

Edl (1915) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 593

DATED 7-6-15

✓
[Despatch Abstract.]

13
Legislative Council Recording notes connected with the resolution suggesting an enquiry into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code Moved by the Hon'ble Mr. V.S. Srinivasa Sastri at the meeting of the — on the 30th March 1915, and requesting the D.P.I. to report the result of the enquiry he is now making.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.
108.]

L. & M. (iv) 9-750-9-14.
LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Elem. 965

15.3.15

Resolutions on matters of General Public Interest.

SUBJECT.—No. XIX for the meeting of the Legislative
Council to be held on the 29th March 1915.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Resolution may be forwarded to the
E. L. Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the
rules regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Resolutions in the
Legislative Council. Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due
course.

C.S.A-15-3-5

U.O. No. dated

To
The *Educational* Department.

with 2 Copies of the Resolⁿ XIX



1098/13

SA

NOTES CONNECTED WITH RESOLUTION ON MATTERS OF GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST.

Subject.--Inquiry into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code of the Education Department on the subject of touring, with a view to removing the hardship which its enforcement causes to certain classes of inspecting officers.

The Hon'ble Mr. V.S. Srinivasa Sastri.--

XIX. This Council recommends to the Governor in Council that an inquiry be made into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code of the Education Department on the subject of touring, with a view to removing the hardship which its enforcement causes to certain classes of inspecting officers.

Article 95 of the Inspection Code lays down that by 'day on tour' is meant in all cases "a day "from midnight to midnight spent away from head- "quarters or in a conference of inspecting officers "held at whatever place under competent authority"; and under a note subsequently added to this article by means of a correction slip, "parts of "a day at the beginning or end of a continuous "journey may be reckoned a full day at the " "inspector's discretion." This rule, however,

art. 23, C.S.R.,

19.3.45

page 6 of Gp no 1098 Sol
25/1/13.

page 3 do

2. 3 4
slightly varies from the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations which lay down that "an absence from headquarters which ~~does not~~ does not exceed 24 hours is reckoned as one day at whatever hours the period begins and ends." The difference consists in the fact that while in the case of educational officers the concession could be availed of only in the case of long journeys and even then only with the sanction of the inspectors, in the case of others it is general and works automatically.

2. At the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 11th November 1913, the same Additional Member brought to notice that the original Article 95 of the Code ^{was being} ~~was~~ literally interpreted, causing much hardship to sub-assistant inspectors and enquired whether the Government would modify the rule so as to carry out the spirit of the Civil Service Regulations referred to above. When this question was referred u.o. to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks, Mr. Stone, the then Director, stated that "the

3. 6 5

"rules in question were framed with a view to
"preventing frequent returns to headquarters
"and encouraging systematic touring on the part of
"sub-assistant inspectors and supervisors"and
that, in order to provide for cases of hardship
which might occur under the rules, the note
referred to in paragraph 1 above was added. He
also observed that there were some complaints,
when the rules were first issued, but that no
appeals against an inspector's decision under
them had been submitted, which, he thought, would
not have been the case if they were so worked as
to cause hardship. The Additional Member was
informed in the terms of the Director's remarks.

3. Recently the Hon'ble Mr.C.V.S.Narasimha
Raju at the meeting held on the 20th November
last enquired whether there was ^{ca} rule in other
departments of the Government similar to the one
existing in the Educational Department that a
tour day meant a day spent away from headquarters
from midnight to midnight and whether the
Government would modify the rule. The Government

page 6 of fo. no. 1098 Edl
25.11.13

page 3 of fo. no. 894 Fil
212.14

page 3 of file no 894 final
2.12/4

replied that they were not aware of the existence of the rule referred to in other departments, and they also drew the attention of the Additional Member to the reply given to the Hon'ble Mr. V.S. Srinivasa Sastri on a similar question referred to in the foregoing paragraph.

4. The Resolution assumes that hardship does exist in the working of the rule. It is not known whether any cases of hardship have reached the Director since his note of 1913. Presumably if any valid ground of complaint had existed, the Director would have himself taken action as he had done previously. It is submitted that the remarks of the Director may be invited u.o.

by CA
18.3.15 18.3.15

With the D. P. J. please
look into this? Bit really
necessary to have for Educational
Officers a more stringent rule
than for other departments?
C.R.

Eolus 965-1
19.3.15

CA
Sent to Mr.
Inland
CA
19.3.15
19.3.15

19.3.15 D.P.J. to

19.3.15

No 78729 March 15
NOTES.

It is undesirable to have non straight rule
rules for Officers of this Department than for
Officers of other Departments, but whether
the rule under discussion acts as a handicap
on subordinate inspecting Officers or not is
a question on which at present we have no
definite information.

I have called on Inspectors to report whether
any complaints have been received of them
from their subordinate Officers regarding the
existence or operation of this rule. Replies have
been received from only two Inspectors out
of the nine. One is in favour of the retention
of the rule & the other of the abolition of the rule.

Last month the Accountant General addressed
me regarding the authority & purpose of Rule 95
of the Madras Inspectors code, & the question is
being dealt with.

1880
24.3.15

St. E.

The proposal for an
inquiry may be accepted.
as is solicited as to the
it to him charge of the
Abolition

Box No 52
24/3/15

The reply shd. be
that inquiry is now
being made into this
matter. What I say will take this.

W. H. D. P. I.

1880
24.3.15

P
24



The undersigned has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration. The undersigned is also in receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration. The undersigned is also in receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

The undersigned is also in receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

The undersigned has the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration. The undersigned is also in receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration. The undersigned is also in receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

The undersigned is also in receipt of the letter of the 14th inst. from the undersigned of the Department of the Interior, dated the 14th inst. and in reply to inform you that the same has been forwarded to the proper authorities for their consideration.

ST/OK
MAR 25

NOTES CONNECTED WITH RESOLUTION ON MATTERS OF
GENERAL PUBLIC INTEREST.

[SUBJECT.—*Inquiry into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code of the Education Department on the subject of touring, with a view to removing the hardship which its enforcement causes to certain classes of inspecting officers.*]

The Hon'ble Mr. V. S. SRINIVASA SASTRI :—

XIX. This Council recommends to the Governor in Council that an inquiry be made into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code of the Education Department on the subject of touring, with a view to removing the hardship which its enforcement causes to certain classes of inspecting officers.

Article 95 of the Inspection Code lays down that by "day on tour" is meant in all cases "a day from midnight to midnight spent away from head-quarters or in a conference of inspecting officers held at whatever place under competent authority"; and under a note subsequently added to this article by means of a correction slip, "parts of a day at the beginning or end of a continuous journey may be reckoned a

Article 23, Civil Service Regulations.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—19-3-15.

"full day at the inspector's discretion." This rule, however, slightly varies from the provisions of the Civil Service Regulations which lays down that "an absence from head-quarters which does not exceed 24 hours is reckoned as one day at whatever hours the period begins and ends." The difference consists in the fact that, while in the case of educational officers the concession could be availed of only in the case of long journeys and even then only with the sanction of the inspectors, in the case of others it is general and works automatically.

2. At the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 11th November 1913, the same Additional Member brought to notice that the original article 95 of the Code was being literally interpreted, causing much hardship to sub-assistant inspectors and enquired whether the Government would modify the rule so as to carry out the spirit of the Civil Service Regulations referred to above. When this question was referred u.o. to the Director of

Page 3 *ibid.*

Public Instruction for remarks, Mr. Stone, the then Director, stated that "the rules in question were framed with a view to preventing frequent returns to head-quarters and encouraging systematic touring on the part of sub-assistant inspectors and supervisors" and that, in order to provide for cases of hardship which might occur under the rules, the note referred to in paragraph 1 above was added. He also observed that there were some complaints, when the rules were first issued, but that no appeals against an inspector's decision under them had been submitted, which, he thought, would not have been the case if they were so worked as to cause

hardship. The Additional Member was informed in the terms of the Director's remarks.

Page 6 of G.O. No. 1098, Educational, dated 25th November 1913.

3. Recently the Hon'ble Mr. C. V. S. Narasimha Raju at the meeting held on the 20th November last enquired whether there was a rule in other departments of the Government similar to the one existing in the Educational Department that a tour day meant a day spent away from head-quarters from midnight to midnight and whether the Government would modify the rule. The Government replied that they were not aware of the existence of the rule referred to in other departments, and they also drew the attention of the Additional Member to the reply given to the Hon'ble Mr. V. S. Srinivasa Sastri on a similar question referred to in the foregoing paragraph.

Page 3 of G.O. No. 894, Financial, dated 2nd December 1914.

Page 3 of G.O. No. 894, Financial, dated 2nd December 1914.

Hon'ble Mr. V. S. Srinivasa Sastri on a similar question referred to in the foregoing paragraph.

4. The Resolution assumes that hardship does exist in the working of the rule. It is not known whether any cases of hardship have reached the Director since his note of 1913. Presumably if any valid ground of complaint had existed the Director would have himself taken action as he had done previously. It is submitted that the remarks of the Director may be invited un-officially.

S. ANANTANARAYANAN—18-3-15.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—18-3-15.

Will the Director of Public Instruction please look into this? Is it really necessary to have for Educational officers a more stringent rule than for other departments of Government?

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—19-3-15.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

It is undesirable to have more stringent rules for officers of this department than for officers of other departments, but whether the rule under discussion acts as a hardship on subordinate inspecting officers or not is a question on which at present we have no definite information.

I have called on inspectors to report whether any complaints have been received by them from their subordinate officers regarding the existence or operation of this rule. Replies have been received from only two inspectors out of the nine. One is in favour of the retention and the other of the deletion of the rule.

Last month the Accountant-General addressed me regarding the authority and purpose of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code, and the question is being dealt with.

H. S. DUNCAN—24-3-15.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

His Excellency the Governor—

The proposal for an "inquiry" may be accepted. Orders solicited as to who is to be in charge of the Resolution.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—24-3-15.

The reply should be that enquiry is now being made by the Director of Public Instruction into this matter.

Educational Secretary will take this.

Pentland—24-3-15.

Extract from the Proceedings of an adjourned Meeting of the Council of the Governor of Fort St. George assembled for the purpose of making Laws and Regulations under the provisions of the Acts of Parliament, 24 & 25 Vict., C. 67, 55 & 56 Vict., C. 14, and 9 Edw. 7, C. 4.

The Council re-assembled at the Council Chamber, Fort St. George, at 11 A.M. on Tuesday, the 30th day of March 1915.

RESOLUTION *RE* DEFINITION OF "DAY ON TOUR" IN THE MADRAS INSPECTION CODE.

His Excellency the PRESIDENT:—"I will call upon the Hon'ble Mr. Rajagopala Achariyar to speak, before the next resolution is formally moved."

The Hon'ble Diwan Bahadur P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR:—"In regard to resolution No. XVI the Hon'ble Mr. Srinivasa Sastri wants an inquiry to be made into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code of the Educational Department. I beg to say that an inquiry is now being made by the Director of Public Instruction into this matter. We are prepared to accept this proposition. I want to save him the trouble of making a speech. If he would formally move it, I shall accept it."

His Excellency the PRESIDENT:—"Unless the Honourable Member wishes to have the resolution discussed we will accept it."

The Hon'ble Mr. V. S. SRINIVASA SASTRI:—"I formally move the following resolution:—

'XVI. This Council recommends to the Governor in Council that an inquiry be made into the working of rule 95 of the Madras Inspection Code of the Educational Department on the subject of touring, with a view to removing the hardship which its enforcement causes to certain classes of inspecting officers.'

The resolution was accepted by the Council.

J. P. BEDFORD,
Acting Secretary to Government, Legislative Dept.

Page 10 Supra

10
An extract from the proceedings of the Legislative Council relating to this resolution has been added to the file. It appears desirable that the Government should know the result of the inquiry now being made by the D.L. into the working of rule 95. It is therefore submitted that he may be asked formally to report the result. A draft order is placed below.

Sg. Ca
4.6.15 4.6.15

JA
6/15

” ” ” ” ” 191

C. No. 965, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 191

99 99 99 99 99 99 191

” ” ***** ” ” ***** ” ” ***** ” ” ***** 191

No. 735, dated 7.6.1918

Noted in CB
7.6.15

To the D.P. I ✓
(with a copy of the extract)

ca
4.6.15 4/6/15

191

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.E.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.