

Government of Madras.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 940, 6th September 1916

NOTES
PRINTED

INDEX

University Regulations

Sanctioning certain alterations in the — relating to the constitution of the Syndicate.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

HOME DÉPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 940, 6th September 1916

N.P

ORIGINAL.

University Regulations

Sanctioning certain alterations in the — relating to the constitution of the Syndicate.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

HOME DEPARTMENT

(Education).

READ—the following paper:—

Letter—from F. DEWSBURY, Esq., B.A., LL.B., Registrar, University of Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Home Department (Education).
Dated—Senate House, the 8th August 1916.
No.—6227.

I am directed by the Syndicate to request the sanction of the Government under section 25 (1) of the Indian Universities Act, 1904, to the following alterations in the regulations which were adopted by the Senate at its adjourned meetings held on the 21st and 22nd July 1916:—

- (1) That in Regulation 87 for the word "ten", "twelve" be substituted.
- (2) That in Regulation 88, line 1, the word "six" be substituted for "five".

2. The number of elected members of the Syndicate is fixed by Regulation 87 at *ten*: the Senate now proposes to increase this number to *twelve*. A motion to increase the number to *fifteen* was moved by Mr. G. A. Natesan and seconded by Mr. C. Ramalinga Reddi. An amendment to substitute the word *twelve* for the word *fifteen* in the original motion was moved by Mr. P. V. Seshu Ayyar and seconded by Mr. K. V. Rangaswami Ayyangar. This amendment was with the consent of the Senate accepted by Mr. Natesan. The amended motion was adopted by the Senate by 43 votes to 25.

3. The original proposal for the alteration of Regulation 88 was for the substitution of the word *eight* for the word *five*, and was moved by Mr. C. Ramalinga Reddi and seconded by Mr. B. Hanumanta Rao. An amendment that the word *six* be substituted for *eight* was moved by Mr. P. V. Seshu Ayyar and seconded by Mr. K. V. Rangaswami Ayyangar. The amendment was adopted by 32 votes to 25, and the substantive motion as amended was accepted by the Senate.

Order—No. 940, Home (Education), dated the 6th September 1916.

Under section 25 (1) of the Indian Universities Act, 1904, the Government sanction the alterations in the University Regulations proposed in the Registrar's letter read above.

(True Extract.)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar, University of Madras.

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction.
Editors' Table.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 940, HOME (EDUCATION), DATED
THE 6TH SEPTEMBER 1916.

[SUBJECT.—Alterations in the University Regulations relating to the constitution
of the Syndicate.]

By the proposed alterations it is intended to raise the number of elected members of the Syndicate from ten to twelve and the number of such who shall be heads of or professors in affiliated colleges from five to six. The object in view appears to be to secure a better representation of the mufassal colleges. The proposal appears

P.R.—4-9-16.

to be unobjectionable as even with the proposed increase the elective strength of the Syndicate will be within the maximum, viz., 15, provided in section 15 (c) of the Indian Universities Act, 1904.

2. Submitted that the remarks of the Director of Public Instruction may be obtained in the first instance.

E.A.V.—10-8-16.

T.K.—12-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—14-8-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
INSTRUCTION.

The avowed object of the mover of the first of these resolutions and of some of his supporters was to secure a better representation of the mufassal colleges in the Syndicate. It is clear that the regulations will not by themselves do this.

2. I asked the Syndicate to consider the framing of additional regulations which would do it but they declined to take up the question while the alterations now in question were before Government.

I foresee considerable difficulty in the framing of any such regulations, and if framed they would not necessarily pass the Senate. I think therefore that the question should be considered without any reference to the pious opinion of some Fellows that the mufassal colleges should be better represented.

3. Leaving this matter, then, out of the question, I do not think that the proposed alterations would conduce to the greater efficiency of the Syndicate. This is an executive body which has to deal with a host of details. The deliberations are in committee, and as difference of opinion is the rule, they are apt to be very protracted. The addition of two members would certainly not shorten them nor necessarily improve the quality of the Syndicate's conclusions. It would moreover impede the disposal of matters in circulation and would diminish the probability of all members being able to acquaint themselves with the Syndicate's papers before each meeting.

Passed by 43 votes against 25.

4. On the other hand the majority in the Senate for the alterations was considerable and there is no doubt that the Syndicate is at present in bad odour with a good part of the Senate and that the addition of two members would give its critics an opportunity to secure in it a better representation of their views. I am therefore not prepared to ask Government to refuse sanction.

J. H. STONE—25-8-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

With reference to the Director's note on the previous page, it is submitted that that a mere increase in the strength of the Syndicate will not by itself secure a better representation of mofussal colleges was pointed out to the Senate—vide the observations of Mr. A. Davies, the Rev. G. Pittendrigh and of the Hon'ble Mr. Stone himself at the Senate meeting. Leaving then the questions of mofussal representation alone, the present proposal has to be considered solely with reference to its bearing on the efficient conduct of work in the Syndicate. As to this the Director holds the view shared by a few other members of the Senate, that the alterations far from conducing to greater efficiency will tend to make the "executive" an unwieldy body.

In view however of the Director of Public Instruction's remarks at A on page 1 supra, it is submitted that the alterations may be sanctioned. The draft below is submitted for approval.

E.A.V.—28-8-16.

T.K.—30-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—3-9-16.

I think that it will be a mistake for the Government to appear to take sides in the matter.

Draft for approval.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—4-9-16.

P[ENTLAND]—5-9-16.

Issue.

P.R.—6-9-16.

[G.O. No. 940, Home (Education), dated the 6th September 1916.]

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(Education)

CUTTINGS FROM NEWSPAPERS.

1. Name of Paper—*The Hindu.*
2. Published at—*Madras*
3. Dated—*the 22nd July 1916.*

Commit

THE SYNDICATE.
Mr. G. A. Natesan then moved the following proposition:—

"That in Regulation 87 for the word 'ten' 'fifteen' be substituted.

The Regulation 87 runs thus:—The Syndicate under Section 15 of the Indian Universities Act, 1904, shall consist of the Vice-Chancellor as Chairman, the Director of Public Instruction and ten elected members.

Mr. Allen at this stage rose to a point of order and said that the proposition of Mr. G. A. Natesan would bring about a conflict with the Act XV sub-section 2.

Sub-section 2 runs thus:—The Regulations referred to in sub-section (1) (regarding the composition of the Syndicate) shall be so framed as to secure that a number not falling short by more than one of a majority of the elected members of the Syndicate shall be Heads of or Professors in Colleges affiliated to the University.

The Vice-Chancellor ruled that the proposition moved by Mr. Natesan was not inconsistent with the Regulation referred to by Mr. Allen.

Mr. Natesan then said that, as things were happening at the present time, many mofussal Colleges were not represented in the Syndicate. If the number be increased from 10 to 15 that grievance of the mofussal Colleges could be removed. The members of the Syndicate seemed to belong only to two Colleges in Madras. The best way of removing the anomaly was to increase the number of members in the Syndicate. Mr. K. Ramanujachariar seconded the proposition.

Mr. Mark Hunter opposed the proposition and said that the Syndicate formed the executive body of the University and any increase in the number of members of the Syndicate would tend to make that body unwieldy. The Syndicate would not be helped by the addition of the mofussal members. At the present time many orders were passed in circulation and the mofussal

members would not be in a position to do as good work as the Madras members. It was wrong to say that only two colleges claimed all the places there. Individuals were chosen on their own merits and it was mere chance that they happened to be in those colleges. No partiality for any particular college was ever shown and election went on individual merits.

Mr. P. V. Seshu Iyer then moved his amendment that the word "twelve" be substituted for "fifteen."

In doing so Mr. Seshu Iyer said that by increasing the number from 10 to 12 he hoped to secure better representation of the mofussal colleges in the Syndicate.

Mr. K. V. Rangaswamy Iyengar seconded the amendment.

Rev. G. Pittendrigh opposed the amendment and the proposition. He said the resolution for the expansion of the Syndicate was not calculated to do any good. Mr. Natesan said that only two Madras colleges were represented there. That was a wrong idea. Mr. Tait, Dr. Mitchel and the late Father Sewel were all valuable members of the Syndicate. The election was purely by individual merits.

Mr. A. Davies wanted to know what guarantee there was that if the number be increased, the mofussal members would come into the Syndicate. He was afraid that more Madras men would get into the Syndicate and the intended object would not be realised. He opposed the proposition and the amendment.

Mr. K. V. Rangaswamy Iyengar said that it was wrong to say that the Madras members alone could do useful and efficient work in the Syndicate. The mofussal colleges were very much interested in some questions that came for decision before the Syndicate. They could not express their views before the Syndicate because they were not represented in that body. Certain idiosyncracies of the Madras colleges had been enforced upon the mofussal colleges. The Madras colleges had absolutely no right to dictate to the mofussal colleges. The Madras members on the Syndicate had no experience of the mofussal and they had no knowledge of the wants of the mofussal colleges. It was quite necessary that good relationship should exist between the Madras Colleges and the mofussal colleges. It was but fair that the mofussal colleges should have representations in the Syndicate to express their policy or wants.

Father H. J. Quinn said that a mofussal member would do as good work as the Madras member on the Syndicate. The Madras member in the Syndicate should know that colleges do exist in the mofussal. It was but fair that the mofussal colleges should be represented in the Syndicate.

Mr. L. A. Govindaraghava Iyer said that it was not correct to say that the members of the Syndicate should be all in Madras. Questions now and then arose which affected the mofussal colleges. For instance in the matter of appointing the University Lecturers and in choosing the places where the lectures had to be delivered the mofussal colleges should be consulted. With regard to this point there was a good deal of dissatisfaction in the mofussal that the interests of the mofussal colleges were not generally taken into consideration. If the mofussal colleges should be within the jurisdiction of the Madras University, they had a right to demand representation in the Syndicate, the Executive body of the University. Even in Madras it must be said that all the Madras colleges were not represented in the Syndicate.

Mr. J. H. Stone observed that mere increase in the number of the Syndicate would not ensure the election of the members from the mofussal colleges.

Mr. Azizuddin Sahib Bahadur said that the Syndicate was the Executive body and it was not possible for it to receive representatives from all the mofussal colleges. He said that he could not understand the object of increasing the number in the Syndicate.

Mr. Natesan accepted the amendment of Mr. P. V. Seshu Iyer.

The proposition as amended was put to vote and carried, 43 voting for and 25 against it.

On the motion of the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. Stone the meeting was then adjourned.

CAU
10-8-16

1916.

Home DEPARTMENT.
(Education)

CUTTINGS FROM NEWSPAPERS.

1. Name of Paper — *The Hindustan*
2. Published at — *Madras*
3. Dated — *The 24th July 1916.*

South India
B
7

University of Madras.

THE ADJOURNED SENATE MEETING.

Second Day's Sitting.

SATURDAY 22ND JULY 1916.

The Senate resumed its sitting on Saturday last at 3 P.M., in the Senate Hall with the Hon'ble Sir P. S. Sivaswamy Iyer in the Chair.

On the motion of Mr K. V. Rangaswamy Iyengar the consideration of the proposition of Mr. Ramalinga Reddi relating to the composition of the Syndicate was taken up first. The proposition required that in Regulation 88 the word *eight* be substituted for the word *five*. Regulation 88:—At least five of the elected members shall be heads of or Professors in colleges affiliated to the University.

The proposition was accordingly moved by Mr. Ramalinga Reddy and seconded by Mr. B. Hanumantha Rao.

Mr. P. V. Seshu Iyer then moved his amendment, that the word *six* be substituted for *eight*.

Mr. K. V. Rangaswamy Iyengar seconded the amendment.

Mr. Macphail opposed the amendment. The amendment when put to vote was carried, 32 members voting for and 25 against it.

The original proposition as amended was put to vote and passed, 33 members voting for and 1 against it.

ELECTION OF SYNDICATE MEMBERS.

Mr. G. A. Natesan then moved the following proposition:—

That five members of the Syndicate shall be elected by the Faculties, two by Faculty of Arts, and one each by the Faculties of Law, Medicine and Engineering and that the necessary regulations fully providing for such election by the Faculties be made.

In doing so he said that each of the Faculties should be given the right of representation in the Syndicate and they should be given the right to elect their own representatives. It would be to the advantage of the University to carry out the proposition. That was the practice that obtained in Calcutta. He saw no reason why it should not be so in Madras.

Mr K. Ramanujachariar seconded the proposition.

Father Quinn said that the Syndicate was the executive body of the University and it seemed to him that it did not very much matter if the old state of things continued to be in force.

Rev. Pittendrigh said that he saw no great purpose served by the carrying out of the proposition.

Mr. Allen said that the present system of election appeared to him to be better; for the position of the Syndicate member elected by the whole body of the Senate was much better than that of one chosen by a small body of men constituting different faculties. Syndicate members should be chosen either by the Faculties or by the Senate not by both.

Mr. Natesan's scheme contemplated a third method by which the election had to be made partly by the Senate and partly by the Faculties. He did not exactly know if the case called for any change in the existing regulation. As it existed at the present time no injustice was done to any body.

Mr. Natesan said that the Arts Faculties had the largest number of members in the Syndicate. It might be so owing to an unconscious bias on the part of the Voters. If each Faculty should choose its own representative the invidious distinction would be removed.

The proposition was carried, 30 members voting for and 29 against it.

Ch
10-8-16

(Ed. 2)

191

C. No. 2941, From the Registrar, Univ. of No. 6227, dated 11th Aug. 1916.
Madras

191

191

ORDER.

No. 940, dated 6-9-1916.

best to our V.
4 kindly pass on
to parents (copy)
Ch

Under Section 25(1)

of the Indian Universities
Act, 1904, the Govt. sanctioned
the alterations in the
University Regulations
proposed in the Registrar's
letter read above.

GAU
28.8.16

308. Ue

3.9.16

To
 The Registrar, Ministry of
 Madras.
 Copy to the D. & S.
 E. T.

For approval
Body 13/9/16
14-9-16

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 940, HOME (EDUCATION), DATED
6TH SEPTEMBER 1916.

[SUBJECT.—*Alterations in the University Regulations relating to the constitution of the Syndicate.*]

By the proposed alterations it is intended to raise the number of elected members of the Syndicate from ten to twelve and the number of such who shall be heads of or professors in affiliated colleges from five to six. The object in view appears to be to secure a better representation of the mufassal colleges. The proposal appears

P.R.—4-9-16.

to be unobjectionable as even with the proposed increase the elective strength of the Syndicate will be within the maximum, viz., 15, provided in section 15 (c) of the Indian Universities Act, 1904.

2. Submitted that the remarks of the Director of Public Instruction may be obtained u.o. in the first instance.

E.A.V.—10-8-16.

T.K.—12-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—14-8-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

The avowed object of the mover of the first of these resolutions and of some of his supporters was to secure a better representation of the mufassal colleges in the Syndicate. It is clear that the regulations will not by themselves do this.

2. I asked the Syndicate to consider the framing of additional regulations which would do it but they declined to take up the question while the alterations now in question were before Government.

I foresee considerable difficulty in the framing of any such regulations, and if framed they would not necessarily pass the Senate. I think therefore that the question should be considered without any reference to the pious opinion of some Fellows that the mufassal colleges should be better represented.

3. Leaving this matter, then, out of the question, I do not think that the proposed alterations would conduce to the greater efficiency of the Syndicate. This is an executive body which has to deal with a host of details. The deliberations are in committee, and as difference of opinion is the rule, they are apt to be very protracted. The addition of two members would certainly not shorten them nor necessarily improve the quality of the Syndicate's conclusions. It would moreover impede the disposal of matters in circulation and would diminish the probability of all members being able to acquaint themselves with the Syndicate's papers before each meeting.

Passed by 43 votes against 25.

E.A.V.—28-8-16.

4. On the other hand the majority in the Senate for the alterations was considerable and there is no doubt that the

A Syndicate is at present in bad odour with a good part of the Senate and that the addition of two members would give its critics an opportunity to secure in it a better representation of their views. I am therefore not prepared to ask Government to refuse sanction.

J. H. STONE—25-8-16.



HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

With reference to the Director's note above, it is submitted that that a mere increase in the strength of the Syndicate will not by itself secure a better representation of mufassal colleges was pointed out to the Senate—vide the observations of Mr. A. Davies, the Rev. G. Pittendrigh and the Hon'ble Mr. Stone himself at the Senate meeting. Leaving then the questions of mufassal representation alone, the present proposal has to be considered solely with reference to its bearing on the efficient conduct of work in the Syndicate. As to this the Director holds the view shared by a few other members of the Senate, that the alterations far from conducing to greater efficiency will tend to make the "executive" an unwieldy body.

In view however of the Director of Public Instruction's remarks at A on page 1 supra, it is submitted that the alterations may be sanctioned. The draft below is submitted for approval.

E.A.V.—28-8-16.

T.K.—30-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—3-9-16.

I think that it will be a mistake for the Government to appear to take sides in the matter.

Draft for approval.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—4-9-16.

P[ENTLAND]—5-9-16.

Issue.

P.R.—6-9-16.

[G.O. No. 940, Home (Education), dated 6th September 1916.]

Manjani

ORDINARY.

PRESS SLIP.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

Scott. II-38-2-15.

DEPARTMENT.

Current No., dated 191

G.O. No. 740., dated 15.6.1916.

Number of MS. pages sent

(b) Correspondence..... 1200 (3)

(b¹) Notes..... 700 (5)

Brief subject.....

(e) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records ...	4	25	12
Ooty Records ...		6	4
For filing with original ...			1
Total ..		31	17

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....					
India Office.....					
Govt. of India..... Dept.					
Revenue Department					
Public Works Department.....					
Local and Municipal Department.....					
Educational Department..... Dept.					
Public Dept.....					
Judicial Dept					
Political					
Financial Dept.....					
Marine Dept.....					
Ecclesiastical Dept... }					
Total					
Spare copies					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
Total					

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on ‡ (Press Clerk).

† Copies distributed on ‡ (Press Despatcher).

‡ To be initialled and dated.

[P.T.O.]

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

University Regulations

Sanctioning the alterations

in the - relating to the

Constitution of the Syndicate

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.		Initials of Press official		
Received in Press on.....				
First proof furnished on.....				
Call for revised proof received on.....				
Revised proof furnished on.....				
Corrected proof received for striking on.....				
Final copies supplied on.....				
Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in Print.

HEAD & MAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DUTY.

PAPER NOT FILLED UP, BRING WANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
J = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.