

Fdl (1915) Department.

His SERIES.

G.O. No. 1380-Edl

DATED 10. 12. 15

[Despatch Abstract.]

Leg. Council Question

Recording notes connected with the question re: the admission of Muhammedan boys in private schools on half fees asked at the meeting of the Leg. Council held on the 23rd Novr 1915.

Seott. II-5

VERY URGENT.



C.N. 3841 Eg
5.11.15
2

QUESTION.—No. (6)

for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the

23 - 11 - 1915.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the
Educational Department with reference to Nos. (1) and (4) of the rules
regulating Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Questions in the Legislative
Council. Orders regarding admission will be communicated in due course.

C. S. A.
4.11.15

Educational Dept u.o. with Q. (6)

1883-1
5.11.15

3
Legislative Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The Honourable Rao Bahadur V.K. Ramanuja Achariyar:

107. Will the Government be pleased
to state whether the Educational Rules X
will be so modified as to compel the
managers of private schools supported by
Government to take in Muhammadan boys
on application levying half ~~fee~~ fee
as ordered by Government Orders 404
Educational, dated 10th May 1912, and
842 Educational, dated 17th September
1912?

Educational Dept.
In 1914 two questions on this subject
were asked in the Legislative Council.
~~Two questions on this subject were asked in the Legislative Council.~~
~~one on 29th May 1914 and one on 13th Dec 1914.~~
— please see L's No. 644 Edl's
d/ the 29th May 1914 and No. 1371 Edl's
d/ the 3rd Dec: 1914. It will be seen
therefrom that the policy is not to
force the private management
to adopt the rule of half-fee. Sufficient
inducement has ~~been~~ been given
to managers of aided schools to give
effect to the rule by so amending
art. 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code
as to increase the grant admirably
admissible to such schools by half the
amount of the fee income foregone by
the grant of such a concession — please
see para 6 of L's No. 404 Edl's, d/ the
16th May 1912 No. 842 Edl's, d/ the 17th
Sept: 1912. ~~In 1912 it was decided in the Legislative Council~~
~~that the rule of half-fee should be applied to all aided schools.~~
2. It may also be observed that
there have not been many ^{Complaints to Govt.} cases of
refusal on the part of the management
to comply with the allow the half-fee
concession. It does not therefore seem
necessary to introduce any
compulsory provision to the effect in
the Educational Rules, especially in view
of the policy referred to above.

cf: portion cited ~~in~~ in the notes at p. 1 of
L's No. 644 Edl's
29.5.14

cf: para 29 of Mr. Storie's
letter on p. 17 of L's No. 404 Edl's
10.5.12

3. The following draft answer is submitted for approval:-

"The attention of the

"Honourable Member is invited to

"the answer to Que: No ⁹⁵ asked at

"the meeting of the ^{20th November} 1914. They

"Govt: do not consider that any

"Compulsory provision should be

"imposed in this respect"

Ch. Q.
6.11.15 6.11.15

Our information is not very up to date. Will the D.P.O. please advise?

8
7.11.15

D.P.O. u.o.

10.140 of 9.11.15

All our rules about fees rest on the idea that managers should be left to levy fees as they like so far as the amount charged goes. We seek to encourage them to levy as much as possible by the provisions of the part in our Code. We can hardly compel managers to admit boys at half fees on the ground that they are humanitarian. To some extent we do encourage them to do so but a proposal to increase the encouragement was

1371

P.D. of Geo. 10/11/15

8.12.14

(A)

Unilateral

30.1.15

11.2.15

8/7/14

Est. no 3841-1

8.11.15

10/11/15


Unilateral

negative by Government, as will be seen from p. 10. ² 10. 3 in. 15.

I consider that the Urban Education Community has a grievance in that for having long ago declared a policy of educating their boys at half fees are having ever since maintained it in regard to their own schools do not see that it is extended to other schools which have no one often do not ones to which Urban Education can send their boys but which have no reason for opposing in their favour any portion of their fee income. The practical difficulties in the way of removing the grievance are considerable particularly as anything that were done would have to include all backward class pupils and would consequently be decidedly expensive.

I do not see that any answer to the question can be given than that before. We can't compel a school to give fee concessions out of its own pocket.

Jan 9. 15.



Educational Department.
It is for consideration whether

the draft answer at 'A' on page 4 above may be approved.

CA
11.11.15

The present question is in point of fact a direct proposal to modify the rules so as to make the reduction of per centum. If we are not prepared to do that I think it would be best to say so.

A reference to the previous answer is no reply to the question

Suggested reply

"Govt are not prepared to interfere with the discretion of managers to the extent proposed"

SS
11.11.15

H. E. the Governor.

P.
12.11.

Box No. 23
11.11.15

Col no 3841-2

13.11.15

Answered to Regd
as 13/11/15



Educational Dept.

The final form of the question and answer was as follows:—

(Mr. Ramanuja Achariyar.)

141 Q.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether the Educational Rules will be so modified as to compel the managers of private schools supported by Government to take in Muhammadan boys on application, levying half-fee as ordered, by G.Os. 404, Educational, dated 10th May 1912, and 842, Educational, dated 17th September 1912?

Admission of Muhammadan boys in private schools on half-fees.

(S)
(K)

141 A.—Government are not prepared to interfere with the discretion of managers to the extent proposed.


2 Submitted that the notes may now be
Order No. 1380 D.O. 10/12/15
M.P.
Recorded.

AV
6.12.15

CA
6.12.15

Ch.
9.12.15

(C)
9/12



When given for copying—
 Sect. When copied _____ } 191
 When examined _____
 signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____ DATED _____
 191

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH BILLS.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.