

Record

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1915.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 221, 25th February 1915.

Subsidy.

Sanctioning the payment to certain district boards and municipalities of a — of Rupees
1,89,741.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

1915.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 221, 25th February 1915.

Subsidy.

Sanctioning the payment to certain district boards and municipalities of a — of Rupees
1,89,741.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 15th December 1914.

No.—Cur. 11056.

I have the honour to propose the distribution of a total sum of Rs. 1,89,401 among district boards and municipalities according to the annexed statements for the purposes noted below :—

	RS.
(i) Usual contribution to district boards for the maintenance of schools opened prior to 1911-12	1,73,780
(ii) Usual contribution to municipalities for the maintenance of schools opened prior to 1911-12	4,960
(iii) Subsidy to the Ongole Taluk Board for the maintenance of old schools—G.O. Mis. No. 1091, Educational, dated 18th September 1914	5,000
(iv) Subsidy to Sermadevi Taluk Board for the maintenance of old schools—Director of Public Instruction's endorsement Ref. on Cur. No. 10193/14, dated 3rd December 1914	4,000
(v) Subsidy to the Ellore municipality for the maintenance of the amalgamated schools—G.O. Mis. No. 1329, Educational, dated 25th November 1914	861
(vi) Subsidy to the Vellore municipality with reference to G.O. Mis. No. 1303, Educational, dated 18th November 1914	336
(vii) Subsidy to the Adoni municipality on account of the Mandagiri Panchama school and the Vuddar school—G.Os. Mis. No. 1019, Educational, dated 28th August 1914 and Mis. No. 1334, Educational, dated 27th November 1914	96
(viii) Subsidy to the Bellary municipality for increasing the staff—G.O. Mis. No. 1335, Educational, dated 27th November 1914	180
(ix) Subsidy to the Negapatam municipality for this year for the maintenance of schools—G.O. Mis. No. 1393, Educational, dated 7th December 1914	188
Total	1,89,401

2. The chief of the items is the "usual contribution" to local bodies, the distribution of which was hitherto being made in the month of February or March of each year, and I have taken this distribution earlier than usual in consequence of the

inconvenience experienced in previous years by this delay. I hope to include this item also in the general distribution of subsidies in future years. Under the head "Usual subsidy" I have adopted the same amounts as were sanctioned for 1913-14 in G.O. No. 295, Educational, dated 18th March 1914, my reasons being that Government, in connection with the contemplated permanent fixing of recurring grants to local bodies, apparently intend to adopt the same amounts so far as this item is concerned and that the recurring items (iii) to (viii) in paragraph 1 of the letter have been sanctioned to be met out of the savings in the allotment of Rs. 2.1 lakhs for usual subsidy to local boards. In adopting the figures of the above-quoted Government Order I have omitted the following items :—

(a) Rupees 456 to the Vellore municipality as the maintenance cost of the Ramanaickenpalaiyam school has been included in the amount sanctioned in G.O. No. 1027, Educational, dated 31st August 1914.

(b) Rupees 1,034 to the Tirupati municipality as the net cost of the municipal girls' schools has been included in the amount sanctioned in the same G.O. No. 1027, Educational, dated 31st August 1914.

(c) Rupees 2,500 to the Palghat municipality as the same was given as a special case for last year only.

3. Out of the current year's provision under "22-E. Government Schools, General—Payments in support of Local Board and Municipal Schools" a total amount of Rs. 13,07,930 has already been disbursed in the following Government Orders :—

	RS.
G.O. Mis. No. 722, Educational, dated 18th June 1914	1,009
„ No. 942, Educational, dated 11th August 1914	26,222
„ No. 612, Financial, dated 5th September 1914	94,778
„ No. 1027, Educational, dated 31st August 1914	11,85,921
Total	13,07,930

Adding this to the amount proposed in this letter, the total comes to Rupees 14,97,331 leaving a balance of Rs. 51,659 in the total provision under subsidies excluding the provision of Rs. 30,000 for the net cost of secondary schools and Rs. 10,000 for the Ceded Districts' college. The savings or deficit under net cost cannot be estimated at present.

It might appear that this sum of Rs. 51,659 referred to above might be resumed by Government and applied to meeting the enhanced demand for grants-in-aid to elementary schools. I do not, however, propose this because it might be more properly applied to meet the two following items of possible expenditure :—

(i) In the debate in the Legislative Council last March on the Revised Financial Statement, the loss of fee income to local bodies which would result from the amended rule 84 of the Madras Educational Rules was discussed and Government promised that any such loss should be made good. I apprehend that the sum required for this purpose will be small.

(ii) Subsidies have been sanctioned to local bodies for meeting increased demands for capitation allowances in G.O. No. 1027, Educational, dated 31st August 1914, based on the estimates of their needs as received from inspecting officers and referred to in my letter printed in G.O. No. 123, Educational, dated 28th January 1914. We shall not know till we get their budget estimates for next year whether these subsidies are sufficient and I think it probable that the bulk of the money in question may be required to supplement the subsidies already granted. In my budget estimate for 1915-16 Rs. 98,000 have been included in the provision under subsidies out of the Provincial progressive increment of 2 lakhs to meet increased demands for capitation allowances and further increases in the teaching establishments of existing elementary schools, so that any sum spent as I suggest this year will be also available in the next and succeeding years.

ENCLOSURES.

(1)

Municipalities.

Name of municipality.	Contribution for the maintenance of schools opened prior to 1911-12.	Additional subsidy for the maintenance of schools with reference to paragraph 1 of the letter.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Parlakimedi	260	..	260
Walajapet	200	..	200
Tiruppattur	1,000	..	1,000
Vellore	..	336	336
Adoni	..	96	96
Bellary	..	180	180
Cannanore	1,230	..	1,230
Tellicherry	1,570	..	1,570
Ellore	..	861	861
Negapatam (for this year only)	..	188	188
Total municipalities ..	4,960	1,661	6,621
Total District Boards ..	1,73,780	9,000	1,82,780
Grand total (District Boards and municipalities)	1,78,740	10,661	1,89,401

(2)

District Boards.

Name of district board.	Contribution for the maintenance of schools opened prior to 1911-12.	Additional subsidy for the maintenance of schools with reference to paragraph 1 of the letter.	Total.
	RS.	RS.	RS.
Anantapur	5,590	..	5,590
Chittoor	830	..	830
Coimbatore	5,000	..	5,000
Cuddapah	5,500	..	5,500
Godavari	32,000	..	32,000
Guntur (for the Ongole Taluk Board)	..	5,000	5,000
Kistna	25,000	..	25,000
Koraput	11,210	..	11,210
Kurnool	19,900	..	19,900
Malabar	15,750	..	15,750
Nilgiris, The	1,000	..	1,000
Salem	30,000	..	30,000
Vizagapatam	22,000	..	22,000
Tinnevely (for the Sernadevi Taluk Board)	..	4,000	4,000
Total ..	1,73,780	9,000	1,82,780

II

Telephonic message—from the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

To—the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated—the 20th February 1915.

Very urgent.—Please see your letter No. 11056, dated the 15th December 1914, containing proposals for the distribution of the current year's budget provision for usual subsidies to local bodies for education.

2. In paragraph 2 you remark that in consequence of the inconvenience experienced in previous years you have taken up the distribution earlier in December and you hope to include this item also in the general distribution of subsidies in future years. Your suggestion to include this item in the general distribution is no doubt very advantageous as the distribution will be made before September so that the

figures which will be entered by you in the column "Revised estimate" in the budget for next year which is submitted by you in that month will represent the amount the distribution of which has actually been sanctioned by Government. It will also have the further advantage in that the details of the distribution of the lump provision for subsidies will all be dealt with in one or two Government Orders, instead of in several Government Orders issuing at different times in a year according to the present practice.

Again, the correct procedure is that details of the distribution of lump provisions appearing in the budget for a year should be settled before the commencement of the year. As however you have remarked in your un-official note No. 213, dated 12th November 1914, that it will not be possible to adopt this course in the case of subsidies for education, it is very necessary that these details should be settled at least before September. Your proposal proceeds therefore on proper lines.

3. There is however a possible objection to your proposal, viz., that the grants which will be suggested by you to each local body will not be framed after a scrutiny of the budgets of the district boards and municipalities which will not be ready in September and that consequently the grants proposed by you may have to undergo alterations at the end of the year. It is presumed that this is not an insuperable objection as you may suggest the grants with reference to the reports which will be received by you from the Presidents of District Boards and Chairmen of Municipal Councils and that any alterations—these are not likely to be large—in the grants so fixed might be taken into account when the grants for the succeeding year come to be distributed. Will you please let me know if this presumption is correct? I may mention that it has been decided to hold in abeyance for the present the proposals for the distribution permanently among individual local bodies of subsidies for education.

4. Secondly, in paragraph 3 of your letter, dated 15th December 1914, you have alluded to the following two schemes for which funds may have to be allotted in the current year, viz., (a) compensation to local bodies for the loss of fee income arising from the amendment of rule 84 of the Madras Educational Rules, and (b) subsidies to local bodies on account of increased capitation allowance in addition to subsidies already sanctioned for the purpose. As has been already intimated to you, the lump provision of 98 lakh entered by you for the above purpose in the budget for next year has been omitted by Government. If therefore it is considered that expenditure should be incurred on these two schemes, the necessary provision should now be included in the budget for next year. Please let me know the amounts which should be so entered for these two schemes. It is presumed that it will not be possible for you to meet the expenditure from the total provision of 17.23 lakhs in the budget for next year for payments in support of local board and municipal schools under 22-E. Government schools—General—*vide* page 134 of the Civil Budget estimate, and that this provision of 17.23 lakhs will now have to be increased by the amounts involved in the two schemes.

5. Will you please let me have a reply by Monday as the question of allotment of funds in the budget for next year has to be considered?

III

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., C.I.E., F.R.H.S., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Chief Secretary to Government, Financial Department.

Dated—Madras, the 22nd February 1915.

No.—Ref. on Car. 11056 of 1914.

With reference to your telephonic message, dated the 20th instant, I have the honour to inform you that the presumption referred to in paragraph 3 of the message is correct. The amounts of "Usual contribution" now recommended for payment are those for which credit was allowed to be taken by the local bodies concerned in their budget estimates for 1914-15 and an examination of their educational budgets for 1915-16 shows that these local bodies have taken credit for the same amounts of contribution in the revised estimates for 1914-15. These amounts might therefore be permanently fixed.

2. With reference to paragraph 4 of the telephonic message, attention is invited to my letter C. No. 1354, dated 20th February 1915, to Government (Educational) submitting proposals for the distribution of subsidies to local bodies to compensate them for the loss of fee income in their elementary schools. The allotment already entered in the budget estimates for 1915-16 under "Payments in support of local boards and municipal schools" includes provision sufficient to meet this expenditure. It would also be seen from the same letter that I have postponed, for the present, the consideration of the question of additional extra capitation allowance.

Order—No. 221, Educational, dated 25th February 1915.

The Government sanction the payment, to the undermentioned district boards and municipalities, of the contributions specified against them for 1914-15:—

I—District Boards.							
Serial number and name of district board.							Contribution for maintenance of schools.
							Rs.
1. Anantapur	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,930 *
2. Chittoor	..	..	..	..	..	..	830
3. Coimbatore	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,000
4. Cuddapah	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,500
5. Gōdāvari	..	..	..	..	..	..	32,000
6. Guntūr	..	..	..	..	..	..	5,000 †
7. Kistna	..	..	..	..	..	..	25,000
8. Koraput	..	..	..	..	..	..	11,210
9. Kurnool	..	..	..	..	..	..	19,900
10. Malabar	..	..	..	..	..	..	15,750
11. Nilgiris, the	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,000
12. Salem	..	..	..	..	..	..	30,000
13. Tinnevely	..	..	..	..	..	..	4,000 ‡
14. Vizagapatam	..	..	..	..	..	..	22,000
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,83,120

* Includes Rs. 340 for the opening of a carpentry class in the Board Hindu school at Pennakonda—*vide* G.O. No. 1248 L., dated 13th July 1914.

† For the maintenance of certain elementary schools under the Ongole Taluk Board—*vide* G.O. No. 1091, Educational, dated 18th September 1914.

‡ For the maintenance of certain elementary schools under the Sermadevi Taluk Board—*vide* G.O. No. 1475, Educational, dated 23rd December 1914.

II—Municipalities.							
Serial number and name of municipality.							Contribution for maintenance of schools.
							Rs.
1. Parlakimedi	..	..	..	..	..	..	260
2. Walajapet	..	..	..	..	..	..	200
3. Tiruppattur	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,000
4. Vellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	336 (a)
5. Adoni	..	..	..	..	..	..	96 (b)
6. Bellary	..	..	..	..	..	..	180 (c)
7. Cannanore	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,930
8. Tellicherry	..	..	..	..	..	..	1,570
9. Ellore	..	..	..	..	..	..	861 (d)
10. Negapatam	..	..	..	..	..	..	188 (e)
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	6,621

Grand Total (District boards and municipalities) .. 1,89,741

(a) With reference to G.O. No. 1303, Educational, dated 18th November 1914.

(b) Do. do. 1334 do. 27th do.

(c) Do. do. 1335 do. 27th do.

(d) Do. do. 1329 do. 25th do.

(e) Do. do. 1393 do. 7th December 1914.

2. The expenditure will be met from the provision in the Civil Budget estimate for 1914-15 under "22-E. Education—Government Schools—General—Payments in support of Municipal and Local Board Schools—Elementary". The Accountant-General will be requested to disburse the amounts to the local bodies concerned at an early date.

(True Extract.)

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR,
Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction
" Accountant-General.
" Presidents, District Boards concerned.
" Chairmen, Municipal Councils concerned.
Local and Municipal Department.
Financial Department.
Editors' Table (order only).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 221, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 25TH FEBRUARY 1915.

[SUBJECT.—*Distribution of the usual subsidy to local boards and municipalities.*]

Previous papers :

G.O. No.	123, Educational,	dated 28th January 1914.
" "	295	" " 18th March "
" "	722	" " 18th June "
" "	748	" " 25th " "
" "	1201 M.	" " 19th " "
" "	1248 L.	" " 13th July "
" "	1429 M.	" " 29th " "
" "	941, Educational	" " 11th August "
" "	942	" " 11th " "
" "	1019	" " 28th " "
" "	1027	" " 31st " "
" "	1037	" " 4th September "
" "	612, Financial	" " 5th " "
" "	1091, Educational	" " 18th " "
" "	1221	" " 2nd November "
" "	1303	" " 18th " "
" "	1329	" " 25th " "
" "	1334	" " 27th " "
" "	1335	" " 27th " "
" "	1393	" " 7th December "
" "	1475	" " 23rd " "
" "	969	" " 26th " "

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated the 15th December 1914, No. 11056.

The proposals for the distribution of the usual subsidy to local bodies as contained in paragraphs 1 and 2 of the Director's letter seem reasonable and may be accepted. It is, however, observed that no provision has been made for the charges on account of the opening of a carpentry class in the Board Hindu school at Penukonda referred to in G.O. No. 1248 L., dated the 13th July 1914. The Penukonda Taluk Board requested for this purpose a grant of Rs. 340 for the current year and of Rs. 240 per annum from the next year. Before making provision for this, it may perhaps be desirable to ascertain definitely from the Director of Public Instruction that no allotment has till now been made for it.

P.R.—11-1-15.

2. If the proposals separately under consideration to make permanent some of the

The Honourable Member (Financial) has since decided that no action need be taken on this proposal. The file was sent to Local and Municipal and Educational for perusal.

V.G.K.

recurring grants now being sanctioned to local bodies are eventually approved, items (iii) to (viii) in paragraph 1 of the Director's letter which are also of a recurring nature will have to be included in them. The amounts required annually

for these items will be as shown below :—

	RS.
Ongole Taluk Board	5,000
Sermadevi Taluk Board	4,675
Ellore Municipal Council	861
Vellore Municipal Council	380
Adoni Municipal Council	144
Bellary Municipal Council	360
Total	11,420

P.R.—11-1-15.

The necessary alterations may be made in the file relating to fixed recurring grants.

3. The suggestion of the Director of Public Instruction in paragraph 3 of his

P.R.—11-1-15.

letter that the balance of the provision under "Government Schools—General—

Payments in support of local board and municipal schools" may not be resumed for the reasons stated by him appears acceptable and may be approved with the concurrence of Financial.

4. The file may now be sent to the Director of Public Instruction with reference to paragraph 1 *supra*.

S.A.—6-1-15.

C.R.—9-1-15.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—11-1-15.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Rupees 340 for the Penukonda Taluk Board was not included in the absence of specific orders from Government. It may now be included.

J. H. STONE—20-1-15.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft order sanctioning the distribution as proposed by the Director of Public Instruction including provision for the Penukonda Taluk Board is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Local and Municipal and Financial Departments for acceptance.

S.A.—23-1-15.

C.R.—25-1-15.

P.R.—26-1-15.

To the Local and Municipal } Departments.
" Financial }

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the draft order may be accepted in this department and the file passed on to the Financial Department.

C.S.—6-2-15.

N.C.—6-2-15.

C.S.S.—8-2-15.

S. KRISHNAMA ACHARI—9-2-15.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The present case relates to the distribution of the usual* subsidies to local boards and municipalities for education in 1914-15. Against a total budget provision of 2.18 lakhs (2.10 lakhs for local boards and .08 for municipalities), it is proposed to distribute a sum of 1.90 lakhs (1.83 for local boards and .07 for municipalities).

B.L.—13-2-15.

The proposal may, it is submitted, be accepted.

2. Paragraph 2 of Director of Public Instruction's letter.—The Director of Public Instruction proposes to submit to Government in future years the distribution of this usual subsidy earlier in the year along with the general † distribution of subsidies.

B.L.—13-2-15.

The Educational Department may be requested to add a sentence to the draft approving this proposal.

3. Paragraph 3 of Director of Public Instruction's letter.—The lapse of .51 lakh (in round figures) in the budget grant of 1914-15 for subsidies to local bodies, referred to by the Director of Public Instruction, is arrived at as follows :—

LAKHS.

(a) Total budget provision—
[after deducting .10 for college classes in the Ceded districts and .30 for payments in support of municipal secondary schools]. (15.89 — .10 — .30 =) 15.49 15.49

LAKHS.

(b) Amounts distributed so far—

(1) Amount already distributed—

(i) G.O. No. 722, Educational, dated 18th June 191401
(ii) G.O. No. 942, Educational, dated 11th August 191426 ‡
(iii) G.O. No. 1027, Educational, dated 31st August 1914 11.86

12.13

(2) Amount now proposed for distribution 1.90

14.03

(c) Balance (a) — (b) 1.46

(d) Deduct amount transferred to "Grants-in-aid" (G.O. No. 612, Financial, dated 5th September 1914)95

(e) Saving51

Page 134, Civil Budget estimate for 1915-16 (second edition).

‡ Excluding 1.22 allotted from the Imperial recurring grant of 1.25.

The Director of Public Instruction proposes to utilise this saving of 51 lakh on the following objects :—

(i) To compensate local bodies for the loss of fee income arising from the amendment of rule 84 of the Madras Educational rules; and

(ii) For subsidies to local bodies on account of increased capitation allowances, in addition to subsidies already sanctioned * for the purpose.

* 1.14 lakh (item 2 on page 5 of G.O. No. 1027, Educational, dated 31st August 1914).

It is submitted that these are recurring items of expenditure and if they are sanctioned in the current year, provision will also have to be made in the budget estimate for next year. The Director of Public Instruction states that the sum of 98 lakh entered by him in the budget for 1915-16 out of the Provincial increment of 2 lakhs for additional teaching establishments may be utilized for the above objects in 1915-16. But the Provincial increment of 2 lakhs has already been fully provided for under "Grants-in-aid" is available therefrom for the objects in question.

No doubt.

B.L.—13-2-15.

Page 8 of Budget notes, 1915-16.

Pages 37 and 47 of Budget notes, 1915-16.

in the budget for 1915-16, and no money question.

B.L.—13-2-15.

It is submitted that the Director of Public Instruction may be informed that there are no funds for the payment of extra capitation allowances either in this year or in the next year, and the Educational Department may be requested to add a paragraph to this effect.

A draft order will be separately submitted resuming the saving of 51 lakh.

4. The draft order put up by Educational may, it is submitted, be accepted, subject to the orders that may be passed on paragraphs 2 and 3 on page 3.

V.G.—12-2-15.

B. LAVILLE—13-2-15

Yes I agree but, if Educational presses for the extra capitation allowances, the file should be marked for the Honourable Member (Financial).

A. BUTTERWORTH—15-2-15.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

With reference to paragraph 2 of Financial note on page 3 *supra*, it is submitted that no time has been fixed for the submission of proposals for the distribution of the usual subsidy and that the Director has been forwarding these proposals in the middle of February after ascertaining the needs of the local bodies from their budgets. The mere sanction to the proposed distribution at present seems sufficient and it does not appear necessary to approve specifically the proposal to submit the proposals earlier than has been usual hitherto. The Director of Public Instruction does not ask for special approval of this procedure, but only "hopes" to continue the practice. Further it is not unlikely that the Government will have to consider a further distribution of the balance in the current year after the examination of the budgets of the local bodies. It appears that the present distribution is only that instalment of the proposals for the distribution of the usual subsidy which could be dealt with in advance of the budget scrutiny.

2. In paragraph 3 of Financial note it is also suggested that the Director of Public Instruction may be informed that there are no funds for the payment of extra capitation allowances, etc. There is no definite proposal in that regard. The

Director merely states that the "bulk" of the savings may probably be required to meet increased demands for capitation allowances. This statement has been made by the Director only to avoid a possible resumption of the balance. It is also observed from his remarks in another file that the requirements on account of payment to local bodies for loss of fee income which in the present file he considered would be a trifle, would at least amount to Rs. 25,000. It will thus be seen that there are further large demands for recurring subsidies. On the other hand, if these demands could be met from the total allotments usually made for the purpose, there could, it is submitted, be no objection to incurring further liabilities. It will be observed that the provision in the current year's budget for subsidies does not contain any unusual item, which is not to be repeated in future years, as they are mostly from Imperial assignment. It is therefore submitted that before it is definitely decided to resume the balance, the Director of Public Instruction may be informed that the provision of 98 lakh suggested by him has been omitted from the budget for 1915-16 and asked whether he can meet the further demands for recurring capitation allowances and payments for loss of fee income, from the total allotment under subsidies already entered in the budget and if not, whether the balance of 51 lakh may be resumed.

Financial may be asked to say whether they agree to the addition of the following to the draft :—

"With reference to the last sentence of his letter read above, the Director of Public Instruction is informed that the provision of Rs. 98,000 suggested by him has been omitted from the budget, as the whole of the progressive increment of two lakhs has been utilized otherwise. No additional funds can be allotted either in this or in the next year for the payment of extra capitation allowances and compensation for the loss of fee income. It is not desirable to incur additional recurring liabilities on these accounts unless they could be met from the total allotments already made in the budget. The Director is requested to state whether he could do so, and if not, whether the balance of Rs. 51,659 under the allotment for subsidies in the current year may be resumed."

C.R.—17-2-15.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—18-2-15.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The Director's letter is dated the 15th December 1914. The Director has subsequently been informed of the fact that the provision of 98 lakh entered by him in the budget for next year for the two schemes alluded to in paragraph 3 of his letter has been deleted by the Government. Instead of making the addition proposed by Educational above to the draft order, it is submitted that in order to avoid delay the Director of Public Instruction may be asked by telephone to report the amount required for the two schemes. A draft telephonic message to the Director of Public Instruction is accordingly submitted for approval, in which the points noticed by the Educational Department have been referred to.

V.G.—20-2-15.

B. LAVILLE—20-2-15.

[Telephonic message to the Director of Public Instruction dated 20th February 1915.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, Ref. on Cur. No. 11056, dated 22nd February 1915.

Paragraph 1 of Educational office-note on page 4 *ant.*—Please see paragraphs 2-3 of this department's telephonic message to the Director of Public Instruction and paragraph 1 of the Director's reply thereto.

It will be seen therefrom that it will be a distinct advantage if proposals for the distribution of the "usual" subsidy for education among local bodies are received and sanctioned by Government earlier in the year and that no difficulty will be

experienced by the Director in the practical working. The Educational Department may therefore, it is submitted, be requested to be so good as to reconsider their views

Will Education kindly reconsider.

B.L.

and to add a sentence to the draft order asking the Director to see that these proposals are submitted earlier in the year.

2. *Paragraph 2 of Educational office-note.*—Please see paragraph 4 of this department's telephonic message to the Director of Public Instruction and paragraph 2 of the Director's reply thereto, dated 22nd February 1915. Of the two schemes in question, viz.—

(a) compensation to local bodies for the loss of fee income arising from the amendment of rule 84 of the Madras Educational rules; and

(b) subsidies to local bodies on account of increased capitation allowance in addition to subsidies already sanctioned for the purpose,

the Director anticipates that the expenditure involved in the former will be about ₹24 lakh per annum. In regard to the second scheme, the Director has reserved the consideration of the question for a future occasion when sufficient funds are available to meet the heavy expenditure that would be involved by meeting *in toto* this charge.

3. It is therefore necessary to consider only the question of providing funds both in the current year and in the coming year for scheme (a) involving an expenditure

* The saving is now (2.18—1.90=) ₹.28 lakh and not ₹.51, as a sum of ₹.23 lakh which was in excess of the latest revised estimate for the current year has been resumed by Government in the recent Government Order passing orders on the modifications of grants under the several heads of account.

of ₹.24 lakh per annum. The expenditure in the *current year* can be met from the savings* in the budget grant for 1914–15 for subsidies to local bodies for education under the head “22-E. EDUCATION—Government Schools—General.”

As for *next year*, the Director states that the amount (₹24 lakh which is comparatively small) can be met from the total provision of ₹17.23 lakhs in the budget for 1915–16 for payments in support of local board and municipal schools under the head “22-E. EDUCATION—Government schools—General (page 134, Civil Budget estimate).”

4. It is therefore submitted that the addition proposed by Educational to the draft order is *not* now necessary.

5. The case may be returned to Educational with these remarks.

V.G.K.—24-2-15.

B. LAVILLE—24-2-15.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

* Issued, 26th February 1915.

Yes.

P.R.—25-2-15.

Submitted for orders whether the draft may now issue.*

2. As regards the date of submission of the proposals, the Director having, in his subsequent *official* reply to the Financial telephonic message, affirmed his original intention of submitting the proposals in advance, it may not, it is respectfully submitted, be necessary to add to the draft the sentence proposed by Financial. Further any such specific committal by the Government may have the effect of tying down the Director, which may not be always desirable.

Financial had better see this after the order has issued.

P.R.—25-2-15.

3. The original proposal of Financial to resume the whole of the balance of the allotment under payments in support of local bodies has now been dropped by them. Neither the addition to the draft originally suggested by Financial, nor the substitute therefor proposed by Educational is now necessary.

C.R.—24-2-15.

P. RAJAGOPALA ACHARIYAR—25-2-15.

[G.O. No. 221, Educational, dated 25th February 1915.]

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The Government Order has issued and the case is sent to this department for perusal of the Educational note *supra*.

2. *Paragraph 2 of Educational note supra.*—A note will, it is submitted, be made in the call book in order to see that proposals for the distribution of the usual subsidies for 1915–16 are received from the Director of Public Instruction before September 1915. The several advantages resulting from the earlier submission by the Director of Public Instruction of these proposals are set out in paragraph 2 of the telephonic message.

3. The case may, it is submitted, be returned to Educational after perusal.

V.G.K.—27-2-15.

B. LAVILLE—27-2-15.

To the Educational Department.

” ” ” ” ” 191 .

C. No. 3912 Vol. From the D.P. I., No. 11056, dated 15-12-1914

” ” ” ” ” 191

” ” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191

No. 22180, dated 25-2-1915.

P.S

The Government sanction the payment, ~~of~~ to the under mentioned district boards and municipalities, of the contribution^s specified against ~~each~~ them for 1914-15:—

NOTES.I - District BoardPage 2contribution for
maintenance of schools
Amount

Serial no	Name of District Board	Rs x
1.	Anantapur	5,930 ✓
2.	Chittoor	830 ✓
3.	Coimbatore	5,000 ✓
4.	Cuddapah	5,500 ✓
5.	Godavari	32,000 ✓
6.	Guntur	5,000 (B) ✓
7.	Kistna	25,000 ✓
8.	Koraput	11,210 ✓
9.	Kurnool	19,900 ✓
10.	Malabar	15,750 ✓
11.	Nilgiris, the	1,000 ✓
12.	Salem	30,000 ✓
13.	Tinnevely	4,000 (K) ✓
14.	Vizagapatam.	22,000 ✓
	Total	183,120

II - Municipalities

Serial no	Name of municipality	contribution for maintenance of schools Rs
1.	Parlakimedi	250 ✓
2.	Walajpet	200 ✓
3.	Tiruppur	1,000 ✓
4.	Vellore	336 (A) ✓
5.	Adoni	96 (B) ✓
6.	Bellary	180 (C) ✓
7.	Cannanore	1,930 ✓
8.	Tellicherry	1,570 ✓
9.	Ellore	861 (D) ✓
10.	Nagapatam	188 (E) ✓

Grand Total (District Board and municipalities) 1,89,741

* includes Rs. 340 for the
opening of a carpentry class
in the Board Hindu School
at Penikonda - Vide
fo 1248 & 13.7.14.

(M)

③ for the maintenance
of certain elementary schools
under the Cengole Taluk Board.
- Vide fo. 1091 & 15.9.14

(P)

* for the maintenance
of certain elementary schools
under the Sirmaddi Taluk
Board - Vide fo. 910.
1475 & 12.12.14

(K)

(a) with reference to fo. no
1303 & 18.11.14. (B)

(b) do 1334 & 27.11.14.

(c) do 1335 & 27.11.14.

(D)

(d) do 1329 & 25.11.14

(A)

(e) do 1393 & 7.12.14

(E)

13

2. This ^{amount} ~~amount~~ will be met from the provision in the C.B.E. for 1914-15 under "22 E. Education - Govt. Schools - General - Payments in support of municipal and local board schools - Elementary." The Accountant General will be requested to disburse the amounts to the local bodies ~~at an~~ ^{concerned} ~~before the~~ ^{at an} ~~earliest~~ ^{early} date.

Se. Ca
23.1.15 25/1/15

20/1/15

Page 134 CBE
for 15-16
(2nd year)

To the D.P. J. ✓
A.G. ✓
P.D.B's concern ✓ (Att)
C.M.C's concern ✓
L & N Dept ✓
T. & L. Dept ✓
Grand Total (District board)

Current No. dated 191 ..

G.O. No. 22124 dated 25-2-1915.

(b)

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence 13
 Notes 16

Brief subject: *Sanctioning the payment to the Municipalities of a*

(c) Number of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press).	E. T. copies to supply requisitions for purchase by the public.	Complete correspondence and orders.	Notes.
FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records ...	4		2636
Ooty Records ...			813
For filing with original ...			1
Total ..			50

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies.	Letter only.	Special issue copies of G.O.		Notes
		No.	Papers.	
India Office.....				
Govt. of India..... Dept.				
Revenue Department.....				
Public Works Department.....				
Local and Municipal Department.....				
Educational Department.....				
..... <i>Financial</i> Dept.				
Public Dept.....				
Judicial Dept.....				
Political.....				
Financial Dept.....				
Marine Dept.....				
Ecclesiastical Dept.....				
Total ..				
Spare copies				
The..... <i>Personal file</i>		15		
The.....		15		
The.....		10		
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
The.....				
Total ...				

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b¹) For rules regarding printing of notes, see rule 315 of the office Manual.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

TO PRESS, MADRAS OOTY.

A

Please send proof in duplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

C

As the matter is clear in script and has been carefully edited, proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Referencer.
Clerk.

Supdt.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

For issue set please print paper no I and order only. For Recd print the whole cover having
Sg
18.3.15

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	7/11/58

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.	Special E.T. edition.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....				
" " " Issue.....				
" " " for Extra E.T. copies to supply requisitions for sale to the public.				4
For Volumes.....				
For supply to newspapers and institutions admitted to the privileges of the E.T.				
Total ...				