

Eol (1915) Department.

Mis SERIES.

G.O. No. 433

DATED 27-4-1915

✓

[Despatch Abstract.]

Provident fund


Informing the Director of Public
Instruction that the advance from the
general — applied for by Mr. H. A.
Hart is not admissible.

Col CN 1233

C.F.-20.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

1915

Subject.

Recommending the application of Mr. H.A. Hart, Acting Inspector of Schools, 4th Circle, for an advance of Rs. 500/- from the amount at his credit in the General Provident Fund.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 1136 / 15.

Station Madras, Dated the 31st March 1915

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. H.S. Duncan, M.A.
(Designation) Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
EDUCATIONAL Department.

Sir,

Mr. H.A. Hart, acting Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, has applied for an advance of Rs. 500/- from the amount at his credit in the General Provident Fund, which the Accountant-General reports to be Rs. 2,140-7-9, for the purpose of purchasing a carriage and a horse which is necessary for his travelling in Madras on inspection work. His application does not appear to be covered by the principles contained in Clauses (a), (b) and (c) of Section 2 to Rule 11 of the General Provident Fund Rules and it is very doubtful whether the advance can be sanctioned, notwithstanding that it is very desirable that he should have a carriage. The Accountant-General states that the last advance

(V)
in' for 80 Encl
1.2.15

2/3
taken by Mr. Hart was repaid in June 1914 and under Rule 11 (3) of the revised rules embodied in G.O. No. 80 Financial, dated 1st February 1915, a fresh advance should not be granted to him except for strong reasons, until at least twelve months have elapsed since the complete repayment of the last advance taken. Mr. Hart's transfer to Madras has made a carriage immediately necessary though one was not required by him in his former station, Tanjore. I have therefore the honour to recommend the application and to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the advance applied for with reference to paragraph 3 of G.O. No. 861 Financial, dated 20th November 1914.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

27.3.15
for Director of Public Instruction.

Ex 11
M. S. S.

3
OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT. application of Mr. Hart for an advance
from the general provident fund


From The D.I. no 1136 of 31.3.15

Register No. 1233 Edl.

Submitted that the remarks of the
Financial department may, in the first instance,
be invited in on the Director's letter.

By CA 9.4.15 Dir Co

12.4.15



Edl no. 1233-1 Edl
12.4.15

CURRENT NO.
2535
FINANCIAL

Ref 14415.

Financial department

The remarks of the A.G. may be
invited to.

14.4.15

Have we any precedent for
an advance given for this or a
similar object?

17.4.15

Recd 19.4.15
M

Recd at Ooty
17/4/15

M.O.

Financial Dept

For A.S.

Please see G.O. 436 Feb 8 26 June 1914 for a somewhat similar case. It is submitted that an exact precedent does not appear to be traceable. The A.G. may be consulted w.o. as previously noted.

CR

19.4.15

7-5-15 4.15 I see no point in the suggestion that the A.G. should be consulted. He can say no more than that the advance is not covered by rule 11(2)(a)(b) & (c). This being the case it is for Col. L. to consider whether the grant of the advance in question would be covered by the rule to the rule, and could be given as a grant for legitimate purpose to which the rule may be made applicable.

I venture to think that in this case we cannot hold that there is a 'legitimate occasion' for an advance under the Provident Fund Rules. It may be that the Inspector finds it necessary to maintain a carriage or even a motor car

Y
x RD similar
A.S.

page 1 ante

Recd at Ooty
20.4.15

page 3 of G.O. 8074 V
1.2.15

page 3 of G.O.
8074 Feb 1.2.15

and although there might be a case for an advance under the motor car rules - a point which I have not examined - I venture to hold that this would not affect the merits of the present case.

We may advise Educational Department that the advance is not admissible under the Provident Fund Rules.

Continued

ms
24/4

ms

ms
File no 2535-1
23-4-15



Edcn 1446

23.4.15

Ed

ms
Educ dept. no

Educational Department.

A draft order informing the D.P.D. that the advance asked for is inadmissible is submitted for approval.

ms
23.4.15, 23.4.15

26/4/15

31/12/1911

Comptroller of the Treasury
Washington D.C.
The enclosed is for the
Comptroller of the Treasury
for the year 1911.

When given for copying—
When copied—
When examined—
When signed—

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 191 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH BITTO

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL

A A A A A A A

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.