

Edl (1916) Department.

Mrs SERIES.

G.O. No. 462

DATED 28-4-16.

[Despatch Abstract.]


Hostile Mission Institutions

Agreeing with the D.P.I. that grants under Chaps VIII & IX of the grant in aid Code should not be given to the — so long as their eventual ownership and management are in question.

C. No. 1346 Vol
2

C.F.—29

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.



Registered 6. 4 1916

Subject.

Requesting orders regarding the payment of grants under Chapters VIII and IX to schools hitherto under the management of hostile mission.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Ref. on C. No. 3864/15.

Spare copies.....

Station M A D R A S, Dated the 5th April 1916

From (Name) The Hon. Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.I.E.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.


M a d r a s.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit herewith a copy of letter dated 20th March 1916 from W. E. Hoare Esq., Correspondent, of the Board of Management of Basel Mission Schools, Calicut, requesting grants in aid of furniture, books etc., on behalf of the Basel Mission College, Calicut, and to state that in their order No. 253 Educational dated 8th March 1916, Government were pleased to sanction the continuance of recognition and aid up to 31st March 1917 to schools hitherto under the management of hostile missions. But the whole discussion as a result of which the above order was issued turned on whether



Go 686 d 25.6.15 (P)
mentioned "all
grants."

whether teaching grants should be paid and no question was raised as regards the payment of other grants such as building or furniture. I request ^{that} you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government regarding the payment of grants, under Chapters VIII and IX of the Grant-in-Aid Code, to these schools. My own view is that such grants should not be given while the eventual ownership and management of these institutions is in question.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. A. Arieve.

For Director of Public Instruction.

k.v.

Letter dated 20th March 1916, from W. E. Hoare Esq., Correspondent of the Board of Management, Basel Mission Schools, Calicut, to the Inspector of Schools, 8th Circle, Palghat.

I have the honour to acknowledge receipt pay bills of grants for all the educational institutions of the Basel Mission for the year 1915-16. I have the honour to request the following application for a chemical apparatus grant may be favourably considered and that if any funds are available at the end of the year the grant may be sanctioned and payment made.

The circumstances are detailed in the letter of which a copy is enclosed from Mr. Vurgese who was then the correspondent. All grants were stopped to the Basel Mission and therefore no grant was given. No reply seems to have been made to his letter. I have the honour to ask that as a special case we may receive half the amount of the receipted bill. The letter from Mr. Vurgese shows that an application was duly made and a list of articles required was submitted. As the grants have been now restored it seems only fair that if funds allow we should receive the grant in accordance with the Grant-in-Aid Code.

Should there be money available before the end of the current year I should like to spend a sum of money in the library of the college which has not been added to since 1914. I am anxious to spend Rs. 600 on the library if I can get half grant from the current year. It is not possible to make a list of books at a day's notice but if the grant is sanctioned in principle I will submit a list of books before the end of the current year for inspection. Please return the accompanying documents.

I do not think, on reading this over, that I have made the point clear. An apparatus grant was asked for in proper form. The grants were cut off. We must have chemicals if we are to use our laboratories. Hence we indented for a stock. Now that grants are restored, I submit that it is

equitable



Cp 90.946 20
30-8-15

only teaching grants
have been restored
temporarily.

As the grants have
been cut off I saw
no use in asking
for one.

Not an important
urgent scheme too.

4 5

(No justification
if funds are not
available)

equitable that the grant for which we duly applied, should be
granted as a special case if funds permit.

I send copies of papers, including invoice receipted
bill and copy of the application for a grant by Mr. Vurgese,
Correspondent dated 30th April 1915.

(True copy)

R. A. Arneve

For Director of Public Instruction.

W
5.4.16

k.v.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. Payment of building & furniture grants to hostile mission schools.

From the S.P.G., R.O.C No. 3864/15 dt. 5th April 1916.

Register No. 1346.

ordered the stoppage
or
prohibited the continuance
Syl

- Please see the letter from the Govt. of India, 1827, dt. 15th June 1915 on page 14 of G.O. 686 Sdls, dt. 25th June 1915 on which that G.O. was based. That letter prohibited in general terms the stoppage of the support at present given in certain instances from the public revenues to hostile mission institutions, that is to say, the orders covered all kinds of grants ~~under~~ under the Grant-in-aid Code. In this view (for a building grant) the application of the N.G.M. College, Calicut, was merely recorded in G.O. 758 Sdls, dt. 10th July 1915.
- (M) 2. The orders contained in G.O. 253 Sdls, dt. 8th March 1916, sanctioned the continuance of recognition ~~and aid~~ ~~of teaching grants~~ to the hostile mission schools, till the 31st March 1917. The order was intended to apply to the payment of teaching grants ~~and it does not appear to be the intention of the Govt. to restore all other forms of grants also to those institutions.~~ as a temporary measure. ~~that the Govt. had decided to restore all other forms of grants also to those institutions.~~ As regards these the general instructions of the Govt. of India should be held to be in force.

the D.P. appears therefore to be right in holding that such grants should not be given while the eventual ownership and management of these institutions are in question. Further, the grants are entirely in the discretion of the Government and the managers of schools have no claim to them.

3. It is for consideration whether the Govt. may agree with the D.P. in his view mentioned above. Subject to orders, a draft order is submitted for approval.

PP
10.4.16
JL
11.4.16

The views of the
D.P. I may be concerned in.
Draft for approval.

10
11.4.16

Box No 23
26.4.16

A. E. and H. R. (P. R. R.)

P
26.4.16.

27.4.16.

28/4/16

191

C. No. 1346, From the MS, No. 3864/15, dated th 5 - 4 - 1916.

191

” ” ” ” ” ” 191 .

No. 462 Edl min 28.4.16, dated 191

his

The Govt. agree with the D.P.G. that grants under chapters VIII & IX of the Grant-in-aid Code should not be given to the educational institutions hitherto under the management of hostile missions so long as the eventual ownership and management of those institutions are in question.

Further CN^o. 1852/19

[Handwritten notes and signatures]

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 191 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.

[Faint handwritten notes and bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]