Government of Madras.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION).

G.O. Nos. 1076-76 A, 12th October 1916

MADRAS

University scholarship

Issuing orders on certain questions raised by the Syndicate of the University of Madras in regard to the award of the Government of India —.

Government of Madras.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION).

G.O. Nos. 1076-76 A, 12th October 1916

N.P
ORIGIN

University scholarship

Issuing orders on certain questions raised by the Syndicate of the University of Madras in regard to the award of the Government of India —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

HOME DEPARTMENT

(Education)

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Director of Public Instruction, and to certain other officers the Resolution of the Government of India No. 399, dated the 1st May 1916 on the subject of State scholarships and directing its publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

II

Letter—from F. DEWSBURY, Esq., B.A., LL.B., Registrar, University of Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government, Home (Education) Department.
Dated— the 18th August 1916.
No.—6531.

With reference to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated 29th May 1916, communicating Resolution No. 399 of the Government of India, Department of Education, dated 1st May 1916, on the matter of State scholarships, I am directed by the Syndicate to address the Government upon various questions arising out of the resolution of the Government of India.

2. It is noted that the resolution of the Government of India purports "to supersede and collate all previous resolutions and orders on this subject." The Syndicate is uncertain how far such supersession of previous orders must be considered effective, and desires further information more especially with reference to the following points:—

(*a*) *Candidates who are eligible for the Government of India scholarships.*—In appendix I to the resolution, column 8, the condition of eligibility is that candidates shall be "Indians." In previous resolutions of the Government of India these scholarships have been tenable "by persons who are natives of India within the meaning of section 6 of the statute 33 Vic., Cap. 3." The Syndicate has no means of verifying this reference and desires information as to how far the term "Indian" now excludes members of domiciled European and Anglo-Indian community in India. In the year 1892 the Government University scholar selected and approved by the Government in G.O. No. 409, Educational, dated 27th May 1892, was Mr. P. E. Cammiade who was stated to be "a Catholic of European descent." Apparently, therefore, members of the domiciled European community were eligible at that time for the scholarship. In this connection, however, I have to refer to the Resolution of the Government of India, Home Department, No. 221/238, dated 19th March 1907, which in paragraph 2 stated that members of the domiciled European and Eurasian community in India "will no longer be regarded as eligible for the two University State scholarships at present granted to natives of India." As the recent resolution of the Government of India makes no reference to this restriction, the Syndicate desires to know whether it is to be considered as continuing in force.

(*b*) *Age limit.*—In appendix I to the resolution the age limit is fixed at 22 to 25 years according to the conditions of study obtaining in the Indian Universities in the subject selected by the candidate. I am to inquire whether the age limit of candidates for the scholarships will continue to remain as defined in G.O. No. 146, Educational, dated 13th March 1911, viz., 25 years in the case of medical graduates and 23 years in the case of persons holding other degrees, namely, those of M.A., B.L., M.L., and B.E., and whether the age limit for persons holding the degree of B.A. should be considered to be 22 years.

(*c*) *Qualifications prescribed.*—In column 10 of the appendix to the resolution above referred to the qualification is "degree of an Indian University." The candidates at the Honours examination of the year in which a scholarship is awarded by this University will by no possibility be able to graduate and actually take their degrees at a convocation between the date of passing the examination and the date of selection for the scholarship. The Syndicate hopes to be able to arrange for the successful candidates at that examination being allowed to apply for the scholarship, but it appears that before they will be eligible therefor the above conditions of qualification should be amended so as to cover the cases of persons who, though they have not actually received a degree in the University, are yet qualified for the same. There is no difficulty under the regulations in these degrees being conferred on Government scholars *in absentia* at the next succeeding convocation held in November or December of the same year

(*d*) *The latest date by which the nomination or selection should be submitted to the Government of India.*—The date fixed in appendix I to the resolution is 15th May. In the remarks column of that appendix it is stated that "in the case of scholars selected by the Universities of Madras and Bombay, the necessary report to the India Office should be made by the local Governments," and in paragraph 4 of the resolution itself it is further stated that "arrangement for the admission of scholars to Oxford and Cambridge colleges may be made provided that the selection is reported to the Secretary of State by telegram early in June and testimonials forwarded during that month." The Syndicate desires to know whether under these arrangements for the selection of scholars by this University it will be permissible to submit to the local Government the final nomination later than the above date, the 15th of May. The matter is of importance in view of the fact that the examinations of this University are held in March and April each year and the results of the B.A. (Honours) degree examination, upon which by far the larger number of scholars would be selected, cannot in any year be published much before the end of the month of April. This year the date fixed for the submission of results by examiners was May 4th. It is obvious that the time allowed for successful candidates at that examination to apply for the Government of India scholarship and for the Syndicate to make its selection from among the applicants would under present conditions be insufficient if the result of this selection had to be communicated by the 15th of May. If, however, as the Syndicate hopes, the latest date to be fixed may be the beginning of June, no difficulty would be experienced in considering in any year the claims of successful candidates at the examination of that year. Otherwise the selection would be confined to successful candidates of previous years

3. The Syndicate further desires information as to whether the subsidiary rules to be observed in connection with the selection of candidates for these Government scholarships which have been from time to time prescribed or sanctioned by the Secretary of State or the Government of India or the local Government, a summary of which is published on pages 124–125 of volume II of the University Calendar of 1916, are to be considered as rescinded. In the appendices to the Government of India resolution there is nothing corresponding to the following present requirements under the above rules—(*a*) that the selected candidate should have obtained the consent of his family to proceed to England, (*b*) that his conduct has been good, (*c*) that he has sufficient knowledge of the English language (*d*) that he is physically fit for residence in England, (*e*) that he executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in case of misconduct, nor is there any provision as to the method of selection of such candidates. The Syndicate considers that all such subsidiary rules relating to the Government of India University scholarships should now be revised in the light of the resolution of the Government of India. If this proposal meets with the approval of the Government, the rules, when revised, will be submitted for sanction and will be published in the University Calendar together with the full text of the resolution of the Government of India.

Order—No. 1076, Home (Education), dated the 12th October 1916.

In his letter read above the Registrar of the University of Madras raises certain questions relating to the award of the Government of India scholarship instituted in the Home Department Resolution No. 1—45-57, dated the 12th February 1886. The answers of the Government are given below.

2. *Candidates eligible.*—Under the orders contained in paragraph 2 of the Home Department Resolution No. 221—238, dated the 19th March 1907, printed in G.O. No. 200, Educational, dated the 5th April 1907, candidates belonging to the domiciled

European or Eurasian community in India are not eligible for the Government of India scholarship awarded through the University. These orders have not been superseded and they must therefore be regarded as being still in force.

3. *Age limit.*—The age limit of candidates will, except in the case referred to below, continue to remain as defined in G.O. No. 146, Educational, dated the 13th March 1911, i.e., 25 years in the case of medical graduates and 23 years in the case of persons holding the degree of M.A., B.L., M.L., or B.E. The age limit for candidates who have passed the B.A. degree examination (Pass Course) will be the same as hitherto, viz., 22 years, but in the case of those who have passed the "Honours" examination of that degree the limit will be fixed at 23 years.

4. *Qualifications.*—The Government see no objection to the proposal to treat candidates who have passed the requisite examinations, but have not actually taken their degrees, as eligible.

5. *The latest date for reporting the Syndicate's selection to the Government.*—In view of the considerations urged by the Syndicate, the Government are pleased to alter the date from the 15th to the 25th of May in each year.

6. *Subsidiary rules.*—The Syndicate's suggestion to frame subsidiary rules for the award of the scholarship is approved. The Syndicate is requested to submit a draft of the subsidiary rules considered necessary for the purpose. The Government see no objection to the inclusion in the draft rules of the existing provisions relating to the obtaining by the candidate of the consent of his family to proceed to England and the production of sufficient proof as regards good conduct. The existing rule laying down the procedure to be adopted in the selection of candidates and the orders contained in paragraph 3 supra may also be included in the draft subsidiary rules. The Government consider that no specific rules on the following points need be included for the reasons given against each:—

(1) *that the candidate has sufficient knowledge of the English language.*—No specific provision to this effect is necessary as only candidates who have obtained a University degree are eligible for the scholarship;

(2) *that the candidate is physically fit for residence in England.*—This is provided for in clause 1 of the general rules printed as appendix II to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916;

(3) *that the candidate executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in the event of misconduct.*—In the revised rules printed as appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the provision as to the refund of the cost of the passage to England, in the event of misconduct, has been omitted. It is unnecessary to include this provision in the proposed subsidiary rules.

7. The remaining rules relating to the award of the scholarship on pages 124 to 126 of the University Calendar, volume II, should be regarded as superseded by the revised rules printed as appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

(True Extract)

P. Rajagopala Achariyar,
Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar, University of Madras.
Copy to the Director of Public Instruction.

Endorsement—No. 1076-A, Home (Education), dated the 12th October 1916.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Education.

P. Rajagopala Achariyar,
Secretary to Government.

Home (Education) Department.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1076, HOME (EDUCATION), DATED THE 12th OCTOBER 1916.

[Subject.—*Government of India scholarships awarded through Universities.*]

Previous papers:

G.O.	116,	*Educational,*	26th *February*	1886	G.O.	146, *Educational,*	13th *March*	1911
,,	589	,,	10th *September*	,,	,,	499 ,,	5th *June*	1912
,,	692	,,	4th *November*	1889	,,	666 ,,	17th *July*	1913
,,	409	,,	27th *May*	1892	,,	25 ,,	5th *January*	1914
,,	504	,,	9th *October*	1903	,,	32 ,,	6th ,,	,,
,,	341–42	,,	4th *November*	1904	,,	480 ,,	28th *April*	,,
,,	46	,,	23rd *January*	1906	,,	686–7 ,,	10th *June*	,,
,,	93–A	,,	25th *February*	1907	,,	1089 ,,	17th *September*	,,
,,	200	,,	5th *April*	,,	,,	1163 ,,	25th *October*	1915
,,	261	,,	3rd *May*	,,	,,	1311 ,,	30th *November*	,,
,,	754	,,	13th *October*	,,	,,	1358 ,,	9th *December*	,,
,,	666	,,	19th *September*	1908	,,	396–7 ,,	8th *April*	1916
,,	342	,,	11th *June*	1909	,,	593 ,,	29th *May*	,,
,,	626	,,	7th *November*	1910				

From the Registrar of the University of Madras, dated the 18th *August* 1916, *No.* 6531.

Please see the Resolution of the Government of India, No. 399, dated the 1st May 1916, on the subject of State scholarships, which purports to supersede and collate all previous resolutions and orders on the subject. In respect of the two scholarships awarded through the Universities (item 1 in the statement on page 4 of G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916) the Syndicate feels uncertain as to how far the above resolution is intended to supersede previous orders and rulings. Further information is accordingly sought on certain points which are examined below.

G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

2. *Candidates eligible for the scholarships.*—Under the resolution recently issued the scholarships are open to Indians, while in the original resolution sanctioning their institution the scholarships are stated to be tenable by persons who are natives of India within the meaning of section 6 of the Statute 33 Vict., cap. 3. According to this section the expression "Natives of India" includes "any person born and domiciled within the dominions of His Majesty in India of parents habitually resident in India and not established there for temporary purposes only." Accordingly Mr. P. E. Cammiade, a Catholic of European descent, was awarded the scholarship in 1892—G.O. No. 409, Educational, dated the 27th May 1892. This no doubt shows that members of the domiciled community were eligible for the scholarship *then*. But the position seems to have certainly changed since the institution in 1907 of a separate scholarship for that community. In paragraph 2 of India's resolution sanctioning the Anglo-Indian scholarship it was distinctly stated that members of the domiciled European and Eurasian community in India would no longer be eligible for the two University

Paragraph 2 of the resolution on page 1 of G.O. 116, Educational, 26th February 1886.

Page 2 of G.O. 200, Educational, 5th April 1907.

P.R.—9-9-16.

scholarships. In general usage the term "Indian" is seldom applied to members of the domiciled community; and the Syndicate may be informed that the orders issued by the Government of India in 1907 are still in force.

Yes.
P.R.—9-9-16.

3. *Age limit.*—In the resolution of the Government of India issued in 1886 it was stated that candidates for the scholarship should not be more than 21 years of age (paragraph 3 of the resolution on page 1 of G.O. No. 116, Educational, dated the 26th February 1886). Since this limit practically involved the exclusion of Muhammadan youths from participation in the benefits of the scholarship in question, it was soon after raised to 22 (paragraph 2 of India's resolution printed on page 1 of G.O. No. 589, Educational, dated the 10th September 1886). This was further altered in 1911 on a representation from the Bombay University that the limit of 22 completely shut out medical graduates from the benefit of the scholarships. So far as Madras is concerned, the Government of India have raised the age limit to 25 years in the case of medical graduates and to 23 in the case of persons holding other degrees, viz., those of M.A., B.L., M.L., & B.E., the limit of 22 continuing to hold good so far as B.A.'s are concerned. In the resolution printed in G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, it is laid down that the age limit will be 22 to 25 years according to the conditions of study obtaining in the Indian Universities in the subject selected by the candidate. The resolution does not thus make any change in the limits now in force and the Syndicate may, it is submitted, be informed accordingly. If the Syndicate's suggestion in paragraph 3 of current file to frame subsidiary rules in connection with the award of the scholarship is accepted, a rule setting forth clearly the age limits laid down for candidates possessing different University degrees may be inserted.

Bombay University Registrar's letter on page 3 of G.O. 626, Educational, 7th November 1910.

G.O. 146, Educational, 13th March 1911.

P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.
P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.
P.R.—9-9-16.

4. *Qualifications prescribed.*—The qualification required of scholarship-holders under the rules hitherto in force and also under the resolution recently issued is the possession of a degree of an Indian University. The Syndicate points out that the candidates of the "Honours" examination of the year in which a scholarship is awarded by the University will, by no possibility, be able to graduate and actually take their degrees at a Convocation between the date of passing the examination and the date of selection for the scholarship. The latter has to be over by the 15th May, but the Convocation for conferring degrees generally comes off only in November. Having regard to the short time allowed between the publication of the University results and the date of selection, it is not likely that such candidates will be able to submit their applications in time but there appears to be no objection to treating candidates who have passed the requisite examinations but have not actually taken their degrees as eligible for the scholarship.

None.
P.R.—9-9-16.

5. *The latest date by which the nomination or selection should be submitted to the Government of India.*—The resolution fixes the 15th May as the latest date by which nominations for all *State* scholarships should reach the Government of India. In the case of the *University* scholarships, however, the Governments of Madras and Bombay are permitted to make the necessary reports direct to the India Office; and in paragraph 4 of the resolution it is stated that, in cases where

Column 11 of statement on page 4 of G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

Paragraph 2 of G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

delay is inevitable through the necessity of awaiting examination results, arrangements for the admission of scholars to Oxford and Cambridge colleges may be made provided that the selection is reported to the Secretary of State for India by telegram early in June and testimonials forwarded during that month. The Syndicate states that the University examinations are held in March and April and the results of the B.A. Examination (Honours)—upon which by far the larger number of scholars is selected—cannot in any year be published much earlier than the end of April. Allowing successful candidates time to apply for the scholarship and the Syndicate to make its selection from among the applicants, it is obvious that the Government cannot expect to have the University nomination by the 15th of May unless the selection is restricted to successful candidates of previous years. The Syndicate, out of a desire to benefit the (Honours) graduates of the year in which the scholarship is awarded, enquires whether it will be permitted to submit its nomination early in June.

Under the regulations hitherto in force applications for State scholarship must reach the Registrar of the University by the 15th March. The Government of India have now procured an extension of time from the Secretary of State and there appears to be very little use in asking for further extension. In view, however, of the fact that the Local Government is permitted to make the necessary report of the selection for the University scholarship direct to the India Office and that a telegraphic report if made early in June will ensure seats for scholars at Oxford and Cambridge, it may not perhaps prove inconvenient if the University is allowed as a special case to report its nomination to the Local Government by the 25th of May instead of by the 15th of that month. For orders whether the Syndicate may be allowed an extension of time as suggested above.

Rule 2 of the rules printed on page 123 of Part II of the Calendar.

Paragraph 4 on page 2 of G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.
P.R.—9-9-16.

6. The Syndicate points out that in the appendices to the Government of India resolution printed in G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the undermentioned conditions till now in force in connection with the award of the scholarships are not provided for:—

(*a*) *That the selected candidate should have obtained the consent of his family to proceed to England.*—It will be seen from paragraph 3 (*c*) of the resolution printed in G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, that, before a scholar leaves India, his parent or guardian is required to show that he has sent to the Secretary for Indian students in London a sum of £25 for initial outfit expenses. This condition virtually secures the consent of the parent but there appears to be no objection to the insertion of a specific provision to that effect in the subsidiary rules that may be framed.

None.
P.R.—9-9-16.

(*b*) *That his conduct has been good.*—This clause, though not absolutely necessary, may be included in the proposed subsidiary rules.

Yes.
P.R.—9-9-16.

(*c*) *That he has sufficient knowledge of the English language.*—No specific provision to this effect appears to be necessary as only candidates who have obtained a University degree are eligible for the scholarship.

P.R.—9-9-16.

(*d*) *That he is physically fit for residence in England.*—This is provided for in clause 1 of the general rules printed as Appendix II to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

P.R.—9-9-16.

(*e*) *That he executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in the event of misconduct.*—In the revised rules printed as Appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the provision as to the refund of the cost of passage to England in the event of misconduct has been omitted.

P.R.—9-9-16. | It does not therefore appear necessary to retain the provision in regard to the execution of an agreement.

7. The special rules in Appendix II (*a*) on page 6 of G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, provide for the conduct of special examinations in connection with the selection of candidates; but no specific directions are given as to the procedure to be adopted in the selection of candidates in the absence of such special examinations. The existing rules provide that the scholar should be selected by the Vice-Chancellor on the report of the Syndicate who will in their turn be guided by the opinion of the Professors and Examiners. It appears desirable to retain this rule for the guidance of the Syndicate.

Rule 4 on page 124 of Part II of the University Calendar.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

8. The other rules on pages 124 to 126 of the University Calendar, Volume II, are superseded by the revised rules printed as Appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

9. As suggested in paragraph 3 of the Registrar's letter the Syndicate may, it is submitted, be asked to submit for the approval of Government a draft of the subsidiary rules which in its opinion are necessary, due effect being given therein to the orders that may be passed by Government on the questions raised above.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

E.A.V.—5-9-16.

N.K.—5-9-16.

The Syndicate may be informed in the terms of the above note with reference to the specific points raised by them. A copy of the Government Order may be communicated to the Government of India for information.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—7-9-16.

Has the Director of Public Instruction any remarks to offer?

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—12-9-16.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

No, except that I think the age limit for B.A's. with Honours ought to be 23 as much as for M.A's. Such graduates are entitled to be M.A. after three years and they have had an extra year's course. It would be a great pity if an Honours man of 23 were to be superseded by a Pass man of 22. It would be enough if the examination for Honours in Madras were treated as what it is, viz., an examination for the M.A. degree.

I would further suggest that the date of submission of nominations for the language scholarships by the Director of Public Instruction may be altered to, at earliest, 1st April as the nominations are now only due to the Government of India by the 18th May.

J. H. STONE—19-9-16.

HOME (EDUCATION) DEPARTMENT.

In regard to the age limit, the Government of India's resolution lays down that the candidate should be between 22 and 25 years of age according to the conditions of study obtaining in the Indian Universities in the subject selected by the

Page 4 of G.O. 593, 29th May 1916.

candidate. In view of the longer course for the B.A. "Honours" and of its decided superiority to the "Pass" course, there would appear to be no objection in fixing the age limit at 23 in the case of "Honours" candidates as suggested by the Director of Public Instruction.

P.R.—5-10-16.

2. The Director suggests that the date for the submission of nomination to the Local Government for the Oriental language scholarship may be altered from the 1st February to the 1st April. As the date for the submission of nomination to the Government of India has been altered from the 1st March to the 15th May, there appears to be no objection to the adoption of the Director of Public Instruction's suggestion that the nomination should reach the Local Government by the 1st April instead of by the 1st February as hitherto.

G.O. 686, 10th June 1914.

Page 5 of G.O. 593, 29th May 1916.

P.R.—5-10-16.

3. In this connection it is submitted that the dates fixed for the submission of nominations to the Local Government, in respect of certain other scholarships may also be suitably altered. The statement below gives in one view the dates by which the Director of Public Instruction or the Surgeon-General has to submit his recommendations to the Local Government, the dates hitherto in force for the submission of such nominations to the Government of India, and the revised dates now prescribed by that Government. In the last column of the statement certain revised dates are suggested for the submission of nominations by heads of departments to the Local Government in lieu of the dates entered in column 2:—

Nature of the scholarship	Date by which Director of Public Instruction or Surgeon-General has to submit his recommendation.	Date for the submission of Local Government's nomination to the Government of India.		Revised date proposed for the submission of nomination to the Local Government in lieu of the date entered in column 2.
		Under old orders.	Under existing orders.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. Technical scholarships (G.O. Nos. 396-97, dated 8th April 1916).	1st March	15th April	15th May	15th April
2. Scholarship for members of the domiciled community (male candidates) (G.O. No. 25, dated 5th January 1914)	1st February	1st March	Do.	Do.
3. Scholarship for members of the domiciled community (female candidates) (G.O. No. 666, dated 17th July 1913).	....	31st March	Do.	1st April
4. Scholarship for Indian women, (G.O. No. 1358, dated 9th December 1915).	1st April	15th May	Do.	Do.

If the dates in the last column of the statement above are approved, separate orders may issue in regard to them and on the Director of Public Instruction's suggestion noticed in paragraph 2 supra.

Yes.

P.R.—5-10-16.

4. The draft below which deals with the questions raised in the Registrar's letter is for approval.

N.K.—30-9-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—3-10-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—10-10-16.

P[ENTLAND]—11-10-16.

[G.O. No. 1076, Home (Education), dated the 12th October 1916.]

Sects. II– 10 (b)—12-1-15. Home(Education) DEPARTMENT

University Scholarship.- Issuing orders on certain questions raised by the Syndicate of the University of Madras in regard to the award of the Government of India University Scholarship.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 593, Educl., dated the 29th ~~xx~~ May 1916.

" " " " , " 191 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 3044, From the Registrar of the University of Madras, No. 6531, dated the 18th August 191 6.

" " , " " , " , " 191 .

" " , " " , " , " 191 .

ORDER. No. 1076 dated 12 - 10 - 191 6

Press.

In his letter read above the Registrar of the University of Madras raises certain questions relating to the award of the Govt. of India scholarship instituted in the Home Dept. Resolution No. 1-45-57, dated the 13th February 1886. The answers of the Government are given below:-

2. Candidates eligible.- Under the orders contained in para. 3 of the Home Dept. Resolution No. 321-338, dated the 19th March 1907, printed in G.O. No. 300, Education, dated the 5th April 1907, candidates belonging to the domiciled European or Eurasian community in India are not eligible for the Govt. of India scholarship awarded through the University. These orders have not been superseded and they must therefore be regarded as being sti

in force.

3. Age limit.- The age limit of candidates will, except in the case referred to below, continue to remain as defined in G.O.No. 146, Educational, dated the 13th March 1911, i.e. 25 years in the case of medical graduates and 23 years in the case of persons holding the degree of M.A., B.L., M.L., or B.E. The age limit for candidates who have passed the B.A. degree examination (Pass course) will be the same as hitherto, viz. 23 years, but in the case of those who have passed the "Honours" examination of that degree the limit will be fixed at 23 years.

4. Qualifications.- The Govt. see no objection to the proposal to treat candidates who have passed the requisite examinations, but have not actually taken their degrees, as eligible.

5. The latest date for reporting the Syndicate's selection to the Government.- In view of the considerations urged by the Syndicate, the Government are pleased to alter the date from the 15th to the 25th of May in each year.

6. Subsidiary rules.- The Syndicate's suggestion to frame subsidiary rules for the award of the scholarship is approved. The Syndicate is requested to submit a draft of the subsidiary rules considered necessary for the purpose. The Government see no objection to the inclusion in the draft rules of the existing provisions relating to the obtaining by the candidate of the consent of his family to proceed to England and the production of sufficient proof as regards good conduct. The

The existing rule laying down the procedure to be adopted in the selection of candidates and the orders contained in para. 3 supra may also be included in the subsidiary draft rules. The Government consider that no specific rules on the following points need be included for the reasons given against each:-

(1) that the candidate has sufficient knowledge of the English language. No specific provision to this effect is necessary as only candidates who have obtained a University degree are eligible for the scholarship.

(2) that the candidate is physically fit for residence in England. This is provided for in clause 1 of the general rules printed as Appendix II to G.O.No. 593, Edl. dated the 29th May 1916.

(3) that the candidate executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in the event of misconduct.- In the revised rules printed as Appendix IV to G.O.No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the provision as to the refund of the cost of the passage to England, in the event of misconduct, has been omitted. It is unnecessary to include this provision in the proposed subsidiary rules.

7. The remaining rules relating to the award of the scholarship on pages

124 to 136 of the University Calendar, Vol. II, should be regarded as superseded by the revised rules printed as appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

N.V.
30-9-16.

C.R.
3-10-16.

To

The Registrar, University of Madras.

Copy to the D.P.I.

1076-A/ Endorsement No. K

Dated the

Copy to the Govt. of India, Department of Education.

C.R.
3-10-16.

10/10

GOVERNMENT PRESS
21 OCT 1916

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1076, HOME (EDUCATIONAL) DEPARTMENT, DATED THE 1ST OCTOBER 1916.

[SUBJECT.—*Government of India scholarships awarded through Universities.*]

Previous papers:

G.O.	116, *Educational*,	*26th February*	1886	G.O.	146, *Educational*,	*13th March*	1911
,,	589 ,,	*10th September*	,,	,,	499 ,,	*5th June*	1912
,,	692 ,,	*4th November*	1889	,,	666 ,,	*17th July*	1913
,,	409 ,,	*27th May*	1892	,,	25 ,,	*5th January*	1914
,,	504 ,,	*9th October*	1903	,,	32 ,,	*6th* ,,	,,
,,	341-42 ,,	*4th November*	1904	,,	480 ,,	*28th April*	,,
,,	46 ,,	*23rd January*	1906	,,	686-7 ,,	*10th June*	,,
,,	93-*A*, ,,	*25th February*	1907	,,	1089 ,,	*17th September*	,,
,,	200 ,,	*5th April*	,,	,,	1163 ,,	*25th October*	1915
,,	261 ,,	*3rd May*	,,	,,	1311 ,,	*30th November*	,,
,,	754 ,,	*13th October*	,,	,,	1358 ,,	*9th December*	,,
,,	666 ,,	*19th September*	1908	,,	396-7 ,,	*8th April*	1916
,,	342 ,,	*11th June*	1909	,,	593 ,,	*29th May*	,,
,,	626 ,,	*7th November*	1910				

From the Registrar of the University of Madras, dated the 18th August 1916, No. 6531.

Please see the Resolution of the Government of India, No. 399, dated the 1st May 1916, on the subject of State scholarships, which purports to supersede and collate all previous resolutions and orders on the subject. In respect of the two scholarships awarded through the Universities (item 1 in the statement on page 4 of G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916) the Syndicate feels uncertain as to how far the above resolution is intended to supersede previous orders and rulings. Further information is accordingly sought on certain points which are examined below :—

G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

~~Paragraph 5 on page 3~~ *ibid.*

2. *Candidates eligible for the scholarships.*—Under the resolution recently issued the scholarships are open to Indians, while in the original resolution sanctioning their institution the scholarships are stated to be tenable by persons who are natives of India within the meaning of section 6 of the Statute 33 Vict., c. 3. According to this section the expression "Natives of India" includes "any person born and domiciled within the dominions of His Majesty in India of parents habitually resident in India and not established there for temporary purposes only." Accordingly Mr. P. E. Cammiade, a Catholic of European descent, was awarded the scholarship in 1892—G.O. No. 409, Educational, dated the 27th May 1892. This no doubt shows that members of the domiciled community were eligible for the scholarship *then*. But the position seems to have certainly changed since the institution in 1907 of a separate scholarship for that community. In paragraph 2 of India's resolution sanctioning the Anglo-Indian scholarship it was distinctly stated that members of the domiciled European and Eurasian community in India would no longer be eligible for the two University scholarships. In general usage the term "Indian" is seldom applied to members of the domiciled community; and the Syndicate may be informed that the orders issued by the Government of India in 1907 are still in force.

Paragraph 2 of the resolution on page 1 of G.O. 116, Educational, 26th February 1886.

Page 2 of G.O. 200, Educational, 5th April 1907.

P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

3. *Age limit.*—In the resolution of the Government of India issued in 1886 it was stated that candidates for the scholarship should not be more than 21 years of age (paragraph 3 of the resolution on page 1 of G.O. No. 116, Educational, dated the 26th February 1886). Since this limit practically involved the exclusion of Muhammadan youths from participation in the benefits of the scholarship in question, it was soon after raised to 22 (paragraph 2 of India's resolution printed on

page 1 of G.O. No. 589, Educational, dated the 10th September 1886). This was further altered in 1911 on a representation from the Bombay University that the limit of 22 completely shut out medical graduates from the benefit of the scholarships. So far as Madras is concerned, the Government of India have raised the age limit to 25 years in the case of medical graduates and to 23 in the case of persons holding other degrees, viz., those of M.A., B.L., M.L., & B.E., the limit of 22 continuing to hold good so far as B.A.'s are concerned. In the resolution printed in G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, it is laid down that the age limit will be 22 to 25 years according to the conditions of study obtaining in the Indian Universities in the subject selected by the candidate. The resolution does not thus make any change in the limits now in force and the Syndicate may, it is submitted, be informed accordingly. If the Syndicate's suggestion in paragraph 3 of current file to frame subsidiary rules in connection with the award of the scholarship is accepted, a rule setting forth clearly the age limits laid down for candidates possessing different University degrees may be inserted.

Bombay University Registrar's letter on page 3 of G.O. 626, Educational, 7th November 1910.

G.O. 146, Educational, 13th March 1911.

P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

4. *Qualifications prescribed.*—The qualification required of scholarship-holders under the rules hitherto in force and also under the resolution recently issued is the possession of a degree of an Indian University. The Syndicate points out that the candidates of the "Honours" examination of the year in which a scholarship is awarded by the University will, by no possibility, be able to graduate and actually take their degrees at a Convocation between the date of passing the examination and the date of selection for the scholarship. The latter has to be over by the 15th May, but the Convocation for conferring degrees generally comes off only in November. Having regard to the short time allowed between the publication of the University results and the date of selection, it is not likely that such candidates will be able to submit their applications in time but there appears to be no objection to treating candidates who have passed the requisite examinations but have not actually taken their degrees as eligible for the scholarship.

None.

P.R.—9-9-16.

5. *The latest date by which the nomination or selection should be submitted to the Government of India.*—The resolution fixes the 15th May as the latest date by which nominations for all *State* scholarships should reach the Government of India. In the case of the *University* scholarships, however, the Governments of Madras and Bombay are permitted to make the necessary reports direct to the India Office; and in paragraph 4 of the resolution it is stated that, in cases where delay is inevitable through the necessity of awaiting examination results, arrangements for the admission of scholars to Oxford and Cambridge colleges may be made provided that the selection is reported to the Secretary of State for India by telegram early in June and testimonials forwarded during that month. The Syndicate states that the University examinations are held in March and April and the results of the B.A., Examination (Honours)—upon which by far the larger number of scholars is selected—cannot in any year be published much earlier than the end of April. Allowing successful candidates time to apply for the scholarship and the Syndicate to make its selection from among the applicants, it is obvious that the Government cannot expect to have the University nomination by the 15th of May unless the selection is restricted to successful candidates of previous years. The Syndicate, out of a desire to benefit the (Honours) graduates of the year in which the scholarship is awarded, enquires whether it will be permitted to submit its nomination early in June.

Column 11 of statement on page 4 of G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

Paragraph 2 of G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

Under the regulations hitherto in force applications for State scholarship must reach the Registrar of the University by the 15th March. The Government of India have now procured an extension of

Rule 2 of the rules printed on page 123 of Part II of the Calendar.

time from the Secretary of State and there appears to be very little use in asking for further extension. In view, however, of the fact that the Local Government is permitted to make the necessary report of the selection for the University scholarship direct to the India Office and that a telegraphic report if made early in June will ensure seats for scholars at Oxford and Cambridge, it may not perhaps prove inconvenient if the University is allowed as a special case to report its nomination to the Local Government by the 25th of May instead of by the 15th of that month. For orders whether the Syndicate may be allowed an extension of time as suggested above.

Paragraph 4 on page 2 of G.O. 593, Educational, 29th May 1916.

P.R.—9-9-16.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

6. The Syndicate points out that in the appendices to the Government of India resolution printed in G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the undermentioned conditions till now in force in connection with the award of the scholarships are not provided for :—

(*a*) *That the selected candidate should have obtained the consent of his family to proceed to England.*—It will be seen from paragraph 3 (*c*) of the resolution printed in G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, that, before a scholar leaves India, his parent or guardian is required to show that he has sent to the Secretary for Indian students in London a sum of £ 25 for initial outfit expenses. This condition virtually secures the consent of the parent but there appears to be no objection to the insertion of a specific provision to that effect in the subsidiary rules that may be framed.

None.

P.R.—9-9-16.

(*b*) *That his conduct has been good.*—This clause, though not absolutely necessary, may be included in the proposed subsidiary rules.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

(*c*) *That he has sufficient knowledge of the English language.*—No specific provision to this effect appears to be necessary as only candidates who have obtained a University degree are eligible for the scholarship.

P.R.—9-9-16.

(*d*) *That he is physically fit for residence in England.*—This is provided for in clause 1 of the general rules printed as Appendix II to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

P.R.—9-9-16.

(*e*) *That he executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in the event of misconduct.*—In the revised rules printed as Appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the provision as to the refund of the cost of passage to England in the event of misconduct has been omitted. It does not therefore appear necessary to retain the provision in regard to the execution of an agreement.

P.R.—9-9-16.

7. The special rules in Appendix II (*a*) on page 6 of G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, provide for the conduct of special examinations in connection with the selection of candidates; but no specific directions are given as to the procedure to be adopted in the selection of candidates in the absence of such special examinations. The existing rules provide that the scholar should be selected by the Vice-Chancellor on the report of the Syndicate who will in their turn be guided by the opinion of the Professors and Examiners. It appears desirable to retain this rule for the guidance of the Syndicate.

Rule 4 on page 124 of Part II of the University Calendar.

Yes.

P.R.—9-9-16.

8. The other rules on pages 124 to 126 of the University Calendar, Volume II, are superseded by the revised rules printed as Appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

9. As suggested in paragraph 3 of the Registrar's letter the Syndicate may, it is submitted, be asked to submit for the approval of Government a draft of the subsidiary rules which in its opinion are necessary, due effect being given therein to the orders that may be passed by Government on the questions raised above.

Yes.
P.R.—9-9-16.

E.A.V.—5-9-16.
N.K.—5-9-16.

The Syndicate may be informed in the terms of the above note with reference to the specific points raised by them. A copy of the Government Order may be communicated to the Government of India for information.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—7-9-16.

Has the Director of Public Instruction any remarks to offer?

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—12-9-16.

~~*To the Director of Public Instruction u.o.*~~

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

No, except that I think the age limit for B.A's. with Honours ought to be 23 as much as for M.A's. Such graduates are entitled to be M.A. after three years and they have had an extra year's course. It would be a great pity if an Honours man of 23 were to be superseded by a Pass man of 22. It would be enough if the examination for Honours in Madras were treated as what it is, viz., an examination for the M.A. degree.

I would further suggest that the date of submission of nominations for the language scholarships by the Director of Public Instruction may be altered to, at earliest, 1st April as the nominations are now only due to the Government of India by the 18th May.

J. H. STONE—19-9-16.

~~*To the Home (Educational) Department.*~~

HOME (EDUCATIONAL) DEPARTMENT.

In regard to the age limit, the Government of India's resolution lays down that the candidate should be between 22 and 25 years of age according to the conditions of study obtaining in the Indian Universities in the subject selected by the candidate. In view of the longer course for the B.A. "Honours" and of its decided superiority to the "Pass" course, there would appear to be no objection in fixing the age limit at 23 in the case of "Honours" candidates as suggested by the Director of Public Instruction.

Page 4 of G.O. 593, 29th May 1916.
P.R.—5-10-16.

2. The Director suggests that the date for the submission of nomination to the Local Government for the Oriental language scholarship may be altered from the 1st February to the 1st April. As the date for the submission of nomination to the Government of India has been altered from the 1st March to the 15th May, there appears to be no objection to the adoption of the Director of Public Instruction's suggestion that the nomination should reach the Local Government by the 1st April instead of by the 1st February as hitherto.

G.O. 686, 10th June 1914.
Page 5 of G.O. 593, 29th May 1916.
P.R.—5-10-16.

3. In this connection it is submitted that the dates fixed for the submission of nominations to the Local Government, in respect of certain other scholarships may also be suitably altered. The statement below gives in one view the dates by which the Director of Public Instruction or the Surgeon-General has to submit his recommendations to the Local Government the dates hitherto in force for the submission of such nominations to the Government of India, and the revised dates now prescribed by that Government. In the last column of the statement certain revised dates are suggested for the submission of nominations by heads of departments to the Local Government in lieu of the dates entered in column 2 :—

Nature of the scholarship.	Date by which Director of Public Instruction or Surgeon-General has to submit his recommendation.	Date for the submission of Local Government's nomination to the Government of India.		Revised date proposed for the submission of nomination to the Local Government in lieu of the date entered in column 2.
		Under old orders.	Under existing orders.	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1. Technical scholarships (G.O. Nos. 396-97, dated 8th April 1916).	1st March	15th April	15th May	15th April
2. Scholarship for members of the domiciled community (male candidates) (G.O. No. 25, dated 5th January 1914)	1st February	1st March	Do.	Do.
3. Scholarship for members of the domiciled community (female candidates) (G.O. No. 666, dated 17th July 1913).	....	31st March	Do.	1st April
4. Scholarship for Indian women. (G.O. No. 1358, dated 9th December 1915).	1st April	15th May	Do.	Do.

If the dates in the last column of the statement above are approved, separate orders may issue in regard to them and on the Director of Public Instruction's suggestion noticed in paragraph 2 supra.

Yes.

P.R.—5-10-16.

4. The draft below which deals with the questions raised in the Registrar's letter is for approval.

N.K.—30-9-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—3-10-16.
P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—10-10-16.
P[ENTLAND]—11-10-16.

[G.O. No. 1076, Home (Educational), dated 12th October 1916.]

GOVERNMENT MADRAS
HOME DEPARTMENT

(Educational)

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O. No. 593, Education dated 29th May 1916.

ABSTRACT.—Communicating to the Director of Public Instruction, and to certain other officers the Resolution of the Government of India No. 399, dated the 1st May 1916 on the subject of State scholarships and directing its publication in the *Fort St. George Gazette*.

I

Letter—from F. DEWSBURY, Esq.A., LL.B., Registrar, University of Madras.
To—the Secretary to Government (Educational) Department.
Dated—the 18th August 1916.
No.—6531.

With reference to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated 29th May 1916, communicating Resolution No. 399 of the Government of India, Department of Education, dated 1st May 1916, on the matter of State scholarships, I am directed by the Syndicate to address the Government upon various questions arising out of the resolution of the Government of India.

2. It is noted that the resolution of the Government of India purports "to supersede and collate all previous resolutions and orders on this subject." The Syndicate is uncertain how far such supersession of previous orders must be considered effective, and desires further information more especially with reference to the following points:—

(*a*) *Candidates who are eligible for the Government of India scholarships.*—In appendix I to the resolution, column 8, the condition of eligibility is that candidates shall be "Indians." In previous resolutions of the Government of India these scholarships have been tenable "by persons who are natives of India within the meaning of section 6 of the statute 33 Vict. Cap. 3." The Syndicate has no means of verifying this reference and desires information as to how far the term "Indian" now excludes members of domiciled European and Anglo-Indian community in India. In the year 1892 the Government University scholar selected and approved by the Government in G.O. No. 409, Educational, dated 27th May 1892, was Mr. P. E. Cammiade who was stated to be "Catholic of European descent." Apparently, therefore, members of the domiciled European community were eligible at that time for the scholarship. In this connection, however, I have to refer to the Resolution of the Government of India, Home Department, No. 221/238, dated 19th March 1907, which in paragraph 2 stated that members of the domiciled European and Eurasian community in India "will no longer be regarded as eligible for the two University State scholarships at present granted to natives of India." As the recent resolution of the Government of India makes no reference to this restriction, the Syndicate desires to know whether it is to be considered as continuing in force.

(*b*) *Age limit.*—In appendix I to the resolution the age limit is fixed at 22 to 25 years according to the conditions of study obtaining in the Indian Universities in the subject selected by the candidate. I am to inquire whether the age limit of candidates for the scholarships will continue to remain as defined in G.O. No. 146, Educational, dated 13th March 1911, viz., 25 years in the case of medical graduates and 23 years in the case of persons holding other degrees, namely, those of M.A., B.L., M.L., and B.E., and whether the age limit for persons holding the degree of B.A. should be considered to be 22 years.

(*c*) *Qualifications prescribed.*—In column 10 of the appendix to the resolution above referred to the qualification is "degree of an Indian University." The candidates at the Honours examination of the year in which a scholarship is awarded by this University will by no possibility be able to graduate and actually take their degrees at a convocation between the date of passing the examination and the date of selection for the scholarship. The Syndicate hopes to be able to arrange for the successful candidates at that examination being allowed to apply for the scholarship, but it appears that before they will be eligible therefor the above conditions of qualification should be amended so as to cover the cases of persons who, though they have not actually received a degree in the University, are yet qualified for the same. There is no difficulty under the regulations in these degrees being conferred on Government scholars *in absentia* at the next succeeding convocation held in November or December of the same year.

(*d*) *The latest date by which the nomination or selection should be submitted to the Government of India.*—The date fixed in appendix I to the resolution is 15th May. In the remarks column of that appendix it is stated that "in the case of scholars selected by the Universities of Madras and Bombay, the necessary report to the India Office should be made by the local Governments," and in paragraph 4 of the resolution itself it is further stated that "arrangement for the admission of scholars to Oxford and Cambridge colleges may be made provided that the selection is reported to the Secretary of State by telegram early in June and testimonials forwarded during that month." The Syndicate desires to know whether under these arrangements for the selection of scholars by this University it will be permissible to submit to the local Government the final nomination later than the above date, the 15th of May. The matter is of importance in view of the fact that the examinations of this University are held in March and April each year and the results of the B.A. (Honours) degree examination, upon which by far the larger number of scholars would be selected, cannot in any year be published much before the end of the month of April. This year the date fixed for the submission of results by examiners was May 4th. It is obvious that the time allowed for successful candidates at that examination to apply for the Government of India scholarship and for the Syndicate to make its selection from among the applicants would under present conditions be insufficient if the result of this selection had to be communicated by the 15th of May. If, however, as the Syndicate hopes, the latest date to be fixed may be the beginning of June, no difficulty would be experienced in considering in any year the claims of successful candidates at the examination of that year. Otherwise the selection would be confined to successful candidates of previous years.

3. The Syndicate further desires information as to whether the subsidiary rules to be observed in connection with the selection of candidates for these Government scholarships which have been from time to time prescribed or sanctioned by the Secretary of State or the Government of India or the local Government, a summary of which is published on pages 124–125 of volume II of the University Calendar of 1916, are to be considered as rescinded. In the appendices to the Government of India resolution there is nothing corresponding to the following present requirements under the above rules—(*a*) that the selected candidate should have obtained the consent of his family to proceed to England, (*b*) that his conduct has been good, (*c*) that he has sufficient knowledge of the English language (*d*) that he is physically fit for residence in England, (*e*) that he executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in case of misconduct, nor is there any provision as to the method of selection of such candidates. The Syndicate considers that all such subsidiary rules relating to the Government of India University scholarships should now be revised in the light of the resolution of the Government of India. If this proposal meets with the approval of the Government, the rules, when revised, will be submitted for sanction and will be published in the University Calendar together with the full text of the resolution of the Government of India.

Order—No. 1076, Home (Educational), dated 12th October 1916.

In his letter read above the Registrar of the University of Madras raises certain questions relating to the award of the Government of India scholarship instituted in the Home Department Resolution No. 1—45-57, dated the 12th February 1886. The answers of the Government are given below.

2. *Candidates eligible.*—Under the orders contained in paragraph 2 of the Home Department Resolution No. 2214—233, dated the 19th March 1907, printed in G.O. No. 200, Educational, dated the 5th April 1907, candidates belonging to the domiciled European or Eurasian community in India are not eligible for the Government of India scholarship awarded through the University. These orders have not been superseded and they must therefore be regarded as being still in force.

3. *Age limit.*—The age limit of candidates will, except in the case referred to below, continue to remain as defined in G.O. No. 146, Educational, dated the 13th March 1911, i.e., 25 years in the case of medical graduates and 23 years in the case of persons holding the degree of M.A., B.L., M.L., or B.E. The age limit for candidates who have passed the B.A. degree examination (Pass Course) will be the same as hitherto, viz., 22 years, but in the case of those who have passed the "Honours" examination of that degree the limit will be fixed at 23 years.

4. *Qualifications.*—The Government see no objection to the proposal to treat candidates who have passed the requisite examinations, but have not actually taken their degrees, as eligible.

5. *The latest date for reporting the Syndicate's selection to the Government.*—In view of the considerations urged by the Syndicate, the Government are pleased to alter the date from the 15th to the 25th of May in each year.

6. *Subsidiary rules.*—The Syndicate's suggestion to frame subsidiary rules for the award of the scholarship is approved. The Syndicate is requested to submit a draft of the subsidiary rules considered necessary for the purpose. The Government see no objection to the inclusion in the draft rules of the existing provisions relating to the obtaining by the candidate of the consent of his family to proceed to England and the production of sufficient proof as regards good conduct. The existing rule laying down the procedure to be adopted in the selection of candidates and the orders contained in paragraph 3 supra may also be included in the draft subsidiary rules. The Government consider that no specific rules on the following points need be included for the reasons given against each :—

(1) *that the candidate has sufficient knowledge of the English language.*—No specific provision to this effect is necessary as only candidates who have obtained a University degree are eligible for the scholarship ;

(2) *that the candidate is physically fit for residence in England.*—This is provided for in clause 1 of the general rules printed as appendix II to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916 ;

(3) *that the candidate executes an agreement with two sureties for the repayment of the cost of his passage in the event of misconduct.*—In the revised rules printed as appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916, the provision as to the refund of the cost of the passage to England, in the event of misconduct, has been omitted. It is unnecessary to include this provision in the proposed subsidiar rules.

7. The remaining rules relating to the award of the scholarship on pages 124 to 126 of the University Calendar, volume II, should be regarded as superseded by the revised rules printed as appendix IV to G.O. No. 593, Educational, dated the 29th May 1916.

(True Extract)

P. Rajagopala Achariyar,
Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar, University of Madras,

Copy to the Director of Public Instruction.

Endorsement—No. , Home (Educational), dated 12th October 1916.

Copy to the Government of India, Department of Education.

P. Rajagopala Achariyar,
Secretary to Government.

(EDUCATIONAL)

G.O. No. 1076, dated 12th October 1916.

University scholarship

Issuing orders on certain questions raised by the Syndicate of the University of Madras in regard to the award of the Government of India —

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY. PREFERENCE. URGENT (*a*).

Secte. II—38—2-15. ..... Department.

Current No ....., dated ..... 191 .

G.O. No. 1076, dated ... 12th ... 191 .

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence. 9 (*b*) / Notes. 10 (Ten)

Brief subject ..... Withdrawal of ...

(*c*) Number of copies required when struck off (*not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press*).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
For Record.*			
Madras Records	4	25	12
Ooty Records		6	4
For filing with original ..			1
Total ..		31	17

For Issue.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies of G.O. (*b*) No.	Special issue copies of G.O. (*b*) Papers.	Notes.
Signature copies		2			
India Office					
Govt. of India ..... Dept.					
Revenue Department					
Public Works Department					
Local and Municipal Department					
Educational Department					
..... Dept.					
Public Dept.					
Judicial Dept					
Political					
Financial Dept.	Total				
Marine Dept.					
Ecclesiastical Dept.					
			Total ...		
Spare copies: The D. P-9		10			
The Regl. Committee					
The A.S.		10			
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
The					
Total ...					

* Copies distributed on ..... ‡(Press Clerk).

† Copies distributed on ..... ‡(Press Despatcher).

‡ *To be initialled and dated.*

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(*a*) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(*b*) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(*b*1) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(*c*) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Reference concerned.

(*d*) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for *one* week, and larger papers for *two* weeks only. *The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.*

(*e*) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(*a*) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(*b*) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(*c*) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(*d*) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(*e*) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials also being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval. / at once.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

12-10-16

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

University Scholarships.

Issuing orders on certain questions raised by the Syndicate of the University of Madras in regard to the award of the Govt. of India ——.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on 11/10/16	L.T.
First proof furnished on 12.10.16	LB
Call for revised proof received on	
Revised proof furnished on	
Corrected proof received for striking on 21/10/16	LT
Final copies supplied on 27/10/16	LB

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition
Supplied to Secretariat for Record	31	41	.....	.....
" " " Issue	22	.....	.....	.....
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.	.....	.....	.....	4
For Volumes	15	.....	.....	.....
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.	.....	.....	.....	.....
Total ...	70			