

Educational (1915) Department.


Ms SERIES.

G.O. No. 532 Ed.

DATED 12-5-1915.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Passing order on the proposal of the Collector of
Vizagapatam to acquire a fresh site for
extensions to the building of the St. Aloysius
Industrial School, Vizagapatam.



JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Educational
Cn^o. 3168

Received 14 OCT 1914 190 Registered 15 20 1904

Subject.

Submitting for approval a land plan and valuation statement in respect of the land required for the extension of the St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

3

Spare copies.

R. C. No. 1932 of '4

Station, Vizagapatam....., Dated the 8th October 190/14

From (Name)..... R. W. Davies Esquire, I.C.S.,

(Designation) Ag. Collector.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

See

I have the honour to invite your attention to

Government Order No. 728 (Educational Department) dated 9th August 1912 dealing with the acquisition of a plot of land for the extension of the St. Aloysius Industrial School and to state that there is a far more suitable plot of land to the south of the existing premises. This site I propose to acquire for the extension and I enclose a land plan and valuation statement to that effect.

2. To provide the funds the Bishop of Vizagapatam has consented to refund the amount already spent by Government for the purchase of the site recently acquired. The expenditure incurred amounts to Rs 2267-9-11 and the cost of the present acquisition is Rs 2097-14-2 of Rs 169-11-9 less

in amount. Funds are therefore available without extra allotment. A copy of a letter from the correspondent is enclosed.

3. Early acquisition is desirable as land in Vizagapatam continues to appreciate in value.

4. Part of the new site (1) is at present a right of way. The Municipality has, by a resolution at a meeting held on 5th September 1914, agreed to close this. A compensating right of way will be given to the south of the plot acquired as shown in the plan.

5. The owners are two

(1) Vizagapatam Municipality

(2) Messrs Begg Dunlop & Co. and

Mr. Leslie, Driver who is in the employ of

the Messrs Begg Dunlop & Co.

The former has given its consent. The latter has after long delay noted that Government propose to acquire it.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Kecara ambadas
11-10-14

For Ag. Collector.

K.S. Exd. by

[Signature]
10/10/14

cc
10.10.14

Copy of letter No. 726 dated 12--8--1914 from the Rev. J. Cowman, Correspondent, St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam, to the Collector of Vizagapatam.

In reply to your No. 1932 dated 7--8--1914 re acquisition of land for the Industrial School, I have the pleasure to inform you that your presumption is quite correct. We are prepared to refund to Government the net cost of the land and buildings expropriated last year on behalf of the Industrial School, if the Department of Industries can see its way to acquire for the Industrial School extension the plot of land to the south of the School.

/True Copy/

Kecara ambadas
11-10-14
For Ag. Collector.

K.S.

Exd. by

[Signature]
10/10/14


cc
10.10.14

Encl. 1
CP. 3/168 ES

Encl. 2
Cn. 3168 87

Name of owner or occupier.	Selling price of similar lands in the vicinity.	ESTIMATED VALUE OF					Fifteen per cent. addition allowable under section 23 (2) Act I of 1894.	Total compensation payable.	REMARKS.
		Land.	Houses.	Wells.	Trees.	Total.			
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	Rs. PER ACRE. Sq. Yds.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
* Vizagapatnam Municipality.									* Compensation not proposed for this item for the reasons stated in the covering letter.
1. Messrs. Begbunlop & Co. Calcutta.	0-10-0	1724-4-0/100-0-0	-	-	-	1824-4-0	273-10-2	2097-14-2	
2. Mr. Driver, Agent of Messrs. Begbunlop & Co. Calcutta residing at Benhem pore.	Total.	1724-4-0/100-0-0	-	-	-	1824-4-0	273-10-2	2097-14-2	

area 1 = $4\frac{1}{2}$ acri
 2 = 57 cents
61 $\frac{1}{2}$



Scale 1 inch = 1 chain


Kokul Das
 LRT
 28.8.14
 Subangsiya
 Di Gahildar
 29/8/14

Encl. 3
 No. 3168-20

Statement to be jointly prepared by an Officer of the Department requiring land and an Officer of the Revenue Department and to be signed by the District Collector.

Department requiring land.	Purpose for which land is required.	Taluk.	Village.	PARTICULARS OF LAND REQUIRED.						Amount or rental.
				Whether ryotwari, inam or zamindari.	Boundaries.	Number of field.	Description, dry or wet.	Area.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
Educational Department.	Extension of Industrial School at Lingopala.	Lingopala.	Lingopala - Municipal City.	Road between Ryotwari, inam or zamindari.	North: Industrial school buildings. East: Beach Road. South: land belonging to Messrs Beg-dunlop & Co. and Mr. Jones (Jtms). West: Remaining portion of the Road.	(1)	-	4 $\frac{1}{2}$ Acres. 217 $\frac{1}{2}$ square yards.	-	
	stands and tiled houses of Surabhi Appaiah and Kodumalai Sathyan (Jtms) and a thatched house of Sornamutheyan Appaiah (Jtms).				North: Road. (Jtms) Underway dry. East: Beach Road. (2) South: Municipal land on which a public latrine is to be built.			57 Cents or 2758 $\frac{1}{2}$ square yards. 6 $\frac{1}{2}$ Cents or 2976 $\frac{3}{4}$ square yards.		

R. P. XVIII-A



12 B.B to D

270

157

153

100

91

84

33

25

16

0

192°

F.B to A

From D to A

109°-30'

B.B to D

278

258

200

100

0

289°-30'

F.B to D

From C to D

217°-30'

B.B

265

240

0

ROAD

37°-00'

F.B to C

From B to C

284°-30'

B.B to A

165

140



100

0

104°-30'

F.B

From A to B



Encl. 14
Cap. 3168 E

K. K. K. K. K.
1915
20.8.14

Est. Dept.
CM-3168.

End. No. 3168-1 Ed

^{26.10.14}
Referred to the Director
of Endowment for remarks.

^{8K}
24.10.14 CA
24.10.14

[Signature]
26/10/14

[Signature]

To
The S. I. (with
CM-3168 in original
(to be returned))


Notes in CB
26.10.14

27/10

[Signature]
27/10/14

and
27/10/14

DEPARTMENT.



Registered 30/10/14

Subject.

Submitting his remarks on the acquisition of site for the
St. Aloisius Industrial School, at Waltair.

ENCLOSURES

No. _____

Spare copies _____

No. E 172 / 6113

Station Madras, Dated the 26th October

From (Name) K. T. B. Tressler, Esq.

(Designation) Director of Industries

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Educational Department

Sir,

In reply to Government Endorsement No. _____ dated 26th October 1914, I have the honour to inform you that I have been negotiating for some years with the St. Aloisius Industrial School, Waltair, with a view to converting the same into a high class Industrial School.

Until now

put forward by me has not been found unsatisfactory for any other. Nor in the light of the Government Policy was it quite

Yours faithfully,

10
At the present moment, the Committee is sitting on this
(Ind. Education)
ter, and I expect to submit my report soon after the 15th of
ember which is the date of the last meeting. In connection
th this report, I propose to submit definite proposals with
gard to certain schools of which Waltair is one.

I have already arrived at an understanding with the Waltair
chool authorities, and if Government approve of the idea
of the school ^{at} Waltair, I can see no reason ~~why~~ the acquisition
of the land should not be proceeded at once even before my
ormal proposal is submitted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. M. S.
20/11/1901

Director of Industries.

A
It is not clear whether
~~the land~~ the land
over to the Mission in
the above order.

page 1 of cf.

page 3 of cf.

para 2, page 2 of cf.

Mr. R. D.

page 10 of cf.

2. The collector in
there is a more suit
to the South of the
of the school and ne
acquisition in lieu of
acquired. The Missio
it will refund the co
of the latter site, in
land is to be acquired
The cost of the new site
to be less than that of the
by Rs. 170. It is for consid
the acquisition of the ne
be sanctioned. It may
that in connection with
to establish an industria
at bocanada, it has been
that for the Vizagapatam I
Industrial School should be
Govt aid. Mr. Trevelyan also sta
one of the schools which
develop and that he has a
an understanding with the
of the school. In these
the acquisition of the new

Sd/- Department.

[C No 3168 of 3335]

Memo No. 3335-1801 10

With reference to his letter
 P.C. No. 1932/14, dated the 8th
 October 1914, the collector of
 Vizagapatam is requested
 to state whether the land
 already acquired for the
 St. Aloysius' Industrial
 School, Vizagapatam, has
 been handed over to the mission
 and, if so, on what terms

SK 16.11.14
 17.11.14
 19.11.14

Noted in CB

21.11.14

The collector of Vizagapatam

KRI
20-11-14mo
20/11Sd/-
KRI
20/11

Encl 12 *Col. No. 709*

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Received




190

Registered

26/2/15

190

Subject.



Stating that no agreement was entered into with the mission at the time of handing over the land acquired for the St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

nil

Spare copies

D.Dis No. 342 of 1915



Station, Vizagapatam., Dated the 18th February 1915.

From (Name) L.T. Harris Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Collector of Vizagapatam.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

page 11 infra

Sir,

Adverting to Government's Memorandum No. 3335-1 Educational, dated 20th November 1914, I have the honour to state that the land already acquired for the St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam, was handed over to the Mission in March 1913 and that no agreement was entered into at the time of handing it over.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

P. V. Venkatarangam
For Collector.

R.R/22-2-15.

22/2/15
cc
22-2-15

SK

Est Sep

Memo No. 709-1 Est

11.3.15

The collector of
Vizagapatam Sta
(by the)
that the land a cgm
for the St. Aloysius's
Industrial School
Vizagapatam, was
handed over to the
Mission in March

The D.P.I is requested
to report whether ^{at the time} ~~the~~
undertaking of the
character, described
in G.O. No. 55-Est, of
the 22nd January 14,

was given by the mi-
nent of the sch
at ~~the time of the~~

~~oooooooooooo~~
SK CA chb
4.3.15 5/3/15 10.3.15

page 12 of cf.

To

The D.P.I
Notes in CB
CA 15/3/15
KPR sup 12/3/15
Decided
14/3/15



14 / *Ede. No. 1196*

DEPARTMENT.

13

Received



Registered

30. 3

1915.

Subject.

ST. ALOYSIUS INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL, VIZAGAPATAM.

STATING THAT NO UNDERTAKING OF THE CHARACTER REFERRED TO IN
G.O.No.55 EDL. DATED 22nd JANUARY 1913 HAS BEEN GIVEN BY THE
MANAGEMENT OF THE _____

ENCLOSURES

No. _____

Spare copies _____

No. E. 17.

Station M A D R A S, Dated the 29th M A R C H, 1915.

From (Name) K.T.B. TRESSLER, ESQ.,
(Designation) AG. DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIES,

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
E D U C A T I O N A L Department.

Sir,

Page 13 supra

With reference to ^{*Memo*} ~~G.O.~~ No.709 Edl dated 11th
March 1915 transferred to me for disposal by the Di-
rector of Public Instruction, I have the honor to state
that no undertaking of the character described in G.O.
(N) No.55 Edl dated 22nd January '13 has been given by the
management of the school.

The position is as follows:-

In my capacity as Superintendent of Industrial
Education I submitted proposals for the development of the
St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam, to the
Director of Public Instruction about April 1912. My
original suggestion was simply to give the school a build-

SK


NOTES.

ing grant and as the existing accommodation was quite inadequate I proposed that some land near the building should be acquired and handed over to the Mission. The arrangements regarding acquisition were made by the Director of Public Instruction and the land was handed over without my knowledge. During the whole intervening period I had been carrying on correspondence with the school regarding the conditions of aid. But the views of the school authorities and mine proved to be so fundamentally opposed that I at last decided to abandon the original scheme altogether and, instead, to construct a Government School at Government expense and lease it to the Mission.

The Director of Public Instruction was kept informed of the progress of events and in my letters Nos. 89 I dated 14-2-14, 89 I dated 19th December 1913 and 89 I /19-4-1913 I dealt with the question of the land. Under my new scheme the land is not required by me and I therefore suggested that it might be sold to the Mission at the cost price.

So far as I am aware, the land has not yet been made use of by the Mission and the Correspondent has urged me to ask Government to pass final orders. If no orders have been passed, I again recommend that the land be sold to the Mission at cost.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,


Ag. Director of Industries.

Est. Department

CN 3168. From the Collr of Vizagapatam R.C. N. 1932

8. 10. 14.

3335. D.D. N.E. 17 c/6113 29.10.14.

Subject - Acquisition of land required for the extension to the building of the St. Aloysius' Industrial School, Vizagapatam.

para 2(2) & (3),
page 8 of 8. 2734
18/2/14

- (H) In G.O. N. 2734 Revenue, dated the 18th August 1910
- (P) and N. 99 Est., dated the 14th February 1911 a scheme was formulated for the enlargement & development of the St. Aloysius' Industrial School, Vizagapatam. According to that scheme, the land required for the extension of the building of the school ~~should~~ ^{was to} be acquired by the Govt at their cost and a grant should be made to the mission to construct a building thereon. ~~Acquired~~ ^{land} The site has been acquired and in G.O. N. 55-Est., dated the 22nd January 1913, the D.P.D. was told that he might hand over the land to the mission on the acceptance of an undertaking on the part of the management ~~and~~ ^{and} similar to that usually entered into in the case of grants for school-buildings under the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

Art 5-5 of the Grant-in-Aid Code.
This requires that if the land or buildings are acquired for other than est. purposes within a period of 60 years the cost must be refunded to Govt.

may perhaps be approved.

3. As regards the disposal of the land already acquired, it is now clear that it is no longer required for the Industrial School. But the mission is anxious to retain it for its own use (~~for~~ ^{for} ~~apparent~~ ^{mission} purposes) and is prepared to pay the cost, and thus get the benefit of the Land Acquisition Act for the acquisition of a land required for other than School purposes. Under the Standing Order No 90, para 30(iii), which deals with the disposal of the ^{land} acquired but no longer needed for the original purpose, the land should ordinarily be sold by auction. It is therefore, for consideration whether the land now in question should be so disposed of.

4. If this is approved, a separate allotment will have to be made in the first instance for the acquisition of the new site, the money being eventually recouped by the amount realized at the auction.

5. The file may be sent to the Revenue, ~~and~~ ^{and} Financial Dept for remarks.

SK. CA
13.11.14
13.11.14
Rupin about A
please.

page 3 of cf.

His + signifi-
- Camb.

JR
14.11.14

* benign home-side -
Please see schedule
on page 1 of p. 14.2.11
Under B.S. 0 21(ii)

A draft memo is submitted for approval

8K
16.11.14
17.11.14

19.11.14

[Memo No. 3335-180^l dated the 22nd November

CN 709. from the collector of Vizagapatam

D.O. No. 342/15.9.18.2.13

Please see notes on pages 1 to 3 supra.
The collector states that the land already
acquired for the St. Aloysius' Industrial
School, Vizagapatam, was handed over
to the Mission in March 1913 but
that no agreement was entered
into with the Mission. Apparently
(N) under the orders issued in G.O. No. 55-
20^l, dated the 22nd January 1913, the
D.P.O must have authorized the
transfer of the land to the Mission.
It is not known whether any undertaking
as is referred to in the above G.O.
was given by the Mission to the
Director. The collector is not aware
of ~~such~~ any such & it is for orders
whether information should be
called for on this point from the D.P.O.

For information
Shardha
Collected for

The cost can be met from the total provision of Rs. 40,000 in the next year's budget for building plant for Walhara, Lumbini, & Cocanada Schools.

If however, no further reference need be made, it is for consideration whether the new land selected for the school should be acquired. Orders are also solicited on the point raised in para 3 on page 3 above.

SK CA
4.3.15 3/3/15

A draft memo to the D.P.I in accordance with Secretary's orders at the bottom of the previous page is submitted for approval.

SK CA
4.3.15 5/3/15

Chh
10.3.15

[Memo No 709-1 D.O. dated the 11th March 1915]
CN-1196. From the D.P. No E.17 dated the 29th March 19

Please see the notes on the previous page + above.
The Director of Industries states that no undertaking was given by the mission when the land was handed over to it. This was highly irregular. The D.P.I seems to be responsible. The Director however, recommends that the land be sold to the mission now. It is for orders whether this land may be sold + the new land selected for the school may be acquired. If the ~~new~~ present land should be sold, it is also for consideration whether it should be sold in auction or sold.

The ordinary course in such a case would be to resell it to the original owner.

back to the mission - Please see para 3 of office - note on page 3 ^{supra}. The land is not apparently needed for school purposes but ^{to be} out for mission object. 2. If the new law is acquired for the school, ~~it may~~ both the collector & the Director of Industries may be informed that the land should be ^{handed over} ~~given~~ to the mission only after the terms on which it should be aided are settled. ~~As similar case has been followed.~~ ~~in the case of the~~ ~~St. Francis~~ land acquired for the St. Francis Xavier's Industrial School, Tanjore.

(Separate file)

St. A.
31.3.15 - 4.4.15

In regard to the land already acquired and not needed for school purposes, will the plan above as to the procedure to be followed? Should it be given back to the original owner, or sold in auction, or transferred to the mission after valuation?

Colno 1196-1
9.4.15

SP/4

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
-H APR 1915
REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Rev. A.

REVENUE - C
0919

Recd. 9.4.15

8/4

To Under Secy

The rules for the disposal of land acquired for public purposes and no longer required are contained in para: 32 of B.S.O. N° 90. Under clause (iii) of the para: , the land which is a town-site should be sold in auction under B.S.O. N° 21. As the land was handed over to the Mission in 1913 and is now in their possession the proper course is, it is submitted, to resume the land and sell it in public auction. The land should not, it is submitted, be sold to the mission for other than school purposes, as such a procedure would give the benefit of the Land Acquisition Act for purposes not contemplated by the Act.

17/4/15.

18/4

Sale by auction is the normal course under B.S.O. 21 para 11, but this procedure may be dispensed, under para 14 (i) "if the application is for a mere extension of an existing house-site", in which case the full market value is leviable. The area in issue seems to be 468.66 sq yards or a little under 10 cents, which is hardly a mere extension.

* h. 898 p. N° 89 R
9-1-15

p. 12 C.F.

with by the Collector,

(P)

But is not this a special case concluded by G.O. No 99 Edn. of 14-2-11, the notes in which show that Govt. definitely contemplated giving the Mission the right to take over acquired premises on payment of the cost of acquisition, & diverted from the original purpose. Revenue ought to have been consulted before this decision was embodied in the opening sentence of para 3 of the order (read with para 2), but I do not think Govt. can go back on it now.

It seems to me therefore that a Revision must be allowed to retain ~~possession~~ possession of the site on refunding the cost of acquisition.


Let Edn. kindly circulate to H.M. before orders issue. Revenue

23.4.15

Edn. no

Notes in CO
25.4.15

Rev. no. 919C/15-1
26.4.15



SK

Please see the Revenue Department note on pages 7 and 8 supra. It is however respectfully submitted that no definite acceptance of the

(P) terms referred to in G.O.No.99 Educational, dated the 14th February 1911 has ^{yet} taken place. The

original terms are in G.O.No.2734 Rev., dated ^(the) 13th

(H) August 1910; these were modified by G.O.No.99 Edl,

(P) dated 14th February 1911, and G.O.No.55 Edl.,

(N) dated ^{the 22nd Jan} 1913. The agreement with the

mission is however ^{undecided} ~~undecided~~ in the special cir-

cumstances described by Mr. Tressler in para.4

of his letter No.563-I, dated 21st February 1914,

(M) on page 3 of G.O.No.185, dated 19th February 1915 ^{which}

runs as follows:--

"x x x The objection to the Vizaga-
"patam scheme is that the school authorities
have in the ^{past} ~~past~~ exhibited a good deal of
"pusillanimity in their dealings with Govern-
"ment, which spirit does not augur well for
"the future. Moreover, the value of the
"Vizagapatam school as an ~~industrial~~ industrial
"institution is conditional entirely on the
"continuation of Brother Emile in the post
"of Superintendent. The mission decline to
"give an undertaking that, in the unfortunate
"event of this gentleman having to leave India,
"they will replace him with a man of equal

qualifications

f.

22

"qualifications, and there exists accordingly a good deal of uncertainty regarding the future development of this school".

Thus, no terms have yet been ^{finally} agreed to and it was premature to have handed over the land to the mission.

2. Even should it be held that the original terms proposed are binding on them, though they have not been formally accepted by the mission, it is respectfully submitted that the particular condition which is relied on by the Revenue Department, may not be ^{applicable} ~~applicable~~ to the present case. The original proposal was that in the event of land or building "ceasing to be used for the purposes of industrial education" the cost should be refunded to the Government. This presumes that the land should first be used for the educational purposes for the condition to become operative. In this case, the land has only been acquired and nothing has been done with it by the mission. The fact of its having been improperly handed over to the mission need not be taken advantage of by the mission to avail itself of appropriating for mission purposes land acquired

Rev. Secy's note, page 8 infra.

para 2, page 5 of G.O. No. 998 of 14-2-11 (P)

page 15 of G.O.

acquired for educational object but not yet used for it, though the mission may be willing to pay for it. It is therefore for consideration whether the land may be disposed of in the usual manner or whether it should be handed over to the mission.

CU 28.4.15
28/4/15

His Excellency the Governor }
Hon'ble Member (Revenue) }

I am unable to endorse the opinion of the Revenue Secretary that the land should be allowed to be retained by the Mission and only the cost of the same should be recovered from it. The land in this case was acquired for educational purposes; it has not yet been used for an educational purpose; but the Mission wants to appropriate it for Mission purposes and they also want that the Government should acquire another piece of land for educational purposes. In the result the machinery of the Land Acquisition Act would have been utilised for acquiring land for

Box no 22
6.5.25

page 8 supra

M

the Mission for Mission purposes. The Revenue Secretary thinks that G.O. 99, Educational, dated the 14th February 1911, concludes the matter. I am unable to concur in that view. The tenor of paragraphs 2 and 3 of that G.O. was that, in case at any future time the site and buildings ceased to be used for educational purposes, the Mission should be permitted to retain them on payment of the cost of acquisition and construction. It is true that the words "at any future time" are not explicitly in the G.O., ~~but~~ but a perusal of the G.O. would show that the contingency contemplated therein is a future contingency. It does not seem to have been contemplated at the time, that, immediately on our acquiring and handing over the land to the Mission, the latter should ~~say that they would retain~~ say that they would retain that land for Mission purposes and that we should acquire some other land for educational purposes. I am fortified in this view by the note dated the 1st February 1911 of the then Chief Secretary (the Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Cardew) and the order thereon of the Hon'ble Member in charge of the Educational Department (Sir Murray Hammick). Please see pages 5 and 6 of the notes to ~~that~~^c G.O. The Chief Secretary objected to land or buildings acquired for public purposes

being used for any other purpose. The Hon'ble Member considered that it would be "impossible to separate the additional buildings from the main body of the school when once the buildings have been erected" and hence ruled that the Mission should have the right to take both the buildings and site on payment, should the buildings cease to be used as an industrial school. At present no buildings have been constructed and hence the Mission can have no difficulty in surrendering the land. Taking all circumstances into consideration I think that it will be a mistake to allow the Mission to appropriate the land for non-public purposes. The land may be handed over to the person from whom it has been acquired on his refunding what we have paid him for it and the fresh land which the Mission wants for industrial purposes may be acquired. It ^{would} ~~will~~ be well to stipulate in advance that this fresh land ^{should} ~~will~~ not be handed over to the Mission till the agreement with them is closed.

5/5/15

If the land already acquired on behalf of the Mission for the purposes of industrial education is now to be diverted to other purposes, other land being acquired ^(for industrial purposes) in its place the proposal must be more fully justified. It must be clearly stated to what use the land already taken up is to be applied and whether for mission or educational objects; if the latter, whether in connection or not in connection with the industrial school.

Unless such justification is forthcoming, the Govt. cannot assent to the acquisition of the further land now proposed, and consider that the arrangements already made should stand...

H.E. suggests that the reply to the Collector should be on the above lines.

H. E. S. S.

By Order.

8/5

I agree.

AG

11.5.15

REVENUE - C

1214

Recd 18.5.15

SK

12/5

Colno 1196-2

18/5/15

Forwarded as per No. 53281
8.17.5.15

No. 1214 of 15/1

12/5/15



Draft ~~now~~ is submitted for approval.

8/5 12/5

After this, let the

file go to Obo

for the date

AG

27

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191 .

" " " " 191 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 3168/14 From the Collector of Vizagapatam ^{R.L.} dated 8. 11. 1914.

" " 3335/14 " " D.S. " E 17/113 29. 11. 1914.

" " 709/15 " " Collector of Vizag ^{D.S.} 342/15 18. 12. 1915.
" " 1196 " " D.S. E 17 29. 3. 1915.

ORDER.

mis

No. 5328/15, dated 17. 5. 1915

In his first letter read above the collector of Vizagapatam proposes to acquire a new land for the extensions to the St. Aloysius' Industrial School, Vizagapatam, and to sell to the Mission the land already ~~was~~ acquired for the same purpose. ~~It is not known~~ ~~how the~~ land already acquired is to be used, whether it is to

29. 11. 1915
Vizagapatam

21. 11. 1915

28
 + if ~~the~~ the latter, whether in connection with the industrial school or not. If ~~it~~ ^{the fund} is to be diverted to purposes other than those of industrial education, ^{new} land being acquired for the purpose of industrial education, the proposal must be more fully justified. Unless such justification is forthcoming, the Govt cannot assent to the acquisition of the new land now proposed and ^{CK} the arrangements already ^{13.5.15.} made should stand.

CA
 14/5/15

15/5

The Govt of Vizagapatam

D. I.

2.6.30 II

Given for copying

Not copied

Not examined

Not signed

191 .

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191 .

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.

3 = Not to be copied.