

Government of Madras.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 1011, 25th September 1916

St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal

Sanctioning with remarks building, furniture and boarding grants to the —.

Government of Madras.

HOME DEPARTMENT.

(EDUCATION)

G.O. No. 1011, 25th September 1916

N.P

ORIGINAL.

St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal

Sanctioning with remarks building, furniture and boarding grants to the —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

HOME DEPARTMENT

(Education).

READ—the following papers:—

I

G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916.

ABSTRACT.—Sanctioning a building grant of Rs. 59,870 towards the cost of constructing a school-house, cottages and a house for the Principal attached to the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.

G.O. Mis. No. 569, Educational, dated the 24th May 1916.

ABSTRACT.—Declining to enhance the amount of the building grant already sanctioned towards the cost of constructing a school-house, cottages and a house for the Principal in connection with the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 25th July 1916.

No.—R.O. 822/15.

In G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated 24th March 1916, Government have been pleased to sanction a half-grant not exceeding Rs. 59,870 towards the cost of the undermentioned buildings for the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal:—

	Approved estimate.
	RS.
(1) School-house	57,000
(2) One cottage home	35,000
(3) Principal's house	25,500
(4) One coal and wash house	1,240
(5) Two latrines	1,000
Total	1,19,740

In G.O. Mis. No. 569, Educational, dated 24th May 1916, they refused to raise the grant from half to two-thirds of the total cost.

2. I have now the honour to submit, for orders of Government, copy of letter from the correspondent of the school, dated 23rd June 1916. It will be seen that the total cost of the building scheme excluding the cost of furnishing the cottages for which they can apply for a grant separately under the provisions of article 44 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools, but for which, if sanctioned, a special additional allotment will be required, amounts to Rs. 2,30,460 as shown below according to the estimate for the items approved in G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated 24th March 1916, mentioned above:—

	Approved estimate.
	RS.
(1) School-house	57,000
(2) Four cottage homes (at Rs. 35,000 each)	1,40,000
(3) Principal's house	25,500
(4) Four outhouses (at Rs. 1,240 each)	4,960
(5) Six latrines (at Rs. 500 each)	3,000
Total	2,30,460

A half-grant on the total cost is Rs. 1,15,230 (which includes the half-grant of Rs. 59,870 already sanctioned) and of this, the management desire payment of Rs. 40,000 during the current year and of the balance during the next two succeeding years. As regards the current year, out of the allotment of Rs. 68,000 for building grants to European schools, grants amounting to Rs. 10,865 have been paid up to date, and as there will be prior demands for payment from other schools, it is not possible to pay more than Rs. 10,000 to this school out of the grant of Rs. 59,870 already sanctioned. As regards the future, unless the ordinary allotment which is at present

Rs. 68,000 a year is considerably increased or a special allotment is made to pay off the existing liabilities, there seems to be no prospect of meeting the wishes of the management of this school regarding the payment of the whole grant within the next two years even assuming that only a half-grant is sanctioned towards the cost of the whole scheme.

3. Another matter which I would bring to the notice of Government is that the management estimate their recurring expenditure at Rs. 30 a month per child. Assuming that Government pay by way of grants-in-aid even half that amount and under existing rules this is considerably more than would be due, the Homes will have to meet when they have 300 children, a minimum expenditure of Rs. 54,000 per annum. By promising the grant now asked for, Government, I submit, commit themselves to the eventual addition of a considerable sum per annum to their expenditure on European schools which under article 40 alone would amount to Rs. 28,800 with little probability, so far as I can see, of there being as a set-off any substantial saving in other schools. I am moreover not aware that the provision by the Homes of Rs. 54,000 a year is in any sense a certainty. For the maintenance of the Homes, they seem to depend mostly on voluntary subscriptions and donations, and it would appear that whatever they hope to get from the Laidlaw bequest is not realizable immediately nor is the extent of the gift from that source known at present.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from the Correspondent, St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.

To—the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Dated—the 23rd June 1916.

I have the honour to submit the following statement with reference to the application from the St. George's Homes for a grant from Government towards the cost of the initial buildings of the institution. Government has already sanctioned a grant of half the cost of (1) the school building, (2) one cottage, (3) the Principal's house, (4) one outhouse and three latrines.

The number of children we shall have in residence at the end of this year makes it essential that our initial programme should include the erection of four cottages. Each cottage will only accommodate three or two children. By the end of the current year there will be at least forty boys and forty girls in residence. It will be impossible to have some of the children in our own buildings, and some in the station of Kodaikanal itself; and yet this would be the inevitable result if only one cottage home were erected.

I have therefore the honour to submit the following application for a grant of two thirds or half the total cost:—

	RS.
(1) School building	57,000
(2) Four cottages at Rs. 35,000 each	1,40,000
(3) Principal's house	25,500
(4) Four outhouses at Rs. 1,500 each	6,000
(5) Six latrines at Rs. 300 each	1,800
(6) Furnishing four cottages for 128 children and 12 members of staff. The actual cost in the Kalimpong Homes is Rs. 100 per child.	12,800
Total	2,43,100

I am not sure of the actual amount of the estimates for one outhouse and three latrines already sanctioned by Government as all the papers are in Kodaikanal; but I believe that the estimate for the outhouse was Rs. 1,500 and that for each latrine was Rs. 300.

As we are anxious to start the buildings immediately, the Board of Management is prepared to spend Rs. 1,20,000 if the Government will sanction a liberal grant towards the initial buildings. It is certain that our payments for buildings will not exceed half the above total cost, before the end of March 1917.

I have the honour to state that the Board of Management will greatly appreciate an early answer to this application as we are now naturally anxious to start the buildings as quickly as possible.

III

Memorandum No. 2610-3, Home (Education), dated 18th August 1916.

The Director of Public Instruction is requested to report what grant is now given to the children of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, under article 40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools, and what further grant will be necessary during the current year on the assumption that the grant will be limited to the number of pupils now on the rolls and will be fixed at the rate of Rs. 8 per child per mensem.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.L.E., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Home Department (Education).

Dated—the 28th August 1916.

No.—R.C. 822/15.

In reply to Government memorandum No. 2610-3, Home Department (Education), dated 18th August 1916, I have the honour to state that no grant under article 40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools is now given to the children of St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.

There were on the 31st March 1916 30 boys and 19 girls on the rolls of the institution, say 50 in all. Assuming that all of them are orphans or destitute children eligible for grant under article 40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools, the total amount of grant for the year would amount to Rs. $8 \times 12 \times 50 =$ Rs. 4,800.

Order—No. 1011, Home (Education), dated 25th September 1916.

In modification of G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916, the Government are pleased to sanction the following grants to the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal:—

(i) a grant not exceeding one-half of the actual expenditure, nor Rs. 1,15,230, towards the cost of the buildings referred to in paragraph 2 of the letter of the Director of Public Instruction, R.C. No. 822, dated the 25th July 1916, provided that the conditions prescribed in the Code of Regulations for European Schools have been duly complied with;

(ii) a furniture grant not exceeding one-half of the actual cost of furniture purchased for the Homes; and

(iii) a boarding grant at the rate of Rs. 8 per mensem per orphan or destitute child in the Homes under Rule 40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools.

2. Out of the sum of Rs. 40,000 which the authorities of the Homes require during the current year towards the cost of the buildings referred to in paragraph 1 (i) above, a sum of Rs. 10,000 will be met by the Director of Public Instruction from the existing budget allotment for building grants to European schools. Orders regarding the allotment of the balance of Rs. 30,000 will issue in the Financial Department.

3. The amount required for payment of the half-grant referred to in paragraph 1 (ii) above towards the cost of furniture will be sanctioned on receipt of an application from the Director of Public Instruction when the exact amount is known.

4. As regards the boarding grant referred to in paragraph 1 (iii) above, the Director of Public Instruction should endeavour to meet it from the existing grant of Rs. 94,500, under 22-G. Education, Boarding and Cadet grants; but if the allotment is exceeded, the Director should apply for the necessary additional allotment of funds.

(True Extract.)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.
" Collector of Madras.
" Financial Department (with notes).

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1011, HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED 25TH SEPTEMBER 1916.

[SUBJECT.—Grant for the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.]

Demi-official—from the Hon'ble Sir HAROLD STUART, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O., Member of Council.

To—the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR Avargal, C.L.E., Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Ootacamund, the 15th July 1916.

Can you tell me what has been done about the grant for the St. George's Homes? We have a meeting of the Board of Management on August 3rd and I am most anxious to get something settled in order that we may begin building at once.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please see the notes and orders with G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916, wherein the Government sanctioned a grant not exceeding one-half of the total expenditure nor Rs. 59,870 towards the cost of constructing a school-house, cottages and a house for the Principal of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.

2. A subsequent application from the correspondent of the Homes, requesting that the half-grant might be raised to two-thirds of the estimated cost of construction, was negatived by the Government for the following reasons (G.O. No. 569, Educational, dated the 24th May 1916):—

(i) a half-grant alone is permissible;

(ii) the additional grant, if sanctioned, would be at the expense of other schools, as funds are inadequate to meet all demands.

E.A.V.—17-7-16.

C.R.—17-7-16.

The Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—18-7-16.

We have sent a further letter to the Director some time ago asking for a half-grant for our total building scheme. I sent a copy of this to His Excellency. Will you kindly ask His Excellency whether you may ask Mr. Stone to send this letter to Government without delay as I am most anxious to get a decision before the Board meets—on the 3rd August.

H. A. STUART—18-7-16.

May I address Mr. Stone as at A?

P.R.—19-7-16.

P[ENTLAND]—20-7-16.

Let me have draft demi-official sharp.

P.R.—21-7-16.

A draft demi-official is submitted for approval.

E.A.V.—21-7-16.

C.R.—21-7-16.

P.R.—21-7-16.

Demi-official—from the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR
 Avargal, C.I.E., Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
 To—J. H. STONE, Esq., M.A., C.I.E., F.R.E.S., Director of Public Instruction.
Dated—the 21st July 1916.
No.—2610-1 Edl.

It is understood that the authorities of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, have, subsequent to the issue of G.O. No. 569, Educational, dated the 24th May 1916, submitted an application for a half-grant for their building scheme. Will you kindly submit the application to Government without delay, as the authorities are most anxious to get a decision on it before the Board of Management of the Homes meets next on the 3rd August?

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O. No. 822/15, dated 25th July 1916.

The application of the authorities of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, for building grant, which was called for from the Director of Public Instruction, has been received. The management now ask for a half-grant of the total cost of their building scheme which is estimated by them at Rs. 2,43,100 as shown below:—

	Rs.
(1) School building	57,000
(2) Four cottages—each to accommodate 32 children—at Rs. 35,000 each	1,40,000
(3) Principal's house	25,500
(4) Four outhouses at Rs. 1,500 each	6,000
(5) Six latrines at Rs. 300 each	1,800
(6) Furnishing four cottages	12,800
Total	2,43,100

Of the above the estimates for items (4) and (5) require revision with reference to the estimates for similar works approved in G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916, which amount to Rs. 4,960 and Rs. 3,000 respectively; and the

* (1) 57,000
(2) 1,40,000
(3) 25,500
(4) 4,960
(5) 3,000
2,30,460

G.O. 328, Educational, 24th March 1916.

2. The Director does not make any specific recommendation in view of two factors which he considers to be uncertain, viz.—

- the provision of funds from Provincial funds to meet the amount of grant for initial and annual charges,
- the capacity of the management to maintain the Home in the elaborate scale contemplated by them.

As regards the first point, the Director of Public Instruction in calculating the maintenance charges takes into account the strength (300) which the Home stated was to be the ultimate number to be provided for. It is, however, submitted that it may be enough for present purposes if the number that is to be accommodated by the cottage homes to be constructed is taken into account. Four homes are now proposed and they are intended to accommodate 128 boys and girls. Calculating at the rate assumed by the Director of Public Instruction (Rs. 15† per child per mensem) a total maintenance grant of Rs. 23,040 may have to be faced besides an initial building grant of Rs. 1,15,230.

3. As regards the building grant, the management desires the payment of Rupees 40,000 in the current year and the balance in the two succeeding years. The Director

of Public Instruction is able to find from his budget only Rs. 10,000 in the current year for this purpose and expects, if the grant is to be sanctioned, a substantial increase in the allotment for building grant for European schools in the next two years to enable the payment of the grant to the Home. It has already been in a way decided that the grant should be found from the balance of the Imperial non-recurring grant for European education (4-50 lakhs) still unutilized. It is therefore only a

question of the ability of the Provincial finances to allot this amount. It may not be possible for Financial to say at present when this could be done. The grant, if sanctioned, will have to be coupled with the usual condition that it will be paid when funds become available.

4. In regard, however, to the provision of recurring maintenance grant, it is submitted that no specific guarantee is ordinarily made by Government in this matter. The payment of grants to particular institutions is subject to the provision of total allotment for grants-in-aid in the budget. The budget contains a provision of Rs. 94,500 under "Boarding and Cadet grants" and Rs. 20,000 for "special grants to European schools." The Director of Public Instruction says that it may not be possible to expect any substantial savings from other schools. No portion of the Imperial recurring grant for European education seems available for the purpose. An

increase of budget allotment to the extent of about Rs. 20,000* may be necessary though not immediately. For the present only Rs. 14,400† to provide for the eighty students may be needed; and against this should be set off the grant now apparently being paid for the Home, the amount of which is not known.

On the whole, an immediate increase in the allotment of about Rs. 10,000 will be inevitable. No guarantee can be given at present that this additional amount will be found, though it may be possible to do so.

5. As regards the ability of the Home to find the funds for its maintenance, it may be presumed that it will be able to finance at least to the extent to which it is proposed to develop the Home immediately (namely, up to a strength of 80 or 100). The question whether in its present finances it can maintain a Home with 300 students need not be considered now. No information is available as to the present position of the Home in respect of finances.

6. It is for consideration whether the half-grant may not be sanctioned on the usual conditions. Financial may perhaps see the case, though the Code limits are not exceeded.

[Please see the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart's note on page 1 supra.]

C.R. —28-7-16.

It is significant that the Director of Public Instruction does not make any specific recommendation. Financial should, I think, see the papers and offer its remarks before the matter goes further.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—29-7-16.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

In G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated 24th March 1916, the Government sanctioned a half-grant of Rs. 59,870 towards constructing a school-house and other buildings for the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, the grant to be paid when funds become available.

The proposals now made are—

- (1) that the Government should sanction a half-grant of Rs. 1,15,230 instead of Rs. 59,870, as some additional buildings are to be constructed; and
- (2) that, out of this grant, a sum of Rs. 40,000 should be paid in the current year, and the balance in the next two years.

2. The first proposal may, it is submitted, be accepted. In regard to item (2) above, attention is invited to paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Chief Secretary's (Mr. Butterworth) note, dated 18th March 1916, on page 3 of Notes to G.O. No. 323, Educational, dated 24th March 1916, and the correspondent's letter forwarded by the Director. It has first to be settled whether the work is so imperatively urgent that funds should be allotted in the current year. If it is considered urgent, the Homes may be left to spend their money first, so that the grant need not be paid to it till 1917-18. If the work is not imperatively urgent, the grant may be paid when funds are available.

3. In regard to the question of maintenance grant raised in paragraph 3 of the correspondent's letter and paragraph 2 on page 2 ante, it is submitted that for the present the number of students at the end of the current year will be only 80 and the maintenance grant at Rs. 15 per mensem per head will be Rs. $80 \times 15 \times 12$ or Rs. 14,400 per annum, and the Director of Public Instruction can presumably find this sum from the existing budget grant.

4. Orders are solicited whether the proposals may be accepted subject to orders in regard to A and B above.

T.A.S.—29-7-16.

This is a demand for three grants—

(a) a building grant of Rs. 1,15,230 of which Rs. 40,000 is asked for in the current year, and the balance during the next two succeeding years,

(b) a furniture grant of Rs. 12,800,

(c) a maintenance grant of Rs. 15 a month per child—present liability about Rs. 14,400 a year, and ultimate liability Rs. 54,000 per annum.

2. *Building grant.*—Government have already agreed to a grant not exceeding Rs. 59,870 to be paid when funds are available. I venture to doubt whether

L.D.—31-7-16.

Financial can, at the present stage, commit itself to a grant of Rs. 1,15,230 of which Rs. 40,000 is said to be required immediately. In regard to the increased grant I think all that can be said is that, while Government cannot commit itself to any promise at the present juncture, this will not debar the school authorities from approaching Government again later on when money is easier. The Director of Public Instruction can presumably find Rs. 10,000 this year and this may be paid in partial liquidation of our promise of Rs. 59,870.

3. *Furniture grant.*—A furniture grant is admissible under article 44 of the Code for European Schools. The grant will not be required immediately, and when the demand is made we can see whether funds can be made available. I think it would be unwise to make any commitment just at present.

4. *Maintenance grant.*—Financial cannot, it is submitted, agree to a grant of Rs. 15 a child. The maximum permissible under article 40 of the Code for European Schools is Rs. 8 a month, and I venture to submit that the Code rule should be adhered to in this case. We cannot now commit ourselves to meet the ultimate liability for 300 children, but the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to say

L.D.—31-7-16.

what grant is now being given under this article, and what further grant should be paid on the assumption that Government will be prepared to give Rs. 8 per mensem per child. It may be possible to find the money in the current year if the amount is not large.

5. A question which arises for consideration in connexion with this case is that of increasing the budget provision for European schools. I don't think Financial can express any definite opinion at the present stage, and the matter must be considered when we frame our budget.

L. E. KERSHAW—31-7-16.

The nett result seems to me that Financial cannot go beyond the Code provisions or give present guarantees as to when grants admissible under the Code will be forthcoming—not a very helpful conclusion. The Board of Management may be able to meet this year's payments out of its own funds, if we can promise a half-grant for building next year. It is for the Honourable Member (Financial) to decide whether any such promise can be made now in advance of budget consideration or whether in case of need we may make any allotment from reserve* this year—which latter course Financial Department can hardly advise.

* Financial to note the present figure of reserve.

L.D.—31-7-16.

Present reserve is 4.39 lakhs against which a demand of .33 lakh has been accepted. Net reserve is 4.06.

L. DAVIDSON—31-7-16.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For orders as to circulation to His Excellency the Governor and the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O.—please see page 1 ante.

M.A.V.—31-7-16.

C.R.—31-7-16.

His Excellency (through the Honourable Member, Financial).

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—2-8-16.

It is difficult for me to note on this case as I am Chairman of the Board of Management, which is the applicant for the grant. I would, however, invite attention that over a lakh of rupees has been raised by public subscription for the capital expenditure, that a sufficient annual income is assured for meeting the recurring expenditure if the usual recurring grants are given, and Sir Robert Laidlaw's bequest, which takes effect on Lady Laidlaw's death, will place the institution on a firm financial basis. We are not asking for any special terms—only for the ordinary grants of the Grant-in-Aid Code, and I venture to express my hope that that amount of assistance will be given. This is a particular case of the general question of educational policy on which I noted a short time ago.

H. A. S[UART]—2-8-16.

This might suitably be considered I suggest in connection with the general question now with Financial.

P[RENTLAND]—3-8-16.

Submitted that the file may be forwarded to Financial with reference to His Excellency's orders above.

T.K.—3-8-16.

P.R.—3-8-16.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The other file has been submitted. In it the Honourable Member has directed that the Director of Public Instruction may be permitted to accept liabilities up to +8.50 lakhs on building grants, to be paid when the present financial restrictions are removed. The Honourable Member has also directed that an additional allotment of 1.50 lakhs may be given this year.

2. Applying these orders to the present case, Rs. 30,000 out of the Rs. 40,000

L.D.—6-8-16.

which the school authorities want during the current year towards the building grant may be paid from the allotment of 1-50 referred to above. The remaining Rs. 10,000 to be paid in the current year will be found by the Director of Public Instruction from budget provision. As regards the balance of the building grant—Rs. 75,230—this may be included in the liabilities which the Director of Public Instruction will be authorized to accept.

3. As regards the furniture grant of Rs. 12,800, the Honourable Member has remarked that no special terms are asked for, so that the grant to be given is half the cost of furnishing the quarters. Rupees 12,800 appears to be an estimate only, but

L.D.—6-8-16.

meanwhile we may earmark half this amount, viz., Rs. 6,400, to be paid from the additional allotment of 1-50.

4. There remains the maintenance grant. This is a *recurring* grant and cannot come out of the allotment of 1-50, or be included in the liabilities which the Director of Public Instruction is to be asked to accept. Both these sums represent non-

L.D.—6-8-16.

recurring expenditure. As no special concession is to be given it is presumed that the maintenance grant will be based on the Code provision of Rs. 8 per mensem per child, and that the request of the correspondent for a grant of Rs. 15 per child is not to be accepted. As suggested in my note on page 4, the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to state what is now being given, and what further amount is necessary, on the understanding that the grant will be limited in the current year to the number of pupils now on the rolls, and will be fixed at Rs. 8 per child per mensem. The question of provision of funds can then be considered.

L. E. KERSHAW—5-8-16.

L. DAVIDSON—6-8-16.

It is not necessary for me to note further on this particular case of the general question.

The Home Department will no doubt deal with the details of the claim for a recurring grant: at present the Homes have received no capitation grant of any kind though they are clearly entitled to it. I believe the Kalimpong Homes are treated liberally by the Bengal Government.

H. A. S[UART]—7-8-16.

V.V.—8-8-16.
T.A.S.—8-8-16.

* Noted.

Financial to note* the suggestions approved by the Chief Secretary in paragraphs 2 and 3 of my note, dated the 5th August 1916. The amounts when allotted should be taken in reduction of the non-recurring grant of 4-50 lakhs for European schools.

L.E.K.—8-8-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Draft official memorandum to the Director of Public Instruction submitted.

S.N.P.—14-8-16.

T.K.—14-8-16

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—16-8-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—18-8-16.

[Issued as memorandum No. 2610-3, Educational, dated the 18th August 1916.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.C. No. 822/15, dated the 28th August 1916.

Please see paragraph 4 of Financial Department notes on page 6 ante. The information required by Financial, as regards the amount of boarding grants under article 40 of the Code of Regulations for European schools, has now been obtained from the Director of Public Instruction. According to the figures given by the Director, it may be noted that the boarding grant required by the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, during the current year is not likely to exceed Rs. 5,000.

2. Orders have to issue—

(i) sanctioning a grant of Rs. 1,15,230, towards the cost of the building of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, in lieu of the sanction accorded in G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916, for Rs. 59,870, subject to scrutiny by Public Works Department of the plans and estimates;

(ii) stating that out of the Rs. 1,15,230, Rs. 40,000 can be paid during the current year, Rs. 30,000 being met from the additional allotment of Rs. 1-50 lakhs that will be made by Financial and Rs. 10,000 being met by the Director of Public Instruction from the budget allotment in the current year for building grants to European schools;

(iii) stating that a half-grant will be paid towards furniture, this amount also being met from the additional allotment that will be made by Financial—the amount of the furniture grant is not to be specified; and

(iv) sanctioning a boarding grant at the rate of Rs. 8 per orphan or destitute child in the St. George's Homes, the amount to be sanctioned and the provision of funds in regard to which have now to be considered in Financial.

3. It is submitted, orders in regard to (i) to (iii) above with such orders as may be passed by Financial in regard to (iv) above may issue on receipt of orders from Financial sanctioning an allotment of 1-50 lakhs and that the case may now go to Financial.

S.N.P.—5-9-16.

T.K.—5-9-16.

C.R.—7-9-16.

To the Financial Department.

P.R.—9-9-16.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Please see the Financial Department notes on pages 5 and 6 ante and the notes et seq.

2. The following grants will be sanctioned to the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal:—

(i) *Building grant* of Rs. 1,15,230 of which Rs. 40,000 is to be paid in the current year. Rupees 10,000 will be met by the Director of Public Instruction from

L.E.K.—15-9-16.

the existing allotment. The balance, viz., Rs. 30,000, will have to be sanctioned from the reserve. A total sum of Rs. 1-50 lakhs has been noted against the reserve for building grants.

(ii) *Furniture grant* of half the cost. A sum of Rs. 6,400 has been noted against the reserve; but the allotment may be sanctioned when the exact amount is known.

L.E.K.—15-9-16.

(iii) *Maintenance grant* of Rs. 4,500. This is the amount payable at Rs. 8 per mensem per head under rule 40 of the Code of Regulations for European schools. The amount can presumably be met by the Director of Public Instruction from the existing grant of Rs. 94,500 for boarding and cadet grants. The Director of Public

L.E.K.—15-9-16.

Instruction may be so informed, but if the allotment is exceeded, he may apply for an additional allotment later on.

3. The Home Department suggest that an additional allotment of Rs. 1.50 lakhs should be sanctioned at once in Financial. The Director of Public Instruction has been separately asked whether he would require the full amount of 1.50 lakhs, and till his reply together with proposals for the utilization of the amount is received

No. We have first to know how the money is going to be spent.

L.E.K.—15-9-16.

it is sufficient to sanction an additional allotment of 50 lakh for item (i) in paragraph

L.E.K.—15-9-16.

2 above, and following the usual practice, this may be done on receipt of the Home

Department proceedings sanctioning the various grants to the St. George's Homes.

4. The case may be returned to the Home Department with the above remarks.

T.A.S.—14-9-16.

L. E. KERSHAW—15-9-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Draft order submitted for approval.

S.N.P.—19-9-16.

T.K.—20-9-16.

E. A. HARVEY—22-9-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—24-9-16.

[G.O. No. 1011, Home (Education), dated 25th September 1916.]

Sanctioning with remarks
building, furniture and boarding
grants to the —

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 328, dated the 24th march 1916

" " " " 569, " the 24th may 1916

READ ALSO:- [C.2610 - not due read in Regs]

C. No. 2709, From the Dir. of Pub. Instn., P.O. No. 822, dated the 25th July 1916

" " " " G.M. No. 2610/3 Est. d. the 15th Aug 1916 to the Dir. of Pub. Instn. 191

" " " " 3163, " " Dir. of Pub. Instn. 822, " the 28th Aug 1916

ORDER.

Press

No. 1011, dated 25th Sept 1916

In modification of G.O. No. 328 Est., dated the 24th March 1916, the Govt. are pleased to sanction the following grants to the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal:-

(i) a grant not exceeding one-half of the actual expenditure, not Rs. 1,15,230 towards the cost of the buildings referred to in paragraph 2 of the letter of the Dir. of Pub. Instn., P.O. No. 822, of the 25th July 1916, provided that all the conditions prescribed in the Code of Regulations for European schools have been duly complied with;

(ii) a furniture grant not exceeding one-half of the actual cost of furni

pp-1-3CF

ture purchased for the Homes; and
(iii) a boarding grant at the rate
of Rs 8 per mensem per orphan or
destitute child in the Homes under Rule
40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools.

2. Out of the sum of Rs 40,000
which the authorities of the Homes require
during the current year towards the
cost of the buildings referred to in
para 1 (i) above, a sum of Rs 10,000
will be met by the Dir. of Pub. Instn.
from the existing budget allotment for
Building Grants to European Schools.
Orders regarding the allotment of the
balance of Rs 30,000 will issue in the
Financial Dept.

3. The amount required for payment
of the half grant referred to in para 1 (ii) above
towards the cost of furniture will be
sanct. on receipt of an appl. from
the Dir. of Pub. Instn. when the exact
amount is known.

4. As regards the boarding grant referred
to in para 1 (iii) above, the Dir. of Pub. Instn.
should endeavour to meet it from the existing
grant of Rs 94,500, under 22 G. Education,
Boarding and Canteen grants; but if the
allotment is exceeded, the Director
should apply for the necessary addl.
allotment of funds.

22.9.16
20/9/16

bb 24-25 MF

To
The Dir. of Pub. Instn.
The Asst. Secy.
The P.W. Dept.
The Comm. of Madras
The Fin. Dept.
1 (with notes)
22/9/16

Off. Jst. approval
2. Print of short please.
14.10.16
19/10/16

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1011, HOME (EDUCATION),
DATED 26TH SEPTEMBER 1916.

[SUBJECT.—Grant for the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.]

Demi-official—from the Hon'ble Sir HAROLD STUART, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O., Member
of Council.
To—the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR Avargal, C.I.E.,
Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Ootacamund, the 15th July 1916.

Can you tell me what has been done about the grant for the St. George's Homes? We
have a meeting of the Board of Management on August 3rd and I am most anxious to get
something settled in order that we may begin building at once.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please see the notes and orders with G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th
March 1916, wherein the Government sanctioned a grant not exceeding one-half of
the total expenditure nor Rs. 59,870 towards the cost of constructing a school-house,
cottages and a house for the Principal of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal.

2. A subsequent application from the correspondent of the Homes, requesting that
the half-grant might be raised to two-thirds of the estimated cost of construction, was
negated by the Government for the following reasons (G.O. No. 569, Educational,
dated the 24th May 1916) :—

- (i) a half-grant alone is permissible;
- (ii) the additional grant, if sanctioned, would be at the expense of other
schools as funds are inadequate to meet all demands.

E.A.V.—17-7-16.

C.R.—17-7-16.

The Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—18-7-16.

We have sent a further letter to the Director some time ago asking for a half-
grant for our total building scheme. I sent a copy of this to His Excellency. Will
A you kindly ask His Excellency whether you may ask Mr. Stone to send this
letter to Government without delay as I am most anxious to get a decision before
the Board meets—on the 3rd August.

H. A. S[UART]—18-7-16.

May I address Mr. Stone as at A?

P.R.—19-7-16.

P[ENTLAND]—20-7-16.

Let me have draft demi-official sharp.

P.R.—21-7-16.

A draft demi-official is submitted for approval.

E.A.V.—21-7-16.

C.R.—21-7-16.

P.R.—21-7-16.



2 NOTES TO G.O. No. 1011, HOME (EDUCATION), 25TH SEPTEMBER 1916

Demi-official—from the Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR
Avargal, C.I.E., Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

To—J. H. STONE, Esq., M.A., C.I.E., F.R.H.S., Director of Public Instruction.

Dated—the 21st July 1916.

No.—2610-1 Edl.

It is understood that the authorities of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, have, subsequent to the issue of G.O. No. 569, Educational, dated the 24th May 1916, submitted an application for a half-grant for their building scheme. Will you kindly submit the application to Government without delay, as the authorities are most anxious to get a decision on it before the Board of Management of the Homes meets next on the 3rd August?

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.C. No. 822/15, dated 25th July 1916.

The application of the authorities of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, for building grant, which was called for by the Director of Public Instruction, has been received. The management now ask for a half-grant of the total cost of their building scheme which is estimated by them at Rs. 2,43,100 as shown below:—

	Rs.
(1) School building	57,000
(2) Four cottages—each to accommodate 32 children—at Rs. 35,000 each	140,000
(3) Principal's house	25,500
(4) Four outhouses at Rs. 1,500 each	6,000
(5) Six latrines at Rs. 300 each	1,800
(6) Furnishing four cottages	12,800
Total	2,43,100

Of the above the estimates for items (4) and (5) require revision with reference to the estimates for similar works approved in G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916, which amount to Rs. 4,960 and Rs. 3,000 respectively; and the

*(1)	57,000
(2)	1,40,000
(3)	25,500
(4)	4,960
(5)	3,000

2,30,460

G.O. 328, Educational, 24th March 1916.

Director observes that in respect of item (6) the management can put in a separate application later on for grant under article 44 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools. The total cost of the first five items alone will then amount to Rupees 2,30,460* and a half-grant thereon will come up to Rs. 1,15,230—of which a grant of Rs. 59,870 has already been sanctioned.

2. The Director does not make any specific recommendation in view of two factors which he considers to be uncertain, viz.—

(i) the provision of funds from Provincial funds to meet the amount of grant for initial and annual charges,

(ii) the capacity of the management to maintain the Home in the elaborate scale contemplated by them.

As regards the first point, the Director of Public Instruction in calculating the maintenance charges takes into account the strength (300) which the Home stated was to be the ultimate number to be provided for. It is, however, submitted that it may be enough for present purposes if the number that is to be accommodated by the cottage homes to be constructed is taken into account. Four homes are now proposed

and they are intended to accommodate 128 boys and girls. Calculating at the rate assumed by the Director of Public Instruction (Rs. 15† per child per mensem) a total maintenance grant of Rs. 23,040

† half-grant on Rs. 30, estimated on account of each child.

may have to be faced besides an initial building grant of Rs. 1,15,230.

3. As regards the building grant, the management desires the payment of Rupees 40,000 in the current year and the balance in the two succeeding years. The Director

of Public Instruction is able to find from his budget only Rs. 10,000 in the current year for this purpose and expects, if the grant is to be sanctioned, a substantial increase in the allotment for building grant for European schools in the next two years to enable the payment of the grant to the Home. It has already been in a way decided that the grant should be found from the balance of the Imperial non-recurring grant for European education (4.50 lakhs) still unutilized. It is therefore only a question of the ability of the Provincial finances to allot this amount. It may not be possible for Financial to say at present

Cf. paragraph 3 of office-note on page 3 of Notes with G.O. 328, Educational, 24th March 1916.

when this could be done. The grant, if sanctioned, will have to be coupled with the usual condition that it will be paid when funds become available.

4. In regard, however, to the provision of recurring maintenance grant, it is submitted that no specific guarantee is ordinarily made by Government in this matter. The payment of grants to particular institutions is subject to the provision of total allotment for grants-in-aid in the budget. The budget contains a provision of Rs. 94,500 under "Boarding and Cadet grants" and Rs. 20,000 for "special grants to European schools." The Director of Public Instruction says that it may not be possible to expect any substantial savings from other schools. No portion of the Imperial recurring grant for European education seems available for the purpose. An increase of budget allotment to the extent of about Rs. 20,000* may be necessary though not immediately. For the present only Rs. 14,400† to provide for the eighty students may be needed; and against this should be set off the grant now apparently being paid for the Home, the amount of which is not known.

* For 128 boys at Rs. 15 per mensem.

† 180 × 80 = 14,400

On the whole, an immediate increase in the allotment of about Rs. 10,000 will be inevitable. No guarantee can be given at present that this additional amount will be found, though it may be possible to do so.

5. As regards the ability of the Home to find the funds for its maintenance, it may be presumed that it will be able to finance at least to the extent to which it is proposed to develop the Home immediately (namely, up to a strength of 80 or 100). The question whether in its present finances it can maintain a Home with 300 students need not be considered now. No information is available as to the present position of the Home in respect of finances.

6. It is for consideration whether the half-grant may not be sanctioned on the usual conditions. Financial may perhaps see the case, though the Code limits are not exceeded. C.R.—28-7-16.

It is significant that the Director of Public Instruction does not make any specific recommendation. Financial should, I think, see the papers and offer its remarks before the matter goes further.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—29-7-16.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

In G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated 24th March 1916, the Government sanctioned a half-grant of Rs. 59,870 towards constructing a school-house and other buildings for the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, the grant to be paid when funds become available.

The proposals now made are—

(1) that the Government should sanction a half-grant of Rs. 1,15,230 instead of Rs. 59,870, as some additional buildings are to be constructed; and

(2) that, out of this grant, a sum of Rs. 40,000 should be paid in the current year, and the balance in the next two years.

4 NOTES TO G.O. No. 1011, HOME (EDUCATION), 25TH SEPTEMBER 1916

2. The first proposal may, it is submitted, be accepted. In regard to item (2) above, attention is invited to paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Chief Secretary's (Mr. Butterworth) note, dated 18th March 1916, on page 3 of Notes to G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated 24th March 1916, and the correspondent's letter A of page 10 of the same. It has first to be settled whether the work is so imperatively urgent that funds should be allotted in the current year. If it is considered urgent, the Homes may be left to spend their money first, so that the grant need not be paid to it till 1917-18. If the work is not imperatively urgent, the grant may be paid when funds are available.

3. In regard to the question of maintenance grant raised in paragraph 3/4 of the current file, and paragraph 2 on page 11 of the same, it is submitted that for the present the number of students at the end of the current year will be only 80 and the maintenance grant at Rs. 15 per mensem per head will be Rs. $80 \times 15 \times 12$ or Rs. 14,400 per annum, and the Director of Public Instruction can presumably find this sum from the existing budget grant.

4. Orders are solicited whether the proposals may be accepted subject to orders in regard to A and B above.

T.A.S.—29-7-16.

This is a demand for three grants—

(a) a building grant of Rs. 1,15,230 of which Rs. 40,000 is asked for in the current year, and the balance during the next two succeeding years,

(b) a furniture grant of Rs. 12,800,

(c) a maintenance grant of Rs. 15 a month per child—present liability about Rs. 14,400 a year, and ultimate liability Rs. 54,000 per annum.

2. *Building grant*.—Government have already agreed to a grant not exceeding Rs. 59,870 to be paid when funds are available. I venture to doubt whether

L.D.—31-7-16.

Financial can, at the present stage, commit itself to a grant of Rs. 1,15,230 of which Rs. 40,000 is said to be required immediately. In regard to the increased grant

I think all that can be said is that, while Government cannot commit itself to any promise at the present juncture, this will not debar the school authorities from approaching Government again later on when money is easier. The Director of Public Instruction can presumably find Rs. 10,000 this year and this may be paid in partial liquidation of our promise of Rs. 59,870.

3. *Furniture grant*.—A furniture grant is admissible under article 44 of the Code for European Schools. The grant will not be required immediately, and when the demand is made we can see whether funds can be made available. I think it would be unwise to make any commitment just at present.

4. *Maintenance grant*.—Financial cannot, it is submitted, agree to a grant of Rs. 15 a child. The maximum permissible under article 40 of the Code for European Schools is Rs. 8 a month, and I venture to submit that the Code rule should be adhered to in this case. We cannot now commit ourselves to meet the ultimate liability for 300 children, but the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to say

L.D.—31-7-16.

what grant is now being given under this article, and what further grant should be paid on the assumption that Government will be prepared to give Rs. 8 per mensem per child. It may be possible to find the money in the current year if the amount is not large.

5. A question which arises for consideration in connexion with this case is that of increasing the budget provision for European schools. I don't think Financial can express any definite opinion at the present stage, and the matter must be considered when we frame our budget.

L. E. KRISHAW—31-7-16.

The net result seems to me that Financial cannot go beyond the Code provisions or give present guarantees as to when grants admissible under the Code will be forthcoming—not a very helpful conclusion. The Board of Management may be able to meet this year's payments out of its own funds, if we can *promise* a half-grant for building next year. It is for the Honourable Member (Financial) to decide whether any such promise can be made now in advance of budget consideration or whether in case of need we may make any allotment from reserve* this year— which latter course Financial Department can hardly advise.

* Financial to note the present figure of reserve.

L.D.—31-7-16.

Present reserve is 4.39 lakhs against which a demand of .33 lakh has been accepted. Net reserve is 4.06.

L. DAVIDSON—31-7-16.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

For orders as to circulation to His Excellency the Governor and the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, K.C.S.I., K.C.V.O.—please see page 6 ante.

E.A.V.—31-7-16.

C.R.—31-7-16.

His Excellency (through the Honourable Member, Financial).

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—2-8-16.

It is difficult for me to note on this case as I am Chairman of the Board of Management, which is the applicant for the grant. I would, however, invite attention that over a lakh of rupees has been raised by public subscription for the capital expenditure, that a sufficient annual income is assured for meeting the recurring expenditure if the usual recurring grants are given, and Sir Robert Laidlaw's bequest, which takes effect on Lady Laidlaw's death, will place the institution on a firm financial basis. We are not asking for any special terms—only for the ordinary grants of the Grant-in-Aid Code, and I venture to express my hope that that amount of assistance will be given. This is a particular case of the general question of educational policy on which I noted a short time ago.

H. A. S[UART]—2-8-16.

This might suitably be considered I suggest in connection with the general question now with Financial.

P[ENTLAND]—3-8-16.

Submitted that the file may be forwarded to Financial ~~and~~ with reference to His Excellency's orders above.

T.K.—3-8-16.

P.R.—3-8-16.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The other file has been submitted. In it the Honourable Member has directed that the Director of Public Instruction may be permitted to accept liabilities up to †8.50 lakhs on building grants, to be paid when the present financial restrictions are removed. The Honourable Member has also directed that an additional allotment of 1.50 lakhs may be given this year.

† This will be reduced to 7 lakhs if 1.50 is given this year.

2. Applying these orders to the present case, Rs. 30,000 out of the Rs. 40,000

which the school authorities want during the current year towards the building grant may be paid from the allotment of 1-50 referred to above. The remaining Rs. 10,000 to be paid in the current year will be found by the Director of Public Instruction from budget provision. As regards the balance of the building grant—Rs. 75,230—this may be included in the liabilities which the Director of Public Instruction will be authorized to accept.

L.D.—6-8-16.

3. As regards the furniture grant of Rs. 12,800, the Honourable Member has remarked that no special terms are asked for, so that the grant to be given is half the cost of furnishing the quarters. Rs. 12,800 appears to be an estimate only, but

meanwhile we may earmark half this amount, viz., Rs. 6,400, to be paid from the additional allotment of 1-50.

L.D.—6-8-16.

4. There remains the maintenance grant. This is a recurring grant and cannot come out of the allotment of 1-50, or be included in the liabilities which the Director of Public Instruction is to be asked to accept. Both these sums represent non-

recurring expenditure. As no special concession is to be given it is presumed that the maintenance grant will be based on the Code provision of Rs. 8 per mensem per child, and that the request of the correspondent for a grant of Rs. 15 per child is not to be accepted. As suggested in my note on page 14, the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to state what is now being given, and what further amount is necessary, on the understanding that the grant will be limited in the current year to the number of pupils now on the rolls, and will be fixed at Rs. 8 per child per mensem. The question of provision of funds can then be considered.

L.D.—6-8-16.

L. E. KERSHAW—5-8-16.

L. DAVIDSON—6-8-16.

It is not necessary for me to note further on this particular case of the general question.

The Home Department will no doubt deal with the details of the claim for a recurring grant: at present the Homes have received no capitation grant of any kind though they are clearly entitled to it. I believe the Kalimpang Homes are treated liberally by the Bengal Government.

H. A. S[UART]—7-8-16.

Financial to note* the suggestions approved by the Chief Secretary in paragraphs 2 and 3 of my note, dated the 5th August 1916. The amounts when allotted should be taken in reduction of the non-recurring grant of 4-50 lakhs for

V.V.—8-8-16.

T.A.S.—8-8-16.

* Noted.

European schools.

L.E.K.—8-8-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (EDUCATION).

Draft official memorandum to the Director of Public Instruction submitted.
S.N.P.—14-8-16.
T.K.—14-8-16.

C. RAMANUJA ACHARIYAR—16-8-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—18-8-16.

[Issued as memorandum No. 2610-3, Educational, dated the 18th August 1916.]
From the Director of Public Instruction, R. C. No. 322/15, dated
the 28th August 1916.

Please see paragraph 4 of Financial Department notes on page 19 ante. The information required by Financial, as regards the amount of boarding grants under article 40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools, has now been obtained from the Director of Public Instruction. According to the figures given by the Director, it may be noted that the boarding grant required by the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, during the current year is not likely to exceed Rs. 5,000.

2. Orders have to issue—

(i) sanctioning a grant of Rs. 1,15,230, towards the cost of the building of the St. George's Homes, Kodaikanal, in lieu of the sanction accorded in G.O. No. 328, Educational, dated the 24th March 1916, Rs. 59,870, subject to scrutiny by Public Works Department of the plans and estimates;

(ii) stating that out of the Rs. 1,15,230, Rs. 40,000 can be paid during the current year, Rs. 30,000 being met from the additional allotment of Rs. 1,50 lakhs that will be made by Financial and Rs. 10,000 being met by the Director of Public Instruction from the budget allotment in the current year for building grants to European schools;

(iii) stating that a half-grant will be paid towards furniture, this amount also being met from the additional allotment that will be made by Financial—the amount of the furniture grant is not to be specified; and

(iv) sanctioning a boarding grant at the rate of Rs. 8 per orphan or destitute child in the St. George's Homes, the amount to be sanctioned and the provision of funds in regard to which have now to be considered in Financial.

3. It is submitted, orders in regard to (i) to (iii) above with such orders as may be passed by Financial in regard to (iv) above may issue on receipt of orders from Financial sanctioning an allotment of 1-50 lakhs and that the case may now go to Financial a.o.

S.N.P.—5-9-16.

T.K.—5-9-16.

C.R.—7-9-16.

P.R.—9-9-16.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Please see the Financial Department notes on pages 18-20 ante and the notes et seq.

The following grants will be sanctioned to the St. George's Homes Kodaikanal:—

(i) Building grant of Rs. 1,15,230 of which Rs. 40,000 is to be paid in the current year. Rs. 10,000 will be met by the Director of Public Instruction from the existing allotment. The balance, viz., Rs. 30,000, will have to be sanctioned from the reserve. A total sum of Rs. 1-50 lakhs has been noted against the reserve for building grants.

(ii) Furniture grant of half the cost. A sum of Rs. 6,400 has been noted

L.E.K.—15-9-16. against the reserve; but the allotment may be sanctioned when the exact amount is known.

8 Notes to G.O. No. 1011, Home (Education), 25th September 1916
(iii) Maintenance grant of Rs. 4,800. This is the amount payable at Rs. 8 per mensem per head under rule 40 of the Code of Regulations for European Schools. The amount can presumably be met by the Director of Public Instruction from the existing grant of Rs. 94,500 for boarding and cadet grants. The Director of Public

L.E.K.—15-9-16. Instruction may be so informed, but if the allotment is exceeded, he may apply for an additional allotment later on.

3. The Home Department suggest that an additional allotment of Rs. 1-50 lakhs should be sanctioned at once in Financial. The Director of Public Instruction has been separately asked whether he would require the full amount of 1-50 lakhs, and

No. We have first to know how the money is going to be spent. till his reply together with proposals for the utilization of the amount is received

L.E.K.—15-9-16. there seems to be no need to allot the whole of the 1-50 lakhs. For the present,

it is sufficient to sanction an additional allotment of 30 lakh for item (i) in paragraph 2 above, and following the usual practice, this may be done on receipt of the Home

L.E.K.—15-9-16.

Department proceedings sanctioning the various grants to the St. George's Homes.

4. The case may be returned to the Home Department with the above remarks.
T.A.S.—14-9-16.

L. E. KEESHAU—15-9-16.

HOME DEPARTMENT (Education).

Draft order submitted for approval.

S.N.P.—19-9-16.

T.K.—20-9-16.

E. A. HARVEY—22-9-16.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—24-9-16.

[G.O. No. 1011, Home (Education), dated 25th September 1916.]

PRESS SLIP.

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

Sectt. II—38—2-15.

DEPARTMENT.

Current No dated 191 ..

G.O. No. 10116, dated 25.9.1916.

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence *Two (10)*
 (b) Notes *Twenty (20)*

Brief subject *Please see the draft sheet*

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained on the Press).

(b) E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.

Complete correspondence and orders.

Notes.

FOR RECORD.*

Madras Records	4	25	15
Ooty Records		6	4
For filing with original			1
Total		31	20

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies.

India Office	5		
Govt. of India			
Revenue Department			
Public Works Department	5		
Local and Municipal Department			
Educational Department			
Public Dept.			
Judicial Dept.			
Political			
Financial Dept.			
Marine Dept.			
Eccelesiastical Dept.			
Total	4		

The	D. P. G.	10	
The	A. J. M.	10	
The	A. J. M.	5	
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
The			
Total		39	

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(c) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(d) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(e) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(f) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right-hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on † (Press Clerk).

† Copies distributed on ‡ (Press Despatcher).

‡ To be initialled and dated.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				