

Home (1917) Department.
(Education)

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 189

DATED the 16.2.1917

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Building grant.

Raising from Rs.1,900 to Rs.2,332 the maximum amount of the grant sanctioned in G.O.No.1437 Educational, dated the 18th December 1915, towards the cost of constructing a carpentry shed attached to the Andhra Jatheeya Kalasala, Masulipatam.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (= O) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.


Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referencer acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

DEPARTMENT.

Vol 4151
13.11.16 C.F.-29.

Received




Registered

191

Subject.

Application for additional grant for the
Carpentry Shed attached to the Andhra
Jatheeya Kalasala, Masulipatam.



ENCLOSURES.

NOTE: Plans
(1) General Specification 2 Sheets
(2) Original Estimate 10 Sheets
(3) Revised Estimate 2 Sheets
(4) Copy of letter 2 Sheets
Spare copies

Roc No. 308 of 16

Station Madras, Dated the 10th November, 1916.

From (Name) C.A. INNES, ESQ., I.C.S.,

(Designation) AG. DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIES,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
HOME (EDUCATIONAL) Department.

Sir,

(E)

In G.O. No. 1437 Edl. dated 18-12-15 Government sanctioned a grant not exceeding one half of the actual expenditure nor Rs. 1,900, whichever might be less, towards the cost of constructing a carpentry shed (with compound wall) attached to the Andhra Jatheeya Kalasala, Masulipatam. Owing to unforeseen financial expenses incurred in connection with the other sections of the institution, however, the governing body were compelled to postpone the construction of the carpentry shed, and with Mr. Tressler's permission, the work was taken up on the 25th August 1916. The Correspondent has now reported that the original estimate of Rs. 3,800 was based on the market rates for iron

SIR

prevailing at the end of 1915 and that those rates have now increased very considerably. He has submitted a revised estimate for the iron part of the work. As regards quantity, this estimate is identical with the one accepted by Government in the G.O. referred to above, except that, for the reason explained in the Correspondent's letter of the 13th October last, the weight of the trusses has been slightly raised. But it has been found necessary to revise the rates provided for the iron work in the original estimate with reference to existing market prices, with the result that the amount of the estimate has been increased by Rs.1135/5/-. The increase is considerable and the Correspondent requests that an additional contribution of one half of this amount or Rs.567-10-6 may be sanctioned by Government.


2. The Superintending Engineer, II Circle, who has been consulted, informs me that the rates allowed in the revised estimate have been verified and that they ~~fix~~ fairly represent the cost of the materials at present prices, except that a rate of As.3/9 "seems more fair" for trusses than the rate of As.4/6 provided in the revised estimate. If this modification is accepted, a point on which the Chief Engineer will presumably be asked to advise, the difference between the revised estimate and the original estimate will be reduced from Rs.1135-5-0 to Rs.864-8-3, and I have the honor to recommend for the favorable consideration of Government the Correspondent's request that an additional grant of half this amount (or of Rs.1135-5-0 if the rate of As.4/6 for trusses is allowed to stand) may be sanctioned by Government.

3. The following documents are submitted:-
- (1) Copy of the Correspondent's letter of 13-10-16.
 - (2) Revised estimate of rates for iron work.
 - (3) Copy of letter No.717 M dated 3-11-16 from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.
 - (4) Original estimates and plans sanctioned by Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Ag. Director of Industries.

R.K.S.

42

Enc over
Cm 2899
24-11-16

(4)
h

Copy of letter No.717M dated 3/4th Novr. 1916
from the Superintending Engineer, II Circle, to the
Director of Industries, Madras.

In returning herewith the enclosures received
with your R.O.C No.308 of 1916 dated 18-10-1916, I have
the honor to state that the rates allowed in the revised
estimate have been verified and seem generally to be
fair rates of materials as they cost at present with the
exception of trusses where a rate of Rs .0-3-9 seems
more fair than Rs .0-4-6.

Copy of letter dated 13th October 1916 from the Correspondent
Andhra Jatheeya Kalasala, Masulipatam, to the Director of
Industries, Madras.

In accordance with the instructions contained in
your letter No.B 16 of 14th September 1916, I have the honor
to submit herewith a revised estimate for the iron part of
the carpentry shed, sanctioned by the Chief Engineer in
(E) G.O.1437/18-12-1915 for the year 1916. In my letter
No.360 of 5-9-16, I pointed out the fact that the estimate
was drawn upon the prices of 1914-15 and was simply
readopted for that of 1915-16. The prices having meanwhile
gone up nearly threefold what they were, the need for a
revised estimate was brought to your kind notice and kindly
accepted.

In the revised estimate the old figures as regards
quantity have been preserved except in one unimportant
particular - the old weight of trusses has been raised from
5750 lbs. to 5777 lbs. - as one size 3"x 2½" x ⅝" L iron
being unavailable in the Madras market has to be substituted
by a slightly larger section 3"x 3"x ⅝". This has resulted
in an increase of 27 lbs.

Otherwise the estimate is as that approved by the
Chief Engineer except in regard to rates, where rise in
price has resulted in an increase by Rs.1135-5-0 of the
original estimate. It would be for one half of this amount
or Rs.567-10-6 that Government contribution is prayed for.

Copy two
C-2028999 WMS
21-11-16

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Note by the Chief Engineer

No. 8289C of 15-12-16

A detailed estimate amounting to Rs.3,800 for constructing a Carpentry shed attached to the Andhra Jatheeya Kalasala at Masulipatam, Kistna District was approved in G.O.No.1437-Educational dated 18-12-1915.

II. As the cost of the iron articles provided in this estimate for trusses, roof and gate has considerably increased, the Director of Industries now submits a revised estimate entailing an excess of Rs.1,135 over the original estimate.

III. The revised estimate has been examined in the Chief Engineer's office. As the market value of iron is steadily increasing, it is considered that the estimate would be ~~will be~~ ^{further} exceeded before the work is completed. The following alterations are therefore recommended to be adopted:-

- (1) Providing flat and pan tiles instead of corrugated iron sheets for roof
- (2) Substituting wooden trusses for iron ones
- (3) Providing a light wooden gate instead of an iron one as shewn on C.A. No.114/1916.

By these alterations the excess would be reduced from Rs.1135 to Rs.435.

IV.

IV. With these remarks, the estimate as revised in the Chief Engineer's office, amounting to Rs.4,235 * is recommended for approval in super-session of the original estimate referred to above.

(Sd) S.B. Murray
Chief Engineer,
P.W.D.

11/12/16
[Signature]

Whether having regard to the progress already made in the construction of the shed

CN. 4151. From the officer in charge of the Deptt of Industries
No. 308 of the 10. 11. 16

Memo. 4151-1 d/22-12-16

p. b. of c.f.

A copy of the Chief Engineer's note No. 8289C, dated the 15th December 1916, on the revised estimate for the construction of a carpentry shed for the Andhra Jatheeya Kalasala, Masulipatam, is forwarded to the officer in charge of the Deptt of Industries & he is requested to ascertain & report if it ^{will} ~~can~~ now ~~be~~ ^{be} ~~practicable~~ ^{practicable} to adopt the suggestions made therein.

8K
21.12.16.
[Signature]

9K
21.12.16.
[Signature]

To
The Officer in charge
of the Deptt of Industries
(with copy of C.E.'s note & plans.)

Despatched with incs 20/12/16

C.N. 315 En
DEPARTMENT.

C.F.-29.

Received 23 JAN 1917 191 . Registered 231 1917

Subject.

Andhra Jatheeya Kalasala Masulipatam.

Stating that it is not practicable to carry out the suggestions of the Chief Engineer in the building for the --

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Spare copies _____

Roc. No. 308.

Station Madras., Dated the 20th January 1917. 191
22.

From (Name) C.A. Innes Esq., I.C.S.(Designation) Director of Industries.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Home (Educational.) Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 4151-1 dated the 22nd December 1916, I have the honour to state that the Correspondent of the school informs me that the walls of the Carpentry shed and the foundations of the Compound wall have been completed, that the iron work has been commenced and that all the materials required have already been purchased. It is therefore not practicable to adopt the suggestions of the Chief Engineer.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C.A. Innes
Director of Industries.

G.H.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Application for an additional grant for the carpentry shed attached to the Andhra Jatheya Kalasala, Masulipatam.

From the Special officer, Dept of Industries,
R.O.C No 308 & the Co. H. 16.
Register No. 4151.

Submitted that the P.W.D. may be requested to advise whether the increase in the amount of the original estimate for the construction of the carpentry shed approved by the Govt, may be admitted.

17.11.16.

18.11.16.

18.11.16.

P.W. as.



Solus 4151-7
20.11.16.


PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT
C. NO. 2899.
DATE 21-11-16

W.A. 16-12-16
P.W.D. No. 2899
16-12-16

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D.

5289C of 15.12.16

The case has been examined by the CE P.W.D. & his remarks thereon are contained in his note read above. His recommendation of the CE P.W.D. in his note read above may be approved in this dept and the file returned to Home (Sol).



para III, p. 6 of cf.

p. 1 of cf.

p. 9 of cf.

the suggestion Home (Exr.) Dept.
As the market value of iron ^{articles} ~~has~~ is increasing every day the Chief Engineer has suggested the substitution of tiles ~~instead of~~ for corrugated iron sheets for the roof of the carpentry shed + certain other alterations. Mr. Innes stated in November last that the work had been begun in August with the permission of Mr. Treasurer. It is not clear whether it ~~is~~ ^{will} now ~~be practically~~ ^{be} to adopt the suggestions of the Chief Engineer. Mr. Innes may, it is submitted, be requested to ascertain + report on this point. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

8th
21.12.16.
M
21/12/16
155ur
E.H.
22.12.16

[Memo No. 154-1 of the 22.12.16]

CN 315. From the officer in charge of the Dept of No. 3088 of the 22.1.17

Mr. Innes reports that it is not practicable now to adopt the

the suggestions of the Chief Engineer. The additional expenditure on account of the increase in the cost of iron materials and an alteration in the weight of trusses was estimated by the school authorities at Rs. 1135. The Superintending Engineer considered that a rate of Rs. 3.9 would be more fair for trusses than the rate of Rs. 4.6 adopted by the school authorities. If the lower rate is adopted the additional expenditure involved will be reduced by Rs. 270. In recommending a grant of one-half of the additional expenditure Mr. Innes presumed that the Chief Engineer would be consulted as to the rate to be adopted for trusses. The P.W.D. have not, it is submitted, offered their advice on this point. The file may be sent to that Dept. for remarks.

SK
2.2.17

Chh
J.V. 17


P.W. no.

p. 5 of cf.

p. 4 of cf.

1135. 5. 0
864. 8. 3
270. 12. 9.

para 2, p. 2 cf.



Edl no 4151/16-3

6. 2. 17

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
C. No. 362 Wks
7/2/17

the suggestion of the Chief P.W.D.

D.J.

With reference to the position indicated in A up. 3 ante, it is submitted that as the chief Engineer did not recommend the use of iron trusses, no remarks on the question of rates were offered.

The Home (Edl) Dept may now be informed that the SE was in a position to give the correct local rate at the time he was enquired & the rate quoted by him may therefore be accepted as the correct one ^(at the time) if the articles were had been purchased then.

With these remarks, the file may be returned to Home (Edl) as

Done

9/2

Home (Edl) as

done
10.2.17



Home (Edl) Dept.

The rate suggested by the Supd. Eng. for trusses may be adopted. If so, the additional expenditure involved in the construction of the

P.W.D. No. 362 Wks. A-1

10-2-17

Noted in CA

13.2.17

para 2, p. 2 of cf.

Carpentry shed is Rs. 864. 8.3.

Under Rule 54 of the grant-in-aid Code (a grant of) one-half of the additional expenditure may be sanctioned. The total amount of the grant will then come to Rs. 2,332.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

SK

13.2.17.

14/1/17

15.2.17

1900 (origin grant)
432 (1/2 of Rs. 864)
2332

In the circumstances stated: the maximum amount of the grant sanctioned in G.O. 1437 E.S., dated the 15 December 1915, is from Rs. 1900 to Rs. 2332.

Building grant

Raising from Rs. 1,900 to Rs. 2,332 the maximum amount of the grant sanctioned in G.O. No. 1437 E.S., dated the 18th Decr 1915, towards the cost of constructing a carpentry shed attached to the Andhra Jatheeye Kelasala Kumbhatam

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 4157, From the offices in charge No. 308, dated the 10. 11. 1916.
of the Dept of Industries

" " 31st / 77 " " y " " " Res. 1. 1917

191

ORDER.

No. 189, dated 16. 2. 1917.

Miss

In the circumstances stated the maximum amount of the grant sanctioned in G.O. No. 1437 E.S., dated the 18th December 1915, is raised from Rs 1,900 to Rs 2,332.

8K
13.2-17

9.64
15.2.17

Need to go
with estimates
& analyze
DMS
17.2.17

The officer in charge
of the Dept of Ins (Sp) with estimates
A.G. (22)
Copy to the P.W.D. (22)

(over 300)

Donation Grant

Received from Rs. 1900 to Rs. 2332 the maximum
amount of the grant sanctioned in No. 1437 dated 18th Dec 1912 towards
the cost of carrying out a sanitary work
sanctioned by the Government of India.

Office in charge
of the Department
1912
1912

1912

Miss

In the circumstances
stated the maximum
amount of the grant
sanctioned in No. 1437
dated 18th Dec 1912 is
from Rs. 1900 to Rs. 2332

1912
1912

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191

1912

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

A A A A A A A