

Home (1916) Department.
Education

Ordinary SERIES.

G.O., No. 951

DATED 9. 9. 16

[Despatch Abstract.]

Occasional reports.

Recording papers relating to a proposal of the Educational Commissioner with the Government of India to bring out a volume of ----- dealing with systems of training in India.

No 221

CN 2863 2
3.8.16Educational Commissioner with the
Government of India.Simla, the 28th July, 1916.

My dear Diwan Bahadur,

Owing to the war it has been thought advisable not to publish any Occasional Report in the Bureau of Education during the past two years. But it might be well to collect materials against the future production of such a report. I have thought for some time that the most useful subject would be some description of systems of training both secondary and primary teachers. It is true that No. 5 of the Occasional Reports treated of this subject. But that report dealt almost exclusively with secondary training in countries other than India, save that Mr. Nelson Fraser contributed a section on the Bombay Training College. There has been a good deal of progress since that volume was produced, and I think that it would now be well to consider some of our models nearer home and to produce a volume dealing with training systems in India, both for secondary and for elementary teachers.

An obvious way of dealing with the subject would be to obtain a report from somebody in each province so as to show in a much fuller way than can be done in the Quinquennial Reports or Review the different systems and their results in order that each province might have the benefit of the experience of the rest. Such a book, however, would be very bulky, and the variety of systems would be apt to confuse the reader. The plan of book which would commend itself to me is a compilation containing a full description of both kinds of training institutions as they exist in two typical provinces, the one laying rather greater stress upon secondary training, the other on elementary. An introduction might compare

Put up.

Page 121-140 of
the vol. put up.

PR

these systems with those which exist in other provinces and very briefly with the systems which exist in certain other countries.

3. The objection to such a plan is the invidious distinction which it might appear to draw between provinces and the possibility of an inference that because the systems of two provinces are described there is therefore less to learn from the systems which exist in the others. This objection however has not been held to be valid in the past and unless you and others consider it to be insuperable I would not attach very much importance to it.

4. I should be glad to have your views upon the production of the next Occasional Report with special reference to the suggestion which I now put forward. Until replies are received to the present letter it is not necessary to enter into any particular details. But if there is general agreement that a report on these lines would be of practical value somebody specially conversant with the system would be chosen in each of the selected provinces by the Director or the Government concerned to write the description; and in order to assure some uniformity of treatment a few very general considerations would be given which however would not interfere with the views and originality of the author.

I am,

Yours Sincerely,

W. Sharp

The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. Rajagopala Achariyar, C.I.E.,

Secretary to the Government of Madras, (Educational)

MADRAS.

Educational Department

Submitted that Mr. Sharp's d.o. may be forwarded
u.o. to the S.P.S. for an expression of opinion on the
suggestions made therein.

PA
5.8.16
M
5/8/16

A
8.8.16

J.D.S.

u.o. No. C. 5/1916, dated 15th August 1916.

One of the remarks I have to make is
that if Madras were one of the selected
provinces we could not spare any body
to write an occasional report or part of
one till after the war.

for
15.8.16

A draft d.o. to Mr. Sharp is submitted for
approval.

PA
17.8.16
M
24/8/16

A
23.8.16

J.P.I.

May I say this
do. please? I ask because
the D.P.I. has not said
anything about the merits
of Mr. Sharp's proposal
and suggestions
We
H.C. Delle

Colno 2863-1

8.8.16

15.8.16



Colno 2863-2

24.8.16

Box 4/
circ 21/8
26/8

NOTES.

5

U.O. No. 19/1916
26-8-1916.



Noted in CA
28.8.16

PA
28.8.16

AM
29/8/16

CA
29.8.16

HE

For approval

31/8/16

I have slightly amended the draft.

P
31.8.16.

J.S. 2.9.16

I think the D.O. is alright. If the Govt. of India want publish a report I dare say I shall plan for it in as good as any other. Anything that keeps such thing within bounds is to be commended.

To 26.8.16

Submitted for orders whether the draft may issue

Home Department,
(Education).

D.O.No. 2863-4 Est, 27.4.9.16

My dear Sharp,

In reply to your D.O.No.221 of the 28th ^{July} [ultimo] I am directed to say that the Madras Government accept generally your proposal to bring out a volume (to be included in the 'Occasional Reports' of the Bureau of Education) dealing with systems of training in India, both for secondary and elementary teachers. The suggestions made in your letter as to the lines on which the report should be drawn up also appear suitable. I am, however, to add that, if under your scheme Madras is selected as one of the Provinces, ~~no~~ officer can be spared to write a report till the war is over.

N.K.
21-8-16.C.R.
23-8-16.

It will be sufficient I think to use the following words -

It is at present doubtful whether any suitable officer

P
31.8.16.

The Hon'ble Mr. H. Sharp, C.S.I.,
C.I.E.
Educational Commissioner with the
Govt. of India.

Draft order recording the papers is
submitted

11/18/16

8-9-16

It is at present
written and written for
the purpose of the
the purpose of the

The first of the
the first of the

the first of the

Oceanonal reports

Home DEPARTMENT.

Occasional reports
Recommending papers relative to a pro- (Education)
posal of the Edl. Commissioner with the Govt. of India
to bring out a volume of — dealing with
systems of training in India. 8

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191

..... 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2863, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 191

191

191

ORDER.

No. 951, dated 9-9-1916.

Wen

Recorded

$\frac{5.9}{1.1} = 5.36$
 $\frac{5.36}{1.1} = 4.87$
 $\frac{4.87}{1.1} = 4.43$
 $\frac{4.43}{1.1} = 4.03$
 $\frac{4.03}{1.1} = 3.66$
 $\frac{3.66}{1.1} = 3.33$
 $\frac{3.33}{1.1} = 3.03$
 $\frac{3.03}{1.1} = 2.75$
 $\frac{2.75}{1.1} = 2.50$
 $\frac{2.50}{1.1} = 2.27$
 $\frac{2.27}{1.1} = 2.06$
 $\frac{2.06}{1.1} = 1.87$
 $\frac{1.87}{1.1} = 1.70$
 $\frac{1.70}{1.1} = 1.55$
 $\frac{1.55}{1.1} = 1.41$
 $\frac{1.41}{1.1} = 1.28$
 $\frac{1.28}{1.1} = 1.16$
 $\frac{1.16}{1.1} = 1.05$
 $\frac{1.05}{1.1} = 0.95$
 $\frac{0.95}{1.1} = 0.86$
 $\frac{0.86}{1.1} = 0.78$
 $\frac{0.78}{1.1} = 0.71$
 $\frac{0.71}{1.1} = 0.64$
 $\frac{0.64}{1.1} = 0.58$
 $\frac{0.58}{1.1} = 0.53$
 $\frac{0.53}{1.1} = 0.48$
 $\frac{0.48}{1.1} = 0.44$
 $\frac{0.44}{1.1} = 0.40$
 $\frac{0.40}{1.1} = 0.36$
 $\frac{0.36}{1.1} = 0.33$
 $\frac{0.33}{1.1} = 0.30$
 $\frac{0.30}{1.1} = 0.27$
 $\frac{0.27}{1.1} = 0.25$
 $\frac{0.25}{1.1} = 0.23$
 $\frac{0.23}{1.1} = 0.21$
 $\frac{0.21}{1.1} = 0.19$
 $\frac{0.19}{1.1} = 0.17$
 $\frac{0.17}{1.1} = 0.16$
 $\frac{0.16}{1.1} = 0.14$
 $\frac{0.14}{1.1} = 0.13$
 $\frac{0.13}{1.1} = 0.12$
 $\frac{0.12}{1.1} = 0.11$
 $\frac{0.11}{1.1} = 0.10$
 $\frac{0.10}{1.1} = 0.09$
 $\frac{0.09}{1.1} = 0.08$
 $\frac{0.08}{1.1} = 0.07$
 $\frac{0.07}{1.1} = 0.06$
 $\frac{0.06}{1.1} = 0.05$
 $\frac{0.05}{1.1} = 0.04$
 $\frac{0.04}{1.1} = 0.03$
 $\frac{0.03}{1.1} = 0.02$
 $\frac{0.02}{1.1} = 0.01$
 $\frac{0.01}{1.1} = 0.00$

12 9.6

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____ } 10
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

HEAD AGAIN O.O., No. _____ DATED _____
 19 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURE TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING KEPT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.