

Home (1917) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 802

DATED 15. 6. 17

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

GRANT.-

Raising the maximum amount of the grant sanctioned towards the cost of certain extensions to the building occupied by the Hindu College, Tinnevely, from Rs. 12,735 to Rs. 16,673 as a special case.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=0) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (=0) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

H o m e DEPARTMENT.

/Education/

Received 191 . Registered 191 .



Subject.

Recommending that an additional grant of Rs.4,148/- may
be sanctioned towards the cost of certain extensions to the
building occupied by the Hindu College, Tinnevely.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

Ref. on Cur. No. 3 8 2 9 of 1912.

Station Madras, Dated the 1st June 1917.

From (Name) Hon'ble Mr. J.H. Stone, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.I.E.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Home Department.

/Education/

Sir,

In G.O.No.1235 Educational dated 4th November 1914
a grant of Rs.12,725/- was sanctioned towards the cost of --
certain extensions to the building occupied by the Hindu --
College, Tinnevely. The management subsequently wanted to make
certain alterations in the approved plan and these were approved
in G.O.No.956 Educational dated 31-8-1915 on the understanding
that no additional grant would be paid on this account. The
work is now reported to have been completed and the Executive
Engineer certifies that the management would have incurred an
additional expenditure of Rs.8,297 over and above the sanctioned
ed estimate amount of Rs.25,450, vide his completion certificate

and the comparative statement herewith submitted. But the college authorities state that the actual excess expenditure incurred is Rs.15,477/- They request that they may be given an additional grant equal to one-half of at least the excess expenditure as certified by the Executive Engineer. In consideration of the large amount the college authorities have actually spent on the building, I have the honour to recommend that as a special case an additional grant of Rs.4,148/-, i.e., a half grant on the excess cost of Rs.8297/- may be sanctioned.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

G. S. S. I.

for Director of Public Instruction.

S. S. I.

HINDU COLLEGE, TINNEVELLY.

Form of Completion Certificate under Rule 53.

Name or Nature of work— *Extension of Hindu college at Tinnevely*
Bridge

AMOUNT OF THE APPROVED ESTIMATE, Rs. *25450/-*

Certified that the above work, which has been inspected by me personally, has been carried out according to the approved plan and estimate in a satisfactory manner with the following exceptions:—

(Here enter items of deviation with particulars as to dimensions and cost.)

The work now executed is in accordance with the revised plan approved by the Executive Engineer with the exception of the alterations marked in red ink. A masonry staircase for the first floor and a wooden one for the top of terrace have been provided instead of a teak wood staircase originally sanctioned. The dimensions are noted in plan and attached where necessary. A comparative statement showing the deviations is attached.
That the deviations are not of such a nature as to affect the stability or the suitability of

the building, and that, in my opinion, the deviations would have resulted in ~~an excess~~ of an excess cost

Rs. *5475* ^{less} above the sanctioned estimate *at sanctioned rates and Rs 8297/-*

at current rates, the price which would be during the execution of the work is fair and reasonable

STATION *Balamattal*

Date *March 1907*

(Signature.)

My name
5/3/07
Subdivision Officer
Designation of officer.
5/3/07

Countersigned

Date *190*

Director of Public Instruction.

J. P. Dillon
Executive Engineer
Tinnevely

25/3/07
25/3/07

2039

24/2/11

15.12.11

Description of work.	ORIGINAL ESTIMATE.			REVISED ESTIMATE.			REASON FOR DIFFERENCE.			Explanation of difference.
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
are erecting foundation Selling —	15171	Rs. 4.80 100 cfs	Rs. 684.2	15635	Rs. 4.80 100 cfs	Rs. 70	15635	Rs. 4.80 100 cfs	70	
are erecting foundation Selling —	7556	Rs. 15.70 100 cfs	Rs. 1186.0	6150	Rs. 15.70 100 cfs	Rs. 94.9	6150	Rs. 15.70 100 cfs	1058	
are erecting foundation Selling —	18465	Rs. 24.00 100 cfs	Rs. 4431.7	24246	Rs. 24.00 100 cfs	Rs. 653.9	13575	Rs. 23.80 100 cfs	3190	
are erecting foundation Selling —	9460	Rs. 24.80 100 cfs	Rs. 2366.0	9123	Rs. 25.80 100 cfs	Rs. 236.4	6564	Rs. 25.80 100 cfs	1674	
are erecting foundation Selling —	909	Rs. 22.70 100 cfs	Rs. 207.4	1362	Rs. 25.80 100 cfs	Rs. 34.0	1362	Rs. 25.80 100 cfs	2026	
are erecting foundation Selling —	878	Rs. 4.80 100 cfs	Rs. 3991.0	78938	Rs. 4.80 100 cfs	Rs. 422.7	739.38	Rs. 4.80 100 cfs	422.7	
are erecting foundation Selling —	416	Rs. 14.40 100 cfs	Rs. 520.0	961.53	Rs. 14.40 100 cfs	Rs. 121.2	969.63	Rs. 14.40 100 cfs	121.2	
are erecting foundation Selling —	912	Rs. 1.90 100 cfs	Rs. 1425.0	715.50	Rs. 1.90 100 cfs	Rs. 111.8	715.50	Rs. 1.90 100 cfs	111.8	
are erecting foundation Selling —	174	Rs. 1.40 100 cfs	Rs. 218.0	63.6	Rs. 1.40 100 cfs	Rs. 79.5	63.6	Rs. 1.40 100 cfs	111.3	
are erecting foundation Selling —	22.00	Rs. 2.00 100 cfs	Rs. 440.00	26.43	Rs. 2.00 100 cfs	Rs. 52.86	52.86	Rs. 2.00 100 cfs	2578.0	
are erecting foundation Selling —	910	Rs. 12.00 100 cfs	Rs. 1092.0			Rs. 2313.6				

ESTIMATE FORM—Comparative Statement of Differences.

Description of work.	ORIGINAL ESTIMATE.			REVISED ESTIMATE.			DIFFERENCE.			Explanation of difference.	
	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.	Quantity.	Rate.	Amount.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
R.F. ing with Portland Cement Concrete —	3930	15.80 100 yds.	646.70	1879	16.80 100 yds.	310	1879	16.80 100 yds.	310	25780. 310	Also the existing Retention Wall and foundation from and a portion of prop. last time had been with cement. The remaining portion being which has been taken to a different item. The 310 yards being former concrete.
ing with lime mortar	18271	2.13.0 100 yds.	572.10.9	23751	2.13.0 100 yds.	653	23751	2.8.0 100 yds.	831	831 Rumors. The course so far built is much than before.	
crete 12"	474	0.10.0 100 yds.	276.0.0	593	0.10.0 100 yds.	371	593	0.10.0 100 yds.	371	371 Slight increase in extension of foundation for Stair Case in 1st floor.	
working slabs	111.00	2.80.0 100 yds.	387.0.0	31.88	2.8.0 100 yds.	112	31.88	2.8.0 100 yds.	112	112 Only the portion under roof in ground & brick floor were painted. Non-painting. Non-paint-	
ing in change as per accounts kept in the College	1918.78	100 yds.	500.0.0	1025	100 yds.	92	1025	100 yds.	1025	1025 Stone & brick were used and against painting provided in estimate.	
ing in change as per accounts kept in the College	437	6.6.0 100 yds.	288	437	6.6.0 100 yds.	288	437	6.6.0 100 yds.	288	288 12 Steel sections were built in ground floor & support big windows at end for construction new & plan.	
ating with cement	742	11.4.0 100 yds.	83	742	11.4.0 100 yds.	83	742	11.4.0 100 yds.	83	83 The joints in concrete in both floors were sealed in thoroughly preparation. From the ground to and against the provided in estimate for the former two.	
Total value of work as per	204.60	4.4.0	870	204.60	4.4.0	870	204.60	4.4.0	870	870 29697	
columns back foundation	28.25	1.6.0 100 yds.	53	28.25	1.6.0 100 yds.	53	28.25	1.6.0 100 yds.	53	53 2 windows are provided for the stair case foundation in 1st floor and foundation Two ventilators are provided for the pro- fessors retaining room in 1st floor and each large yard.	
columns back foundation	10.5	1.4.0 100 yds.	13	10.5	1.4.0 100 yds.	13	10.5	1.4.0 100 yds.	13	13 The foundation and portion of the prop. last time had been provided for the 1st floor are stone & concrete foundation with concrete.	
columns back foundation	56.67	14.0.0 100 yds.	193	56.67	14.0.0 100 yds.	193	56.67	14.0.0 100 yds.	193	193 Provide all new pillars in foundation and for parapet in 1st floor foundation The grade down windows are wood sills. Masson provided for Observatory rooms. The walls are white washed in both the floors.	
columns back foundation	4.97	0.6.0 100 yds.	186	4.97	0.6.0 100 yds.	186	4.97	0.6.0 100 yds.	186	186 222 332 67 2536	
columns back foundation	14.98	1.8.0 100 yds.	222	14.98	1.8.0 100 yds.	222	14.98	1.8.0 100 yds.	222	222 332 67 2536	
columns back foundation	197	1.11.0 100 yds.	332	197	1.11.0 100 yds.	332	197	1.11.0 100 yds.	332	332 67 2536	
columns back foundation	23751	0.10.0 100 yds.	67	23751	0.10.0 100 yds.	67	23751	0.10.0 100 yds.	67	67 2536	
columns back foundation	550	550	1314	550	550	1314	550	550	1314	1314 33747 35450 8297	
columns back foundation	25450	25450	5475	25450	25450	5475	25450	25450	5475	5475 8297	

Station
 Date
 Approved
 101
 25/11/17
 24/11/17
 Sub-division Officer
 Executive Engineer
 5/11/17

Again G.O., No. , dated 190
Current No. from the , No. , dated 190
Current No. 427 B. from the 2-1-9 , No. 3829/12, dated 6. 6. 1907.

SUBJECT Application for an additional grant of
Rs 4,148 for the Hindu College, Tinnevely.

In the circumstances stated in the 2-1-9
letter, it is for consideration whether, as a special
case, an additional grant of Rs 4,148 may be
sanctioned, specially because the increase in the
cost of the building was due to the increase in
prices. The excess is certified by the Executive Eng^r
Tinnevely, as fair and reasonable.

2. Subject to orders, a draft order sanction-
ing the additional grant is submitted. As
the rules have been accepted by the Executive
Engineer the acceptance of the Chief Engineer
~~does not appear to be~~ necessary. — For precedents

9-6-17 please see G.O. No. 8 Edn, of the 3rd January
1917 and No 1056 Edn, of the 10th Sept 1914.

(M)
(L)

SW
14/6/17

CA
14/6/17
du

mm
15/6/17

I, the undersigned
do hereby certify that
the above is a true and
correct copy of the
original as the same
appears in the
records of the
Board of Directors
of the
City of New York.

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

REAP AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETS.

PAYERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

B = Not to be copied.

P = Copy abstract only.

Q = Copy heading only.