

Home (1917) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 711.

DATED 29.5.1917.

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

College of Engineering.

Approving the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to include in the cadre of the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate branch the post of Assistant Instructor in Mathematics in the ----- Madras, and declining to sanction the proposal to include in the same service the post of Assistant Instructor in Science.

C No. 1505 Ede

O.F.-20.

HOME (EDUCATION)

DEPARTMENT.

Received 191 . Registered 22.3 1917.

Subject.

Requesting sanction of Government for increasing
by one the number of appointments in each of the Fourth and
Fifth Grades of the Subordinate Educational Service - Collegiate
Branch.

ENCLOSURES
No.
Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 9th March 191

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. J. H. Stone, M.A., F.R.H.S.,
C.I.E.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
M A D R A S.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
HOME (EDUCATION) Department.

Sir, /Through the Accountant-General, Madras/.

I have the honour to request that you will be so good
as to obtain the sanction of Government for increasing by
one the number of appointments in each of the Fourth and
Fifth grades of the Subordinate Educational Service-
Collegiate Branch - in view to the inclusion in that cadre
of the two posts of Assistant Instructors in Science and
Mathematics on Rs. 75-2¹/₂-100 each in the College of Engineering
Madras.

2. It will be observed from paragraph 3 (2) of Sir Alfred
Bourne's letter C. No. 7703 dated 11th September 1905 embodied

4 fourth grade - 125
5th " 100

(M)

2.

in G. O. No.501 Educational dated 27th July 1906 that all appointments in the Medical, Agricultural and Engineering Colleges were omitted for consideration in the scheme for the reorganization of the Subordinate Educational Service for the reason that most of the appointments in them were special and could not be filled by men taken from the general cadre of the Subordinate Educational Service; and in G. O. No.414 Educational dated 29th June 1911 passing orders on the proposal regarding the recruitment of the Subordinate staff of the College of Engineering from the ranks of the Public Works Department, it was decided that the Assistant Instructors in Mathematics and Science who alone were required to possess special qualifications of a nature different from those which could ordinarily be looked for in the subordinates of the Public Works Department should continue to be recruited direct by the Educational Department. The two posts of Assistant Instructors in Science and Mathematics in the College have thus remained outside the cadre of the Subordinate Educational Service on Rs.75-2-100 each, the scale of pay sanctioned for them many years ago.

3. M.R.Ry. S. Krishnaswami Ayyar, M.A. (Mathematics) L.T., Assistant Instructor in Mathematics and M.R.Ry. R. Trimurti Rao, a Physical Science Graduate and a First Class L.T. who is the Assistant Instructor in Science, have petitioned me stating that they have been in the same grade for over seven years and requesting that their claims for increase of pay or for promotion may be considered. The former was a Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Karur Range, on Rs.100 prior to his transfer to the College of Engineering eight years ago and is still in receipt of that pay, and had he only continued in the Inspectorate instead of seeking a transfer to the scholastic line mainly with the view of passing the M.A. Degree Examination in Mathematics, he would have been in receipt of at least Rs.150 since he had already completed the requisite tests. The other Instructor M.R.Ry. Trimurti Rao was an acting Sub-Assistant Inspector on Rs.75 prior to his transfer to

3.

3

the College seven years ago. Both of them have done efficient work and as the experience gained by them in the subjects entrusted to them, viz., Mathematics and Physics, Chemistry and Geology as applied to Engineering would be of value to the College, the Principal would be glad to retain them in the College, provided it could be done without prejudice to their interests. But it is not possible to meet his wishes unless these two Educational appointments which require to be filled by men of high academic qualifications and teaching experience are included in the cadre of the Subordinate Educational Service Collegiate Branch - and as frequent transfers from the College of Engineering to the Subordinate Educational Service and vice versa would be prejudicial to the interests of the College, I beg to request that these two posts may be included in the Subordinate Educational Service - Collegiate Branch - and that the addition of a post may in consequence be made to each of the Fourth and Fifth Grades (i.e., one post on Rs.125/- and the other on Rs.100/-). The number of appointments in the cadre, excluding the ^{seven} ~~six~~ temporary posts: 1 (150), 2 (125) and ⁴ ~~3~~ (100), will then be 37 as shewn below:

		Existing	Proposed
I	Grade (Rs.200)	2 ¹	2
II	,, (Rs.175)	6 ¹	6
III	,, (Rs.150)	7 ¹	7
IV	,, (Rs.125)	8 ¹	9
V	,, (Rs.100)	12 ¹	13
		<u>35</u>	<u>37</u>

4. As the present Instructors have reached the maximum pay of their grade, viz., Rs.100 per mensem, the extra cost involved by the above proposal will be only Rs.25 per mensem for meeting which I shall submit the necessary reappropriation

No. Trimurti Rao has yet completed five years to reach the maximum scale here 5 m per 5 m.

4.
statement on receipt of the final issue of the Civil Budget
Estimates for 1917 ~~and~~ 1918.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[Signature]
for Director of Public Instruction

Y. V.

2000-6-15.



ENCLOSURES *Two*

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, the 21st of March 1917.

No. Edn. Spl 2- 22655

FORWARDED to Government.

page 3 ante
The existing scale as given in paragraph 3 of the Director
of Public Instruction's letter R.C. No.54/17 dated the 9th
March 1917 is correct.

There is no audit objection to the proposal being sanc-
tioned by Government.

(T)
Attention is also invited to Madras Government Confiden-
tial Memorandum No.8349-1 Financial dated the 28th December
1915.

The extra cost involved by the present proposal will be
Rs.37½ per mensem and not Rs.25/- as stated in para 4 of the
Director of Public Instruction's letter as M.R.Ry., R.Trimurti
Rao, Assistant Instructor of Science has not reached the maxi-
mum pay of his grade; he draws at ^(present) a sum of Rs.85/-only
per mensem and provision has been made in the next year's esti-
mates at Rs.87½ per mensem. The necessary provision may be in-
cluded in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1917-18 under the or-
ders of Government in the Financial Department.

*1129 CB.2.
1917-18*

*(The audit provides for
salary accruing 1st April)*
To

[Signature]
Deputy Accountant-General.

The Secretary to Government,
Home (Educational) Department.

Home (Education) Dept.

C.No.1505, From the D.P.I.R.C.No.54/17, dated the 9th March 1917.

Endt. of the A.G.No.Educh.Spl.2422655, dated the 21st March 1917.

The D.P.I. appears to have made out a case for inclusion of ~~the~~ the posts of Assistant Instructors in Science and Mathematics in the College of Engineering, Madras, in the cadre of the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate branch. A draft order is submitted. If approved, it may be sent to Financial for acceptance.



[Signature]
18.4.17

[Signature]
18.4.17

[Signature]
18.4.17

Est no 1505-1
14.4.17

Financial Dept.

For the Asst. Secy.

TAK
3059
FINANCIAL
Recd 18.4.17

The extra cost on account of the proposal to include the two posts of Asst. Instructors in Science and Mathematics in the College of Engineering, Madras, in the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch, is as shown below (based on average pay):-

	Cost per Mo.
Cost of 2 posts [1125; 1100] in the Sub. Edu. Service, Collegiate Branch	225/-
Deduct. cost of 2 Instructors (75-2 1/2-100=90 1/2)	180 1/2
Extra cost = Rs	44 1/2 p.m.
or Rs 533 1/2 p.a.	

para 5 g A. Es. Gmel mp. 5 CF

* Cf portfolio A on page 3 of

$\frac{1}{2}$ ante

para 3 on pp 2-3 CF

h. 5 CF

The immediate extra cost of the proposal is
Rs 37½ p.m. or Rs 450 p.a.

2. Please see paras 2 and 3 of D.P.'s letter on pages 1-3 CF., where he explains that the present proposal is one of administrative necessity. The Home Dept also considers that the D.P. has made out a case for the proposed inclusion of the two posts in the Engineering College in the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch. It is however submitted that neither the D.P. nor the Home (Edn.) Dept has specifically stated that the proposal is one of imperative and immediate necessity.

3. The proposal is now made to benefit the present incumbents (S. Krishnaswami Ayyar and R. Trimurthi Rao) of the posts in the Engineering College who are reported by the D. P. S. to have reached the maximum pay, viz Rs 100, of their pay seven years ago. The Acct. Genl. however reports that R. Trimurthi Rao at present draws only Rs 85 or $84\frac{1}{2}$ p.m. It would thus appear that R. Trimurthi Rao has yet at least another 5 years to reach the maximum pay of his post, and that no measure which will give him future

promotions is immediately called for. Orders are solicited whether Home (Eds) may be requested to inform the D.P.S. that his case may be brought up again ^{after} when Trimurti Rao reaches the maximum pay of his post. As regards Krishnaswamy Ayyar, financial may, in the circumstances reported by the D.P.S., agree to the immediate inclusion of his post in the V grade (pay Rs 100) of the subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate Branch. If these suggestions are adopted, there will be no immediate extra expenditure (although there will be an extra cost of Rs 116 $\frac{2}{3}$ p.a. eventually) and such a course would be justifiable in the present financial position. Orders are requested.

4. If however it is considered that both the posts in the Engineering College in question may at once be added to the Sub. Eds service, the grades proposed by the D.P.S. viz the IV and the V are in accordance with existing proportions and may be accepted.

5. Provision of funds - The D.P.S. states that he will submit a reappropriation statement to meet the extra cost (if any) in 1917-18; ^{and upon} ~~may be added to the draft asking him to submit it.~~ ~~action is necessary at this point~~

$$(100 - 90 \frac{5}{10}) \times 12 = 116 \frac{2}{3}$$

x based on average pay

	Duties 2.5 p.a.	Duties 4.37 p.a.
1 st grade	2	2 $\frac{4}{35}$ or 2
2 nd "	6	6 $\frac{12}{35}$ or 6
3 rd "	7	7 $\frac{14}{35}$ or 7
4 th "	8	8 $\frac{16}{35}$ or 9
5 th "	12	12 $\frac{24}{35}$ or 13

(p. 3 of)

proposed by D.P.S.

para 4 on pages 3-4 of

23.4.17. H. 24.4.17.

I beg with great respect to submit that this proposal which has for its object an increase of pay to the Air is a laudable instruction might well wait the return of normal times. It does not appear to be a matter of immediate and imperative necessity, and in the case of one of the officers this D.P. 1 is labouring under the impression that he has reached his maximum.

I see no imperative & immediate element in Lieutenant Ross's case, as the A.G. reports that he is still 10.2½ short of the maximum. It is different with Kirkham & Semi Aizer who has well proved for Complaint & could not fairly be asked to continue at the College on his present pay. That his continuance is an administrative necessity need not be challenged by the C., & in the case of his appointment the proposal may be accepted.

File no 3059-1

29.4.17.

Notes in CR.

29.4.17

30-APR-1917

Horne (Ed) etc

29.4.17

Home (Education) Dept.

Submitted that the suggestion of Financial to include the post of Assistant Instructor in Mathematics in the cadre of the S.E.S. may be accepted.

2. In the office note of the Financial Dept. it is suggested that an addition may be made to the V grade (Rs. 100) so as to avoid an immediate but this suggestion does not appear to have been accepted by the S.E.S. extra expenditure. It is, however, submitted that

the V grade is already overladen and that the grading would improve if the addition is made to the IV grade. The facts that the present incumbent has long been drawing the maximum (Rs. 100) and that according to the Director, he would have been promoted to at least Rs. 150 if he had ~~continued~~ ^{continued} in the inspecting line ~~not been in the college~~ furnish additional arguments for making the addition to the IV grade (Rs. 125).

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to Financial for acceptance.

15.5.17.
M
4575

12/5/17
A

Financial.

I do not wish to contest the "Financial" ruling but I would point out that the Asst Instructor in Serece was drawing 75 (act) as Sub-assistant of schools 7 years ago, or would probably have risen to 100 or




(Note: Section marked 'M' on page 4 and)

I grade	2
II "	6
III "	7
IV "	8(+1)
V "	12



Home (Ed) no. 1505A-2
14.5.17



had he continued in the Inspectorate
He was chosen on account of his special
qualifications and the D.P.I.'s continuance
in the school is desirable. Even now
I will take him five years in the college
to use to 100 & probably he would do
better for himself if he goes out as
a Sub Asst Insp.

11/5/17

Financial Dept

For the Asst. Secy.

Please see C. S.'s note on page 4 ante
in which he has agreed to an addition of one
post being made to the cadre of the Subordinate
Educational Service, Collegiate Branch, so
as to provide for Krishnaswamy Ayyar
The point now raised by Educational is the
grade in which the addition should be made.
It was suggested in the Financial Office note
on page 3 ante, that if an addition of one
post only is to be made to the cadre, it ^{would} ~~will~~
suffice to make it in the last grade on Rs 100.
Home (Eds) however interpret the following
words in the C. S.'s note, viz "Krishnaswamy
Ayyar could not fairly be asked to continue

Recd 15/5
A.S.

para 2 on page 5 ante

M on page 6 ante

at the College on his present pay," to mean that an addition in a higher grade was intended by C.S. It is for orders whether the Home (Ed) Dept's interpretation of C.S's note is correct. If so, the addition in the Rs 125 grade need not, it is submitted, be objected to as the last grade is very heavy.

For order *[Signature]*

R. 125-1.3 CF.
agreed to
22/5/17

para 3 on page 2 of

File no 3775-1
24-5-17

Notes in CR


His present pay is Rs 87 1/2 (present)

Still think he should be required to work up to his maximum

Sanction registered as No. 50 of 1917-18

To do
19.5.17
22.5.17

Home (Ed) no.



Home (Education) Dept

Submitted for orders.

2. The draft order ~~already prepared~~ is submitted for approval in accordance with the notes.

24.5.17
25.5.17


26.5.17

Draft for approval

28/5/17

H-3-

28 MAY 1917



29/5/17

Box no. 72
A/c 28.5.17
Ref. 29.5.17

Box no. 72
A/c 28.5.17
Ref. 29.5.17

Endorsement of the Accountant General, No.Ed.Spl.2-22655,
dated the 21st March 1917.

ORDER: no. 711 of 29.5.17
Vis.

The Government approve the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to include in the cadre of the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate branch, the post of Assistant Instructor in Mathematics ^{in the College of Engineering, Madras}. The number of appointments in the fourth grade of that service will accordingly be increased by one. This sanction has been registered as No. 53 of 1917-18.

2. ^{*As pointed out by the A.G.*} ~~It is observed that~~ the present incumbent of the post of ^{*Asst.*} ~~an~~ Instructor in Science in the College will have to serve for another five years before he can reach the maximum pay of his grade. The proposal to include this post also in the Subordinate Educational Service, Collegiate branch, cannot therefore be entertained for the present.

3. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to submit a reappropriation statement for meeting the additional expenditure, to be incurred in the current year.

To the D.P.I. (copy of 1st file)
" A.G. (copy)
" Financial Department. (also)

$\frac{114}{615717}$

When given for copying
 When copied PWB 17
 When examined 31/5 191
 When signed SR
31/5

REAR AGAIN G.O. No. . DATED
 191 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETAIL.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.