

Home (1917) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O. No. 1574

DATED 14 - 12 - 17

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council.

Recording notes on a question asked by the Honourable Mr.
K. Sadasiva Bhat at the meeting of the _____ held on the 20th
November 1917 regarding the withdrawal of recognition of the A. V.
Secondary School, Cannanore.

2
Lm (LEGISLATIVE) DEPARTMENT.

2013
1425
Resd 10/11
QUESTION.—No. 242 for the meeting of the Legislative
Council to be held on the 20th November 1917.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Home (Education) Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the
rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Questions in the
Legislative Council. Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due
course.

V. T. K.
10.11.17

U.O. No. 2202-11 dated 10-11-17

To
The Home (Education) Department. with Qn. 242.



The Hon'ble Mr. K. Sadasiva Bhat.-

Home (Education) Department

Q.242. Will the Government be pleased

to state

(a) whether the recognition of the A.V. Secondary School Cannanore was withdrawn in April last without giving the manager any previous opportunity of explanation, although the school enjoyed the privilege of recognition for over 20 years?

(b) whether the manager appealed to the Director of Public Instruction to hold his proceedings withdrawing recognition in abeyance offering to give satisfactory explanations and adequate guarantees for efficient management and

that the Director declined to do so?

(c) whether the manager memorialised to the Government in the matter and

what orders have been passed thereon?

Home (200) Department.

Please see the papers disposed of in Go. No. 952

③ Evidently the 18-7-17 especially the D.P.J.'s letter on pages 11-12 of that Go. in which the D.P.J. has given sufficient reasons for refusing to accept the request of the manager, late A.V. Secondary School, Cannanore, for time till December 1917 to remedy the defects in the management as pointed out by the inspecting officers.

2. As regards the question itself it is observed from the copy of the D.P.J.'s proceedings on page 5 of the Go. that the recognition of the school

③ was first granted in October 1909. The alleged in the question that "the school enjoyed the privilege of recognition for over twenty years" is therefore incorrect. ~~The latter part of the question within brackets is also arguable, and is likely to be omitted by the Printer in forming orders on the admission file, submitted by legislative~~

3. ~~Excluding that portion of the question to which objection is raised above, the following draft answer is suggested:-~~

"(a) yes.

"(b) yes.

"(c) yes; the Govt. saw no ^{sufficient} reason to interfere with the decision of the D.P.J. in the matter.

4. The file may be sent to the D.P.J. for remarks, and for suggestion of an answer. The latter part of clause (c) can not be corrected for an affirmative answer.

Not yet passed.
P.D.
12/11

to clause (b) may not also be correct, for the D.P.D. refusal to ~~give~~ give longer time was with a different object.

12.11.17

12/11/17

for favour of supply of an answer

to (a) The recognition was withdrawn in April

last. The Inspector of schools previously told

the manager of his intention to withdraw the

recognition and to direct the school to

with him.

(b) The manager of appeal at state and

the Director having no confidence in his

explanation and guarantee decline to

reconsider the order withdrawing recognition

(c) as in the notes.

and is likely to be omitted by H.E.

in future.

13.

15 NOV 1917

Home (S.D.) Department

It has been ascertained from Legislative that the

question has been admitted by H.E. without any

alteration.

2. The following draft answer, as ~~suggested~~ ^{modified} by

the D.P.D., is for approval:-

(a) The recognition was withdrawn in April

last. The Inspector of schools previously told

the

the manager of his intention to recommend the withdrawal and discussed the whole situation with him.

(b) the manager did appeal as stated. ^{but} the Director having no confidence in his explanations and guarantees declined to reconsider the order withdrawing recognition.

(c) yes; the Govt. saw no sufficient reason to interfere with the decision of the D.P.D. in the matter.

3. If the above answer is approved, a copy of it may be forwarded ^{to legislative} provisionally before circulation to H.E. the Governor.

15.11.17

15.11.17

15.11.17

AR

Returned with thanks.

14.11.17



[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the left page, possibly related to the 'Box 21' header.]

[Faint handwritten notes and a circular stamp on the left page.]

[Faint handwritten notes at the bottom of the left page.]

15749

The question and answer as finally approved were as follows:—

232 Q.—Will the Government be pleased to state—

- (a) whether the recognition of the A.V. Secondary school, Cannanore, was withdrawn in April last without giving the manager any previous opportunity of explanation, although the school enjoyed the privilege of recognition for over twenty years;
- (b) whether the manager appealed to the Director of Public Instruction to hold his proceedings withdrawing recognition in abeyance offering to give satisfactory explanations and adequate guarantees for efficient management and that the Director declined to do so; and
- (c) whether the manager memorialised to the Government in the matter and what orders have been passed thereon?

Withdrawal of the recognition of the A.V. Secondary school, Cannanore.

- 232 A.—(a) The recognition was withdrawn in April last. The Inspector of Schools previously told the manager of his intention to recommend the withdrawal and discussed the whole situation with him.
- (b) The manager did appeal as stated but the Director having no confidence in his explanations and guarantees declined to reconsider the order withdrawing recognition.
- (c) Yes; the Government saw no sufficient reason to interfere with the decision of the Director of Public Instruction in the matter.

2. Submitted that the papers may be

order 1574 of the 14.12.17.

His

Recorded -

13.12.17


13.12.17

Copy to the D.P.I.

10/12/17

14.12.17

Introductory



When given for copying

When copied

When examined

When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.E.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.