

Home (1917) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1595

DATED 15-12-17

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council.

Recording notes connected with the question on the principle adopted in the payment of subsidy to local bodies for education asked by the Hon'ble Mr. Suryanarayana Rao Pantulu Garu at the meeting of the _____ held on the 20th November 1917.

2
L and M (LEGISLATIVE) DEPARTMENT.

con A
32-68

Regd 1007

QUESTION.—No. 126 for the meeting of the Legislative Council to be held on the 20th November 1917.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Home (Education) Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Questions in the Legislative Council. Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due course.

U. T. K
31/10/17

U.O. No. 2036 dated 31-10-17

To

The Home (Education) Department. With En. No. 126.



2
Local and Municipal Department.

(Legislative).

The Hon'ble Mr. A. Suryanarayana Rao Pantulu.

3
Home (Education) Department.

Q.126. Will the Government be -
(F) ~~pleased~~ pleased to state with reference
to G.O.709 dated 29th May 1917 the -
grounds on which subsidy was granted
only to some of the district boards and
municipalities and not granted to others.

Home (Education) Dept.

In the Civil Budget estimate for the current year a provision of one lakh of rupees was made for payment to local bodies ^{for subsidies} towards the construction of buildings for elementary schools. In f.o no 709 dated the 29th May 1907 a sum of Rs. 82,787 out of this provision was distributed among certain district boards and municipalities. In submitting proposals for the distribution of this amount, the Director pointed out that applications for subsidies ~~had been~~ ^{then on his hand} ~~received from~~ ^{received from} ~~boards and municipalities~~ ^{amounted to} Rs. 2,76,412. In view, however, of the small provision of one lakh available for this purpose he ~~was unable~~ could not comply with the applications in their entirety and had accordingly to cut down the amount of the applications. Thus all the local bodies which had applied till then received a share of the subsidy.

In regard to the distribution of the balance of 17 lakhs, the Director first proposed to give applications from ~~to~~ certain local bodies in the north e.g. the Dist. Bds of Kishna, Godavari, Kurnool and Nellore (para 20

822-3-17

page 1 of f.o no 709 of 29.5.17. Later on

para. 2 of his letter
on page 1 of f.o. 709
29.5.17

(F)

(F)

Local and Municipal Department.
(Legislative).

The Hon'ble Mr. A. Suryanarayana Rao Pannala.

Home (Education) Department.

6.128. Will the Government be
pleased to state with reference
to f.o. 709 dated 29th May 1907 the
grounds on which subsidy was granted
only to some of the district boards and
municipalities and not granted to others.

however, he reported that since the ~~submitted~~ submission of his letter of 22nd March 1917 he had received applications from other local bodies for grant towards the same object and proposed that the balance might be distributed among these bodies instead of being reserved for the boards in the north as originally suggested. This proposal was approved by the Govt. in their order no. 843 of 23rd June 1917.

2. The following draft answer is submitted for approval:—

~~"The applications for grants received from local bodies from the 22nd March 1917 were taken into consideration before the distribution was fixed"~~

The distribution of subsidy was fixed with reference to the applications ^{this} received from local bodies."

The file may be sent to D.P.S. CA
5.11.17

Perhaps the D.P.S. can suggest a better answer

W
5/11/17

Edm 3268A-1
5-11-17

6.10.17

Wm 5-11-17

NOTES.

No. 209 of 6. 11. 17

I don't think I can without going into a great deal of detail and the Board members can see for himself for place on the Editor Table

I suppose the Board members mainly were for proposals called for from all local bodies and the money is intended so that they should let something. It is difficult to explain the utility of such a procedure when no one table was available. What was done was to meet actual demands in for as the money would be.



Hand (Clerk) Dept


Apparently the D.D. has no objection to suggest in the draft answer on page 4

4

11/11/17

7.11.17

Draft answer for approval 7/11/17



11/2

8 - NOV 1917

NOTES.

The following is a copy of the question and answer as given at the meeting of the Legislative Council:—

Subsidy to local bodies for education.

144 Q.—Will the Government be pleased to state with reference to G.O. No. 709, dated 29th May 1917, the grounds on which subsidy was granted only to some of the district boards and municipalities and not granted to others?

144 A.—The distribution was fixed with reference to the applications for aid received from local bodies.

Submitted that these notes may be
no. 1595 of 15.12.17


Recorded

CA
15/12/17

notes connected
with the payment
to local bodies
has asked by the
Sanyasara
while Jan at the
of the — held on

Se
12/12/17

W. W. W.



160,004-16-1-17

160,004-16-1-17

160,004-16-1-17



given for copying
copied
examined
signed

191

AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.