

258 (1915) Department.

In SERIES.

G.O. No. 1440357

DATED 18.12.15

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reformatory School, Chingleput

Stating that no amendment
of Rule 22(i) of the rules for
the Reformatory School, Chingleput
is necessary.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.


Form No. 134.
5-15-11-11.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Received 12 NOV 1915 191 , Registered

Subject.

Proposing the amendment of rule 22 of the Rules for the Reformatory School Chingleput.



ENCLOSURES.

are copies

No. 2185/w egb fu

Station Masulipatam , Dated the 9th November 1915 191 .

From (Name) J.M. Turing, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) District Magistrate of Kistna.

To the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the following for the orders of Government:-


2. Under Clause iii of rule 22 of the rules for the Reformatory School, Chingleput printed on page 104 of the Local Rules and Orders, Madras Presidency (Part II of Vol.I 1912) a discharged pupil shall be sent to the Magistrate of the District and by the Magistrate, if necessary, to his relatives, in charge of a peon of the school. The procedure frequently entails much unnecessary travelling on the part of the discharged boy and the peon in charge. The District Magistrate nearly invariably takes the courses mentioned in the concluding sentence of clause (i) and in clause (iii). Similarly the Sub Divisional Magistrate is generally asked to take the necessary steps under clause (ii).

3. I have therefore the honour to suggest that the word
"Sub Divisional Magistrate" may be substituted in this rule for
the Magistrate of the District.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. K. Rao

For Collector.
&
Dt. Magistrate.



2 The D.P.I. (with the current in
original - to be returned with
the reply)

*Noted in
21/11/15*

19-11-15

E

Ed. Dept

C. no 3993 Ed

Endorsement No. 3993-1 Ed

*18/11/15
Referred to the D.P.I.*

for remarks.

*16/11/15
17.11.15*


Note

The D.P.I. performs the duties
of the Inspector-General under the
Reformatory Schools Act, 1897. Vide
rule 2 on p. 3 of G.O. no 158 Ed
the 20th March 1907

16/11/15

4/ C No 4429 24
O.F.-20.
Educational DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered

1915

Subject.

Resubmitting with remarks with Government Endorsement No. 3993-1 Edl., dated 18th November 1915 regarding the proposed amendment of Rule 22 of the Reformatory School Rules.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Ref. on Cur. No. 9297/15,

Spare copies

Station Madras, Dated the 15th December 1915.

From (Name) The Hon. Mr. J. H. Stone, M.A., F.R.S., C.I.E.
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.

Sir,

In returning herewith the letter of the District Magistrate of Kistna R.C.No. 2185/15, dated 9th November 1915 referred to me for remarks with endorsement No. 3993-1 Edl., dated 18th November 1915, I have the honour to submit herewith copy of letter R.C.No. 233 Gl/15, dated 4th December 1915, from the acting Superintendent, Reformatory School, Chingleput and to state that in the circumstances explained therein I agree with him in thinking that no change is called for in Rule 22 of the Reformatory School rules.

It will be observed that clause (i) of Rule 22 gives the District Magistrate discretion in the matter of

5
-2-
5
sending a discharged pupil to a subordinate Magistrate
and ^{and not the District Magistrate} in his district ^{might} decide immediately on receipt of
notice under clause (i) to which subordinate Magistrate
the pupil should be sent.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

C. W. S. S. S. S. S.

P.V.S.

for Director of Public Instruction.

me

R.C.233/G1/15

Office of the Reformatory School,
Chingleput, 4th December 1915.

From

J.W. Coombes, Esq., B.A., A.M.S.T.,

Ag. Superintendent, Reformatory School
Chingleput.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

M a d r a s.

S i r,

With reference to your endorsement No. N.R.C.
9297/15, dated 2nd December 1915, I have the honour to state
that I do not recommend the amendment of Rule 22 of School
Rules for the following reasons:-

- (1) In corresponding with the District Magistrate replies to the half yearly report regarding the conduct and mode of occupation of ex-pupils whose history has to be maintained for 3 years are always satisfactory and are received without undue delay. One Magistrate (Mr. Bedford) used to sign himself every paper connected with our boys. It would be advisable therefore that the boys' papers should be with the District Magistrate.
- (2) In several cases, our boys reside at the Head-quarters of the District Magistrate.
- (3) In cases where the relatives reside elsewhere than at the Head-quarters of the District, we ask the District Magistrate in our first letter intimating - release two months before date of discharge (Form A) the question as to which Magistrate the boy should be sent for discharge in order that unnecessary travelling and expenditure may be avoided.
- (4) The District Magistrate of Kistna is evidently referring to a recent discharge in his district (27-10-1915) of a boy who was sent to him without any

See page no 1583d/E
20307

6. *Chrysomela*

Im



Received 191 , Registered 191

Abstract.

Office Ctr

Educational..... DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1440 Edl. , dated 18th December 1915 .

Read the following papers:--

ENCLOSURES.

No.

From the District Magistrate of Kistna,
No. 2185 dated 9th November 1915.From the Director of Public Instruction,
Ref.on.Cur.No. 9297/15 dated 15th December 1915.ORDER.

The Government observe that clause (i) of Rule 22 of the rules for the Reformatory school, Chingleput, -- gives the District Magistrate discretion in the matter of directing a discharged pupil to be taken before a subordinate magistrate and they understand that it is the -- practice in all ordinary cases of discharge for the Superintendent of the school to enquire two months before discharge, before which magistrate a discharged pupil should be produced in order that unnecessary travelling and expenditure may be avoided. No amendment of the rule --- appears to be necessary.

(True Extract)

18.12.15
 Acting Secretary to Government.

To the District Magistrate of Kistna.

,, Director of Public Instruction.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No.
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH BILLS

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.E.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.