

## Educational (1915) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 1098

DATED 4-10-15

[Despatch Abstract.]

St. Aloysius' Industrial School.  
Vizagapatam

Sanctioning the acquisition of  
the site selected to the south  
of the existing premises of the  
for the construction of a  
workshop for the school.




JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Received



190



1905

Requesting Government to approve of the proposed acquisition of the land required for the extension of the St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam.

ENCLOSURE.

No. one

Spare copies

D. Dis. No. 1095 of 15.

Station, , Dated the 16-6-15. 190

From (Name) L. T. Harris Esq., I. C. S.

(Designation) Collector, Vizagapatam.

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.  
Judicial Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No. 532 Mis. (Educational Department) dated 17-5-15, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 746 dated 9-6-15 of the correspondent, St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam, from which it will be observed that the land already acquired and the land now proposed to be acquired will be utilised for the School and factory divisions of the Industrial School. I request that Government may be pleased to approve of the proposed acquisition.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*For Collector*  
For Collector.

N.V.A. *amp*  
17/6/15

17/6/15

18/6/15



Copy of letter No. 746 dated 9-6-15 from the  
Correspondent, St. Aloysius Industrial School,  
Vizagapatam..

With reference Government correspondence  
S.R.C.No. 564 dated 4-8-15 &c. re. the purpose to which  
the land already expropriated is to be diverted, I have  
the honour to state that, in consultation with the Director  
of Industries, we have come to the conclusion that it  
will be used for the extension of the school Department  
of the proposed new Industrial School. Under Mr. K.T.B.  
Tressler's new scheme for Industrial Schools, there  
will be two divisions in each school, one, called the  
School division, where the apprentices will be taught  
their work, and any subject in connection with it, such  
as drawing, arithmetic, physics and chemistry; and the  
second division called the Factory where the apprentices  
who have passed out will be allowed to undergo a further  
training of two years practical work for which they will  
be paid. The plot already expropriated, being far too  
small for the Factory will be used for building class  
rooms &c in connection with the School division, and the  
new plot will be used for the Factory.

With this explanation, I hope there will be  
difficulty in settling the question without delay.

True Copy/

For Collector.

N.V.A.

17.6.15



Educational Dept.

[CM 2181]

Encl. No. 2181-1801.2.6.7.15

Referred to the Director of Industries for remarks with reference to G.O. No. 532 dated the 17<sup>th</sup> May 1915.

SK  
21.6.15

SK  
30/6/15

SK  
3.7.15

Notes in CB

5.7.15

To


The D.I.  
(with CM 2181  
in original to be  
returned.)

SK  
5.7.15

SK  
3/7/15

SK  
5/7





DEPARTMENT.

Registered 127 1915.

Subject.

ST. ALOYSIUS INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL

Regarding the handing over of the land to the -----.

ENCLOSURES.

No. ....

Spare copies .....

No. B17

Station Madras, Dated the 8th July, 1915.

From (Name) K.T.B. Tressler, Esq., .....

(Designation) Director of Industries, .....

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to endorsement 2181 of the 5th July I have the honor to state that the facts as explained by the mission authorities, Vizagapatam, are correct and I consider the handing over of the land to be eminently desirable.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*Amr*  
877.  
Ag. Director of Industries.

page 3 supra

SK



Ed. Department

Memo No 2409-1 Ed  
11.8.15

In continuation of Govt

memorandum No 2565-1 Education,  
dated the 5th August 1915, and  
with reference to said letter

No B.17, dated the 5th July 1915,  
from the Director of Industries  
submitting a scheme for  
the development of the St.

Aloysius' Industrial School  
Vizagapatam, Govt observe  
that while no reason

for abandoning the scheme  
<sup>with considerable correspondence & deliberation</sup>  
settled in G.O. No 7282, dated

the 9th August 1912 is shown,  
no connection of the new one  
with it is obvious. The school

appears to have a workshop  
already and all that Govt

proposed to add to it was  
only a carpentry shop  
while, according to the new

scheme, it is proposed to  
construct a large factory  
adjacent to the harbour  
~~which would be a great loss~~  
~~to the Government~~

Further

linked file  
(since removed)

(cf. in the linked file)  
(since removed)

Further, the Govt observe that that the number of pupils on the rolls in the school was only 15 in 1910 (figures for subsequent years do not appear in the reports ~~for~~ of the department) and the new scheme appears to be too elaborate for an institution with such small strength. At the same time it has also to be noted that the Govt cannot for the sake of saving the lapse of a sum of Rs 3,500 this year, commit themselves to a further expenditure of Rs 5,300 in the next, <sup>without an adequate justification for this course.</sup> The Director of Industries is requested to report further on the above points, to explain why the scheme sanctioned in 1912 has not been proceeded with and, if he finds it undesirable, to submit detailed proposals for a scheme to replace it which



which will cover all the matters dealt with in the former scheme.  
2. The Director is also requested to state whether the site "close to the harbour" on which he proposes to locate the factory is the same as the one near the school recommended for acquisition by the Collector of Vizagapatam in his letter No. 1932, dated the 8th October 1914 (Copy enclosed). The Govt desire to have information on this point as early as possible and in advance of the Director's reply to para 1 of this memo.

PK  
9.8.15

11.8.15


Page 1 & 2 of No. 532 (2)  
17.5.15

Material  
1/2/95  
84/2/8  
The D. I.

DEPARTMENT.

C.F.-29.

Received



Registered

11.9 1915

Subject

St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam. Submitting  
proposals for the development of the-----

ENCLOS RES.

No. 1 Blue print

Spare copies

No. B. 17

Station Madras, Dated the 8th September, 1915  
9

From (Name) K. T. B. Transler, Esq.  
(Designation) Director of Industries.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,  
EDUCATIONAL Department.

Sir,

In reply to Gt. Memorandum No. 2409 dated  
11-8-1915. I have the honour to state that the Waltair  
Echo 1 Scheme is a somewhat involved affair and it will, I  
think, make matters clearer if I give a brief resume' of  
what has happened.

1. Following instructions from Government arising  
from the representations of a deputation to His Excellency  
the Governor at Waltair in 1909, Mr. Cotton then Ag.  
Director of Industries prepared the first scheme for the  
development of the St. Aloysius Industrial School,  
Vizagapatam.

2. Mr. Cotton's idea appears to have been

*page & supra*

*page 187/10 2739*

*88*



- 2 -

$\frac{10}{-3}$

⑤

$N$

industrial problem I had come to the conclusion that the original ideas regarding State aid required modification. So far as the largest schools were concerned I considered it desirable, in lieu of granting large capital subsidies, for Government to build its own premises and lease them to private bodies. This matter I discussed very fully with Dr. Bourne and I found that his views closely agreed with mine.

10. After my interview with the Mission authorities I wrote a letter to the Director of Public Instruction expressing this opinion but as meantime two committees had been convened to discuss the question of Industrial Education it was decided to defer action until the reports had been prepared.

11. The scheme now under discussion is prepared on the basis of the proposal submitted to the Director of Public Instruction in my letter dated 13-2-1914. In that letter I explained that the previous schemes must be regarded as dead and buried. The Mission had definitely decided against them and Dr. Bourne and myself were agreed that they were unworkable.

12. The question has been handled by 3 people, Mr. Cotton, Mr. Chatterton and myself and at different periods was referred to Government in the Revenue Department, the Director of Public Instruction and Government in the Educational Department for orders. It is not surprising therefore that some confusion should exist.

13. The fact that the original schemes have been found unworkable is a sufficient reason for their abandonment. But there are other reasons also. These schemes were based on ideas prevalent 5 years ago, which further experience has proved to be wrong. In the accompanying letter (B. 44 dated 9-9-1915)

I explained in what manner the educational policy has had to be modified and what changes in the way of construction and control these modifications have necessitated.

14. The original idea at Waltham was to utilize the existing school premises for industrial work and to add thereto an extension to be constructed on the site marked A. in the accompanying plan. This site was to be acquired at a cost of about Rs.2,000 and was to be utilised for the construction of a carpentry shop. Government have remarked that the average attendance is very low. This is due almost solely to the fact that the existing premises cannot accommodate any more pupils, and even the addition of the plot A would not have sufficed to accommodate the 40 boys contemplated under the scheme. Further, as has been explained in the accompanying letter, the new industrial policy contemplates the complete separation of the 'school' and 'factory' stages and the provision of adequate accommodation for technical classes. The latter was not included in the original scheme and necessitates still further accommodation, to say nothing of the extra space that the proposed separation of the two sections will demand. This even under the old system of education the space available was inadequate for the accommodation of the numbers contemplated and under the new system it becomes hopelessly so. What I now propose therefore is to locate only the school section in the existing mission buildings, making provision for the factory section on the adjoining plot marked B on the map.

This plot is the one described as 'close to the harbour' by the Collector.

(plan kept below)

G.O. No. 728551  
9/8/12 (14)

Memo, page 6 supra.

not forwarded by G.O.

(B. 44)

The confusion arises from the fact that the original schemes were sometimes by G.O. and never given G.O. as a whole and that in the Revenue Department.

It was Mr. Bourne who reminded the land as being close to the harbour. He was asked to state whether that was the same as the one proposed to be acquired by the Collector. The latter described it as being south of the existing premises.



-6- 13/

15. I have, in the accompanying letter explained the principles on which I propose to construct schools: to make the school or factory section (or both) the absolute property of one party or the other and to spend money only on that part that represents a realisable asset to Government. In Waltair I propose to leave the school authorities to develop the school section on their own premises at their own expense and to build a factory alongside. To quote from the above mentioned letter.

"Waltair is a rising place, and will soon have a harbour. A mechanical workshop near the harbour is likely to prove an absolute necessity in the near future. The industrial school is very conveniently situated within stone's throw of the harbour and if we acquire land and equip a factory near the school we can use it for the present for educational purposes but will always hold an asset of increasing value if we at any time want to sell."

16. Brother Emile, the present manager has established a considerable connection. So long as he is in charge, the school can, with advantage, run the factory. If his successor is an inferior man he will lose the connection and recognition may be withdrawn. Government will then acquire the connection and have a factory with a considerable 'goodwill' for sale. If offers are not satisfactory Government can run the factory until reasonable offers are made.

17. Under my new policy the factory section must be organised on factory lines. In the scheme I have submitted to Government I provide for the construction of the complete factory at once, so as to get it going as quickly as possible. The amount mentioned in my original proposal will have to be spent ultimately, but if Government consider

8  
8/9/15

Subd in separate file (removed)

(linked file) removed

-7- 14/ 12

consider it desirable to build up the factory by sections, so to speak, it will be quite possible to begin on a smaller scale. As I have explained, I doubt if it will be possible to obtain machinery during the current year: in any case, the factory, even if taken in hand at once, will not be ready for occupation for another six months: and if Government are prepared to invest Rs.15,000 on plant next year, I can, with the sum of money available from educational funds (about Rs.36,000) buy the land, purchase an engine and shafting and put up sufficient buildings to carry me through for two or three years. As a provisional scheme therefore to be developed later, when circumstances make development necessary, I now submit proposals for the construction of a portion of the larger scheme outlined in my previous letter.

18. Immediate requirements can be met:

(a) By the sale of the site A to the School and by the construction by them of class rooms thereon to be used for technical purposes.

(b) By the expenditure of about Rs.36,000 on land buildings and power for the proposed factory.

(c) By the provision of about Rs.15,000 next year for the purchase of machinery

19. If these proposals receive the general administrative sanction of Government, the Executive Engineer, Waltair, will be addressed to prepare detailed plans and estimates for the buildings proposed. A sketch plan is herewith submitted.

20. The scheme of work to be followed in the school is completely described in my draft Code.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K. S. S. S.

Director of Industries.



JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

R.F.D. - 80 - 3,367 - 20-8-13.

CURRENT NO.  
2762

Received 191 . Registered 15 9 191 2



Subject.

C.N. 323/248  
18/9/15

Requesting that Government may be pleased to pass  
early orders in the matter of the proposed acquisition of  
land required for the extension of the St. Aloysius Industrial  
School, Vizagapatam.

for G.O. 3762 B-1  
16.9.15

70 Educational Co.  
15.9.15  
18/9

|                |
|----------------|
| ENCLOSURES.    |
| No. <i>Nil</i> |
| Spare copies   |

R.C. No. 2127 of 15

Station Vizagapatam, Dated the 10th September 1915

From (Name) L.T. Harris, Esq., I.C.S.,  
(Designation) Collector of Vizagapatam.

To the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that Government  
may be pleased to pass early orders on my letter D.Dis.  
No. 1095 of 1915 dated 16th June 1915 regarding the  
proposed acquisition of land required for the extension  
of the St. Aloysius Industrial School, Vizagapatam.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*Plunkett*  
For Collector.  
11/9/15

R.R/10-9-15.

10/9/15

cc  
10.9.15



SITE PLAN SHOWING  
THE WORKSHOPS ECT.  
FOR  
ST ALOYSIUS INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL  
VIZAGAPATAM

Scale 1" = 20'

REFERENCE

-  EXISTING BUILDING
-  EXTENSION
-  FUTURE EXTENSION
-  BUILDING PROPOSED



DIRECTOR OF INDUSTRIES  
 MADRAS.

6-2-13

Again G.O., No. , dated 190  
Current No. from the , No. , dated 191

Current No. 2181 from the collector of <sup>S. S. S.</sup> No. 10957, dated 16/6/1915  
Vizagapatam

SUBJECT.

Acquisition of the new piece of land  
required for the extension of the St.  
Aloysius' Industrial School, Vizagapatam

(2) Please see G.O. No. 532 Educational,  
dated the 17<sup>th</sup> May 1915, and the notes  
with it. In the circumstances now  
page 2 of G.O. explained by the correspondent of  
the Industrial School at Vizagapatam  
the proposal to acquire a new  
piece of land for the school may,  
it is submitted, be accepted.

2. Though the land already acquired  
is also to be utilized for the purposes  
of industrial education, still  
the authorities of the school stated  
in their original letter that the cost  
of acquisition of it ~~should be~~  
would be refunded to the Govt if  
the new land could be acquired.  
The collector may, it is submitted,  
be asked to ~~be asked to~~ sell the  
land

page 3 of G.O. No. 532 Educational  
17.5.15



para 2 of the letter, page 1 of 2.  $\frac{5.32}{17.5.15}$  (2)

para 4 of G.O. No 72865-89.8.12 (5)

G.O. No 72865-89.8.12 (16)

land to the mission.

2. The cost of acquisition of the new land was estimated by the collector <sup>(in Cochin land)</sup> at Rs 297 14-2. The collector observed that this expenditure could be met from the amount that would be refunded by the mission. It may ~~however~~ <sup>possibly</sup> be necessary to sanction an allotment for the acquisition of the land <sup>(new)</sup> though the amount will be recovered by the sale of the old land. The collector may be ~~authorized~~ <sup>authorized</sup> to take steps for the acquisition of the new land ~~and to apply~~ <sup>to apply</sup> for an allotment to cover the cost.

3. The St.loysius' Industrial School, Vizagapatnam, is one of the institutions which, it has been decided, should be developed with Govt aid and it was for this purpose that the Govt acquired the old land under the Land Acquisition Act & met the full cost of <sup>the</sup> acquisition from Provincial funds. No definite agreement has been entered into ~~between~~ <sup>with</sup> the mission in regard to the working of the school. It will be possible to do this

only after the general principles in regard to industrial education which are at present under consideration have been settled. It is ~~therefore~~ for orders whether in the meanwhile the collector should be informed that the new land should not be handed over to the mission till the agreement with them is closed.

5. The file may, it is submitted, be sent to the ~~Revenue~~ <sup>Revenue</sup> for remarks.

SK A  
23.6.15-26/6/15

The Chief in letter (and enclosure) has been favoured to the Director of Industries for remarks with reference to No. 532, Dhul, dated the 17<sup>th</sup> Feb 15.

30/6/15

A draft endorsement is submitted for approval.

SK A  
30.6.15-30/6/15

26/6  
5.7.15

[End - No. 2187 - 9.5.7.15]

Please see last sentence of the Secy's note on page 13 of note to go. 12.5.15 (2)  
17.5.15

SK  
30/6



CN 2409. From the D. S. M. B. 1798.7.15.

Page 4 of 4.

The Director of Industries recommends that the new land may be handed over to the Mission. It is for orders whether the proposal may be approved. As suggested in para on page 3 supra the file may be forwarded to the Revenue & Dist. Dept<sup>s</sup> for remarks.

SK  
18.7.15. *CS*  
18.7.15

~~The first piece of land may be sold to the Mission and the second acquired and handed over to them under a special agreement which will remain as signed to the same parties and then, if necessary, as the piece of land already acquired is being utilized for school purposes, it may be sold to the Mission. As regards the other Mr. Tinsley has submitted a new scheme for the improvement of the school so totally different from that sanctioned in 1912 that I think a good deal of enquiry and correspondence with him necessary before we can proceed~~

with it. Now is it quite certain that the site "close to the harbor" in which Mr. Trevelyan proposes to place his factory is the site adjacent to the school. In this, therefore, of the fact that the land is appreciating in value, I am afraid there is nothing for it but to postpone acquisition for the present.

8

22.7.15

H.C.

I should just like to see the papers sanctioning Mr. Trevelyan's new scheme for two divisions in industrial schools referred to on p. 2. c.f.

P

1.8.15.

Put up the papers at once

2.8.15

Submitted that there are no papers <sup>(of industrial schools)</sup> sanctioning the division into 'school' and 'factory' sections referred to on page 2 of current file. A proposal to that effect has been put forward



Please see paras 3 & 4, page 2  
+ paras 22 & 25 of the Code  
pages 18 & 22 of notes (2)

in the draft code for industrial school  
submitted by Mr. Tressler which is  
now under consideration. A reference  
was made on the code to Messrs  
Tressler & Duncan. The reply from  
the former has been received  
while that from the latter is still  
awaited.

SK. (A)  
5/8/15. 5/8/15

Submitted to H.E. the Governor.  
Chapter III of Mr. Tressler's draft  
code seems to be what the  
Governor and I of St. Aloysius  
Industrial School refer to

8/5  
5.8.15

In May last Mr. Tressler indicated that  
there would probably be savings of Rs.36,500 in  
his educational budget. He was told that priority  
must be given to the establishment of a mechanical  
engineering school at Cocanada. On the 5th July  
last he asked for a reconsideration of this deci-  
sion and proposed to devote the greater part of  
these savings to an extensive scheme for develop-  
ing the St. Aloysius Industrial School at Vizaga-  
patam. The total cost of his new St. Aloysius  
School scheme was Rs.90,100. His Excellency

(L. K. S. P.)

refused to reconsider the decision to give priority to the Cocanada scheme and Mr. Tressler has been informed of this and asked to expedite his proposals in respect of the latter. Various criticisms have been passed by Mr. Todhunter on the St. Aloysius scheme as set forth in Mr. Tressler's letter of the 5th July. Those criticisms have not been communicated to Mr. Tressler and His Excellency thinks that they should now be communicated to him in continuation of the orders already sent him, so that he may lose no time in revising it, should any funds be available this year, or may have his proposals ready in good time to be taken up if possible next year.

The main title under consideration refers to the acquisition of a further piece of land for the St. Aloysius scheme. One piece of land has already been acquired in connection with an earlier scheme for developing this institution and it was acquired on the following condition amongst others, namely, that in the event of the land and buildings ceasing to be used for purposes of industrial education the cost of erecting the buildings and acquiring the site should be refunded to Government. This decision was taken on the 14th February 1911, G.O.No.99 Educational. Government under this scheme was to make a grant of Rs.6,000 for the construction of a carpentry shop and Rs. 3,000/-



for the provision of additional machinery, and orders for the acquisition of this first piece of land were passed on the 9th August 1912, G.O.No. 728 Educational. It does not appear from the papers whether any steps were taken in respect of the construction of a carpentry shop or provision of additional machinery. Two years elapsed, during which apparently the St. Aloysius authorities and the Director of Industries were engaged discussing the character of the scheme, and also the general principles on which such schemes should be developed were under consideration. These general principles have resulted in a draft code of rules which has been evolved by Mr. Tressler and a special committee: it has been examined in the Educational Department but no orders appear to have as yet been passed upon this code, which provides amongst other things for dividing such schools into a school division and a factory division. It is yet to be seen whether this principle of division will be accepted. Its examination should be expedited.

In the meantime in October 1914 the Collector proposed at the instance of the St. Aloysius authorities to hand over the land already acquired for the original industrial scheme to the Mission which was to refund the cost of its acquisition. From his letter it was not clear whether this land

*It is stated that Mr. Tressler  
in his letter of 29.3.15 stated  
that the land has not yet  
been made use of by the Mission.  
(page 10 of 40.5.22.53) (2)*

*Part of the land to  
the code have since  
been recorded. 18.1.16  
(2) 12/1/17*

*Page 10 of 40.5.22.53 (2)  
17/1/15*

was to be devoted to industrial purposes or to Mission purposes or to education purposes of any kind. Out of the proceeds of the acquisition so refunded a further piece of land was to be acquired for the industrial scheme but whether this meant the original industrial scheme of 1910 or the new and more extensive industrial scheme put forward by Mr. Tressler in his letter of the 5th July last does not appear - presumably it was the old industrial scheme which the Collector had in view. The Mission has now explained that the land originally acquired and now proposed to be finally handed over to them is to be used for the 'school' division of the industrial school and the new land proposed to be acquired is to be used for the 'factory' division of the industrial school. The cost of the new land to be acquired is in round figures Rs.2,100 and this is evidently the first item in Mr. Tressler's scheme in his letter of the 5th July last, which provides for land to be acquired at this cost. The necessity for this new land is contingent upon two things : (1) that the general principle of division in school and factory proposed in the draft technique is accepted, (2) that Mr. Tressler's scheme is accepted.

10.1.980.107  
3/2/16



either of these two points. In the meantime the land is likely to go up in value and it seems probable that, even if Mr. Tressler's ambitious one lakh scheme is not sanctioned fully, yet additional land will be required. His Excellency recommends therefore that the Collector of Vizagapatam be allowed to acquire the additional land but that he should not hand it over to the Mission pending the final settlement of the industrial scheme of the St. Aloysius School.

Secondly, His Excellency recommends that the Mission should not be allowed at present to repay the Government the cost of the land already acquired but that the cost of this additional land which is small should be met from the Rs. 36,000 available from Mr. Tressler's budget.

His Excellency's reason is that under the terms upon which the original land was handed over to the Mission this redemption or repayment of the cost to Government was intended to be made only if the Mission ceased to use the land for industrial school purposes and if they are now allowed to redeem it and if ultimately the principle of dividing the School into 'school' and 'factory' divisions is not accepted or if for any other reason the scheme is not given effect.

having paid Government for it. On the other hand by acquiring this additional land now Government risks a very small sum of money which can probably be recouped, if the scheme falls through and the land is not required hereafter, by disposing of the land to the public. If and when a scheme is evolved and sanctioned for the St. Aloysius School the question of handing over this new land to the Mission and of the terms on which it should be given can be separately considered.

In his note of the 22nd July last, page 5 Mr. Todhunter suggests a doubt as to whether the site on which Mr. Tressler proposes in his letter of the 5th July to place his factory is identical with the land proposed to be acquired by the Collector. This doubt should first be cleared up. His Excellency thinks it is reasonably certain however that the two are the same.

*H. S. Th...*  
(By Order)



*A draft memo to the Director of Industries is submitted for approval.*

*SK CR*  
*9.8.15 10/8/15*

*EF*  
*11.8.15*

*[Memo No. 2409-19 11.8.15]*



CN<sup>o</sup> 3145. From the S. I. N. B. 17/8. 9. 15.

pages 5 to 7 of ref.

Please see memo<sup>to</sup> the Director of Hygiene<sup>dated</sup> 24.09.15. dated 11<sup>th</sup> August 1915. In this the Director was asked to report

(1) why the scheme for the development of the ~~site~~ St. Joseph's Industrial School, Vizagapatam, which was sanctioned in 1912 had not been proceeded with;

(2) why such an elaborate scheme costing nearly a lakh was proposed for a school ~~then~~ which had a very small number of pupils on its rolls; and

(3) whether the ~~the~~ site proposed to be acquired for the school by Mr. Treacher was the same as the one proposed by ~~the~~ the collector.

2. The Director replies to these enquiries. As regards the first he states that the management of the school was not satisfied with the original scheme ~~it had decided against it finally~~. He also states that it is unworkable + antiquated being ~~too~~ formulated ~~as~~ nearly six years ago when the ideas about

105. 2/5 notes  
on page 11 ante

pages 8 to 14 of ref.

para. 2 & 11, page 10 & 11  
of ref.

page 13, page 11 of ref.

about industrial education had not been developed to the same extent as they are now. With regard to this, it is submitted that the terms settled in 1912 were mainly those put forward by the management itself. ~~It is not therefore known why the management considered the scheme unsatisfactory. Mr. Treacher has not also explained how the scheme is unworkable. In any case there seems to be no use in reopening the old quarrel. It may be better to start a new one.~~ It is not therefore known why the management considered the scheme unsatisfactory. Mr. Treacher has not also explained how the scheme is unworkable. In any case there seems to be no use in reopening the old quarrel. It may be better to start a new one.

3. As regards the second point, Mr. Treacher states <sup>that</sup> the strength of the school is poor ~~there~~ as the accommodation is not sufficient to admit more boys. As regards the <sup>new</sup> scheme itself he reiterates what he stated already that ~~according to~~ the Govt must provide a factory so as to develop the school on

Ex. 11728-12  
9.8.12 (V)

para 5, page 9 of rep.

page 12 <sup>supra</sup>

para 14, page 12 of rep.

paras 14 + 15, page 12 + 13 of rep.



para 17, page 13 of 94.

These are H.E. J. G. notes  
on page 9 & 10 of 94.

③ Since removed.

Memo: page 60 of 94.

The lines indicated in his Code. The expenditure proposed viz., Rs 90,000, is said to be the ultimate amount required for the factory. Mr Treacher states that ~~it~~ it will be sufficient if in addition to the Rs 6000 available in the current year, a sum of Rs 10,000 is provided next year. As the new scheme depends upon the proposal to divide <sup>(industrial)</sup> ~~the~~ schools into 'school' & 'factory' sections ~~it~~ put forward in the new Technical Education Code, the present proposal can be accepted only after Govt have approved the general principles in the case. This latter is being examined separately. Further, H.E. the Governor ~~it~~ has decided that ~~that~~ the sum of Rs 3,000 should be spent, if possible, on the starting of a mechanical engineering school at Bocanara. In the linked file a reference on this point has been made to the Collector & to the Director of Industries.

4. Mr Treacher was also asked to submit a scheme which would cover

covers all the matters dealt with in the original scheme. In the latter there was provision for the nominal by Govt of a certain number of pupils belonging to any caste or creed, for the provision of education in specified subjects & the entertainment of the necessary staff & for the keeping of accounts. In his present letter Mr. Dwyer has not dealt with these points.

Apparently he wishes the general scheme to be approved first by Govt. As stated above this can be done only after orders are passed on his case.

5. As regards the third point it is submitted that Mr. Dwyer stated that the land referred to in his letter & in the collector's is one & the same. The land may, it is submitted, be now acquired in accordance with H.R. the Governor's orders on page 11 supra. A draft order is submitted below for approval. It embodies His Excellency's orders ~~on~~ para at A & B on page 10. ~~There~~ The amount required for the acquisition may be

Correspondent's letter on  
page 20 of pp. 728 (V)  
9.5.12

It is however for consideration whether in the meanwhile the opinion of the Collector on the scheme has not been formed by the D. I may not be obtained. On the previous occasion, the Collector was consulted. Please see D.O. on page 10 quoted in the pp. 2730 R. of 18.5.10.

page 12 supra.

page 12 of cf.



\* From this a sum of Rs 13321  
have been sanctioned for the  
objects as shown below:-  
Rs 10000 for the school - Rs 5491.  
Building, for " - Rs 10000.  
Acq'g. land for a banyan - Rs 2530  
13321

OK  
13/9/15

be met from the Imperial grant of Rs 10000.  
If approved, the draft order may be  
sent to the Financial Deptt for acceptance.

Mr. Treasurer has at last explained the  
apparent incompatibility of his new  
proposals and the sanatorial scheme  
by saying that he has long since  
inrich the policy under which  
the sanatorial scheme was arrived  
at and developed a new one. It  
is a pity he did not take Govern-  
ment into his confidence.

The first question for decision is  
whether his new policy, which  
consists of in building and equipping  
workshops and leasing them to private  
institutions instead of giving grants  
in aid, is to be approved. The scheme  
is attractive at first sight, but there  
seems to be one fatal objection  
to it. The workshops to be useful  
must be adjacent to the institutions  
to which they are to be leased.  
And a workshop attached to one  
institution cannot be handed over

to the control of another if the management of the first proves unsatisfactory. The scheme is far more expensive than the plan of grants in aid and, if we are to work through development of existing institutions, the old plan of grants in aid seems to be the most satisfactory way of doing so.

Granting, however, that Mr. Travers's scheme is approved, the next question for decision is whether the mission are the best persons for the Government work. Government gave them most generous terms five years ago and they have effectively moved all program by taking one objection after another to them. Mr. Travers calls them "parasitism" at one stage and finds it impossible to come to agreement with them at several others. They will give no guarantee to maintain a qualified teaching staff and they require a proportion of the places to be retained for mission boys, who are not of the type we require to get hold of, being "drafted" into industrial work

G.O. 522 2d, dated

17.5.15 (H. 2) 4 21

G.O. 2734 Rev, dated

18.8.10 (364 H) 4 2



"because they are not likely to  
 "benefit much from further <sup>literary</sup> ~~intellectual~~  
 "education". The mission people are  
 "of opinion that to confine libraries  
 "and technical education is of little use.  
 "The theory is taught. Practice -- will  
 "teach them as much of it as they need"  
 All this is, contrary to Mr. Pender's  
 scheme. It seems to be a question,  
 therefore, if a government workshop  
 is to be built, <sup>whether</sup> more promising lessons  
 for it could not be found. If Mr. Godfrey  
 were approached, for instance, we  
 might be able to work out a  
 sound scheme to the mutual  
 advantage of the railway and  
 government.

If the question of leasing  
 to somebody other than the  
 mission is to be entertained,  
 it is for order whether the acquisition  
 of land adjoining their premises is  
 to be proceeded with.

St. E. the govern.

14-P. 15

NOTES.

34

Page

Educational Department.

Director of Industries letter No.B.44, dated, 9th  
September 1915.

One apparent objection to building and  
leasing Government workshops is that they must be  
adjacent to the institutions to which they are  
leased and therefore generally unsuitably placed  
for lease to other institutions if the former prove  
unsatisfactory. It is understood that the Director  
proposes to limit the application of this policy  
to places where a Government workshop can be  
centrally situated and will be permanently useful,  
and that for other cases he proposes to maintain  
the existing system of grants in aid to private  
institutions. Will the Director please say whether  
this is the case?

Will the Director also please say whether  
his proposal to equip the Government workshops  
extends to the hand tools?

C.G.T.  
15-9-15.

Director of Industries U.O.



(1) My ideas regarding the provision of worksheds accommodation are correctly interpreted.


(2) To begin with it is probably necessary to provide 'hand' lots also, in addition to large plant. But the removal of 'hand' lots will have to be done by the housing body and the total cost of such lots within first instance is not likely to exceed Rs 1500.

S. P. M. S.  
11.2.41.

① T. O.

His Excellency considers that the draft order may be approved but that everything else must wait until Mr. Tressier's new Code proposals have been dealt with. Mr. Tothunter's criticisms (applied here to this particular instance) may then be considered.

*H. H. H.*  
BY ORDER.



Submitted that the draft order may be sent to the Financial Department for acceptance before issue.

SK CR  
16.9.15 16-9-15

This file was left with His Excellency in view of an approaching discussion with Mr. Tressier of a question of general policy. Financial are asked to accept the draft sanctioning expenditure on acquisition of land of the 2098 act of the Imperial grant of Rs. 21,000 in aid of industrial

serv. order

17.5.15

Part u.o.



CURRENT NO.  
5722  
FINANCIAL  
Ed no 3145

17.9.15  
[File temporarily taken away by Educational]

linked file removed & CR and returned with further info on 22.9.15



Will the Director of Industries please note so far as he can how matters stand in the <sup>question</sup> matter of the construction of an industrial school by private agency at Cocanada? What is wanted is any information that will be useful as material for a decision whether to proceed with the scheme for a Government school which has already been sanctioned.

eg

20.5.15.

D. D. U. O.

There are two proposals now on foot for the construction of an industrial school in Cocanada by private enterprise: one is the Raja of Pettapuram's Scheme, the other that put forward by the Executors of a late citizen of Cocanada, who left estates for various public purposes.

The Raja & the Executors have had some informal meetings to see if their schemes cannot be amalgamated. The Executors has come to see me in the matter. There are various difficulties regarding the time of the will which has to be settled and I propose to visit Cocanada as soon as I can to discuss the matter with the Executors & the Collector.

If a satisfactory arrangement can be arrived at there seems no point in government building a school for the poor. From my experience of the way in which questions of this kind are handled by private individuals, however, I don't anticipate that any final decision can be arrived at for the next 3 months at least. The parties interested will wish to consider all the conditions with great detail well this latter time.

Before a final decision is arrived at no steps would appear desirable. If then such a decision can be reached it will be much too late to spend any money during the current year. Indeed, if a settlement were made effective now it would be extremely difficult to bring the scheme to the Expenditure Stage <sup>within</sup> the year.

*R. G. G. G.*

The above note was placed before H. & the Governor today. In view of Government's commitments in the matter of a mechanical school at Coccatado, he does not wish that scheme to be abandoned unless very serious reasons are shown. The



acquisition of the land at Kigoma was ordered to be proceeded with because it was expected to be increasing in value and likely to be useful in any case, but all further action in connection with that scheme is to be over for the present. If it is impossible to employ any of the money allotted to the Cocanada scheme at Cocanada within the current year, perhaps may be made for utilizing it elsewhere, but they must be without prejudice to the ultimate carrying out of that scheme, if it is so decided.


(by order)

21.5.15

Fin. u.o.

Amount included in the sum of 40,000 for building work on the 215 CBE -  
Paid: 900 for 54 A.D.  
Purchased 14.15-16.8

11



Col 2145-2

22.9.15

5823  
FINANCIAL

Page 22-9-15

Financial dept.

In G.O. N<sup>o</sup>. 2734 Rev. dated 18-8-10 as modified by G.O. N<sup>o</sup>. 99 Edul dated 14-2-11, the Govt. Sanctioned Subject to certain Conditions, the following proposals towards the enlargement and development of the St. Aloysius' School at Vizagapatam:—

(i) to acquire certain land costing about Rs. 2,167 (actual cost later on was Rs. 2,267) at the cost of Govt. and to make over the same to the Mission free of charge for the purposes of an Industrial School;

(ii) to make a non-recurring grant of Rs. 6,000 for the Construction of a Carpentry shop and Rs. 3,000 for the provision of additional machinery,

and (iii) to make a recurring grant at the rate of Rs. 100 per annum for every student, subject to a maximum of Rs. 4,000 per annum. A promise was also given to increase the rate of grant for the first five years if absolutely necessary.

\* Managed by the Roman Catholic Mission.

para 2(2) on page 8  
of G.O. 2734 Rev  
18-8-10. H

¶ para: 2 of letter from  
the Coll<sup>y</sup> of Vizagapatam  
dated 8.4.14 on page 1  
R.G.O. 532 Edl  
17.5.15 Z

para: 2(3) on page 8  
of G.O. 2734 Rev  
18-8-10. H

para 2(4) ibid.  
and para: 3 (page 6)  
of G.O. 99 Edl 14.2.11. P

para 3. ibid.



of ~~the~~ ~~same~~ ~~kind~~  
~~as~~ ~~the~~ ~~one~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~last~~ ~~year~~  
~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~same~~ ~~kind~~

Note of 15.9.15 on  
page 21 ante.

(para: 3 of the draft)  
 1. Section C page 25 ambs.  
 2. Portion B on page 10 ambs.

(paral. of draft)

1/2 Pair = 2 of X-ray collis letter  
 on page 1 C.F. was 0.53257  
 $\frac{17.5 \cdot 15}{17.5 \cdot 15} = 1$   
 Portion A on page 10 auth.

(para. 4 of draft)

2. The land referred to in item (i) has been acquired by Govt. and handed over to the Mission, but no further action has been taken in connection with the scheme, since the scheme of the development of the School as originally contemplated has been dropped and a fresh scheme is to be drawn up after the Educational Dept. have passed final orders on Mr. Trevelyan's new scheme proposals in connection with the development of Industrial Schools.

3. The proposals now made are:-  
(1) to direct that the land already acquired should not be sold to the Mission;

(6) to acquire a fresh piece of land (estimated cost Rs. 2,098) which will probably be required in connection with the new scheme of development of the school ~~which~~ ~~will be deposited to~~ but not to hand it over to the Mission.

and (C) to direct that the amount of Rs. 2,098 referred to in item (C) should be met from the provision

page 215 CBP  
(Ann: 4 group)

of Rs. 21,000 made in the budget estimate for 1915-16 under the head 26-Bm-Scientific and Miscellaneous Departments-Miscellaneous.

4. The proposals may, it is submitted, be agreed to and the draft accepted in Financial.

5. Portion M of the Educational Secretary's note on page 24 ante:-  
The Educational dept. will ~~refer~~ <sup>make a reference</sup> to Financial in due course on the subject and no action is called for in this dept. at present.

CS  
28.9.15

CS. *[Signature]*  
29.9.15

It is not apparent for what purpose the new lot of land is required but as we shall doubtless be able to sell it again, if it proves to be unnecessary, for much what we gave for it, I am not object to the acquisition.

*[Signature]*  
30/9



File no 58237  
30.9.15

*[Signature]* File no.



Ed. Depant.

The draft may, it is sent?, now issue

Ca  
1.10.15

Issue

2.10.15

[No. 1098 of 4.10.15]





READ AGAIN G.O. No. 532, dated 17. 5. 1915.

" " " " " " " " 191

READ ALSO:-

C. No. 2181, From the *Collector Vizag*, No. 10957, dated 16. 6. 1915.

" " 2409 " " *A. I.*, No. 817, dated 8. 7. 1915.

" " 3145 / 3231 " " *Collector Vizag*, No. 1098, dated 4. 6. 1915.

ORDER.

*Mis*

*Sp 2/10*

(Costing about Rs 2098)  
para 3. on page 2 NF

construction of a workshop

The Govt sanction the acquisition of the site selected to the south of the existing premises of the St. Joseph's Industrial School, <sup>Vizagapatnam</sup> ~~Madras~~, for the extension of the school. The collector of Vizagapatnam is requested to submit the necessary draft notification for the acquisition.

2. Separate orders will issue on the proposals submitted by the Director of Industries for the development



Portion A on page 19  
of notes.

portion B ibid

page 215 CB 2

Notes in CB  
15/10/15

as per  
5/10/15

The D. S. ✓  
" L. H. Khazari ✓  
" R. G. ✓

12. 10. 15

ment of the school. Pending the issue of such orders the land ~~shall~~ not be handed over to the Mission after acquisition.

3. The Govt do not approve the proposal to sell to the Mission <sup>the</sup> land already acquired for the school.

4. The Director of Endowments will be requested to provide the funds required for the acquisition of the new <sup>king's</sup> land from <sup>the</sup> Special Imperial grant of Rs 21,000 provided in the Civil Budget Estimate for the current year under "26 B.m. Scientific &c., &c. Miscellaneous. Grant in aid of industrial school."

SR  
12.9.15.  
CR  
13.9.15

SR  
16.5.15

*[Faint, illegible handwritten text, likely bleed-through from the reverse side of the page.]*

for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
ed \_\_\_\_\_  
mined \_\_\_\_\_  
nad \_\_\_\_\_ } 191

No. \_\_\_\_\_ DATED \_\_\_\_\_

EXT No. \_\_\_\_\_

ERNMENT.

WT.

TTO.

ING MEANT FOR DESPATCH

A A A A A A A

= Copy in full.  
• Not to be copied.  
Copy abstract only.  
Copy Heading only.

45