

Edl (1913) Department.

Aug SERIES.

G.O., No. 853

DATED 13 9/13.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Accounts Received letter from the Registrar of the  
Andhra University commencing on the receipt of the  
auditor as his report on the audit of the — of the University for  
1911-12.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

| Number. | Date | Original (=O.)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.) | Remarks.<br>(In this column such of the<br>papers as have been removed<br>for copying, printing,<br>etc., should be marked with<br>a cross.) | Initials of Referencer with date<br>in token of return to Reference<br>department. |
|---------|------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         |      |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |

Papers of other departments.

| Department. | Number. | Date. | Original (=O.)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.) | Despatcher to note the return of the papers to<br>the departments concerned. |
|-------------|---------|-------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             |         |       |                                             |                                                                              |



University of Madras

SENATE HOUSE,  
MADRAS,

7 AUG 1913 19

From

The Manager in Charge,

Office of the Registrar,

University of Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O.No.250, Educational., dated 25-3-1913 communicating the report on the audit of the accounts of the University for the year ending 31st March 1912, and drawing the attention of the Syndicate to the remarks of the auditor in certain paragraphs of the Report, I am directed to inform Government that the audit objections raised by the auditor have all been noted.

2/ I am however to state that a certain discretion is allowed to the Registrar in the matter of petty expenses by the Syndicate's Standing Orders, that, in the case of travelling expenses paid by the University, the criticisms of the auditor are somewhat out of place in view of the fact that the sums so paid are not the C.S.R allowances but only actual disbursements made in performing services which are generally and voluntary, gratuitous, and that the Syndicate is not prepared to insist upon payment only of the cost of travelling by the shortest route in every case, especially when such route is obviously inconvenient.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

*Wm. J. Wundman*  
Manager in Charge,

Again G.O., No.

, dated

190

Current No.

from the

, No.

, dated

190

Current No.

2498

from the University of Madras, No. 3653, dated 7-8-1903.

SUBJECT Applicability of the rules of the C.S.R. to  
the payment of travelling allowances to Fellows  
of the Madras University.

page 4 of G.O. 250 Dd  
25-3-13

(J)

under para 4 of G.O. 178 Dd 23-3-09 the  
accounts of the University connected ~~with~~ with  
the travelling allowances of Fellows are  
audited annually by the Accountant General.

(K)

In para. 11 of his last audit report on these  
accounts the A.G. pointed out that certain  
excess payments of travelling allowances (amount  
Rs 102-13-0), the excesses being due to the allowance  
having been paid for journeys by the longer  
instead of by the shortest of the practicable  
routes as provided in G.O. article 996 (a) of the

(K)

C.S.R. In G.O. 250 Dd 25-3-13 the attention  
of the Syndicate was drawn to the auditor's  
remarks relating to them. In the current letter  
that body expresses its unwillingness to insist  
on payments <sup>only</sup> of the cost of travelling by the  
shortest route in every case, especially  
when such route is obviously inconvenient.

2. The travelling allowances in question are  
paid from grants made by Govt and not  
from

vide heading II, out. 4 of G.O.  
250 Dd 25-3-13. (K)

from University funds. But their payment is regulated not by the rules of the C.S.R. but by a separate set of rules framed ~~on the basis of the C.S.R.~~ by the Syndicate and approved by Govt. - vide the rules at foot of travelling allowance bill forms on pages 3 & 4 of Gons.

- (I) 594 Sdly 1-11-09. The Conditions of art. 996 of the C.S.R. do not find a place in them and therefore, as the rules now stand, the Syndicate does not ~~approach~~ <sup>feel</sup> bound to adopt those conditions strictly. What the Govt. of India

p. 3 of Gons. 1902d  
28-3-05

- (U) wanted in respect of these allowances was that "the payment of travelling expenses should be regulated by definite rules (which might appropriately be in general conformity with those in the C.S.R.) prescribing the conditions and scale on which travelling allowance will be granted." The rules framed for the first time were approved in Gons. 310 Sdly 12-5-05 and they were subsequently revised in accordance with Gons. 178 Sdly 23-3-09, and the revised rules were approved in Gons. 594 Sdly 1-11-09. The question whether the conditions of art. 996 of the C.S.R. should be embodied in the rules was not considered till now. On page 5 of the notes with the G.O. last quoted Sir Murray Hammick noted,  
"we may accept the proposals now made"

and see how it works out after two or three years' experience.

3. The rules have now been in force for more than three years and appear to have worked satisfactorily on the whole. It is for consideration whether in the circumstances stated in the current letter the rules may be allowed to stand as they are. ~~and whether they might be revised by the addition of the provision that in the case of the~~

~~of the provision that in the case of the~~

It is observed that the Syndicate <sup>actually</sup> recovered excess payments in travelling

allowances pointed out by the auditors in certain cases, but allowed them in certain others in its discretion. ~~However, the~~ Most of the cases complained against were for journeys from Hyderabad to Madras. It may perhaps be contended that the journey ~~through~~ Wadi was allowed on the score of convenience in travelling instead of through Bezawada; but there seems to have been no reason why travelling allowance should have been paid to the Res. Gardiner for journey from Madras to Trichinopoly via Erode. In the absence of any justification for this, it cannot be stated that the discretion has been correctly exercised. The amount involved therein

Item (h) on page 5  
of Rs 250.25 (K)  
15.2.13

Item (a) off/impans-11  
on pp. 259 (K)

Item (g) —

was small (Rs 13-7-0). Though as stated by the Registrar, the rates of allowance <sup>provided in CSE have not been</sup> ~~are not~~ made applicable, there seems to be no reason why the generally accepted conditions for ~~the~~ claiming allowance should not be ~~made~~ adopted by the University at least in respect of amounts paid from Govt. grants. The Syndicate seems to be stubborn in the matter. If it is to be left to have its way, it may at least be laid down that the reasons for ~~other~~ deviating from the shortest route rule should be recorded in writing. This may prevent claims for adopting other routes for reasons other than "obvious convenience".

Al  
10-9-13

I don't think there is in this file a good case for fixing the point as making a free record the current, without sending copies of the order to the Registrar.

The office sat on this paper for a whole month. I don't see Mr. A.

A draft order is submitted for approval.

Al  
11-9-13

READ AGAIN G.O. No. \_\_\_\_\_, dated \_\_\_\_\_ 191 \_\_\_\_\_.

C. No. 2458; From the <sup>Russian</sup> University of <sup>the</sup> East, No. 3653, dated 7-8-1913

191

[illegible]

ORDER.

Misc

No. 8539, dated 13.9.1913

Recorded

Ca  
11-9-13.

129 - 13

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
 When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
 When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
 When signed \_\_\_\_\_

19

READ AGAIN O.O. No. \_\_\_\_\_  
 19 \_\_\_\_\_

DATED

CURRENT No. \_\_\_\_\_

REFERENCE TO C. No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH INFO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING AHEAD FOR DISPATCH  
 IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
 B = Not to be copied.  
 F = Copy abstract only.  
 Q = Copy heading only.