

Edd
Local & Munl. (190/5) Department.

~~Plague.~~

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 180 *Emi. R.*

DATED 19-2-15.

13
[Despatch Abstract.]

*Building grant Recdng paper
relating to appls for a —
for the H. E. L. M. Training school
for masters, Rajahmundry —*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[M. & L.]
1.

1,000-17-11-11.

DEPARTMENT.

ME L M. Training School for Masters
Rajalundsey

CURRENT FILE.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S.C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of clerk with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Initials with date of (1) clerk of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

F.D.—80-j.

Received 191 , Registered 29 7 1912.

Subject.

Submitting the revised plans of the proposed
building under a separate cover for the A. E. L. M.
Training School for Masters, R a j a h m u n d r y.

ENCLOSURES.
No. <u>5 Plans sent</u>
<u>by Regd. parcel</u>
<u>post</u>
Spare copies _____


R. C. No. 7353/11

Station Madras, Dated the 24th July 1912.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E.

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.
To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.



PR

2 2 4
Rajahmundry, 16-7-1912.

From

The Rev. C. F. Kuder, Manager, A.E.L.M. Training
School for Masters, R a j a h m u n d r y.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
M a d r a s.

S i r,

I have the honour to inclose under separate cover
the revised plans of the proposed building for my Train-
ing School: there are two blue prints of the revised
plans, and also one copy of the plans formerly submitted,
for comparison, I trust they will be satisfactory.

As to the estimate, no changes have been made,
for although there are a few slight alterations in the
plan, these will not materially affect the estimate.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

/Sd./ C. F. Kuder.

Ref. on Cur. No. 7353/11, dated 24th July 1912.

Submitted to Government with reference to memo-
randum No. 2619-2 Educational, dated 22nd November 1911.

2. The plans and estimates are sent under a sepa-
rate cover.

R. A. Anave

for Director of Public Instruction.

U. L.

11-50-100 114 ED
9-2-12
x. Krein
24-7-12

Dear Kuder:-

As to the redrawn plans:- and Government's criticism:-

ad 1. I have drawn a square in red ink enclosing the old parts. I think it will be sufficient. The red ink runs out very easily and blots on the transparent paper.

ad 2. It should be stated that the material is the same for all rooms namely Broken Concrete for Lowest Foundation. Country brick in mortar for foundation Country brick in mud for Walls Teak for all woodwork and country tiles for roof.

ad 3. All doors and windows have been spaced evenly and all have been made the same width. There are all in all three more windows now than before unless the windows drawn in lead pencil in the third standard room are to count instead of the one in in ink. One window has been changed into a door in the fourth standard.

The estimate should be slightly altered.

ad 4 Columns are drawn in the new plan. They were figured for in the estimate.

ad 5. Scantling etc. has been marked in the detailed plans and also spaced as correctly as I could according to Specimen plan. In the flooring of the upper room in the detailed plan I made a mistake by taking the marking of 1' distance for lines indicating joists It is too much work to redraw the entire plan just for this; on the other hand you can not rub out a mistake

ad 6 The columns are now spaced evenly and as they are for the entire front 8 columns more than on the old plan and two half columns.

ad 7 The stairs are figured for as being four feet wide. They are now drawn so and material is wood throughout.

ad 8. Intels are omitted and relieving arches substituted I do not know whether that makes any difference in the estimate

ad 9. The junction of the gables over the staircase has to be figured and the estimate for verandah upstairs on three sides is to be omitted.

ad 10. rear verandahs are now alike: some of them narrower than before, this may influence the estimate slightly.

ad 11. the thickness of the walls is now 2 feet for all outside

10755 e 2/25-11-12 325
u/
walls, 1'6" for all inside walls, 2'6" for centre room downstairs
28 for upstairs. Those at side of staircases 2' below 1'6" above.
As to kingposts or kingrods, it is really immaterial. As the plan
had posts, I retained them. The construction differs slightly for
kingrods. The trusses in the Auditorium are figured in the estimate
as of iron, though drawn as of wood in the old plan. I adopted the
construction of the specimen plan.

As to the floors I do not know whether you want Cuddapah slabs
or cement; however it makes no difference in the plan.

There is another piece of paper with remarks. In that the
author wants detailed specification of the floors and also detailed
drawing of windows and doors. I have done so in one of the plans
which are on a larger scale.

Sincerely yours

Herbert L. Walter

to F. Kunder

16/7/12.

Public Works Department.

Note by the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., dated

The Director of Public Instruction submits for
sanction an estimate amounting to Rs.29,300 with
plans for additions to the A.E.L.M. Training school
for masters at Rajahmundry, Godavari District.

II. The plans have been examined in the Chief
Engineer's office and the ~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~
scantlings have been marked on the plans, as far as
is possible upon the information given.

III The requirements contained in the Chief Engineer
note accompanying Memo. No.2819-2 Educational, dated
22nd November 1911, have not been fully complied with.

IV. The plan of the 1st floor and a section through
the existing front verandah shewing the proposed
construction and a section through the staircase
shewing the headway allowed and the timbers sup-
porting the landing should be submitted.

V. The foundations of the proposed building should
be marked on the plans, and the Superintending En-
gineer should satisfy himself regarding their suitabi-
lity.

VI. The masonry columns should be provided with
bond stones to the full diameter of the columns at
about every 2½ feet in height.

VII. The walls of the auditorium may be 2'-0" thick
on the ground floor and 1' 6" on the 1st floor instead
of 2'-6" throughout and the walls of other rooms may
be 1'-6" thick instead of 1'-10½".

VIII. The timber proposed to be used for the rafters
supporting the sloping roofs should be distinctly
specified. The corrections made in the Chief En-
gineer's office are based on the understanding that
the timber specified as country wood will be either

Brul

35, 6
7

Erul or Pillaimardu (applicable to Table II of Circular 234 C, dated 9th January 1903.

IX. The data submitted contain discrepancies and errors.

X. Where there are two storeys the lower one at least should be built in mortar and not in mud,

XI. The estimate and the plans do not agree with each other.

XII. The pitch for a roof composed of flat and pan tiles must be not less than 34 degrees ~~xxx/xxxx~~ ~~xxxxxx~~ (or $\frac{1}{3}$ rd span).

XIII. The passage 20' x 10' between the office and museum appears to be extravagant.

XIV. The plans and estimates may be returned to the Director of Public Instruction for revision with reference to the points noted above.

W.G.M.
14-11-12.

for Chief Engineer

CL
12/11

CR. 2059.

Memo. 2059-2 Ed. 28. 11. 12

The plans and estimates relating to the A. E. L. M. Training school for masters, Rajahmundry received with the S.P.D.'s endorsement No. 7353 / 11 dt 24-7-12 are returned to him for resubmission after revision in accordance with the Chief Engineer's note No. 10755 Cd 25-11-12, a copy of which is enclosed.

PP 26.11.12
CA 27.11.12
Ch 28.11.12

To, The S.P.D. with plans, estimates & copy of C.E.'s note.

28/11/12 noted in the call book

Cus 28.11.12

[CR. 1191 of 13]

Reminded 20.1.13

Reminded 19-1-13

Reminded 29.1.14

Reminded 24.2.14



8. Ede 464

C.F.-29

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 7/2/15 1915 Registered 8/2/15 1915

Subject.

Reporting that the application for building grant on behalf of the A. E. L. M. Training School for Masters, Rajahmundry, may be considered to have been withdrawn.

ENCLOSURES. No. Spare copies

Dis No. 682 of 15

Station M a d r a s, Dated the 8 February 1915

From (Name) The Hon. Mr. J. H. Stone, M.A., C.I.E., F.R.H.S., (Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras, EDUCATIONAL Department.

Sir,

p7 supra

With reference to your Memorandum No. 2059-2 Edl., dated 28th November 1912 and subsequent reminders thereto, I have the honour to state that the Correspondent of the A.E.L. M. Training School for Masters, Rajahmundry, has not submitted the revised plans and estimates called for therein in spite of several reminders from this office and to suggest that his application for building grant may therefore be considered to have been withdrawn.

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant,

for Director of Public Instruction.

M. S. S.

[E. & L.]
[61.]

1,000-17-11-11.

EN DEPARTMENT.

A. E. L. M. Training School for masters. Rajahmundry.

Secretariat

NOTE FILE.

10

C. No. 2059 From the S.P.D. No 7353/11 dated 24-7-1912.

SUBJECT. Grant for the A.E.L.M. Training School for masters, Rajahmundry.



The revised plans and estimates submitted by the S.P.D. may be forwarded to the P.W.D. for scrutiny with reference to that department's note on page 13 of Gono 114 Sdly 9-2-12.


g

PP 31-7-12
A 1-8-12

Wm 18/12

Wluc 2059-1
1-8-12

27/1/18 P.W. Dept. us



Not ~~the~~ matter passed.

Flot XV
P.W. Department
Note by the Chief Engineer PWD, no 107557 of 25.11.12

P.W. U.O. R No. 161
Dated 2-8-12

DW
The plans ~~submitted~~ ^{examined} have been ~~examined~~ in the Chief Engineer's office and the remarks of the Chief Engineer are embodied in the Chief Engineer's note read above. With these remarks the file may be returned to the Educational Dept.

BEER
G.M.

DW 19-11-12
T.M. 21-11-12

W.D.
23/11

Educational Dep D.O.

P.W. U.O. R No. 2759
Dated 25-11-12

26/11

✓
Educational Department.

A draft memo. is Submitted for approval.

PD
26.11.12 CA
27.11.12

ly
28/11

μ7CF

μ8CF

[Memo. no 2059-2 Edl of the 28-11-12]

C-No. 464 Ed from the D.P.I. no. 682, of the 6-2-15

Please see the D.P.I.'s letter on μ8CF. A draft order recording the papers is submitted for approval.

138
17.2.15 Ed
17.2.15.

JB 18/2
G

200
CR.
23/4

READ ALSO:—

464 Est G.P.I 682/15 the 6th Febry 1915

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191

ORDER.
Misc

No. 1808 ^{mis} dated 19. 2. 1915

Recorded

138
17-2-15

Feb
17.2.15

84
20/2/5-

Copy to the D. O. I.

Kre

20-2-1