

Home (1917) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

Letter

G.O. No. 695.

DATED 25-5-1917.

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption.

Sanctioning the permanent ——— from the provisions of Article 1 of the Public Service Notification of K.V. Veeraraghava Achari and G. Aravamuthu Nayudu with a view to their appointment to posts on pay not exceeding Rs.50/- per mensem in the High Court.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____


Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

DEPARTMENT.



Received 191 . Registered 191 .

Subject.

Requesting orders for the permanent exemption from examination rules of K.V.Veeraraghavachari and G.Aravamuthu Nayudu.

ENCLOSURES	
No.	
Spare copies	

R.O.C. No. 1603/17.

Station Madras, Dated the 5th May 1917.

From (Name) O. G. Mackay Esquire, I.C.S.,

(Designation) Registrar, High Court.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

HOME (Education) Department.

Hon'ble Sir,

I am directed to request that His Excellency the Governor in Council will be pleased to exempt K.V.Veeraraghavachari a typist on Rs.35/- with about 13 years' service and G.Aravamuthu Nayudu a Fair Copy Examiner on Rs.20/- and acting on Rs.30/- with about 9 years' service in the Office of the High Court from the operation of article 1 of the Rules relating to public Service

Examinations so that they may be appointed to posts carrying a pay of not more than Rs.50/- per mensem. Their work has been very satisfactory and the Hon'ble the Chief Justice is of opinion that it deserves recognition.

I have the honour to be,

Hon'ble Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Registrar.

M.G.B.

has

Handwritten

(Edw)

NOTES.

Home Dept.
(Edg.)

Re: 217/B, from the Regd. High Court, R.O. 1603/17,
d/ 5th May 1917.

Subjeto:-- Exemption from the
examination rules.

It is for order whether in
deference to the wishes of the holder:
the Deputy Justice the exemption applied
for on behalf of the two individuals
should be granted. The remark of
the Judicial Section may, in the
first instance, be obtained w/o their
attention may also be directed to the
cases disposed of in G.O. No. 901 Edg.,
(D) d/ 23rd Aug. 1916, No. 1139 Edg., d/
(C) the 12th Oct. 1915 and No. 1069 Edg., d/ the
(B) the 27th Sep. 1915.

Home (Gen) No. 217 B-1
16.5.17

1575
Quor B
1354

R.V.S.
m
no 976/s
18/5

11.5.17.

11.5.17.

1575/77

Home (Gen) Yno.

Home (Gen) Dept.

Submitted that there is nothing
special in the present case except that
it has been recommended by the Honble

the Chief Justice. Exemption is sought on behalf of —

- (1) K. V. Keeravharachari, a typist on Rs 35 with about 13 years' Service,
- + (2) G. Aravamuthu Naynam, a Fair Copy Examiner on Rs 20 and acting on Rs 30 with about 9 years' service

on the ground that their work has been "very satisfactory." If "satisfactory work" should be the only criterion for granting exemptions, it would, it is submitted, be difficult to resist

similar applications in future — cf. in this connection Mr. Jodhunder's note of 3.10.15 on pages 1-2 of notes connected with Gp. 1139 Eol of 13.10.15. A case

- C. Similar to the present was disposed of by Gp. 1069 Eol of 27.9.15, but there the exemption was granted only as a special case. In the circumstances it is for orders whether exemption should be sanctioned in the present case in:

deference to the opinion of the Chief Justice.

RdS
18.5.17.

S.

Exempted as asked for

CR
19.5.17

21.5.17

Draft

22/5/17

What in CR
22.3.17

For 13524 B-1

23/5

Wave (Em) Depth

For the Registrar.

A draft order is submitted for approval

23-5-17

CA
24.577

25/5/17

Scott. II. 10 (b)—1-17

Examp tion

Home (Eon)

DEPARTMENT.

Sanctioning the permanent - from
the provisions of Art. 1 of the Public Service
Notice of M. V. K. Araghava Rao and of
A. S. Narayana Rao with a view to their affixing
posts on pay not exceeding Rs 50 p.m. in the
High Court.

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191

” ” ” ” ”

191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 217/12 From the Regt. High Court, No. 1603/12, dated the 5. 5. 1917

99 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

99 99 11 99 12 13

Letter
~~ORDER~~

mi

No. 695, dated 25. 8. 1917

Handwritten: $\frac{26}{5}$

To, the Hon^{ble} the Chief Justice of
the High Court of Judicature at Madras,
Hon^{ble} Sir.

With reference to Mr. Mackay's letter R.O. No. 1603/17 D, the 5th May, 1917 I am directed to state that H. E. the Governor in Council is pleased to sanction the permanent exemption from the provisions of art. 1 of the Public Service Notification of K. V. Keerareghavachari, typist and G. Aravamuthu Nayudu, Fair Copy Examiner with a view to their ^{appointment} ~~employment~~ to posts carrying a pay of not more than Rs 50 per m. in the High Court.

23-5.17

$\frac{a}{24.57}$
 $\frac{b}{25.57}$

Amherst

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

19

HEAD AMHERST G.O., No.
19

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DUTY

PAPER NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A — Copy in full.
B — Not to be copied.
P — Copy abstract only.
Q — Copy heading only.

The first sentence of the
amendment is to be inserted
in the first sentence of the
amendment. The first sentence
of the amendment is to be
inserted in the first sentence
of the amendment.

11/15/19

(1000) P. 4th ed. of
(1000) P. 4th ed. of