, Dindigul,  
& the Collector of Madura, & the Director of  
Public Instruction, Madras.)

Sir,  
I have the honour to request that the Government will be pleased to make a Grant of Rs.20,500 on account of further additions to the Municipal High School, buildings, Dindigul, such as accommodation for new sections that will have to be opened for certain classes, Drawing class, -- Tamil Class, Sanskrit class, Reading room, Teachers' - Retiring room and for the conduct of examinations.

2. The council has been unable to carry out the necessary improvements for want of funds in spite of repeated



U.O 7667-1-11  
10-1-17

repeated observations of the Educational authorities as regards the insufficiency of accommodation. A temporary shed is to be put up on the terrace of the building to locate the parallel section of the 2nd Form, as an urgent case, in view of the Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction, Dis.No. 5435 of 16 dated 15-8-16.

3. An extract from the Visitors' book of the Municipal High School containing the remarks of the Hon'ble Sir. P.S.-Sivaswamy Ayyar is enclosed. The buildings were also inspected by the Hon'ble Mr. J.H. Stone, Director of Public Instruction in July last.

4. The estimate was returned by the Superintending -- Engineer, 6" Circle for revision in the light of his remarks and the revised estimate is being sent to him for sanction.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

*P. S. Sivaswamy Aiyar*

Chairman.

Copy to Government direct.

*for*  
3-12-16

12/22  
Extract from the Visitors' Book of the Municipal High School, Dindigul, dated 29-8-1914.

I paid a visit to the Municipal High School this afternoon and was taken round by the Head Master & the Municipal Chairman. The older portions of the school buildings are badly lighted and ventilated and quite unsuitable. Re-construction of these portions and further additions to the buildings appear necessary. I hope the Municipal Council will be able to -- provide these at an early date.

(Signed.) P.S.Sivaswamy Aiyar,  
29th August 1914.

(True Copy).

Dindigul, Municipal Office,

Dated, 3" December 1916,

*P. S. Sivaswamy Aiyar*

Chairman.

*for*  
3-12-16

5177-4/16

Recd No 48/16  
14. M.C. 5177/4/16 13  
Dindigul, Municipal Office, 14-12.Dated, 11<sup>th</sup> December 1916,

From



To

The Chairman,

Municipal Council,

Dindigul.

The Collector of

Madurai.

Sir,

In continuation of this office application  
 Rec. No. 1104 of 16 dated 2-12-16 soliciting Government  
 for an allotment of Rs. 20,500 from the Educational Grant  
 for 1917-18 on account of further additions to the Muni-  
 cipal High school buildings at Dindigul, I have the  
 honour to forward herewith a copy of the council's Reso-  
 lution No. 6 (2) dated 11-12-16 approving of the said  
 application.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

For Chairman.

 12-12-16  
 12-12-16



Extract from the Proceedings of the Dindigul Municipal Council

passed at the Meeting held December 11", 1916, at 9 A.M.,

Present:-

- M.R.Ry., K. Kuppuswamy Ayyar Avergal B.A., B.L., ... Chairman.  
"., E. N. Ramachandra Ayyar Avergal., ... Councillor.  
"., L. P. Anantharama Ayyar Avergal., B. A., B. L., DO.  
"., G. Srinivasa Ayyar Avergal., B. A., ... DO.  
"., K. Venganan Chettiar Avergal., ... DO.  
"S. M. V. Shunmugavelu Pillay Avergal.,... DO.  
P. G. Nyna Mahomed Rowther Sahib Bahadur. ... DO.  
"., B. Marimuthu Pillay Avergal., ... DO.  
"., M. A. Subbian Chettiar Avergal., ... DO.  
"., S. V. Balaswamy Chettiar Avergal., ... DO.

(x). (x). (x).

V I. Applications already submitted to Government for a  
Grant, in aid of Sanitation, Education & Medical -  
Buildings for 1917-18.

x. x. x. x.

(2). Additions to the High School buildings. Rs. 20,500.

A p p r o v e d.

(x).

(x).

(Signed.) K. Kuppuswamy Ayyar,

Chairman.

(True Extract).

For Chairman.

12/12/16  
12/12/16

15 Matr 5147/69/16

Submitted to Government  
through the Director of Public  
Instruction, Madras.

P. S. Gaddam  
Collector  
17.12

16-12-16  
16.12.16

*U* *Disputed.*  
*20,500 might be*  
*included in possible*  
*Expenditure.*



16  
20  
N. Reference on Current No. 10386/16 dated <sup>23</sup> December 1916.

Submitted to Government.

2. Attention is solicited to my letter C. No. 1461-3 C.F. 10462 of 1916 dated 21st December 1916 addressed to Government Home, (Education) recommending the grant of the subsidy asked for. The Chairman has ~~not~~ been -- requested to forward to me the plans and estimates.

*Raaniene.*  
*23. 11. 16.* for Director of Public Instruction.



Home (Education)

DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

191

Subject.

Submitting plans and estimates of certain Board and Municipal Secondary schools to which payment of subsidies has been recommended for the construction or improvement of their buildings.

ENCLOSURES

No. ....

Spare copies .....

Ref. on Cur. No. 10462/16,

Station Madras, Dated the 9<sup>th</sup> February 1917

From (Name) The Hon. Mr. J. H. Stone, M.A.F.R.H.S.C.I.E.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home (Education) Department.

Sir,

In continuation of my letter C.No.10462/16, dated 21st December 1916 to Government recommending the grant of subsidies to certain local Bodies for the construction of Secondary school buildings, I have the honour to submit herewith the necessary plans and estimates as per enclosed list for scrutiny by the Public Works Department.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedient servant,

R. A. Amie

P.V.S.

for Director of Public Instruction.

| Municipalities or Districts. | Name of Secretary and Address to whom all communications are to be sent. |                                                                                                                                                                    |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <u>Municipalities</u>        |                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Atlixpach.                   | 1 Municipal High School.                                                 | The President & Secretary of the Municipal High School, Atlixpach are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal High School, Atlixpach.             |
| Machapalli.                  | 2 Municipal Secondary School, Machapalli.                                | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Machapalli are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Machapalli. |
| Tehuacan.                    | 3 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                  | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 4 Municipal High School, Tehuacan.                                       | The President & Secretary of the Municipal High School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal High School, Tehuacan.               |
| Tehuacan.                    | 5 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                  | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 6 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                  | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 7 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                  | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 8 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                  | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 9 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                  | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 10 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                 | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |
| Tehuacan.                    | 11 Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.                                 | The President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan are to be sent to the President & Secretary of the Municipal Secondary School, Tehuacan.     |

RA Gmiv.

Indicated by Public Inspection

29. Home (2nd) Dept.

C No. 654.

Memo. No. 4075/16-6  
22-3-17

The plans and estimates for the construction of school buildings for certain secondary schools under the management of local bodies received with the D.P.G.'s letter Roc No. 10462 of the 9-2-17 are returned to him with the request that they may be transmitted to the local bodies concerned to be resubmitted to Govt. Through him, after obtaining the necessary sanction thereto of the Superintending Engineers concerned and with a clear report as to the financial position of the local body

(a) as regards its capacity to bear the portion of the cost not covered by the grant, and

(b) with reference to its capacity to bear the portion for which the grant is asked.

Each case may be submitted to Govt. separately.

19-3-17  
22-3-17

Sept  
+ Memo file to file

page 17 of C.F.


✓  
Dated with enclosure  
22-3-17

The D.P.G. with plans & estimates returned.



# NOTES.

To be sketched with:  
the original of  
no 362 Hans (23)  
18 3 18



31

The Old College,  
Nungumbakam dated <sup>6<sup>th</sup></sup> 11-1916.

D.O.No. 1950616

Dear Diwan Bahadur,

With reference to your D.O.No.2199-5 dated 19th October 1916, I have written officially in -- this office C.No.8982 of 1916 dated 2nd November 1916 regarding additional allotments under Building Grants. I should be glad if the question of subsidies to local bodies could be further considered. We have actually received 10 applications estimated to cost Rs.1,32655, and there are other cases where additional accommodation is very necessary. So far as I can see, there is no obvious reason for considering a grant towards, say, a neutral --- mission in preference to a municipality beyond the fact that in the former case the mission would contribute half the cost while in the latter the subsidy might have to be for nearly the whole amount. I am informing managers whose applications for building grants were rejected --- during the last 2 years that their applications, if renewed, will now be considered though payment of grant may

Inkstone

*Opalichnaria* Aggl.

Home Department

( Education )

Fort St. George, M a d r a s.



Home (Education) Department.

⑦ Please see the D.O. to the Director of Public Instruction on page 9 of G.O. No.1182, Home (Education), dated the 13th November 1916, in which the Government agreed to allow the Director to accept liabilities on account of building grants up to 8.50 lakhs -- four lakhs in respect of non-European schools and 4.50 lakhs on account of European schools, the latter being the unspent balance of the Imperial non-recurring grant for European education-- to be paid when normal financial conditions were restored. The Government also informed the Director that they hoped to allot 1.50 lakhs in the current year towards the above liability in addition to the budget provision for building grants and enquired whether he would require this amount in the current year.

Part 2 of the Director's  
D.O. on page 9 at 247 ⑦

2. In submitting his reply the Director stated that another sum of Rs.1,32,655 would be required during the current year for payment of grants to local bodies, if the Government were willing to advance the amount. The Financial Department however demurred to the inclusion of schools under public management either in the commitment of the 8.50 lakhs or in regard to the payment of the 1.50 lakhs above referred to for the following reasons:--

(i) that neither the Director of Public

Instruction, while originally asking for a reconsideration of the orders about building grants, nor the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart while noting on the case as Financial Member, contemplated the case of such schools and that the calculation was based entirely on grants to schools under private management; and

Final notes on pp 11 and  
12 of the notes at ⑦

24

- (ii) that grants to local bodies were payable only when the Government could really find the money and that the Grant in Aid Code could not be quoted in their support.

The Director was therefore informed in D.O.

No.2199-5, dated the 19th October 1916, that schools under public management should not be brought ~~into~~ into the scheme.

3. In G.O.No.1182, Education, dated the 13th November 1916, the Government authorized the Director to incur during the current year an expenditure only up to a limit of Rs.83,000, ~~which~~ this being the amount which the Director stated that he could spend on account of building grants to aided schools.

4. The Director now requests that the question of subsidies to local boards may be further considered, as he has actually received 10 applications estimated to cost Rs.1,32,655, and that there are other cases where additional accommodation is very necessary.

5. In regard to the arguments of the Financial Department against the payment to local bodies of subsidies in aid of educational buildings, it seems necessary to briefly review the attitude of the Government towards the payment of grants to ~~maintained~~ schools maintained by local bodies.

The system of grant-in-aid, which in this Presidency originally applied only to schools under private management, ~~was~~ <sup>came</sup> to be subsequently extended to schools maintained by local bodies also. In 1905 the whole system of aid was

file de 1916

page 1 at 11/17

(T)

file de 1916

page 1 out

Partial of O.N. at 11/17

Go. 1194 Ed. 13-12-13

overhauled and for various administrative reasons it was thought expedient to put local board schools including those run by municipalities out of the operation of the Grant-in-Aid Code. In doing so it was by no means the intention of the Government to deny all provincial help to such schools. There was only a change in the method of aid. The Government stated that "any help which Government may give to local bodies to enable them to maintain their schools or to pay their grants-in-aid will be subsidies or allotments outside the Code and will be determined by such orders as Government may from time to time issue"--vide paragraph 7 of G.O.No.356, Educational, dated the 21st May 1906.

In 1913, two Resolutions were proposed to be moved at the Legislative Council which had for their object the readmission of local fund and municipal schools to the benefits of the Grant-in-Aid Code. The second Resolution ran as follows:--

"This Council recommends to the Governor in Council that secondary schools managed by District and Municipal Boards except those of which the net cost is met wholly from Provincial Revenues be readmitted to the benefits of the Grant-in-Aid Code of the Madras Educational Department."

On that occasion it was pointed out that Board and Municipal schools were pecuniarily better off under the system of subsidies than if eligible for assistance only in accordance with the Grant-in-Aid Code. The mover of the Resolution did not however want to press the Resolution so long as the schools were assisted - it did not matter whether the Code included them or excluded them.

From the above it is clear that the Government do not intend that Board and Municipal schools should be under a disadvantage as compared with schools under private management for the reason that the former happen to be outside the purview

*Q*  
*7/2/16*

*2*

⊗

*1194 1194 1194*  
*13.12.13*

*1.9 of the 1194 1194 1194*  
*13.12.13*

*Q*  
*7/2/16*



of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

In this connection attention is solicited to the portion marked 'K' on page 3 of the notes flagged (G) from which it will appear that the Government sanctioned during the years 1912 to 1916, grants towards the provision of improved accommodation to secondary schools for boys under the management of local bodies and that except in a very few cases the Government agreed to bear the whole cost of the new buildings.

It may also be mentioned that in the case of certain municipalities the Government have also built hostels entirely from Provincial funds and lent them to the councils concerned for use in connection with the colleges- vide G.Os.452, Edl., dated the 17th May 1913, and 1013, Edl., dated the 8th November 1913.

It will be seen from the above that the Government have been helping the ~~primary~~ schools maintained by local bodies more than if they were under private management *and that the grant is higher than former is as much a recognition of aid attached*. The arguments of the Financial Department that local bodies should be helped only when funds are ~~not~~ <sup>(in supply)</sup> available with the Government can be equally advanced in the case of aided schools, all cases of aid from the Government being subject to the general condition of availability of funds. If funds are forthcoming for aid to schools under private management, it seems equitable that a portion of it should also be made available to schools maintained by local bodies.

6. It may also be noted that the Royal Commission on Decentralization recommended that the educational activities of local bodies should be confined in the main to primary schools and

Part to be left



*I think so*  
*7/12/16*

*02.1.16. Madras. 11.11.16*  
*1.33 lakhs not yet received*  
*Grant for the St. George's House*  
*1.33*  
*The amt. is not yet received*  
*has been authorized*  
*to be paid on account of*  
*building grants*  
*Balance*  
*1.34*

*7* *Addl. allotment.* *1.50* *lakhs*  
*Grant for the St. George's House* *1.33*  
*The amt. is not yet received* *1.33*  
*has been authorized*  
*to be paid on account of*  
*building grants*  
*Balance* *1.34*

*801 us 4075-1*  
*8.12.16*

7527  
Regd. 8.12.16

# NOTES.

34

that secondary institutions should be financed by the Government. The Government of India have accepted the principle of the Commission's recommendations as correct though for financial reasons they do not commend them for wholesale adoption.

7. In the circumstances set forth in the preceding <sup>two</sup> paragraphs it may be conceded that the Director of Public Instruction has made out a case for subsidies to local bodies.

8. The next question for consideration is as to the source from which the 1.33 lakhs now required by the Director of Public Instruction should be met. Although the Director's original estimate of requirements did not take into account the needs of local bodies, the balance of .34 lakh still remaining out of the 1.50 lakhs which Financial have already agreed to provide can be allotted for the purpose and the Financial Department may be requested to state if it is possible to provide an additional sum of .99 lakh to make up the 1.33 lakhs <sup>now</sup> required by the Director of Public Instruction.

9. The case may be forwarded u.o. to Financial for remarks.

*2.12.16*  
*5.12.16*  
*7.12.16*

*For favour of*  
*9.12.16*  
*7/12/16*

Financial Department.

Attention is solicited to the Notes to G.O. 1182 Home (Education), dated 13-11-16 (Flag E)

In the D.O. to the Director of Public Instruction dated 28-8-16 on page 9 of those notes, he was permitted as a special case to accept liabilities up to a total of 8.50 lakhs on account of building grants to schools to be paid when normal conditions are restored. The total sum of 8.50 lakhs was made up of 4 lakhs for Non-European Schools under private management and 4.50 lakhs for European Schools under private management. This was based on the orders of the then H. M. Finl (Sir Harold Stuart) and of H. E. the Governor - pp 5-6 of those notes. Sir Harold Stuart remarked that "we could do more than we have done to keep the promises made in the Grant-in-Aid Code (in respect of Educational buildings)".

2. In reply to the D.O. of 28-8-16, the D.P.I. referred to the extension of the above procedure to schools under local bodies - Vide D.O. dated 21-9-16 on p.9 of notes.

The Financial Department pointed out that the inclusion of schools under local bodies in the above scheme was an innovation, that the sum of 8.50 lakhs to the commitment of which the Govt. agreed did not include schools under the control of local bodies, and that these schools should not be included in the scheme as the limit of 8.50 lakhs would be increased - pp 11-12 of notes.

3. The Director of Public Instruction points out - D.O. dated 6-11-16 on page 1 ante - that there is no obvious reason for considering a grant towards a private institution in preference to a local body beyond the fact that in the former case the private body would contribute half the cost, while in the latter the subsidy might have to be for nearly the whole amount. The D.P.I. also

But. since the D.P.I.  
Should have told  
us that his pro-  
posal as regards  
private schools was  
merely a part of his  
scheme which included  
all schools. SM



39

states that he has actually received 10 applications from local bodies estimated to cost 1.33 lakhs and that there are other cases where additional accommodation is very necessary. (p. 1 ante)

4. The Home/Dept. in paras 5 to 8 of their note (pp 3-5 ante) point out that it is not the intention of Govt. that Board and Municipal schools should be under a disadvantage as compared with schools under private management for the reason that the former happens to be outside the purview of the Grant-in-Aid Code; that the Govt. have hitherto been helping the schools maintained by Local bodies more than if they were under private management, and that if funds are forthcoming for aid to schools under private management it seems equitable that a portion of it should also be made available to schools maintained by Local bodies.

5. It is respectfully submitted that it was not the intention of this department to refuse any grant for buildings for schools under local bodies. What was objected to by this department was that the special procedure adopted in the case of schools under private management (according to which the D.P.I. was permitted to accept liabilities up to a limit of 8.50 lakhs to be paid when normal conditions are restored) should not be extended to schools under local bodies, inasmuch as, if this extension of the special procedure was agreed to, the limit of 8.50 lakhs would be exceeded. So far as Financial is concerned, no objection need be raised to the inclusion of schools under local bodies in the scheme if the total limit of 8.50 lakhs would not thereby be exceeded.

6. It may also be noted in this connection that in the case of these local board and municipal schools each

How is it possible  
to find of financial

Go if they are  
forth coming, but  
this is the cause  
of the whole question

This power + As.  
Marginal note put in  
are corrected to  
Annex 50. The P.R.  
8.50 lakh cost  
on which it was  
an average of 100 lakhs  
furnished to a large  
number of promises  
in the grant-in-aid  
code (p. 11 or page 7  
ante)

I am not prepared  
to endorse this.  
If 8.50 is more  
than is required for  
private schools the  
amount should be  
reduced & the question  
of schools under local  
bodies considered se-  
parately on the  
main.

case will have to be scrutinised carefully in order to see whether the financial position of the local body concerned is such as will not permit of meeting a portion (though not one-half as in the case of private schools) of the cost of the buildings. The entire cost of the buildings should not be given as a grant as a matter of routine.

*18.12.16.* I am afraid I am afraid I cannot accept the proposition of Home [Education] in this ~~Education~~ case. I am afraid I cannot accept the broad proposition that local bodies are entitled to the bounty of Govt. - if it is correct to speak of anybody being entitled to a bounty - when funds are available.

But it must not be forgotten that at the present juncture funds are available only for such schemes as are of immediate and imperative necessity or of a remunerative character. If therefore Education can show that in the case of any particular local body a grant for a school building is a matter of immediate and imperative necessity financial

"Eligible for"  
is the correct  
phrase.  
*W*

41

 (para. 3 on  
page 8 ante)

will consider the question of provision of funds. It cannot, however, I submit, agree to give the D.P.I. a sum of 1'33 lakhs or any other figure merely because the D.P.I. has received applications for grants to this extent.

It seems also necessary to remind Home (Education) that the authorisation given to the D.P.I. to accept liabilities up to 8.50 lakhs in the case of private schools does not mean that the money is available or can be spent now. The grants will be payable only when normal conditions are restored. This arrangement of accepting liabilities in advance of funds being <sup>available</sup> ~~able~~ was an exceptional arrangement, and even so was restricted to a certain figure. I think the Council should depreciate the extension of this arrangement to local bodies. The proper time to incur a liability

20. on page 7  
1980. 1182 Home (200)  
15. 11. 16

subd.



is where funds are available,  
and I don't think Special  
could agree to the D.P. I being  
given Carl Blanche to promise  
grants when normal conditions  
are restored. The proper pro-  
cedure, in my humble opinion,  
is for the D.P. I to note the  
applications when received,  
and then to review them  
when normal conditions are  
restored and to give grants  
where necessary. We may ad-  
vocate the adoption of this course  
and add that Special will  
be prepared to consider grants  
even before normal conditions  
are restored in any case of  
imperative necessity.

Quite so.

LD  
18.12.16

LD  
12/16

Home (Cont) 1/6

File no 7527-1  
20.12.16



12/16

CURRENT No. 4756

From the Dir of Public Instrn. No. 10462, dated the 21st Dec 1916.

Received 191 Registered 191

Subject.

and


Edl 4771  
22.12.16



D.O.No. 25/ /1916.

The Old College,  
Madras, 22<sup>nd</sup> December 1916.

My dear Diwan Bahadur,



Will you please give special attention to my Nos.  
C. 4779 502 ② C. 4778 532  
R.C. 9661/1914 of 22nd December 1916, R.C. 2935/1912 of  
22nd December 1916, R.C. 7465/1914 of 21st December 1916  
(addressed to Government, Local and Municipal) and C. 10462  
of 1916 of 21st December 1916. (CF)

① ②  
Both received in  
Home (Ed) on  
23.12.16.  
H.P.

2. These letters have been written as the result of  
an interview I had with Sir Alexander Cardew in which he  
gave me to understand that Government could consider such  
applications.

Yours sincerely,

*L. Stone*

To  
The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. Rajagopala  
Achariyar Avargal, C.I.E.,  
Secretary to Government,  
Home Department (Education),  
Fort Saint George, Madras.

PT. O

44  
Home (Education) Department.

Since the Financial note on pages 7-11 supra was received in this Department, two communications have been received from the Director of Public Instruction - one, a D.O. on page 12 ante, and the other, an official letter which has been placed in the current file.

Of the communications referred to in the D.O. the first and second relate to applications for additional allotment for payment of grants to the Pachaiyappa's and the Christian Colleges towards the construction of their hostels. They are being dealt with separately. The total amount asked for therein comes to Rs.83,000.

The third communication<sup>\*</sup> referred to relates to Local and Municipal, and an extract from the D.O. has been sent to that Department.

<sup>\*</sup>  
The fourth deals with the same subject as that in the present file. In it the Director asks for an allotment of Rs.1,72,100 ~~remains~~ <sup>for</sup> payment of subsidies to four municipal councils and seven district boards towards the construction or improvement of buildings to secondary schools under their

\* Since received in 2004 - pages C-F.

\* viz: the letter in the current file.



their charge. The amount originally asked for was Rs.1,32,655. Please see page 1 supra. The Director pleads "urgency" in all these cases and advocates the payment of the grant in advance. It may be mentioned that the latter is the procedure generally adopted in the case of local bodies as against the system of paying grants to aided institutions after the completion of the work. Financial in their note on page 11 supra advise that the Director of Public Instruction should receive applications from local bodies and review them when normal conditions are restored. In the cases referred to in the Director's letter applications seem to have been already received, some having been pending with him for a long time, and it also appears that he has examined those cases; and so far as the actual sanctioning of the grants is concerned each case may have to be submitted to Government separately and examined by them, the financial position of the local body concerned being scrutinised. For the present general authority to the Director to do so and the consent of Financial to incur the liability in the current

*Page 14*

*14*

year to the extent proposed are all that is necessary.

From the D.O. on page 12 it appears that the position has been explained to the Honourable Sir Alexander Cardew by the Director.

Submitted that the file may be forwarded again to Financial with reference to these developments.

46  
24.12.16  
L

Lil. Co.  
By 25/12/16

Financial Department.

Attention is solicited to the following extracts from the notes of H.M. Financial in the case relating to the Budget Forecast for 1917-18:-

"3. Education is always an urgent matter. Every thing else depends on its progress, and I think it would be in every way sound to provide 1.50 lakhs further against the large commitment of 8.50. I would also provide 1 lakh for grants to local bodies for Educational buildings."

A.G. Cardew 20.12.16.

Edwen.  
4075-2  
25/12/16

Current no.  
8002 A.  
7ml

Regd. 25.12.16

Current no.  
A 258  
7ml

Regd. 25.12.16

flag ②

"In the Budget Estimate we have been fairly liberal, I think, and the estimated surplus has been a good deal cut down. I don't think we ought to go much further but I have no objection to another lakh being placed under Unallotted, half for Educational and half for medical buildings.

x x x

"3. As regards the Revised Estimate there may be other demands. The D.P.I. is likely, I believe, to seek sanction for some building grants and other needs may arise, but I understand that it is not essential to anticipate these. If and when they are sanctioned by Government, the R.E. will be corrected by the Accountant-General."

A.G. Cardew  
22. 12. 16.

2. With reference to these orders, a provision

flag A

of 1.50 lakhs has been entered in the Budget for 1917-18 under 'Unallotted' as grants to local bodies for Educational buildings. The above decision was communicated to the Home (Education) Department on 23-12-16, and they have been requested to furnish this Dept. before 7-1-17 with a list showing the distribution, the allotments being made in such a manner that provision is made for the completion of each work and that it does not necessitate additional grants in future years for incomplete works.

para 4  
flag A

[Simplified and  
revised estimate]

Approved  
A. G. Cardew  
22. 12. 16.

8-2504  
21/12/16



3. The total demand in the present case (grants to local bodies for Secondary school buildings) which was estimated at 1.33 lakhs on 6-11-16 (page 1 ante) has since been raised to 1.72 lakhs (page 2 C.F.)

4. In these circumstances, it is submitted that there is no necessity to sanction the proposal of the D.P.I. to disburse the grant in the current year. (page 2 C.F.)

The demands now made, totalling 1.72 lakhs, may, it is submitted, be taken into consideration by Home (Educn.) in the distribution of 1.50 lakhs entered in the Budget for next year under Unallotted, each item being scrutinised carefully in order to see whether the financial position of the local body concerned will not permit of its meeting a portion of the cost of the buildings. (cf. M on page 9 ante)


5. It may be noted that the estimates for the several works given by the D.P.I. on page 3 C.F. have not been scrutinised by the P.W.D. (Vide page 2 C.F.) If therefore any difficulty is anticipated by the Home (Education) Department in distributing the lump allotment of 1.50 lakhs in the Budget for next year, it is for consideration whether the lump provision may be entered without details in the Draft Financial statement

The distribution should be for revision.

of Sir Harold Stuart  
note 2.3.12.14 on page  
14 of notes to p. 9697  
8.26.15.14 TV

statement which will be placed before the Finance Committee, the detailed distribution being taken up in the course of the year 1917-18.

*49*  
6-16. 26-12-16. *But will no*  
*and no doubt*  
*see again when actual allotments*  
*are being made.*  
*Home we*  
*28.12.16*



Home (Eon) Deptd.

In para. 4 of their note on page 17 ante, the Financial Deptd state that it is not necessary to sanction Directors proposal for an allotment of Rs 1,72,100 in the current year for payment of subsidies to local bodies towards the construction or improvement of buildings to secondary schools, and that his demand may be taken into consideration in the distribution of 1.50 lakhs entered in the budget estimate for 1917-18 under "unallotted," each item being scrutinised in order to see whether the financial position of <sup>the</sup> local body concerned, will not permit

vide file no. note  
 flag (A)

50  
of its meeting a portion of the cost of the buildings.

It is for consideration whether this Dept<sup>y</sup> may agree with the above views of Financial. Of course the Director has pleaded urgency in all the cases mentioned in the list on page 3, <sup>cur.</sup> file and advocated the payment of the grant in advance. But in view of the fact that the plans and estimates for the works have not yet been scrutinized by the D.P.D. it is not likely that <sup>any money can be spent on the construction of</sup> the buildings ~~will be~~ ~~completed~~ before the close of the current official year. Perhaps the remarks of the Director may be invited n.o. (with the consent of Financial)

27/12/16 we are making a separate reference to the D.P.D. to select works for the next year's allotment. We need not therefore press for any subsidies for these works in the current year. In that view no action is at present necessary on this file. This may lie over until the distribution is settled.

CA  
29.12.16



Very urgent

20 828 4790  
23.12.16

Financial Department.

It has been decided that a provision of 10.50 lakhs should be entered in the budget for 1917-18 under "Un-  
allotted" as grants to local bodies for the following

Objects :-

|                                                                                                                                               | Lakhs. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| (1) Contributions in aid of Municipal balances.                                                                                               | .50    |
| (2) Grants to local bodies for minor Sanitary Works (including 3.48 from the recurring Imperial grant of 3.50 lakhs sanctioned from 1908-09). | 6.00   |
| (3) Grants to local bodies for medical buildings.                                                                                             | 1.50   |
| (4) Grants to local bodies for bridges                                                                                                        | 1.00   |
| (5) Grants to local bodies for Education-<br>al buildings.                                                                                    | 1.50   |
| Total ..                                                                                                                                      | 10.50  |

2. Items (1) to (4) relate to Local and Municipal and item (5) relates to Home (Education).

The provision of .50 lakh for item (1) need not now be distributed. In regard to the remaining allotments, their distribution should be shown in the Appendix to the Draft Financial Statement for 1917-18 which will be printed by the 16th <sup>26</sup>January 1917 and furnished to the Finance Committee.

3. The Local and Municipal Department (in regard to items (2) to (4) ) and Home (Education) (in regard to item (5) ) may, it is submitted, be requested to be so good as to forward to this department in print before the 7th January 1917 3 copies of lists showing how they propose to distribute the above lump allotments -(please see Sir Harold Stuart's note dated 3-12-14 on page 14 of

20  
 28-11-16  
 1990  
 Financial Department

It has been decided that a provision of 10.50 lakhs should be entered in the budget for 1917-18 under "Un-allocated" as grants to local bodies for the following purposes:-

|       |                                                                                                                                               |
|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.50  | (1) Contributions in aid of Municipal Buildings.                                                                                              |
| 1.00  | (2) Grants to local bodies for minor Sanitary Works (including 3.48 from the recurring Imperial grant of 3.50 lakhs sanctioned from 1908-09). |
| 1.50  | (3) Grants to local bodies for medical buildings.                                                                                             |
| 1.00  | (4) Grants to local bodies for bridges.                                                                                                       |
| 1.50  | (5) Grants to local bodies for Education buildings.                                                                                           |
| 10.50 | Total                                                                                                                                         |

3. Items (1) to (4) relate to Local and Municipal and item (5) relates to Education. The provision of 10.50 lakhs for item (1) need not now be distributed. In regard to the remaining allotments, their distribution should be made in the Appendix to the Draft Financial Statement for 1917-18 which will be prepared by the Local and Municipal Committee and forwarded to the Local and Municipal Department (in regard to items (2) to (4) and Home (Education) Department (in regard to item (5)). It is suggested, be requested to be so good as to forward to this Department in time before the 7th January 1917 5 copies of lists showing how they propose to distribute the above lump allotment - (please see Sir Harold Gurney's note dated 5-12-16 on page 10 of the Local and Municipal Department).

Notes to G.O.969 Financial, dated 26-12-14). The lists may, as far as possible, be in the form adopted on pages 73-76 of the Draft Financial Statement for 1915-16 and pages 48-52 of Draft Financial Statement for 1916-17.

4. The Local and Municipal and Home (Education) Departments may also be requested to be so good as to distribute the allotments in such a manner that provision is made for the completion of each work and that it does not necessitate additional grants in future years for complete works.

5. In regard to minor Sanitary Works, the Local and Municipal Department may be requested to see that provision is made for items such as markets, slaughter-houses, etc., which grants are not usually given by Government.

L. E. Kershaw  
 23-12-1916.  
 L. Davidson  
 23-12-1916.

To the Local and Municipal Department.  
 " Home (Education) Department.

Copy forwarded to the Home (Education) Department.  
 (By Order)

*[Signature]*  
 Assistant Secretary.

P.T.O.

DPG's letter  
no 10462  
24.12.16.

Home (Edn) Dept  
In another file relating to the Director's application for an allotment of 1.72 lakhs for payment of grants to local bodies towards the construction of buildings during the current year, the Financial Dept have stated that the demand should be taken into consideration <sup>in connection with</sup> the distribution of 1.50 lakhs which has now been entered in the budget estimate for 1917-18 under "unallotted". If it is decided that the grant to local bodies may be made in 1917-18 instead of in the current year as proposed by the D.P.D., the Director may be requested to select from the list submitted by him such of the items as are <sup>and estimated to cost 1.50 lakhs in the current year</sup> relatively urgent so that Financial may be furnished with a detailed distribution list ~~for 1.50 lakhs~~ asked for in para. 3 of their note on page 20 ante.

27.12.16  
29.12.16  
CA

28.12.16  
31.12.16

20/10/17



No 2 dated 3<sup>rd</sup> January 17

page 3 C.F.

|          |
|----------|
| 1,72,100 |
| 1,500    |
| 1,70,600 |

Please see my list of demands. I note that the Licensing Dept. for Rs 25,000. The estimate for the halaji's extension are only Rs 23,500 the total of Rs 1,72,100 my charges are valued by Rs 15,000 making Rs 1,70,600. This is now to be reduced by 20,000 to Rs 1,50,600.

All these charges are equally urgent every school being built in place of a new building or extension of the old. It is however practically certain that as much as Rs 67,000 could not be there as Walajapet in the coming next year and I therefore suggest that the sum for the municipality be reduced to Rs 66,600 provided that Govt. is prepared to provide the remaining Rs 20,000 in the budget for 1918-19.

17.  
3.

Home (Govt) Department

The following list may be printed and three copies thereof forwarded to the Financial Dept. as desired by that department in para 3 of its note on page 20 ante. ~~It is for Financial to pay whether that Dept. will be able to provide a sum of Rs 20,000 in the budget for 1918-19 on behalf of the Walajapet Municipality as stated by the D.P.D. above. It is only in this instance that full provision for completion of work has not been made. It is contrary to the instructions in para 4 on page 20 ante.~~



(It is submitted that typed copies of our list may be sent in advance)

Licensing of B (M.B.) work

For Licensing of B (M.B.) work  
The Government of Madras will forward the report of the Licensing of B (M.B.) work to the Financial Department as desired by that department in para 3 of its note on page 20 ante. It is only in this instance that full provision for completion of work has not been made. It is contrary to the instructions in para 4 on page 20 ante.

(Provisional)

Dist. showing the distribution of 1.50 lakhs provided in the C.F. for 1917-18 under "unallotted" for payment of grants to local bodies for educational buildings:-

| Name of the Municipality or the District Board | Scheme | Amount of Grant suggested in 1917-18 |
|------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|
|------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|

(a) Municipality

1. Walajpet. Construction of a building for the Municipal high school } 46,400
2. Anakapalle. Extensions to the Municipal secondary school } 10,000
3. Tiruvannamalai. Construction of a building for the municipal incomplete secondary school } 6,000

4. Dindigul. Extensions and improvements to the municipal high school } 20,500
5. (b) District Board. Total } 82,900

5. Bellary. Improvements to the Board secondary school, Hanumanahalli } 10,700
6. Malabar. Improvements to the Board incomplete secondary school, Kollayi } 3,000

7. - do - Improvements to the Board secondary school, Chovvath } 4,300
8. Trichinopoly. Extensions to the Board high school, Kalgudi } 23,500

9. Tanjore. Construction of a building for the Board incomplete secondary school, Pattu Kottai } 17,500

10. Guntur. Extensions to the Board incomplete secondary school, Kottur } 3,100

11. Nellore. Construction of a building for the Board secondary school, Kavalu } 12,000

Total 67,000

Grand total. 1,50,000

2. With reference to the D.P.'s letter on page 4 of C.F. since received and to the D.O. on page 12 ante

+  
Subsidy to the Municipal Council for the construction of a school.

3. ~~The first way of doing it is to take~~  
~~a good deal of time to make~~ wise

A circular black ink stamp from the Chief Secretariat, Madras Government. The text "CHIEF SECRETARIAT" is curved along the top inner edge, and "MADRAS GOVT" is curved along the bottom inner edge. In the center, the date "20th April 1917" is stamped horizontally.

Home (Edl) w.o. 4075-3  
7.1.17

A typed copy of the list has been furnished to Phil & another copy has been sent to Pres to be printed. V. E. [ ] NO.

507

FINANCIAL

Regd. 7.1.17

11.06.17



Financial dep!


Attention is solicited to portions marked K and M on pages 23+25 ante. The case may, it is sub<sup>d</sup>, be returned to Home (Educ<sup>d</sup>) after perusal, as the amounts entered in the list on page 24 ante which will be placed before the Finance Committee will be altered later on when each item is scrutinized with reference to the financial position of each local body.

Orls  
8.1.17. Returned with Remarks:  
The necessity of limiting grants to works that will be completed is once more seen.

J. has signed

Home

8.1.17.



Home (Edu) Department.

Submitted that no further action is possible on this file now. On receipt of the Finance Committee's proceedings approving the list of works costing 1.50 lakhs a memo. may issue to the D.P.D. informing him of the fact and requesting



C.M. 33788

24-1-17  
Financial Department.

On the recommendations of the Finance Committee which sat on the 19th instant, the Government have decided that the following alterations should be made in the budget estimate for 1917-18 :-

(1) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45 Civil Works - T.W.D. - Original Works - Civil buildings, and an additional provision of one lakh should be entered under "22 Education - Government Schools, General - Payments in support of local board and municipal schools - For contributions to local bodies for the construction of elementary school buildings" (to be entered as a separate item in the Civil Budget Estimate).

(2) The total sum of 11.50 lakhs provisionally entered under "Unallotted" expenditure in the first edition of the Civil Budget Estimate for 1917-18 as accepted by the Government of India in Finance Department letter No. 103-B, dated the 16th January 1917 should be distributed among the several service heads as shown below :-

| Service head.                                                                      | Nature of the item.                                                                                  | Amount.<br>Rs. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1. Registration - District Charges.                                                | (1) Reopening of the District Registrar's offices at Bellare and Vinayapatam (full year's provision) | 9,000          |
| 22-1. Education - Government Schools - General.                                    | (2) Grants to local bodies for buildings for secondary schools.                                      | 1,50,000       |
| 24-3. Medical - Hospitals and Dispensaries - Grants to Hospitals and Dispensaries. | (3) Grants to local bodies for medical buildings.                                                    | 1,50,000       |
| 24-3. Medical - Sanitation & Vaccination.                                          | (4) Grants to local bodies for minor sanitary works.                                                 | 5,01,000       |
|                                                                                    |                                                                                                      | 7,41,000       |



Service head.

Nature of the item.

Amount.  
Rs.

|                        |                                                                                          |           |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 32-0. Miscellaneous.   | (5) Contributions in aid of municipal balances.                                          | 50,000    |
| 45-04-01 Works-Civil.  | (6) Grants to local bodies for bridges.                                                  | 1,00,000  |
| 45-04-02 Works - Civil | (7) Grant to the Vizagapatam District Board for roads.                                   | 33,000    |
|                        | (8) Grant to the Godavari district Board for roads and bridges.                          | 57,000    |
|                        |                                                                                          | 1,90,000  |
| Civil Works - P.W.D.-  | (9) Expenditure on tracts in charge of Civil Officers acting as Public Works Disbursers. | 10,000    |
|                        | Grand Total ..                                                                           | 11,50,000 |

Summary of the above by major heads.

|                                                                                              | Rs.       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 12 - Registration                                                                            | 9,000     |
| 22 - Education                                                                               | 1,50,000  |
| 24 - Medical                                                                                 | 7,41,000  |
| 32 - Miscellaneous                                                                           | 50,000    |
| 45 - Civil Works - Civil                                                                     | 1,90,000  |
| 45 Civil Works - P.W.D.-Works in charge of Civil Officers acting as Public Works Disbursers. | 10,000    |
|                                                                                              | 11,50,000 |

2. The Accountant-General is requested to be so good as to embody the foregoing alterations in the Second Edition of Civil Budget Estimate for 1917-18.

of Rs.9,000 to be included under the service head 12 - ~~Registration~~ <sup>Education</sup> represents a recurring item expenditure, while the ~~items~~ <sup>amounts</sup> shown against the remaining items relate to non-recurring items of expenditure.

L. J. Karshaw

23-1-1917

To the ~~Accountant-General~~ <sup>U.O.</sup>

In the case of the various services which are not included in the Government Budget, the following alterations should be made in the Budget Estimate for 1917-18:

- (1) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-01 Works - Civil.
- (2) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-02 Works - Civil.
- (3) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-03 Works - Civil.
- (4) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-04 Works - Civil.
- (5) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-05 Works - Civil.
- (6) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-06 Works - Civil.
- (7) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-07 Works - Civil.
- (8) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-08 Works - Civil.
- (9) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-09 Works - Civil.
- (10) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-10 Works - Civil.
- (11) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-11 Works - Civil.
- (12) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-12 Works - Civil.
- (13) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-13 Works - Civil.
- (14) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-14 Works - Civil.
- (15) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-15 Works - Civil.
- (16) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-16 Works - Civil.
- (17) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-17 Works - Civil.
- (18) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-18 Works - Civil.
- (19) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-19 Works - Civil.
- (20) A reduction of one lakh should be made under the head 45-04-20 Works - Civil.

The total sum of Rs. 11,50,000 is the amount of the alterations proposed in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1917-18. The alterations are suggested by the Accountant-General, and are subject to the approval of the Government. The alterations are suggested by the Accountant-General, and are subject to the approval of the Government. The alterations are suggested by the Accountant-General, and are subject to the approval of the Government.

Financial Department.

U.C. Note dated the 23rd January 1917.

A copy of the U.C. note to the accountant-general asking him to distribute under the several major heads in the Second Edition of the Civil Budget Estimate the provision for new schemes recommended by the Finance Committee and accepted by Government is forwarded to the Home Department, Local & Municipal Dept. for information. Public Works Department

2. As provision in the budget is no authority to incur expenditure, it is requested that steps may be taken to see that in the case of those schemes which have not yet been administratively sanctioned, such sanction is accorded in consultation with Financial, wherever necessary, in order that the provision in the budget may be utilised in the ensuing year. It is also requested that orders distributing the lump grants to local bodies be sent to Financial for acceptance before issue.

3. In regard to the reduction of one lakh in the budget figure for 1917-18 under 45 Civil Works - P.W.D. - referred to in paragraph 1(1) of the U.C. note to the Accountant-General dated the 23rd January 1917, the Public Works Department may be informed that it should be effected as shown below:-

| Item | Classification. | Work.                                                              | Provision already entered. | Provision as now reduced. |
|------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 61   | Education.      | Constructing new buildings for the College of Engineering.         | 4.00                       | 3.50                      |
| 165  | Medical         | Constructing the new Government hospital and subsidiary buildings. | 1.75                       | 1.25                      |
|      |                 |                                                                    | 5.75                       |                           |

4. The deduction of 6 lakhs

| Particulars                                                                        | Amount   |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| (1) Grants to local bodies for bridges.                                            | 1,00,000 |
| (2) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 27,000   |
| (3) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (4) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (5) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (6) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (7) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (8) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (9) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.   | 1,00,000 |
| (10) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (11) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (12) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (13) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (14) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (15) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (16) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (17) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (18) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (19) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (20) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (21) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (22) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (23) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (24) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (25) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (26) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (27) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (28) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (29) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (30) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (31) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (32) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (33) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (34) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (35) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (36) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (37) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (38) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (39) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (40) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (41) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (42) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (43) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (44) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (45) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (46) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (47) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (48) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (49) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (50) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (51) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (52) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (53) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (54) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (55) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (56) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (57) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (58) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (59) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (60) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (61) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (62) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (63) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (64) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (65) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (66) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (67) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (68) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (69) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (70) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (71) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (72) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (73) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (74) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (75) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (76) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (77) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (78) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (79) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (80) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (81) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (82) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (83) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (84) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (85) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (86) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (87) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (88) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (89) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (90) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (91) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (92) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (93) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (94) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (95) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (96) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (97) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (98) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (99) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic.  | 1,00,000 |
| (100) Grants to the P.W.D. for constructing roads for goods and passenger traffic. | 1,00,000 |

Summary of the above by major heads

|                   |          |
|-------------------|----------|
| 18 - Construction | 1,00,000 |
| 22 - Construction | 1,00,000 |
| 24 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 26 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 28 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 30 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 32 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 34 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 36 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 38 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 40 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 42 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 44 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 46 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 48 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 50 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 52 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 54 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 56 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 58 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 60 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 62 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 64 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 66 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 68 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 70 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 72 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 74 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 76 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 78 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 80 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 82 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 84 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 86 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 88 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 90 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 92 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 94 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 96 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 98 - Education    | 1,00,000 |
| 100 - Education   | 1,00,000 |

The Accountant-General is requested to be so good as to embody the proposed alterations in the Second Edition of the Civil Budget Estimate for 1917-18. The sum of Rs. 1,00,000 to be included under the heading 'Construction' with the sum of Rs. 1,00,000 to be included under the heading 'Education'.

Very Urgent

62

Lakh. 31

District Boards of Chingleput and  
Coimbatore- (Rs 2 lakh each)

District Boards of South Arcot, Gan-  
jam, Godavari, Ramnad and Tanjore  
(.01 lakh each)

Total deduction .09

(By Order)

*[Signature]*  
Assistant Secretary.  
ccs.

To the Home(Judicial) Department. ~~copy sent to school, Annapolis~~

- " Home(Education)Department. ✓  
" Local and Municipal Department.  
" Local and Municipal(Medical)Department.  
" Public Works Department.

the same the fil  
fil



Home (Education) Department.

Please see notes on pages 26 and 27 ante. The

Director of Public Instruction has just submitted

plans and estimates for eight out of the eleven

secondary school buildings to be constructed <sup>will the aid</sup>

<sup>of grants from</sup> the 1.50 lakhs provided in the Civil Budget Estimate

for 1917-18. He states that the plans and estimates

for the Municipal secondary school, Anakapalle, have

been called for and will be submitted on receipt

and that the submission to Government of those

relating to the Kottayi and Chowghat schools seems

unnecessary as the amounts are small. The plans and

estimates now received may be forwarded to the

Public Works Department for very early scrutiny so as

to enable the local bodies to commence the work as

early as possible.

2. Proposals for the distribution of <sup>the grant of</sup> one lakh  
for elementary school buildings <sup>may be called for</sup> ~~submitted~~ from

the Director of Public Instruction. A draft

Memorandum requesting him to submit them early is

submitted for approval. After its issue the file

may be sent

p. 210 + kept below

\* Note list on p. 24 of these notes

p. 18 C.F.

No 2 in the above list.

p. 18 C.F.

No 6 + 7 on p. 24 of these notes

p. 129 C.F., 1917-18, 2nd 2nd

issued as Memo No. 4076-4  
14.2.17

\* ind. 7. 2. 17  
Account City

Received 16.2.17

Please issue the Memo to the S.P.D.

Then examine the question whether it is usual or necessary to have the estimates for the works now under consideration ~~scrutinized~~ scrutinized by the P.W.D. at this stage. ~~The~~ Works undertaken by local bodies are regulated by separate sets of rules ~~and~~ which provide for scrutiny by the officers of the P.W.D.; and accordingly they stand on quite a different footing from those undertaken by private bodies towards which grants are sanctioned by Govt.

13.2.17

Submitted that the works undertaken by the Municipal Councils are regulated by the provisions of art. 302 of the Municipal Account Code and those undertaken by the Local Bodies by the provisions of art. 463 of the Local Fund Code. Under the former the approval of the Superintending Engineer, Public Works Civil within whose range of superintendence Municipality is situated must be obtained to plans and estimates for all building and masonry work.

estimates up to Rs 20,000 only, those costing more than Rs 20,000 should come up to Govt. for sanction. The same limit applies to the works undertaken by local boards - vide art. 463 of the L. F. Code, page 6 of G.O. No. 1000 L & the H. b. 13.

(22)

It does not also appear that the plans and estimates costing ~~not~~ more than Rs 20,000 are <sup>before the Govt.</sup> scrutinized usually by the P.W.D. ~~unless the works are carried out by the P.W.D.~~ ~~which is carried out by the P.W.D.~~ In the

(13)

case of the Kulithalai high school building for which a grant of Rs 13,700 was sanctioned in G.O. No. 73120, dt the 9-8-12 the plans and estimates were not scrutinized by the P.W.D. even though the cost of the scheme was Rs 41,000.

2. ~~Recommendations~~ Among the several items of work mentioned in the list on page 24, and to the plans and estimates relating to items ①, ④, ⑧ & ⑨ alone appear to require the scrutiny of the P.W.D. The plans and estimates relating to items ③, ⑤ & ⑩ have already been approved by the Superintending Engineers concerned while those relating to item ⑪ have not been so approved. As regards the last <sup>(while sanctioning the grant)</sup> the P.D. B. Kellore, may be directed to get the approval of the S.E. Orders are solicited whether the P.W.D. may be requested to scrutinize items ①, ④, ⑧ & ⑨.

11/11/17 18.2.17



Secretary,

A sum of 1.50 lakhs has been provided in the next year's budget for payment of grants to local bodies for educational buildings - those of secondary schools. A tentative distribution was made at the suggestion of the D.P.I. and was placed before the Finance Committee. The actual sanctioning of the grants to these local bodies is, however, dependent upon the examination of each case separately. In conducting this examination two factors will have to be taken into account:-

- (i) the professional scrutiny of the plans and estimates relating to the works, and
- (ii) the financial position of the local body (a) as regards its capacity to bear a portion of the estimate <sup>not</sup> covered by the grant and (b) with reference to its incapacity to bear the portion for which the grant is asked.

We have so far in this file only a portion of the information relating to the first item and nothing yet as regards the second. The D.P.I. proposes that the plans and estimates should be forwarded to the P.W.D. for scrutiny. From the notes on pp. 33-34 supra it will be observed that all the eleven works proposed need not necessarily be scrutinised in the Chief Engineer's office. <sup>final</sup> scrutiny of seven of them

p. 131 of C.B. 2 for 1917-18,  
2nd edition.

Vide page 24 supra  
8135

page 17 C.F.

Items (1), (4) (2) & (9)  
on page 24 above

by the Superintending Engineer of the circle concerned will be enough; and it will be unnecessary to worry the Chief Engineer's office with the estimates <sup>in</sup> those cases also. So far only <sup>four</sup> ~~seven~~ of these have been passed by the Superintending Engineers. <sup>6</sup> ~~7~~ the remaining four which have legitimately to be sanctioned by the Chief Engineer, only one has been passed by the Superintending Engineer. Thus, even in these four cases only one is fit to go to the P.W.D. It is, therefore, submitted that the plans and estimates sent by the D.P.I. may be returned to him with the request that they may, in turn, be transmitted to the local bodies concerned to be resubmitted to Government through him, after obtaining the necessary sanction of the Superintending Engineers and with a clear report as to the financial position of the local body as <sup>(+ accepted in 244)</sup> set out above. If this is approved a draft will be prepared on these lines.

Edl no 4075/16-5



Please take  
exhant. / a  
8-3-17  
done  
on  
6/3

Approved.

Will it not be better to open a new file  
for elementary schools in each!

Done

Expd.  
6.27

Submitted that the proposal of Home (Ed<sup>n</sup>) depl at A on the previous page maybe accepted in this depl

For 8/3  
26  
8/3/17

VTK  
8/3 sec

Home (Ed)

Rel.  
9/3/17

Home (Ed) Depl.

A draft memo. on the lines of the Registrar's note of the 5. 3. 17 is submitted for approval. After its issue, the file may be sent to the Govt for perusal.


1/5/17  
1/2/17  
22.3.17  
Fin. no.

Financial Depl

Sub<sup>d</sup> that the case may be returned to Home (Ed<sup>n</sup>) after perusal.

Depl  
27.3.17.

Shr in  
28.3.17.




depl  
9-3

Letter no 1599-1-17  
9.3.17.

Notes in  
17.3.17



Edlms 4075/16-7



Prop. 26.3.17

File no. 2540-1  
28.3.17



Home Education Dept.

In the budget estimate for the current year a lump provision of 1.50 lakhs has been made for payment to local bodies towards the construction of ~~secondary~~ secondary school buildings. The works for among which this amount <sup>was</sup> provisionally distributed are shown in the list at (C). Subsidies have been sanctioned <sup>up-to-date</sup> only for items nos 2, 3, 5, 7 & 10. In the case of item no. 1, <sup>(which is not a municipal school)</sup> the Municipal Council has been asked in D.M. to revise the ~~est~~ plans and estimates. In regard to item no. 8, <sup>(which is not a municipal school)</sup> the D. B. has been directed to obtain the sanction of the Superintending Engineer to the plans estimate at an early date and to address Govt. again. No ~~application~~ application has yet been received in respect of items nos 4, 6, 9, and 11. In memo no 4075/16-68, 22.3.17 the plans and estimates for all these works were returned to the D.P.J. ~~who is to be~~ with the request that they might be transmitted to the local bodies to be re-submitted through him after obtaining the necessary sanction and with a report on certain points. ~~But~~ As it is desirable that the full amount of the grant should be expended before the close of the

(7)

year, it is submitted that the D.P. I. may be requested to. I hope if he has received any communication from the bodies concerned and if not, to send very urgent reminders to them.

18.1.18

21.1.18

12/1/18

Encls. 4075/16A-8

22.1.18

22.1.18

No 33824.1.18

I have not received any communication and by urgent reminders have been sent.



22.1.18

Hon. Sec. (Mr. D.P. I.)

Lodged This may be over the 15th Feb. when inquiry may again be made as to what stage the matter is

15.1.18

28/1/18

29/1/18

*Very W. Gould*

Financial Department.

With reference to his U.O. note dated the 24th January 1918, the Accountant-General is informed that there is every probability of the current year's revised estimate figure of 92.26 lakhs under the head 22- EDUCATION being worked up to almost fully. The Accountant-General is also requested to include in the Second Edition of the C.B.A.E. the amounts referred to in paragraph 5 of his note dated 24th January 1918.

L.E. Kershaw,  
26-1-18.

L. Davidson,  
26-1-18

To the Accountant-General.

Copy forwarded to the Home (Education).

(By Order)



*L. Davidson*  
Assistant Secretary.

Encl no. 685-1  
27.1.18  
(copy of CS's note 8.28.1.18  
since ~~not~~ included note  
page 5 infra)  
bsh  
28/1/18

Encl A  
C.O. 223  
28-1-18



U.O.

(Figures in thousands of rupees).

In passing orders on the first edition of my budget notes for 1918-19, the Government of India have asked me to report to them in the next edition whether the revised estimate of 92,26 for 1917-18 under the head "22 Education" will be fully worked up to.

2. The above estimate exceeds the actuals of last year by 9,09 and the bulk of the increase occurs under (a) 'Government schools - General- Payments in support of Municipal and local boards schools' and (b) 'Grants-in-Aid.' The estimates under these two heads follow the modified grant, and according to the sanctioned grant as modified up to date, they exceed the actuals of last year by 8,27 as under:-

|                                                               |        |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| (a) Payments in support of Municipal and Local Board Schools. | + 5,48 |
| (b) Grants-in-Aid                                             | + 2,79 |
|                                                               | -----  |
|                                                               | 8,27   |
|                                                               | -----  |

3. Under (a), an increase of 2,56 has occurred in the first nine months and I would request to be informed whether Government expect that the expenditure in the remaining three months will exceed last year's figures by as much as 2,92. I would mention in this connection that the revised estimate under this head includes a provision of 1,23 on account of grants for raising the minimum pay of teachers allotted out of the Imperial grant of 4,00 and that, as regards this item, the Director of Public Instruction has reported as follows in his letter dated the 17th January 1918.

"In regard to the utilization of the above provision I beg to state that Government have already been addressed on the subject and that in the event of their orders being

2 3/88

received at an early date there is every probability of the amount being spent within the current year, as the increase of pay for which the provision in question has been made may be given with retrospective effect from 1st March last."

4. As regards "Grants-in-Aid", the Director of Public Instruction has furnished me with a statement showing his latest revised estimate distributed among the several detailed heads, but this allows for a net reduction of the estimate based on the modified grant (copy enclosed herewith) by 29 only. I would request to be informed which of the two estimates Government consider is most likely to prove correct. In either case, it seems desirable that some assistance should be obtained from the Director of Public Instruction, that the full provision which includes 1,56, allotted out of the Imperial grant of 4,00, for the payment of discretionary grants, teaching grants etc., is likely to be utilized, in view of the fact that, notwithstanding a decrease of 84 in the first nine months, an increase of about 2 lakhs and a half over the actuals of last year is provided for the remaining months.

5. The revised estimate under the other heads includes the following special provisions:-

(a) Government Schools - General.-

for raising the minimum pay of teachers in Elementary Schools for boys and girls. 10

(b) Government Schools - Special.-

for raising the grade of certain lower Elementary Schools and for the opening of a training school for the Ramnad district. 17

(a) was entered at the instance of Government (Vide remarks on item(2) and (3) of Financial Un-Official Note dated the 23rd December 1917). The estimate under (b) was that furnished by the Director of Public Instruction and he has since reported that it may be reduced to 12. As the

sanction

3/4 54  
the sanction of Government for these charges has not yet  
been received, would you kindly let me know whether the  
provisions of 10 and 12 should be included under the  
revised estimate in the second edition of my budget notes.

(Sd) A. Newmarch - 24-1-18.  
A.G.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
Financial Department U.O.



## Financial Department.

(Subject:- Expenditure in 1917-18 under 22 Education)

(C.No.685) From the Acct. Genl. dated 24th January 1918.

At the instance of the Government of India, the Accountant-General inquires whether the current year's revised estimate figure of 92.26 lakhs under the head 22 EDUCATION (this figure is 9.09 lakhs more than the actuals for 1916-17 viz. 83.17 lakhs) will be ~~fully~~ fully worked up to.

2. The principal items contributing to this excess expenditure of 9.09 lakhs in 1917-18 are the following:-

|                                                                                                                         | Lakhs. |                                                                                                                                             |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (a) 4 lakhs Imperial grant.                                                                                             | 4.00   | For details vide para 60 of Draft Financial Statement.                                                                                      |
| (b) Government Schools general.-<br>Opening of new elementary schools (for 2 months) including subsidies for equipment. | 1.37   | In G.O.1585 Educational dated 15th December 1917 the Accountant General has been asked to disburse the amount.                              |
| (c) Government Schools-General.<br>ditto Non-recurring - Building grants - Secondary Schools.                           | 1.80   | This has not yet been fully disbursed.                                                                                                      |
| (d) Govt. schools genl. ditto<br>Non-recurring - Building grants - Elementary schools.                                  | 1.00   | This grant has been fully disbursed (G.O.s 709 Edl. dated 29-5-17 and 843 Edl. dated 23-6-17).                                              |
| (e) Grants in aid.-<br>Teaching grants, elementary schools for boys.                                                    | .59    | This will be fully spent as the D.P.I. reported that the expenditure in 1917-18 would amount to 18.89 lakhs. (G.O.1657 Edl. dated 22-12-17) |
| 1916-17 actuals.                                                                                                        | 17.50  |                                                                                                                                             |
| 1917-18 R.E.                                                                                                            | 18.89  |                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                                                                                         | 1.39   |                                                                                                                                             |
| Deduct .80 lakh which comes out of 4 lakhs for item (a).                                                                | .80    |                                                                                                                                             |
| para.60(iii)(I) on-----                                                                                                 |        |                                                                                                                                             |
| page 20 of D.F.S.                                                                                                       | .59    |                                                                                                                                             |
| ----- Total                                                                                                             | 8.76   |                                                                                                                                             |

(01 + 02 + 03 - 1)

Deduct .80 lakh which comes out of 4 lakhs for item (a).

para.60(iii)(I) on-----

page 20 of D.F.S.

----- Total 8.76

3. It will be seen that the only <sup>items</sup> ~~in~~ in regard to which orders have not yet been passed for the full utilization of the allotments are:-

Item (a) 4 lakhs Imperial grant; and

Item (c) 1.80 lakhs for grants to local bodies for buildings for secondary schools.

4. Item (a) in para.1 ante:- 4 lakhs Imperial grant:-

The details as given in para 60 of the Draft Financial Statement are as follows:-

# Remarks.

- |                                                               |        |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| (1) Govt. schools - general -<br>Elementary schools for boys. | .01    | Items (1), (2) and (3):-The expenditure relates to the raising of the minimum pay of teachers. The D.P.I. has suggested that the pay should be raised from 1-3-1917; and Home (Edl.) and L. & M. have been requested to expedite the disposal of the case and have been informed that if payments are not made in the current year but are left over for next year, it will not be possible for this Dept. to find the money next year - page 349 of Budget Notes. |
| (2) ,, for girls.                                             | .08    | The A.G. may be informed that the amounts entered against item (1), (2) and (3) should be retained in the R.E. for 1917-18.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| (3) Payments in support of Local Board and Municipal schools. | 1.23   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|                                                               | L.E.K. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| (4) Govt. schools special.                                    | .20    | Out of the .37 at page 348 Budget notes. L.E.K. The D.P.I.'s original figure was .37 lakh (page 348 Budget Notes) and he has since suggested a reduction of .05 lakh - This reduction may be accepted. But the figure <sup>.20</sup> is not <del>total</del> <sup>truly</sup> affected. L.E.K.                                                                                                                                                                     |
| (5) Teaching grants - Elementary Schools.                     | .80    | This will be fully spent. (G.O. 1657 Edl., dated 22-12-17 page 11)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| (6) Subsidy to secondary schools for training.                | .17    | This will be fully spent (para 6 on page 348 Budget Notes).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |

(01 + 02 + 03 = 1)

(8) Lump sum for payment of discretionary grants etc. 1.51

This amount will also be fully spent vide G.O.s 1433 Educn. dated 15-11-17 page 14 para. 1; 1657 Educn. dated 22-12-17-also items (8) to (11) on page 350 of Budget Notes.

(para 1) ante 1660 Educn. 17-12-17

5. Item(c) in para 1 ante:- Grants to local bodies for secondary schools(1.80 lakhs)..-

Home(Educn.) may, it is submitted, be requested to take special steps for the full utilization of the allotment in the current year in view of the fact that it will not be possible for this dept. to find money next year for any schemes for which payments are to be made which are held over for disbursement next year. The Accountant-General may be informed that the allotment will be fully spent in the current year.

Yes. This is very important.

L.E.K.

L.E.K.

6. It will be seen from the foregoing that the Accountant General's revised estimate figure of 92.26 lakhs under 22 EDUCATION will be worked up to almost in full, provided Home(Education) pass early orders in regard to the items referred to in paras.4 and 5 ante.

7. In this connection, attention is solicited to the statement furnished by the D.P.I. (page 5-1-17) showing alterations (involving a net reduction of .29 lakh) in the current year's Revised Estimate figure under "Government schools-general - Payment in support of Local Board and Municipal Schools" and under almost all the detailed heads under the minor head 'Grants in aid'.

Besides alterations in the figures under certain detailed heads such as European schools- Building grants, Boarding grants etc., the D.P.I., has distributed so



4/c

(1) 1000 1000 1000 (1 1000)

*Detailed proposals  
submitted.*

so far as the current year is concerned, the lump provision of Rs.1,50,600 (out of the 4 lakhs grant) for payment of discretionary grants etc. as follows:-

|        |                                                                                |          |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| (i)    | Govt.Schools-General. Payment in support of Local Board and Municipal schools. | 11,367   |
| (ii)   | Grants-in-Aid. Teaching grants Colleges. ....                                  | 2,568    |
| (iii)  | .. Secondary schools for boys.                                                 | 23,429   |
| (iv)   | .. .. for girls.                                                               | 3,616    |
| (v)    | .. Schools, Special-Training Schools for masters.                              | 3,555    |
| A (vi) | .. .. Training schools for mistresses.                                         | 11,445   |
| (vii)  | Teaching grants - Elementary schools (for raising the minimum pay of teachers) | 80,000   |
| (viii) | Training College.                                                              | 9,600    |
|        | Total                                                                          | 1,50,600 |

8. It is submitted that at this stage, ----- the Draft Financial Statement and the first edition of the C.B.E. have been presented to the Finance Committee and will also be supplied to members of the Legislative Council --- It is very desirable that the alteration to be made in the figures in the subsequent editions of the C.B.E. under the several detailed heads should be as few as possible, and that the only alteration which need now be made is a reduction of Rs.29,000 in the R.E. figure of Rs.1,43,000 for European schools Building grants.

9. If, however, it is considered that the alterations proposed by the D.P.I. in the Revised Estimate under the several detailed heads should be adopted in the Second Edition of the C.B.E., the D.P.I. will have to be asked to distribute the lump sum of Rs.1,50,600 in the next year's budget also and to suggest alterations in next year's budget figures under the several detailed heads

heads(without affecting the total figure) corresponding to the figures now proposed by him for the current year's revised estimate/.

10. Draft U.O. note to Accountant-General and draft telephonic message to the D.P.I. are submitted for approval. A copy of these notes may also be sent to Home(Education) for information and further action.

V.G.K.  
25-1-18.

A.B.C. There is no objection whatever to the lump provision of 1.51 being distributed amongst the detailed heads. In fact this distribution has its advantages, as additional members are very prone to view lump provision with suspicion. The 1.51 can therefore be distributed in the current year and a similar distribution made in the budget for next year. I am afraid there is no time to take C.S.'s orders as the revised is due with us this evening. I have therefore ventured to assume responsibility. Issue the telephonic messages and then please submit the file to C.S.

L.E.K.ershaw  
26-1-18.

Approved. Home Dept. must see to the utilization of the outstanding items.

(Init) I. Davidson  
28.1.1918.

Approved. Home Dept. must see to the utilization of the outstanding items.  
(Init) I. Davidson  
28.1.1918.

Homs(Education)Department.

Please see paragraph 6 of Financial note on page 7 ante. Action has now to be taken in regard to items (1) to (3) in para.4 and para.5 of the note.

3. Paragraph 4 of the Financial note-items(1)to (3).Raising the minimum pay of teachers in elementary schools under public management:- The particulars called for from local bodies <sup>in order</sup> as regards the payment of grain compensation allowance to teachers <sup>in their employ</sup> have been

received. A note on the subject ~~is under consideration~~ <sup>has been sent to down & for remarks on an amendment proposed by the Govt.</sup>

3. Paragraph 5 of Financial note.- The provision of 1.80 lakhs referred to is made up of the following items:-

| <u>I t e m</u>                                                                                                                                                                                       | <u>Amount</u><br>Rs. |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|
| (1) Lump provision made separately under-22-E-Govt. Schools-General-Payment of subsidies to local bodies for secondary school buildings.....                                                         | 1,50,000             |
| (2) Provision for buildings included in the ordinary subsidy payable to local bodies under 22-E-Education.....                                                                                       | 10,000               |
| (3) Amount sanctioned to the Municipal Council of Vizianagram by transfer from 22-G-Grant-in-aid towards the acquisition and adaptation of certain buildings for the Municipal secondary school..... | 20,000               |

Item (1) is provisionally earmarked for certain works as detailed in the list (flag) and the D.P.I. has been asked in Memo.No.4075-1, dated 22-3-17 to instruct the local bodies concerned to obtain the sanction of competent authority for the plans and estimates relating to the several works and to resubmit them to Government through him with a report on the financial ability of the local bodies to bear any portion of the total cost involved. A total grant of Rs.23,440 has been sanctioned up to date in respect of five of the

page 6 ante

page 34 & 342 of  
budget notes, 1917-18 (Y)

Rs. 889  
8-7-17  
(put up in another file)  
please see p. 187 R (D)  
18-8-17

vide list at (C)



works and there still remains a sum of Rs.1,26,560 to be distributed. The plans and estimates relating to the extension to the Board High School, Lalgudi are reported to be under the scrutiny of the Superintending Engineer and the Public Works Department has been asked to instruct that officer to expedite the technical scrutiny. The Municipal Council of Walajapet has been asked to ~~re-examine~~ revise the plans and estimates for the new building for the municipal high school so as to bring down the cost. The D.P.I. has recently at the instance of the Government issued very urgent reminders to the other local bodies concerned. It is for consideration whether the local bodies concerned (excepting the District Board of Trichinopoly) may not be informed by telegraph at once that no application for grants in respect of the works in question will be entertained unless ~~and~~ the plans and estimates and particulars called for in Memo.No.4075, dated 23rd March 1917 are received before the 1st March.

*Not papers flagged*  
(2)

*A*

(U)

Palni M.C. 2450 G.O.601 (A)  
7-5-17  
Salem D.B. 2000 G.O.904 (B)  
9-7-17  
Malabar do 1500 G.O.1154 (C)  
7-9-17  
Murnool M.C. 2000 G.O.1451  
17-1-17.  
(in another file)

Out of item (2) a sum of Rs.7,950 has already been utilised leaving a balance of Rs.2050. The balance will presumably be utilised in paying recurring subsidies to local bodies for the maintenance of schools, the net cost of which is borne by Government.

Item (3) has already been sanctioned.

S.A.  
29-1-18.

*my signature*

*Ca 5/2/18*

*A. Yes, please -*  
*I wonder if the D.P.I. has any complete schemes for sanction of any of the items proposed above*  
*6/2/18*

The following telegram is submitted for approval :-

"Application for grant [in respect of]

- (1) ~~construction~~ <sup>improvement</sup> of building for municipal High School
- (2) extension and improvement <sup>of the</sup> municipal High School
- (3) ~~two~~ <sup>new</sup> improvement ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~board~~ <sup>of</sup> incomplete secondary school
- (4) ~~construction~~ <sup>new</sup> of building ~~for~~ <sup>of</sup> board incomplete secondary school, Pattukottai.
- (5) ~~construction~~ <sup>new</sup> of building ~~for~~ <sup>of</sup> secondary school, Kaval.

can be entertained only if plans and estimates and particulars called for in <sup>District Engineer</sup> ~~Memorandum~~ (No) 10462 dated 29<sup>th</sup> March 1917 are received before 1<sup>st</sup> March next. ~~Expedite matter~~ <sup>Expedite matter</sup>. Please expedite matter.

6/1/17

6.2.17

addressee X 38+

C. M. C., Wadgaon

C. M. C., Dindigul

P. D. B. Malabar

P. D. B. Tanjore

P. D. B. Nellore

For Secretary

20/3/17

20/3/17

23



When given for copying  
 When copied  
 When examined  
 When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
 191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPER NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A — Copy in full.  
 B — Not to be copied.  
 P — Copy abstract only.  
 Q — Copy heading only.