

Home (Educational) (1916) Department.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 1187.

DATED 14. 4. 16.

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

Ancient Monuments.

Accepting the proposal of the Superintendent, Archaeological Survey, that the Tandoniswara (siva) temple at Porambair, Chingleput district, may be deleted from the list of ----- selected for conservation.

Received 17 10 1915 191 Registered 20. 10 1916

CN^o. 875 Home mis

Subject.

Revision of the List of Ancient Monuments.

ENCLOSURES.

No. Nil

Spare copies Nil.

No. 645

Station Madras, Dated the 27th September 1916

From (Name) A. H. Loughurst, Esq.,

(Designation) Superintendent, Archaeological Survey,

Southern Circle.

To the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras

Home Department (Miscellaneous).

(Through) The Collector of Chingleput District, Saidapet.

S i r,

I have the honour to request that the Tandonisvara (Siva) Temple at Perambair, Chingleput District, at present permanently included in the Standard List of Ancient Monuments as item No. 320, be deleted as there is no sufficient archaeological reason why it should remain on the list. Architecturally the temple is of no interest whatever. It contains a few Chola inscriptions on the outer faces of its walls but these do not record anything of historic interest. The temple is Government property and has been practically rebuilt by the Public Works Department at Government expense, and before any further funds are wasted in this direction I would

suggest that the monument in question be deleted from the Standard List and the building handed over to the villagers as it is of no use to this Department but it is still in use as a place of worship.


I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

A. H. Longhurst.

Superintendent.



Submitted to Government.

J. J. Bryant.

Collection

14/10/16

05/14/16/18.

16/10/16

(Ed. 4)

Again G.O., No. , dated 190
 Current No. from the , No. , dated 190
 Current No. 875 ^{Stone} _{Mrs.} from the Sept., 1904. , No. 48, dated Dec. 27, 1904.
 Swanson

SUBJECT "Ancient Monuments"

Extract from the Registrar's
 remarks on L. 875 Stone + Mrs.

Why was the
 temple included in the list?
 Please examine the grounds on
 which such inclusion was made.
 L. R. 27.10.16

Indexes of the past
 twelve years have been looked
 into and no definite authority
 is traceable for the inclusion
 of the temple in the Standard
 List issued under G. O. No. 513
 Pub. of the 12th June 1910. The
 Sept., Archaeological Survey,
 who was asked by telephone is
 able to do no more than refer
 to the above G.O. This G.O. does
 not deal with individual
 monuments. It simply gives a

T. M. at (E)

a general approval to the list, which however distinguished between announcements permanent - by included therein and those which were only provisionally entered in it. The temple now under reference it belongs to the former category. (Provisional entries are printed in italics - para 2 of Mr. Perot's letter on page 2 of G.G. No. 513 Pub., dt the 13th June 1910.

2. In the annual report on Archaeology for 1904-05 a short description of the temple is given - vide para 2 on page 37 at Slip (Z) and in the report for 1908-09 it is shown as having been inspected by the P. W. D. - vide item 252 on p. 30 at Slip (P)

3. It does not seem necessary to make any official reference to Mr. Longhurst ~~that he has submitted~~ already submitted the draft ~~report~~ for approval.

Ch/ 11.16
W. H. G.
12.11.16
19.11.16

Telephonic Message.

From the Suptt.: Atch. Suptt.
To the Suptt.: Stone Dept, Ed.

Re: your T. M.
of yesterday regarding the
deletion of the Tawdoris
temple at Persimlia. The only
authority under which the
temple at Persimlia appears
to have been included in the
list of Ancient Monuments,
1910 is Go. No. 513 Puli, d/ the
13th June 1910 approving the
Standard List. A ref. to the
temple is found on p. 37 of
the annual report of this
Dept. for 1904-05. No other
orders are traceable from
the old records of this office.

chr
51.10.16

(Edm.)

Accepting the proposal of the Supdt., Arch.
~~Signifying the selection of the~~

Tanager, that the
 Tanager is a temple at ~~Peterson~~
 looking left dist: ^{may be deleted} ~~from~~ the list of
 — ~~Selected for conservation.~~

" " " " " 19

C. No. 8754, from the sublt. coral, No. 645, dated Nov. 27th Sep. 1916.

Sumner, S. C.

Exhib. of the Coll. of Letters, Sept. No. 3816, 1916.

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191 .

ORDER, No. 1187, dated 14. 11. 1916.

The Govt. accept the proposal
of the ~~Director of~~ ^{Department of} Archaeological Survey,
~~for the conservation~~ that the
Tandaniswara (Siras) temple
at Patanmuhani in the
(maybe deleted)
Religious Dept. list: ~~from the~~
list of ancient monuments
selected for conservation.

15/11/66

26.10.16

9
12 · 11 16

to the Suplt., Arch: Bureau (1920)
" Lealt: of Lehigh Valley
Co of to the P.W.D. (20)

