

901 (19/3) Department.

145 SERIES.

G.O., No. 860

DATED 16 5/1913.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption Exempting VC Govindaraj
Madhujar & V. David peremptory from
Examn. rules for employment as sub-
overseers.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Remarks.	Initials of Referenciar with date in token of return to Reference department.
			(In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number	Date.	Original (=O) or spare copy (= S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) Referenciar acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to the department concerned.

From the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, No.293-W/SLC,
dated 17th March 1913:-

X X X

3. The marginally noted Sub Overseers have no educational
qualifications but were brought on to the establish ment at a

N a m e.	Grade.	Present pay.	time when no examination qualifications were insisted on, I request to be favoured with orders
1. V.David	II Grade	Rs. 45	
2. V.C.Govindaraja Mudaliar	do	,, 45	
3. A.S.Manicka Mudaliar	do	,, 40	
4. A.R.Chinnaswami Pillai	do	,, 35	

whether these men can be admitted to the benefit of the revised
pay under the new scheme and receive further promotion in their
turn should they be considered deserving.

2 3
Memo No.633-R.1, dated 25th April 1913.

From the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, No.293-W/SlC,
dated 17th March 1913 raising certain questions on the orders
issued in regard to the revision of the scale of pay of the
Lower subordinate establishment.

The Superintending Engineer is requested to report whether
the four Sub Overseers mentioned in para.3 of his letter read
above, have been exempted from passing the Lower subordinate
test and, if so, up to what grades of sub overseer.

C.A.Smith,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

3

4

From the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, No.481-W/51C,
dated 17th May 1913:-

With reference to your Memo No.633 R.I. of 25th April 1913,
I have the honour to report that the three marginally noted Sub
Overseers have not been exempted from passing the Lower subordinate
test. They were entertained and given promotion under the old

V.C.Geyindaraja Mudaliar	rules which required no special
A.S.Manicka Mudaliar	qualifications for being appoint-
A.R.Chinnasawmy Pillai	ed as Sub Overseers. As regards

the fourth Sub Overseer Mr.V.David, it is understood from the
Sub Overseer that he had general exemption from passing the
Lower subordinate test, but that he does not remember the number
of the Government Order which may be available in the Irrigation
Chief Engineer's office. He was entertained in the Department
in the year 1896 and was employed on T.R.S.

2. It is considered that as regards promotion of unpassed
men who have been a long time (say over 10 years) in the service
so long as efficiency is such as to leave nothing to be desired
the fact of being unpassed should be no bar to promotion, but
that the test of efficiency in the case of unpassed men should
be applied without consideration of seniority.

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM

BY THE HON'BLE MR. C. A. SMITH, C.I.E.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, P.W.D.

Dated Madras, the 5th August 1913. 191
Ootacamund

Read the following :--

From the Supg: Engr: IV Circle No.293-W/51-C dated 17th March 1913.
Memo to -do- No. 633 R-1 dated 25th April 1913.
From -do- No.481-W/51-C dated 17th May 1913.

With reference to para 3 of his letter first read above, the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, is informed that persons permanently appointed to the post of suboverseer before the 24th April 1900, the date on which it was first notified that candidates for the post suboverseers should have passed the suboverseer and surveyor test, may be considered to be exempt from this test for promotion to all grades of suboverseers, provided they possessed the general educational qualification prescribed for the posts at the time of their permanent appointment, viz., Middle School Examination I class up to 1894 and Upper Secondary or equivalent examination after that year.

2. If the four suboverseers in question possessed at the time of their permanent appointment the general educational qualifications referred to above, they will require no exemption and may be promoted to the highest grades of suboverseer. If not, they will require to be exempted from possessing the general educational qualifications referred to.

3. The case of suboverseer K.S.Doraiswamy Aiyar referred to in para 4 of the Superintending Engineer's letter first read above is under separate consideration.

To (SD) C.A. Smith,
THE Superintending Engineer, IV Circle. Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

C.S. 1-9-13.

permanent

Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed
 x promotion
 candidate for employment in the office of IV Circle, P. W. D.

1 2 3 Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose exemption sanction is sought.	A. (1) V. C. Govindaraja Mudaliyar (2) 37 (3) Has attended the Artisan Class of the College of Engineering, Madras. (B) (1) Mr. V. David (2) 40 (3) Nil.
The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	Permanent Sub-Overseer up to I Grade (New Scale).
The pay of the post and the pay proposed to be given to the unpassed candidate.	The maximum pay of the post of is Rs. 80. The candidates will in due course be promoted to Rs. 80.
The examination from which exemption is sought.	Upper Secondary Examination. or Matriculation Examination Examination with Handwriting and Dictation Test.
The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	Permanent.
The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	So long as the candidates are employed in the Madras Public Works Department.
Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? If for renewal, quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	First application. 55262 6/11/14 24/6 184.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

permanent

two

Application for exemption from the Examination Rules of an unpassed candidate for employment in the office of IV Circle, P.W.D.

What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	These are permanent Sub-Overseers, and it is proposed to have them exempted from possessing the general educational qualifications for promotion to the highest grade of Sub-Overseer.
Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	These subordinates understand and are proficient in Levelling, and Surveying. They are very fair at English and satisfactory subordinates in other respects also. I consider them fit for exemption.
Remarks.	

Submitted to the Superintending Engineer, _____ Circle, for transmission to the

Chief Engineer _____

Executive Engineer,

_____ Division.

no 2620 of 25/8/13

VERY URGENT.

in duplicate

Forwarded to the Chief Engineer P. W. D. with reference to para 2 of

Government Memo No. 633 R. 3 of 5th August 1913 for favour of obtaining sanction of Government in the Educational Department.

Month 24. 8.13
Superintending Engineer,

S. 220/13

IV Circle.

OFFICE OF THE

Dated

190

To the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Chief Engineer.

NOTES.

P.W.D.

2 Attention is invited to the linked file in which the Superintending Engineer, III Circle, points out that exemption does not appear to be necessary for sub overseers appointed prior to the 1st May 1900 when educational qualifications were for the first time prescribed for holding Sub Overseer's posts. It is proposed to uphold the Superintending Engineer's view, with the concurrence of Educational. If, therefore, the four Sub Overseers in the IV Circle were entertained prior to 1st May 1900 exemption will not be necessary and the men may be promoted to any grade in the revised scale of pay sanctioned in G.O.No.246W, dated 31-1-13. The Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, may be informed accordingly, if Educational accept the view at 2 (on page ante.) above.

Educational Department.

The questions raised in this file are:

(1) whether candidates appointed to the posts of Sub Overseer before any technical qualifications were prescribed for these posts may be considered to be exempt from such qualifications laid down after their appointment.

2. With regard (1) above it is submitted that the question is

P.W.D. the same as the one discussed in the linked file (above.) The 744-R.3, 2/21-6-13. decision on that case will apply to the present case also.

(J.M.C.R.)

(J.M.) W.7.

Memo No. 5633-C., dated 19th July 1913.

For the D.S.

x x x

2. With regard to question (1) referred to in paras 1 and 2 of the Educational note ^{in the previous page} ~~(on page-14 ante)~~ it has been held by Educational in the linked file that persons permanently appointed to the post of Sub-overseers prior to 24th April 1900, the date on which it was first notified that candidates for the post of suboverseers should have passed the suboverseer and surveyor test, may be considered to be exempt from this test for promotion to higher grades of suboverseers, provided they possessed the general educational qualification prescribed for the posts at the time of their appointment viz., Middle School Examination, I class up to 1894 and upper Secondary or equivalent examination after that date.

If the 4 suboverseers referred to by the S.E.IV circle in para 3 of his letter on page 2 c.f. possessed at the time of their appointment the general educational qualifications referred to above, they will require no exemption and may be promoted to the highest grades of suboverseer. If not, they will require to be exempted from the examination rules prescribing the general educational qualifications for their posts. A draft

Y Memo informing the S.E. accordingly is submitted.

(Dd) P.S. 15.13
(Dd) K.P. 24.7.13

(Dd) P.S. 28.7.13
(Dd) S.M.
(Dd) C.A.S. 29.7.13

Eduecl bepph 31.7.13

Please see Sep Eduecl. bepph note on pages 14 to 18 and para 3 of the P.W.D. note on pages 19 & 20 supra. Mr P.W.D. enquire whether here

C. No. 1758 R. From the S.E. IV circle No. 762 W & 25.8.13.
572For the S.S.

With reference to para 2 of memo No. 633 R-3 & 5.8.13 the S.E. IV circle submits an application for the permanent exemption from the general educational qualifications of 2 sub-agents (viz) V. C. Govindaraja Mudr. & V. David. The application may be forwarded to the Educl. Dept. for favour of early sanction.


W.
2.9.13

Kb
2.9.13.

Whe
6.9.13

P.W.U.O. No. 633 R-4
DATED 8.9.13.

Edl. no.

Educational Department

A draft order is submitted for approval.

SK Ca
13/9/13 13.9.13

Whe
15.9.13

688924

" " " " PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY, INCORPORATED IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK, FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1919. 19

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 2674, From the Sup^r Engr^r IV Cir^o No. 762 W., dated 28. 8. 1913.
570

ORDER.

No. 860 Col. 16. 9. 1913

Miss

V. C. Govindaraja mudeliger
and V. David will be permanent,
& exempted from the ~~provision~~
~~of sub-division of the Public~~ exami-
nation rules for employ-
ment as Sub-overseers.

$$\frac{8K}{13 \cdot 9 \cdot 13} \cdot \frac{A}{13 \cdot 9 \cdot 13}$$

15.9.13

568/13

1790

The P.W. 2

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

19

REPLY AGAIN O.O., No.
 19

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DETO.

PAPERS NOT PICKED UP, BEING KEPT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 F = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.