

Educational (1916) Department.


Misc. SERIES.

G.O. No. 660 - Ed

DATED 15 - 6 - 16

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption Sanctioning the permanent
 — from the provisions of art I of
 the Public Service Notification and from
 passing the Account Test of two clerks in
 the office of the Administrator General
 and official Justice, Madras.



Abstract.

Administrator
Informing the ~~Assistant~~ General and Official Trustee
that fresh sanction for the further promotion of the ledger
keeper and the record keeper is necessary and approving his
proposal to promote the two clerks from ~~xxxx~~ R. 35 and 25 to
R. 40 and 35 respectively.

DEPARTMENT.
Judicial
G.O. No. 2586, dated 24th November 1914

Read the following papers:—

ENCLOSURES.

No.

From the Administrator-General & Official Trustee of
Madras,
To the Government of Madras,
Dated - 3rd November 1914.
No. - D. 1406

In paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 1080 Judicial, dated
2nd June 1913 Government approved the qualifications suggested
by me in para: 2(b) of my letter No. D.202, dated 8th February
1913, for future members of my staff. These qualifications
did not apply to those members of the old staff who were re-
tained when this office was first undertaken by Government. I
now propose to promote two of these persons, namely, the
ledger keeper on R. 35 and the record keeper on R. 25 to the
posts of (Official Trustee's) clerk on R. 40 and ledger
keeper on R. 35 respectively, in the vacancy caused by the
removal of the late (Official Trustee's) clerk on R. 40/-.

In these circumstances I have the honour to inquire
whether any fresh exemption from the necessary qualifications
is required to the proposed promotion of the two clerks.

In my opinion, none appears necessary. The
exemption given them at the time of their retention in the
office

office may be deemed to cover their subsequent promotions in this office also. As the matter, however, is not free from doubt, I request orders.

ORDER:-

The Administrator-General and Official Trustee is informed that exemption from educational qualifications is necessary in the case of the ledger keeper and the record keeper. Further orders will issue in the Educational Department.

(True extract)

J. R. S.
For Secretary.

To

The Administrator-General & Official Trustee of Madras.

.. Educational Department. ✓

.. Accountant-General.

PR

Judicial Department

C.No.3321 From the A.G. & O.T. No. D. 1406, dated 3-11-14.

For the A. S.

The Administrator General ~~inquires~~ inquires whether fresh exemption from the necessary qualifications is required in respect of the ledger keeper and the record keeper members of the old staff for their promotion to Rs45 and Rs35 per mensem respectively.

2. In G.O. No. 1870, dated 13-9-13 these two, among others, were exempted from the age limit and retained in service. Therefore fresh

J.T.T exemption from age limit is not necessary. But of the last sentence/para 1 of that order allowed them to draw only the scale of pay of their respective appointments sanctioned in G.O. No. 1080,

W dated 2-6-13. Further promotion of the clerks in the new scale, as proposed by the Administrator-General seems, it is submitted, to require fresh sanction.

W 3. With reference to para: 3 of G.O. No. 1080 dated 2-6-13, the necessary exemption from educational qualifications in respect of the

NOTES.

including the ledger keeper and the record
was not explicitly given in G.O.No.1870
13-9-13.

A copy of the order was however c
ted to the Educational Department; and
undertood that no orders exempting them
cational qualifications has been issued t
dept.

The object of the Administrator G
being obviously to promote the ledger kee
the record keeper from Rs35 and Rs25 to Rs
Rs35, submitted that there is no objection
proposal being approved. Orders exemptin
two clerks from the Educational qualificat
will issue in the Educational Department.

A draft order is submitted for app
It may go to the Educl. dept. for acceptanc
remarks.

A.S.M. 12-11-14.

P.R.R. 13-11-14.

The request made is not affected by
earlier exemptions. The question for decisi
whether promotion within the office, if dese
may be made from among the original staff wi
regard to educational qualifications. I wou
allow this principle for otherwise men of th
original staff would be seriously prejudiced
having become Government servants.

T.J.T.
14-11-14.

Secy.

P.R.
16-11-14.

Educational Department.

(W) In G.O.No. 1080 Judicial, dated the 2nd June 1913, the Government accepted the recommendation of the Administration General that among others, the future incumbents of the posts of clerk on Rs40 and ledger keeper on ~~Rs35~~ Rs35 should be Matriculates with the Account Test. They also accepted his proposal to retain the services of some of the members then employed in the office and allow them to continue in the posts held by them at that time. It does not appear that it was the intention of the Government to prescribe the educational qualifications for the posts under reference only to be relaxed in favour of the existing unqualified hands. The Judicial Department, however, thinks that an exception should be made in favour of those who are already in the office. Educational need not raise any objection to the decision in Judicial.

2. The draft order may, it is submitted, be accepted in Educational and the file returned to Judicial u.o.

P.R. 19-11-14.

T.K.20-11-14.

P.R.
21-11-14.

Judicial u.o.

For the U.S.

Submitted that the draft order may now issue.

A.S.M. 23-11-14.

P.R.R. 23-11-14.

ISSUE.

J.I.J.

24-11-14

C.No. 3684.Memo. No. 3684-188

7.12.14.

C.F.

With reference to G.O. 2506 Judicial dt. 24th November 1914, the Administrator-General Official Trustee of Madras is to submit, in the form annexed circular memo. no. 603 Eold, the 1st December 1906, an application for the exemption from the exam rules of the two candidates referred to in his letter disposed of in G.O. quoted above.

PPD
3.12.14.

3.12.14.
H.12.14

E. H. 11
7.12.14

Noted in CB

8/12/14

The Adm. General & Off. Trustee
of Madras

Note.

the particulars required application, especially the date from which the exemption is so necessary for the despatch the current.

PPD

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.




CURRENT NO.
3742 1539
JUDICIAL 1916

Received

Registered

Subject.

Submitting an application for the exemption from the examination rules of two clerks of this office.



ENCLOSURES

No. *724*

Station Madras, Dated the 29 May 1916

DESPATCHED.

29 MAY 1916

From (Name) C.E. Odgers Esq., M.A., B.C.L., Barr-At-Law,
(Designation) Administrator General & Official
Trustee of Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

JUDICIAL Department.
Stonehouse Hill, OOTACAMUND.

Sir,

With reference to Memorandum No. 3684-1, Educational, dated the 7th December 1914, I have the honor to submit herewith in the required form an application for the exemption from the examination rules of C. Seshachariar and P. Neelakanta Moodelly, clerks of this office.

2. These clerks have had considerable experience of the work of this office and I am satisfied that they deserve the exemption. I recommend that they may be exempted from the examination rules permanently with effect from the 10th Aug. 1914, the date on which they were appointed to act in the

215398-1
8.6.16.

197428

87676

9

C.F. 1.

Application for exemption from the Examination rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose sanction exemption is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment (i.e., whether ending or sub-pro tem, or temporary).	The sanctioned pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	The examination from which exemption is sought.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with reference to dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of for order granting sanction and if for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state whether the candidate has already been exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result?	Recommendation of the head of the department.	Remarks.
(1) Chakravarti Seshachariar. Age 38 years. Educational qualifications - Nil.	Official Trustee's Clerk. Permanent.	Sanctioned pay Rs 40 per mensem. Article 1 pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate. Rs 40 per mensem. Account Test	Permanent appointment with effect from 10th Aug. 1914	First application	Nil	Strongly recommended.			
(2) P. Neelakuntam. Age 31 years. Educational qualifications - Nil.	Ledger Keeper permanent.	Sanctioned pay Rs 35 per mensem. Pay proposed to be given Rs 35 per mensem.	--do--	--do--	--do--	--do--	--do--	--do--	

posts in respect of which exemption is applied for

I have the honour
Sir,
Your most obedient

Administrator General &
Trustees of Madras

1974, From the Administrative, No. 784, dated 29th May 1916.

Trustee, Malabar 191

No. 666 Ed. mis 15. 6. 46 191

ms

Shaktavaranthi Sastha & Shasthigal
and P. Nilakantantham ~~and~~
Mudali will be permanently
exempted from the provisions
of art. 1 of the Public Service
(and from passing the account last)
Notification ~~X~~ with a view to
their employment as: ~~elector~~
(Clerk in charge on Rs 40 and
~~Rs 20~~ and) Rs 35 respectively
for members in the office
of the Administrator General
and Official Trustees, Madurai.

2. The exemption will have effect from the 10th Aug.

1914

To The Adm. Serv. to Civil
Trustee
pay to the Judicial Dept. 17.6.16 17.6.16

copying

191

DATED

No. C. No.

GOVERNMENT

OF GOVERNMENT.

BY WITH DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR OBSERVER ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.