

Government of Madras.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 994, 19th September 1916

NOTES
PRINTED

Delegation

Sanctioning the — to the Inspector of European and Training schools of the power to exempt teachers in European schools from the possession of the qualifications prescribed in article 64 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 994, 19th September 1916

N.F.

ORIGINAL.

Sanctioning the — to the Inspector of European and Training schools of the power to exempt teachers in European schools from the possession of the qualifications prescribed in article 64 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

HOME DEPARTMENT

(Education).

Order—No. 994, Home (Education), dated the 19th September 1916.

Under article 14 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools the Government are pleased to sanction the delegation to the Inspector of European and Training schools of the power to exempt teachers in European schools from the possession of the qualifications prescribed in article 64 of the Code.

(True Extract.)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.
Editors' Table.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 994, HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED THE 19TH SEPTEMBER 1916.[SUBJECT.—*Delegation of powers.*]

In G.O. No. 693, Educational, dated the 23rd June 1916, the Government approved the proposal of the Resident in Mysore and Chief Commissioner of Coorg to delegate to the Inspector of Schools, 9th Circle, and to the Assistant Inspector of Schools, Coorg, certain powers to be exercised in respect of schools situated in Bangalore and Coorg. These were in excess of those exercised by officers of similar standing in this Presidency. The Secretary then directed that the question of the delegation of similar powers to the other inspectors should be taken up after the issue of the Government Order in that case. The Government Order and the notes have been printed and the file is submitted with reference to the Secretary's orders referred to above.

2. The powers which, it is proposed, may be delegated to the inspectors of schools are those mentioned in column 2 of the statement in paragraph 2 of the notes on page 1 of the notes to G.O. No. 693, Educational, dated the 23rd June 1916. The following statement compares the powers at present exercised by the inspectors in this Presidency with those which have been delegated to the Inspector of the 9th Circle:—

	Powers exercised (a) by Inspectors.	Powers delegated (a) to the Inspector, 9th Circle.
(1) Appointment, punishment, etc., of persons.	On pay up to Rs. 30 per mensem.	On pay up to Rs. 50 per mensem.
(2) Privilege leave to Sub-Assistant Inspectors.	Up to six weeks.	Up to three months.
(3) Privilege leave to teachers and other Government servants, excepting the headmaster of the Mercara High School and the Assistant Inspector of Coorg.	Nil.	Up to six weeks.
(4) Change of correspondents of European schools.	Nil.	Full powers of the Director of Public Instruction.
(5) Advance building grants to European schools (Article 61).	Nil.	Do.
(6) Exemptions from teachers' certificates in European Schools (Article 64 (a)).	Nil.	Do.
(7) Boarding grants (Articles 40—42).	Nil.	Do.
(8) Advance building grants to non-European schools (Article 53 of the Grant-in-Aid Code).	Nil.	Do.
	(b) Assistant Inspectors.	(b) Assistant Inspector, Coorg.
(9) Leave of all kinds (except maternity leave) to school mistresses in Government elementary schools on pay up to Rs. 30 per mensem.	Nil.	Up to one month.

3. There is no provision in the rules relating to the Madras schools for the payment of any grants towards the cost of carts for Muhammadan schools and consequently the question of delegating powers in that regard does not arise here. As regards the desirability of delegating the other powers mentioned in the foregoing paragraph the remarks of the Director of Public Instruction may be obtained u.o. in the first instance.

P.R.—20-7-16.

C.R.—20-7-16.

May not some amount of delegation be done in favour of our inspectors on the lines adopted in regard to the Inspector of the 9th Circle so far as the Bangalore Cantonment and Coorg are concerned?

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—21-7-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

The delegation of rather extensive powers to the Inspector in the 9th Circle resulted from the fact that he is one inspector dealing with one Government. The Inspector of European and Training Schools so far as European schools are concerned is in a similar position in Madras and I will first take up the question of further delegation to him using the numbers on page 1 of these notes:—

(5) I think that the check exercised by the Director's office is useful in this matter. The papers relating to the sanction of building grants are there and the Director has therefore fuller knowledge of the circumstances of each case. The double scrutiny of applications for advance of building grants, I think, minimises the danger of a school getting more than it ought of the money at any time available for building grants.

(6) I see no objection to this delegation.

(7) I have asked for this in my Current No. 5775 of 18th July 1916.

(2) The question of further delegations to inspectors and inspectresses in general is on a different footing from the fact that the Director's control secures some uniformity of action.

(1) This is in the Government Order. Power to appoint, transfer, promote, and to punish persons whose pay does not exceed Rs. 50.

At present the Director exercises all these powers with regard to officers with pay above Rs. 30 and subject to obvious limitations such as arise from knowledge of languages and similar qualifications all are equally liable to transfer and eligible for promotion. If the power were delegated to inspectors the liability and eligibility would be confined to each circle. This system has been in existence since the department was originally formed, and Dr. Duncan was so convinced of its merits that he wanted to arrange all the employees of the department into one large cadre so that everybody should get his full chances of promotion. It has its disadvantages but I should not like to change it without going into the details of what would result. I can do this of course but on the whole I am inclined to keep the present system pending the reorganization of the Inspectorate when it might be possible for each district to have its own educational establishment.

Another advantage of the present system is of course a greater range of choice in filling up vacancies and this applies still more to the making of appointments as the Director is in a better position to know what people are available than the Inspector is.

(2) I think that the limit of six weeks should be retained. For short vacancies the inspectors can put in the local supervisor but for longer vacancies it is not desirable that this should be done as a rule, and a transfer from another circle may be necessary or an arrangement known to the Director may make a man available.

(3) I think the power they already have under (2) might be extended to other officers under their control.

(8) The arguments against the Inspector of European and Training Schools having this power are stronger in the case of other inspectors. If they had it, it would be necessary to allot to each some share of the funds available for building grants in the year, and this they might easily not spend in the year. I think a change in the practice would increase rather than diminish the work of this office.

(9) This comes under (3) as all my recommendations apply to inspectresses as well as to inspectors.

J. H. STONE—17-8-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Please see page 1 supra. Of the nine items of delegation mentioned therein the

P.R.—31-8-16. Director of Public Instruction has recommended the delegations specified in items (3), (6) and (9); that mentioned in item (7) has already been separately disposed of in G.O. No. 847, Home (Education), dated the 7th August 1916.

2. The Director does not approve of any further delegation of the powers mentioned in items (1), (2), (5) and (8). In the circumstances explained by him the Government may perhaps leave these matters where they are.

P.R.—31-8-16. 3. The Director of Public Instruction has not expressed his opinion about the delegation mentioned in item (4), either approving or disapproving of it. Submitted that, before proceeding further, his remarks on this particular point may also be obtained u.o.

P.R.—24-8-16.

N.K.—29-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—30-8-16.

Will the Director of Public Instruction please note on paragraph 3 above?

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—31-8-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

I did not note on item (4) because the Inspector of European and Training Schools is already exercising the power in question as the Director delegated the power to him in a proceedings dated the 2nd October 1912. My impression was that this has been done by Government.

J. H. STONE—7-9-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Of the delegations recommended by the Director of Public Instruction, namely, those mentioned in items (3), (6) and (9) of the statement on page 1 ante, orders on item (6) should issue in this department and those on items (3) and (9) should issue in Financial—please see item 8 of appendix to G.O. No. 40, Financial, dated the 15th January 1915. A draft order in respect of item (6) is submitted for approval. After its issue the file may be forwarded to the Financial Department for issue of orders in respect of items (3) and (9).

P.R.—14-9-16.

N.K.—16-9-16.

C.R.—17-9-16.

P.R.—18-9-16.

[G.O. No. 994, Home (Education), dated the 19th September 1916.]

Delegation.
Sectt. II-10 (a)-7-2-16. Sanctioning ~~the~~ — to the Inspector Home DEPARTMENT.
of European and Training Schools of the (Education)
Power to exempt teachers in European
Schools from the possession of the
qualifications prescribed in Art. 64
of the Code of Regulations for European
Schools.

191

C. No. 2678, From the, No., dated 191

191

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191 .

No. 994, dated the 19. 9. 1916

PS

PA
1919

File Dept to
Fruit Separated

Under art. 14 of the Code of Regulations for European schools the Govt are pleased to sanction the delegation to the Inspector of European and Training schools of the power ^{to} ~~of~~ exempting teachers in European schools from the possession of the qualifications prescribed in article 64 ~~of~~ of the Code.

PM

14.9.16

M

TEST

9

17.9.16

~~10/10/10~~

1
 1000 1000 patches
 with ses
ms
 2-10-11

٢٠

The D P

A-90

 ΣT

[Please keep a copy of the notes with these proofs.]

Off for approval, Copy
21/9/16

2

No. 994, HOME (EDUCATION), THE 19TH SEPTEMBER 1916
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
HOME DEPARTMENT
(Education).

Order—No. 994, Home (Education), dated the 19th September 1916.
Under article 14 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools the Government are pleased to sanction the delegation to the Inspector of European and Training Schools of the power to exempt teachers in European schools from the possession of the qualifications prescribed in article 64 of the Code.
(True Extract.)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
Accountant-General.
Editors' Table.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 994, 19th September 1916
Delegation

Sanctioning the — to the Inspector of European and Training Schools of the power to exempt teachers in European schools from the possession of the qualifications prescribed in article 64 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools.

Am
None shown
dk
24/9/16

25/9/16
60/3/16
17/10/16
16/10/16

25/9

Proof for approval
 C.O. No. 994
 Received 29/9/16
 For approval
 29.9.16

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).
 NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 994, HOME (EDUCATION),
 DATED THE 19TH SEPTEMBER 1916.

[SUBJECT.—*Delegation of powers.*]

In G.O. No. 693, Educational, dated the 23rd June 1916, the Government approved the proposal of the Resident in Mysore and Chief Commissioner of Coorg to delegate to the Inspector of Schools, 9th Circle, and to the Assistant Inspector of Schools, Coorg, certain powers to be exercised in respect of schools situated in Bangalore and Coorg. These were in excess of those exercised by officers of similar standing in this Presidency. The Secretary then directed that the question of the delegation of similar powers to the other inspectors should be taken up after the issue of the Government Order in that case. The Government Order and the notes have been printed and the file is submitted with reference to the Secretary's order/referred to above.

2. The powers which, it is proposed, may be delegated to the inspectors of schools are those mentioned in column 2 of the statement in paragraph 2 of the notes on page 1 of the notes to G.O. No. 693, Educational, dated the 23rd June 1916. The following statement compares the powers at present exercised by the inspectors in this Presidency with those which have been delegated to the Inspector of the 9th Circle:—

	Powers exercised (a) by Inspectors.	Powers delegated (a) to the Inspector, 9th Circle.
(1) Appointment, punishment, etc., of persons.	On pay up to Rs. 30 per mensem.	On pay up to Rs. 50 per mensem.
(2) Privilege leave to Sub-Assistant Inspectors.	Up to six weeks.	Up to three months.
(3) Privilege leave to teachers and other Government servants, excepting the headmaster of the Mercara High School and the Assistant Inspector of Coorg.	Nil.	Up to six weeks.
(4) Change of correspondents of European schools.	Nil.	Full powers of the Director of Public Instruction.
(5) Advance building grants to European schools (Article 61).	Nil.	Do.
(6) Exemptions from teachers' certificates in European Schools (Article 64 (a)).	Nil.	Do.
(7) Boarding grants (Articles 40—42).	Nil.	Do.
(8) Advance building grants to non-European Schools (Article 53 of the Grant-in-Aid Code).	Nil.	Do.
(9) Leave of all kinds (except maternity leave) to school mistresses in Government elementary schools on pay up to Rs. 30 per mensem.	(b) Assistant Inspectors. Nil.	(b) Assistant Inspector, Coorg. Up to one month.



Pien
 Please strike
 the
 quote

17 miles G.O.
 1/2 miles

3. There is no provision in the rules relating to the Madras schools for the payment of any grants towards the cost of carts for Muhammadan schools and consequently the question of delegating powers in that regard does not arise here. As regards the desirability of delegating the other powers mentioned in the foregoing paragraph the remarks of the Director of Public Instruction may be obtained u.o. in the first instance.

P.R.—20-7-16.

C.R.—20-7-16.

May not some amount of delegation be done in favour of our inspectors on the lines adopted in regard to the Inspector of the 9th Circle so far as the Bangalore Cantonment and Coorg are concerned.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—21-7-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

The delegation of rather extensive powers to the Inspector in the 9th Circle resulted from the fact that he is one inspector dealing with one Government. The Inspector of European and Training Schools so far as European schools are concerned is in a similar position in Madras and I will first take up the question of further delegation to him using the numbers on page 1 of these notes:—

(5) I think that the check exercised by the Director's office is useful in this matter. The papers relating to the sanction of building grants are there and the Director has therefore fuller knowledge of the circumstances of each case. The double scrutiny of applications for advance of building grants I think minimises the danger of a school getting more than it ought of the money at any time available for building grants.

(6) I see no objection to this delegation.

(7) I have asked for this in my Current No. 5775 of 18th July 1916.

(2) The question of further delegations to inspectors and inspectresses in general is on a different footing from the fact that the Director's control secures some uniformity of action.

(1) This is in the Government Order. Power to appoint, transfer, promote, and to punish persons whose pay does not exceed Rs. 50.

At present the Director exercises all these powers with regard to officers with pay above Rs. 30 and subject to obvious limitations such as arise from knowledge of languages and similar qualifications all are equally liable to transfer and eligible for promotion. If the power were delegated to inspectors the liability and eligibility would be confined to each circle. This system has been in existence since the department was originally formed, and Dr. Duncan was so convinced of its merits that he wanted to arrange all the employees of the department into one large cadre so that everybody should get his full chances of promotion. It has its disadvantages but I should not like to change it without going into the details of what would result. I can do this of course but on the whole I am inclined to keep the present system pending the reorganization of the Inspectorate when it might be possible for each district to have its own educational establishment.

Another advantage of the present system is of course a greater range of choice in filling up vacancies and this applies still more to the making of appointments as the Director is in a better position to know what people are available than the Inspector is.

(2) I think that the limit of six weeks should be retained. For short vacancies the inspectors can put in the local supervisor but for longer vacancies it is not desirable that this should be done as a rule, and a transfer from another circle may be necessary or an arrangement known to the Director may make a man available.

(3) I think the power they already have under (2) might be extended to other officers under their control.

(8) The arguments against the Inspector of European and Training Schools having this power are stronger in the case of other inspectors. If they had it, it would be necessary to allot to each some share of the funds available for building grants in the year, and this they might easily not spend in the year. I think a change in the practice would increase rather than diminish the work of this office.

(9) This comes under (3) as all my recommendations apply to inspectresses as well as to inspectors.

J. H. STONE—17-8-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Please see page 1 supra. Of the nine items of delegation mentioned therein the

P.R.—31-8-16. Director of Public Instruction has recommended the delegations specified in items (3), (6) and (9) that mentioned in item (7) has already been separately disposed of in G.O. No. 847, Home (Education), dated the 7th August 1916.

2. The Director does not approve of any further delegation of the powers mentioned in items (1), (2), (5) and (8). In the circumstances explained by him the Government may perhaps leave these matters where they are.

3. The Director of Public Instruction has not expressed his opinion about the delegation mentioned in item (4), either approving or disapproving of it. Submitted that, before proceeding further, his remarks on this particular point may also be obtained u.o.

P.R.—24-8-16.

N.K.—29-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—30-8-16.

Will the Director of Public Instruction please note on paragraph 3 above.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—31-8-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

I did not note on item (4) because the Inspector of European and Training Schools is already exercising the power in question as the Director delegated the power to him in a proceedings dated the 2nd October 1912. My impression was that this has been done by Government.

J. H. STONE—7-9-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Of the delegations recommended by the Director of Public Instruction, namely, those mentioned in items (3), (6) and (9) of the statement on page 1 ante, orders on item (6) should issue in this department and those on items (3) and (9) should issue in Financial—please see item 8 of appendix to G.O. No. 40, Financial, dated the 15th January 1915. A draft order in respect of item (6) is submitted for approval. After its issue the file may be forwarded to the Financial Department for issue of orders in respect of items (3) and (9).

P.R.—14-9-16.

N.K.—16-9-16.

C.R.—17-9-16.

P.R.—18-9-16.

[G.O. No. 994, Home (Education), dated the 19th September 1916.]

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

DEPARTMENT.

Current No. dated 191 ..

G.O. No. 794, dated the 19. 9. 1916.

(b)

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence
(b¹)
Notes.....

Brief subject.....

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records ...	4	25	12
Ooty Records ...		6	4
For filing with original		1	1
Total ..		32	17

FOR ISSUE.†

Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes
		No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....	2			
India Office.....				
Govt. of India.....Dept.				
Revenue Department.....				
Public Works Department.....				
Local and Municipal Department.....				
Educational Department.....				
.....Dept.				
Public Dept.....				
Judicial Dept.....				
Political.....				
Financial Dept.....				
Marine Dept.....				
Ecclesiastical Dept.....				
Total ..				
Spare copies				
The.....	10			
The.....	10			
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
Total ..	30			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on.....†(Press Clerk.)

† Copies distributed on.....†(Press Despatcher.)

† To be initialled and dated.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

B

C

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in Print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 191

DATED

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FOLDED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- 1 = Print in full.
- 2 = Not to be printed.
- 3 = Print abstract only.
- 4 = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.