

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 822, 27th July 1916

Reformatory School, Chingleput

Directing that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent, —, about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules for the —, issued in G.O. No. 158, Educational, of the 20th March 1907.

Government of Madras. ✓

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 822, 27th July 1916

ORIGINAL.

Reformatory School, Chingleput

Directing that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent, —, about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules for the —, issued in G.O. No. 158, Educational, of the 20th March 1907.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 158, Educational, dated 20th March 1907.

ABSTRACT.—Revised rules in supersession of all previous rules for the Reformatory School, Chingleput, prescribed.

II

Letter—from C. B. N. PELLY, Esq., B.A., Acting Chief Presidency Magistrate.
To—the Secretary to Government, Judicial Department.
Dated—Egmore, Madras, the 9th March 1916.
No.—222-Gl.

I have the honour to submit the following case for the orders of Government.

In pursuance of rule 22 (1) of the rules framed under the Reformatory Schools Act VIII of 1897, the Superintendent, Reformatory School, Chingleput, usually sends me a notice advising the discharge of an ex-pupil from the Reformatory School about two months in advance requesting me to secure the attendance of some trustworthy person (parents or near relatives) to take charge of the boy. As soon as the latter arrives here he is delivered to his parents or near relatives. The Superintendent again requests me to enquire and report periodically about the conduct and occupation of the ex-pupil. It has been the custom up till now for the police staff attached to this Court to make enquiries and report. But rule 22, clause (viii) enjoins that all enquiries regarding ex-pupils shall be made through the district civil officials other than the Police. In the mufassal, it is possible to make enquiries through the Revenue officials but not so in the City of Madras. As I find it impossible to arrange for the necessary enquiry to be made without the assistance of the Police, I have the honour to request that Government may be pleased to delegate this function to the Collector of Madras or other civil officer in the city.

III

Endorsement No. 1004-1, Educational, dated 29th March 1916.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 4th July 1916.
No.—Ref. on Cur. 2841.

In returning herewith the endorsement of Government, No. 1004-1, Educational, dated 29th March 1916, referring to me for remarks the letter of the acting Chief Presidency Magistrate, Madras, No. 222-Gl., dated 9th March 1916, stating that it is not possible for him to arrange for the necessary enquiries regarding the ex-pupils

of the Reformatory School being made without the assistance of the Police and suggesting that the function be delegated to the Collector of Madras or other civil officer in the city, I have the honour to state that the Collector of Madras whom I have consulted informs me that the Revenue officials in Madras have already as much work to do as can be entrusted to them consistently with efficiency and that the executive officials of the Educational Department may be entrusted with the work—vide copy of his letter enclosed. I am not sure whether it is work that in practice the Educational officers will be found able to do, but I am prepared to try the experiment of assigning the work to them.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from H. A. B. VERNON, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Madras.
To—the Director of Public Instruction.
Dated—the 25th May 1916.
No.—781-Gl.

In reply to your letter Ref. on C. No. 2841, dated 18th April 1916, I have the honour to state that the Revenue officials in this district have already as much work to do as can be entrusted to them consistently with efficiency and that the executive officials of the Educational Department are better qualified for the work and may well be entrusted with it.

Order—No. 822, Educational, dated 27th July 1916.

In the circumstances stated by the Chief Presidency Magistrate, the Government are pleased to direct, in partial modification of rule 22 (viii) of the rules for the Reformatory School, Chingleput, issued in the proceedings read above, that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules and in Form C thereof.

(True Extract)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Chief Presidency Magistrate.
Copy to the Judicial Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 822, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED THE 27TH JULY 1916.

[SUBJECT.—*Regarding inquiries and periodical reports about the conduct and occupation of ex-pupils of the Reformatory school in the City of Madras.*]

From the Chief Presidency Magistrate, No. 222-Gl., dated the 9th March 1916.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 2841, dated the 4th July 1916.

The Chief Presidency Magistrate states that it is not possible for him to arrange for the necessary inquiries about the ex-pupils of the Reformatory school, Chingleput, in the City of Madras, being made without the assistance of the police, and he suggests that the function may be delegated to the Collector of Madras or other civil officer in the city. The Collector of Madras who was consulted by the Director of Public Instruction on the subject states that the revenue officials in Madras have already much work to do and suggests that the executive officials of the Educational department, who are better qualified for that work, may well be entrusted with it. The Director of Public Instruction (the Inspector-General under the Reformatory Schools Act, 1897), is prepared to try the experiment of assigning the work to the Educational officers, though he is not sure whether in practice they will be found able to do it.

2. It may be noted that the Government were already of the opinion that magisterial or revenue officers were much more likely to make effective inquiries regarding ex-pupils than Educational officers, that the influence of the former on the pupils, if any, was more likely to be felt, that they were in a much better position for finding the boy something to do, and that there was therefore no necessity to change the existing system as regards the officers who should make inquiries regarding the pupils—vide paragraph 2 of the notes on page 3 of G.O. No. 717, Educational, dated the 7th November 1906.

3. In this connection attention is also solicited to paragraph 21 of Mr. Coombes' report on his visit to the Reformatory schools in other provinces (page 6 of G.O. No. 378, Educational, dated the 28th April 1913), in which he suggested the replacement of police surveillance of ex-pupils in the Madras district by the agency of sub-assistant inspectors of schools on the ground that it would be an advantage, inasmuch as the existing police inquiries even in an unobtrusive manner were likely to raise the suspicions of employers against the boys. The Government however took no action on the suggestion, but left the Director of Public Instruction to address Government, if need be, on this subject among other things.

4. The Director's proposal to entrust the work of making inquiries and reporting about the conduct of ex-pupils to Educational officers as an experimental measure may, it is submitted, be approved. A draft order is submitted for approval. If approved, it may issue with the concurrence of Judicial.

A.S.—15-7-16.

T.K.—15-7-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—17-7-16.

To the Judicial Department.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

In the case of adolescent convicts released from the Tanjore District Jail, the Government have suggested the appointment of suitable private persons as honorary probative officers—vide G.O. No. 2602, Judicial, dated the 13th December 1913. It might perhaps be possible to find suitable private gentlemen in Madras City to undertake this work. Submitted however that Judicial need not object to the experiment of asking Educational officers to supervise the conduct of ex-pupils of the Reformatory school, being tried. The draft order may be accepted in Judicial.

E.A.H.—19-7-16.

P.G.S.S.—18-7-16.

M.V.V.—18-7-16.

May be marked to Honourable Member (Judicial), when circulated.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For orders as to circulation to His Excellency the Governor and the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O.

E.A.V.—20-7-16.

C.R.—21-7-16.

Draft for approval.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—23-7-16.

P. ENTLAND—20-7-16.

H. A. S. [TUART]—25-7-16.

Issue.

P.R.—26-7-16.

[G.O. No. 822, Educational, dated 27th July 1916.]

Read again G.O. No. 158 Ed1, dated the 20-3-1916.

C.No. 1004 Ed1, from the Ch. Presidency Magistrate, No. 222 G1, dated the 9th March 1916.

,, 2478 Ed1, ,, D.P.I. No. 2841/16, dated the 4th July 1916..

Order: 822 Ed1 2-22-7-16
Press.

In the circumstances stated by the Chief Presidency Magistrate, the Govt. are pleased to direct in partial modification of rule 22 (viii) of the rules for

the Reformatory school, Chingleput. ^{Issued in the} ~~Proceedings read above, that the duty~~ ~~of making enquiries and report-~~

ing to the Superintendent about the conduct of ex-pupils ~~in the Madras City should be entrusted~~ to Educational officers as an experimental measure. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules and in Form C ^{thereof} ~~thereof~~

To The D.P.I.
Chief Presidency Magistrate.
Copy to the Judicial Dept.

Not for E.T.

No. 822, EDUCATIONAL, 27TH JULY 1916.
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

READ—the following papers:—

I
G.O. No. 158, Educational, dated 20th March 1907.

ABSTRACT.—

II

Letter—from C. B. N. PELL, Esq., B.A., Acting Chief Presidency Magistrate.
To—the Secretary to Government, Judicial Department.
Dated—Egmore, Madras, the 9th March 1916.
No.—222-Gl.

I have the honour to submit the following case for the orders of Government.
In pursuance of rule 22 (1) of the rules framed under the Reformatory Schools Act VIII of 1897, the Superintendent, Reformatory School, Chingleput, usually sends me a notice advising the discharge of an ex-pupil from the Reformatory School about two months in advance requesting me to secure the attendance of some trustworthy person (parents or near relatives) to take charge of the boy. As soon as the latter arrives here he is delivered to his parents or near relatives. The Superintendent again requests me to enquire and report periodically about the conduct and occupation of the ex-pupil. It has been the custom up till now for the police staff attached to this Court to make enquiries and report. But rule 22, clause (viii) enjoins that all enquiries regarding ex-pupils shall be made through the district civil officials other than the Police. In the mufassal, it is possible to make enquiries through the Revenue officials but not so in the City of Madras. As I find it impossible to arrange for the necessary enquiry to be made without the assistance of the Police, I have the honour to request that Government may be pleased to delegate this function to the Collector of Madras or other civil officer in the city.

III

Endorsement No. 1004-1, Educational, dated 29th March 1916.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 4th July 1916.

No.—Ref. on Cur. 2841.

In returning herewith the endorsement of Government, No. 1004-1, Educational, dated 29th March 1916, referring to me for remarks the letter of the acting Chief Presidency Magistrate, Madras, No. 222-Gl., dated 9th March 1916, stating that it is not possible for him to arrange for the necessary enquiries regarding the ex-pupils of the Reformatory School being made without the assistance of the Police and suggesting that the function be delegated to the Collector of Madras or other civil officer in the city, I have the honour to state that the Collector of Madras whom I have consulted informs me that the Revenue officials in Madras have already as much work to do as can be entrusted to them consistently with efficiency and that the executive officials of the Educational Department may be entrusted with the work—vide copy of his letter enclosed. I am not sure whether it is work that in practice the Educational officers will be found able to do, but I am prepared to try the experiment of assigning the work to them.

Reformatory School, Chingleput

Revised rules in

Supersession of all previous rules for the —
prescribed

ms
4
12ms
6800
3/11
1/11

No. 822, EDUCATIONAL, 27TH JULY 1916

3

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from H. A. B. VERNON, Esq., I.C.S., Collector of Madras.
To—the Director of Public Instruction.
Dated—the 25th May 1916.
No.—781-Gl.

In reply to your letter Ref. on C. No. 2841, dated 18th April 1916, I have the honour to state that the Revenue officials in this district have already as much work to do as can be entrusted to them consistently with efficiency and that the executive officials of the Educational Department are better qualified for the work and may well be entrusted with it.

Order—No. 822, Educational, dated 27th July 1916.

In the circumstances stated by the Chief Presidency Magistrate, the Government are pleased to direct, in partial modification of rule 22 (viii) of the rules for the Reformatory School, Chingleput, issued in the proceedings read above, that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules and in Form c thereof.

(True Extract)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Chief Presidency Magistrate.
Copy to the Judicial Department.

G.O. No. 822, 27th July 1916

Reformatory School, Chingleput.

Directing that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent, —, about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules for the — issued in G.O. No. 158, Educational, of the 20th March 1907.

No. 822, EDUCATIONAL, DATED 27TH JULY 1916.
To the Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.
From H. A. E. Varman, Esq.,
Collector of Madras.
Date: 27th July 1916.
No. 181-G.

In reply to your letter No. 181-G, dated 15th April 1916, I have the honor to state that the Revenue officials in this district have already been asked to do as much as possible to assist the Educational Department in their work and that the Executive officials of the Educational Department are better qualified for the work and may well be entrusted with it.

Order—No. 322, Educational, dated 27th July 1916.

In the circumstances stated by the Chief Presidency Magistrate, the Government are pleased to direct in partial modification of rule 22 (vii) of the rules for the Reformatory School, Chingleput, that in the proceedings connected with the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules and in Form C thereof.

(The Director)
P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

G.O. No. 322, 27th July 1916.

Reformatory School, Chingleput.

Direction that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Superintendent about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras City should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules for the Reformatory School, Chingleput, of the 20th March 1906.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 822, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED THE 27TH JULY 1916.

[SUBJECT.—Regarding enquiries and periodical reports about the conduct and occupation of ex-pupils of the Reformatory school in the city of Madras.]

From the Chief Presidency Magistrate, No. 222-Gl., dated 9th March 1916.

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 2841, dated 4th July 1916.

The Chief Presidency Magistrate states that it is not possible for him to arrange for the necessary inquiries about the ex-pupils of the Reformatory school, Chingleput, in the City of Madras, being made without the assistance of the police, and he suggests that the function may be delegated to the Collector of Madras or other civil officer in the City. The Collector of Madras who was consulted by the Director of Public Instruction on the subject states that the revenue officials in Madras have already much work to do and suggests that the executive officials of the Educational Department, who are better qualified for that work, may well be entrusted with it. The Director of Public Instruction (the Inspector-General under the Reformatory Schools Act, 1,897), is prepared to try the experiment of assigning the work to the Educational officers, though he is not sure whether in practice they will be found able to do it.

2. It may be noted that the Government were already of the opinion that magisterial or revenue officers were much more likely to make effective inquiries regarding ex-pupils than Educational officers, that the influence of the former on the pupils, if any, was more likely to be felt, that they were in a much better position for finding the boy something to do, and that there was therefore no necessity to change the existing system as regards the officers who should make inquiries regarding the pupils—vide paragraph 2 of the notes on page 3 of G.O. No. 717, Educational, dated the 7th November 1906.

3. In this connection attention is also solicited to paragraph 21 of Mr. Coombes' report on his visit to the Reformatory schools in other provinces (page 6 of G.O. No. 378, Educational, dated the 28th April 1913), in which he suggested the replacement of police surveillance of ex-pupils in the Madras district by the agency of sub-assistant inspectors of schools on the ground that it would be an advantage, in-as-much as the existing police inquiries even in an unobtrusive manner were likely to raise the suspicions of employers against the boys. The Government however took no action on the suggestion, but left the Director of Public Instruction to address Government if need be, on this subject among other things.

4. The Director's proposal to entrust the work of making inquiries and reporting about the conduct of ex-pupils to Educational officers as an experimental measure may, it is submitted, be approved. A draft order is submitted for approval. If approved, it may issue with the concurrence of Judicial.

A.S.—15-7-16.

T.K.—15-7-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—17-7-16.

Judicial Department.

To Me

21 copies
1 Buro

NOTES TO G.O. No. 822, EDUCATIONAL, 27TH JULY 1916.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

In the case of adolescent convicts released from the Tanjore District Jail, the Government have suggested the appointment of suitable private persons as honorary probative officers—vide G.O. No. 2,602, Judicial, dated 13th December 1913. It might perhaps be possible to find suitable private gentlemen in Madras City to undertake this work. Submitted however that Judicial need not object to the experiment of asking Educational officers to supervise the conduct of ex-pupils of the Reformatory school, being tried. The draft order may be accepted in Judicial.

E. A. H.—19-7-16.

P.G.S.S.—18-7-16.

M.V.V.—18-7-16.

May be marked to Honourable Member (Judicial), when circulated.
To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For orders as to circulation to His Excellency the Governor and the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O.

E.A.V.—20-7-16.

C.R.—21-7-16.

Draft for approval.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—23-7-16.

P[ENTLAND]—25-7-16.

H. A. S[TUART]—25-7-16.

Issue.

P.R.—26-7-16.

[G.O. No. 822, Educational, dated 27th July 1916].

P. M. Hanumanth
21/7/16
1/2/16

ORDINARY.

PRESS SLIP.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

Scott. II-38-3-14.

DEPARTMENT.

Current No....., dated.....191

G.O. No...822, dated...27.12.1916.

Number of MS. pages sent {
 (b) Correspondence.....7
 (b¹) Notes.....5

Brief subject.....*Sheet Attached*

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records	4	25	17
Ooty Records		4	3
For filing with original		1	1
Total		30	21
FOR ISSUE.†			

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	(b) Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....		3			
India Office.....					
Govt. of India.....Dept.					
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....					
Local and Municipal Department.....					
Educational Department.....Dept.					
Public Dept.....					
Judicial Dept.....					
Political.....					
Financial Dept.....					
Marine Dept.....					
Ecclesiastical Dept.....					
Total					
Spare copies {					
The...D.P.D.....		6			
The...Ch. Press mag.....		4			
The...Judicial Dept.....		4			
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
The.....					
Total					

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on (Press Clerk).

† Copies distributed on (Press Despatcher).

† To be initialled and dated.

[P.T.O.]

A
Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B
Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

C
As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.
Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

Reformatory School, Edungupet.

Directing that the duty of making enquiries and reporting to the Supdt. about the conduct of ex-pupils in the Madras city, should be entrusted to Educational officers as an experimental measure and requesting the S.P.D. to submit a draft of the necessary alterations in the rules for the — issued in G.O. No 158 Ed of the 20-3-07.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	1/8/16
First proof furnished on.....	2/8/16
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	4/8/16
Final copies supplied on.....	4/8/16

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	20			
" " " Issue.....	17			
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....	16			
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...	63			