

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1913.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 716, 5th August 1913.

Conveyance allowance.

Recording papers regarding the proposal to grant — to certain inspecting officers stationed in Madras.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1913.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 716, 5th August 1913.

Conveyance allowance.

Recording papers regarding the proposal to grant — to certain inspecting officers stationed in Madras.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department (through the Accountant-General, Madras).

Dated—the 7th November 1912.

No.—R.C. 7359.

I have the honour to state that conveyance allowances of Rs. 50 and Rs. 30 *per mensem* were sanctioned in G.O. No. 434, Educational, dated 21st May 1912, on behalf of the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Central Circle, and the Assistant Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Madras Girls' Range, respectively, whose head-quarters are in Madras. The consideration which led to the grant of these allowances was the fact that these officers have inspection work at head-quarters for about six months in the year.

2. The case is the same with the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, and the Inspector and the Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools. These also have their head-quarters in Madras where they have many schools to inspect as shown in the margin. Besides inspection of schools, they have other work away from their offices such as attending conferences of teachers, organizing and supervising classes for their instruction, and conducting examinations for teachers' certificates. The Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, states that in 1911-12 he did work away from his office which necessitated the use of a carriage on 159 days. Such work involves travelling and expenditure for which no travelling allowance is admissible under the ordinary rules.

3. From entry 90, appendix No. 26 to the Civil Service Regulations, it will be seen that the Inspectors of Schools, and the Inspector and the Assistant Inspector of European Schools, stationed in Calcutta, each receive a conveyance allowance.

I have the honour to recommend therefore that allowances as noted below may be sanctioned in the case of the above officers :—

	Per mensem.
	RS.
Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle	50
Inspector of European and Training Schools	50
Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools	30

Endt. No. G.A. 60-16100, dated 2nd December 1912.

Forwarded.

2. The grant of a conveyance allowance of Rs. 50 *per mensem* to the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, and to the Inspector of European and Training Schools and of Rs. 30 *per mensem* to the Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools requires the sanction of the Government of India under article 1075 of the Civil Service Regulations.

J. F. GRAHAM.
Offg. Accountant-General.

II

Memorandum No. 3255-2, Educational, dated 26th March 1913.

With reference to his letter R.O.C. No. 7359, dated 7th November 1912, recommending the grant of a conveyance allowance to the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, and the Inspector and Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to state whether the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, does as a matter of fact provide himself with an additional horse or conveyance or both or incur additional expense on conveyance because of his duties of inspection and, if so, what is the nature and cost of such additional conveyance.

2. From paragraph 2 of the Director's letter read above, it appears that the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, has 44 schools to inspect and that in 1911-12 he had to do work away from his office on 159 days. The Director of Public Instruction is requested to state how many of these days were spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools. He is also requested to furnish similar figures for 1910-11 and for 1912-13 up to date together with information as to the number of schools in his circle and the total number of days he had duty away from office in those years.

3. Similar information should also be furnished in the case of Inspector and Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools.

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

III

Letter—from the Hon'ble Sir ALFRED GIBBS BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., K.C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—the 2nd July 1913.

No.—Ref. on Cur. 7359/12.

With reference to Government Memorandum No. 3255-2, dated 26th March 1913, calling for certain additional particulars in connection with the application for conveyance allowances on behalf of the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, and the Inspector and the Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools, I have the honour to submit copy of letter R.C. No. 427/Gl. of 1912, dated 1st May 1913, from the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, and of letters R.C. No. 243-G. of 1912, dated 17th May and 9th June 1913, from the Inspector of European and Training Schools with their enclosures.

2. It will be seen from these papers that the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, maintains no *additional* carriage or horse, because of his inspection work in Madras, but that he would not have been obliged to maintain the carriage and horse that he now does, but for his inspection work in the city. He reports that out of the 159 days he had work away from his office in 1911-12, 64 days were spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools. Similar figures for 1910-11 and 1912-13, the total number of schools in the circle and the total number of days the Inspector had duty away from office in those years are furnished separately.

3. Both the Inspector and the Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools maintain conveyances of their own which, they say, they would not be obliged to do, but for their inspection work in Madras.

It is at any rate clear that all these officers are put to greater expense for transport than would be absolutely necessary in the case of an officer who only goes daily to an office and might arrange to live close by.

4. The information required in paragraph 2 of the Government Memorandum under reference is furnished separately.

ENCLOSURES.

(1)

Letter—from the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, Madras.
To—the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
Dated—the 1st May 1913.
No.—R.C. No. 427-GI. of 1912.

With reference to your Proceedings Ref. on Cur. No. 7359 of 1912, dated 2nd April 1913 I have the honour to state that I maintain no additional carriage and horse because of my inspection work in the city, but that what carriage and horse I maintain are mostly used for such purposes and that if I had not that kind of work to do it will not be necessary for me to maintain such conveyance.

I herein enclose three statements showing the particulars called for in paragraph 2 of the Proceedings mentioned above. Statement marked II gives only the number of days in 1911-12 spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools. The other particulars relating to the year 1911-12 were already furnished in the statement submitted with my letter No. 427/GI. dated 15th October 1912.

(2)

I. STATEMENT showing particulars of work done in Madras exclusive of office work during 1910-11 by the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle.

Total number of days spent on inspection work or other duty in Madras outside office requiring use of carriage	Number of schools visited.						Number of meetings attended.	Number of days spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools.
	Colleges.	Secondary schools.	Elementary schools.	Training schools.	Other schools.	Total.		
149	1	18	18	3	7	47	62	78

(3)

II. STATEMENT for 1911-12.

Month.	Number of days spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools.						
April 1911	..	..	..	..	..	..	5
May "	..	..	..	..	..	..	3
June "	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
July "	..	..	..	..	..	..	4
August "	..	..	..	..	..	..	6
September "	..	..	..	..	..	..	9
October "	..	..	..	..	..	..	9
November "	..	..	..	..	..	..	6
December "	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
January 1912	..	..	..	..	..	..	6
February "	..	..	..	..	..	..	4
March "	..	..	..	..	..	..	12
Total	..	..	..	..	..	..	64

(4)

III. STATEMENT showing particulars of work done in Madras exclusive of office work during 1912-13 by the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle.

Total number of days spent on inspection work or other duty in Madras outside office requiring use of carriage.	Number of schools visited.						Number of meetings attended.	Number of days spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools.
	Colleges.	Secondary schools.	Elementary schools.	Training schools.	Other schools.	Total.		
136	1	19	54	3	3	80	73	73

(5)

STATEMENT showing the number of schools in the Fourth Circle.

Total number of schools in				Total number of schools actually visited by the Inspector in				Total number of days the inspector had duty away from office.				
The fourth circle, Madras.				—		Madras.	Outside Madras	—		In Madras.	On tour.	
Secondary	..	..	38	19	1910-11	..	47	142	1910-11	..	149	99
Elementary	..	..	1,026	180	1911-12	..	44	130	1911-12	..	159	83
Others..	..	..	12	10	1912-13	..	80	109	1912-13	..	136	84

(6)

Letter—from the Inspector of European and Training Schools, Madras.
To—the Director of Public Instruction.
Dated—the 17th May 1913.
No.—Ref. on Cur. 243/G. of 1912.

With reference to your Proceedings Ref. on Cur. No. 7359 of 1912, dated 2nd April 1913, I have the honour to report that in order to carry out my duties of inspection I now maintain a motor-bicycle. Cost including accessories about Rs. 850, of which I have paid about Rs. 400 in monthly instalments, upkeep about Rs. 20 per month. Formerly as stated in my letter No. C. 196 G., dated 16th July 1912, gharries were hired by the day.

2. I submit herewith a statement furnishing the information called for in paragraphs 2 and 3 of your Proceedings under reference.

3. During 1912-13 owing to very heavy office work. I was unable to pay as many visits as I intended. During this year the number of visits to be paid will increase with the opening of centres for manual and physical training and domestic economy. The Assistant Inspector and myself will also propose, if time permits, to pay visits without notice to schools in Madras from time to time.

4. In the figures showing the total number of days in which the Inspector had duty away from office in the whole province the number of Sundays and other holidays spent in camp has not been included, while the number of days spent in office work in camp and travelling have been included.

(7)

Letter—from the Inspector of European and Training Schools, Madras.
To—the Director of Public Instruction.
Dated—the 9th June 1913.
No.—Ref. on Cur. on 243/G.

With reference to your Proceedings Ref. on Cur. No. 7359 of 1912, dated 28th May 1913, I have the honour to inform you that the Assistant Inspector keeps a bicycle as his ordinary conveyance, but when, owing either to the inclemency of the weather or to his having books and records to carry about with him for inspection purposes, this conveyance is not convenient, he uses a gharry which he hires for the occasion. I may mention here that the monthly hire of a gharry is from Rs. 60 to Rs. 70 so that he cannot afford to use one constantly.

2. As stated in paragraph 2 of this office R.C. No. 243 G., dated 25th October 1912, the hire of a gharry for a whole day is from Rs. 5 to Rs. 7 and the amount spent per mensem in gharry hire depends upon the number of schools to be inspected.

(8)

PARTICULARS showing the number of schools visited by the Inspector and the Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools.

		Inspector of European and Training Schools.	Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools.	Total.
26 European schools.				
(a) Total number of schools to be inspected in Madras.	4 (non-European training schools visited once in two years.)			
(b) (1) Total number of days on which the Inspector had to do work in Madras away from his office during the official years.	1910-11 52 1911-12 44 1912-13 24	52 44 24	9 23	59 53 47
(2) Of these how many were spent in visiting or formally inspecting schools.	1910-11 44 1911-12 38 1912-13 18	44 38 18	8 22	44 46 40
(c) (1) Total number of schools visited in the whole Province during the official years.	1910-11 102 1911-12 81 1912-13 49	102 81 49	20 54	102 101 103
(2) Total number of days the Inspector had duty away from office during the official years.	1910-11 $*(52 + 15\frac{1}{2}) = 217$ 1911-12 $(44 + 13\frac{1}{2}) = 283$ 1912-13 $(24 + 13\frac{1}{2}) = 155$	$*(52 + 15\frac{1}{2}) = 217$ $(44 + 13\frac{1}{2}) = 283$ $(24 + 13\frac{1}{2}) = 155$	$*(9 + 3\frac{1}{2}) = 42$ $(23 + 6\frac{1}{2}) = 88$	217 225 243

* Days spent in Madras away from office.

† Days spent on circuit.

Order—No. 716, Educational, dated 5th August 1913.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

W. FRANCIS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 716, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 5TH AUGUST 1913.

SUBJECT.—Conveyance allowance to certain inspecting officers stationed in Madras.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 7359/12, dated 7th November 1912.

Please see G.Os. Nos. 63—64, Educational, dated 25th January 1912, and 434, Educational, dated 21st May 1912, and the notes connected with the former. This Government with the approval of the Government of India, sanctioned the grant of conveyance allowances of Rs. 50 and 30 *per mensem* to the Inspectresses of Girls' schools, Central Circle, and the Assistant Inspectress of Girls' schools, Madras Girls' range, respectively. The Director of Public Instruction now requests that the same concession may be granted also to the Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, and the Inspector and Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools.

2. The cases of the three officers now under reference are exactly similar to that of the officers who have already been granted conveyance allowances. The Paragraph 94 of Inspection Code, 1912. Inspector of Schools,* Fourth Circle, should spend six months on tour. The time to be spent on tour by the Inspector and Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools appears to be the same as that of other Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors, namely, 3 months and 180 days respectively, in each year. The Inspector of European and Training schools has to travel throughout the Presidency. He has to inspect 80 European schools and 43 training schools. His Assistant inspects the smaller European schools and assists the Inspector with the larger and tours either separately or with the Inspector as circumstances demand. His work also extends throughout the Presidency. The Inspector of Schools, Fourth Circle, has charge of Madras, Chingleput and Bangalore.

3. As regards the amount of the allowances it is submitted that the Inspector † of schools stationed in Calcutta and the Inspectors and Assistant Inspectors of European and Training Schools stationed in Calcutta and suburbs get only an allowance of Rs. 30 each. ‡ Seeing, however, that the Inspectress and her assistant in Madras have been granted an allowance of Rs. 50 and Rs. 30, respectively, the scale recommended by the Director of Public Instruction cannot be said to be too high. It will also be observed that this claim has been anticipated by Government already—*vide* pages 2, 3 and 5 of notes to G.O. No. 63, Educational, dated 25th January 1912.

Item 90 on page 60 of Appendix to Civil Service Regulations.

† Please see the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart's note, dated 6th January 1912, on page 6 of notes with G.O. No. 63, dated 25th January 1912.

4. It is for consideration whether, with the concurrence of the Financial Department, the Government of India may be addressed in the matter.

P.R.—16-12-12.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—23-12-12.

Educational must support the claim as equitable.

L. DAVIDSON—12-1-13.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The proposal is to grant conveyance allowance of Rs. 50 *per mensem* to the Inspector of Schools, IV Circle, and to the Inspector of European and Training Schools and of Rs. 30 *per mensem* to the Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools.

2. Attention is solicited to Financial notes in G.O. No. 63-64, Educational, dated 25th January 1912, where the Government of India were addressed for the grant of conveyance allowances of

Rs. 50 *per mensem* to the Inspectress of Girls' school, Central Circle, and Rs. 30 *per mensem* to the Assistant Inspectress, Madras Girls' range. It was therein pointed out that, if the claim of these officers was entertained, Government could not very well refuse similar concessions in the case of the Inspector of the European and Training Schools and the Inspector of Schools, IV Circle, if they should make a representation to Government in the matter, and that they were sure to advance similar claims. The present claim has thus been anticipated already.

Vide Registrar's note, dated 5th January 1912 to G.O. Nos. 63-64 Educational, dated 25th January 1912.

Vide page 6 of Notes to G.O. Nos. 63-64, Educational, dated 25th January 1912.

"I think we may agree to Rs. 50 and Rs. 30. The cost of keeping a conveyance has increased considerably in recent years"

4. The Educational Department remark that the cases of the three officers now under reference are exactly similar to that of the officers who have already been granted conveyance allowances and that they must support the claim as equitable. Submitted that in the circumstances this department may agree with this view.

5. The Accountant-General says that the sanction of the Government of India should be obtained for the grant of the allowance to all the three officers. Under rule II (1) of the resolution of the Government of India, Finance Department, No 249 E.A., dated 15th July 1912, the necessary for the grant of conveyance

sanction of the Government of India is *Cf.* also preamble to resolution No. 358 E.-A., dated 31st August 1912, on page 2 of G.O. No. 600, Financial, dated 27th September 1912.

Pages 2 and 3 of G.O. No. 479, Financial, dated 2nd August 1912.

G.O. No. 600, Financial, dated 27th September 1912.

3 It was also pointed out in this department that Rs. 30 for Inspectress and Rs. 20 for the Assistant Inspectress would be ample allowance but the Chief Secretary (now Honourable Member) remarked:—

allowance to the Inspector of Schools, IV Circle, and Inspector of European and Training Schools who belong to the Indian Educational Service; but under rules I and II (2) of the resolution quoted above and the rule III (1) of the resolution of the Government of India, Financial Department No. 358 E.A., dated 31st August 1912, the grant of

Inspector of European and Training Schools who is included in the Provincial Educational Service appears to be within the sanctioning powers of this Government. Perhaps the Accountant-General may be asked to state whether the above view is correct.

Cf. last portion of paragraph 1 of letter No. 779, Educational, dated 9th November 1911.

P. GOVINDA MENON—3-2-13.

C. REILLY—4-2-13.

The Educational Department considers that what is sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander, but I think we may not unreasonably question the proposition in this particular application. The Inspectress of Girls' schools, Central Circle, and the Assistant Inspectress could not be expected to carry out their inspections in Madras City without a horse and carriage, but the Inspectors of Schools and the Assistant Inspector can, and in fact do, go about on bicycles and thus avoid the cost of conveyance which the ladies had necessarily to meet. It seems to me further that the pay of the officers concerned constitutes a valid difference between the case of the Inspectress and Inspectors. Miss Lynch draws Rs. 400—10—450. It was a real hardship for her to have to pay carriage hire at Rs. 3 a day for inspections made in Madras; but the pay of an Inspector (Rs. 500—50—1,000) has been fixed on a scale liberal enough to cover any small expense he may be put to in the matter of locomotion inside Madras. It further seems to me that an oversight occurred in

G.O. No. 63, Educational, dated 25th January 1912, in granting a conveyance allowance *throughout the year* to officers who are admittedly on tour and away from Madras for half the year, during which period they draw ordinary travelling allowances. The conveyance allowance should, I think, have been limited to six months or reduced by half. A similar point arises in the present case.

2. It will establish a very awkward precedent if the conveyance allowances which the Director of Public Instruction now recommends are granted to the male officers now concerned. We can always differentiate the case of women, but if the concession is extended to men, it will be impossible to resist similar claims from officers of all departments who have inspection work to do in Madras City. We must therefore resist the proposal as strongly as possible.

A. G. CARDEW—6-2-13.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The Financial Department are strongly against the proposal to grant conveyance allowance to the Inspectors and the Assistant Inspector of European schools stationed in Madras. If their view is acquiesced in the draft placed below may issue. The case of the Inspector of Schools, Calcutta, quoted by the Director of Public Instruction in paragraph 3 of his letter, has been altered by correction slip 165 to the appendices to the Civil Service Regulations, the word "Inspectress" having been substituted for "Inspectors."

2. With reference to the Chief Secretary's remark at A above, it is respectfully submitted that there was no oversight in granting the allowance for the full year. From page 6 of the notes with G.O. No. 63-64, Educational, dated 25th January 1912, it will be seen that the question was specifically raised and decided

when the matter of allowance was under disposal. Again the Government of India raised the specific question and

referred the matter to the local Government. A definite reply was given that the allowance proposed was to be admissible. Please see page 2 of notes with G.O. No. 304, Educational, da

There was no "oversight." The office correctly points out that the question of the period of the allowance was specifically considered. The notes in G.O. No. 63, Educational, dated 25th January 1912, show also that the rate (Rs. 50) was fixed on the basis of charges averaging Rs. 100 *per mensem* for six months of the year. An all the year round rate of Rs. 50 = 6×100 .

2. It is possible to make some distinction between male and female inspecting officers in this matter, but not all inspectors can make inspections by bicycle in Madras and those who are married are probably pecuniarily worse off than an inspectress. The case must go to—

His Excellency the Governor and

Hon'ble Sir H. A. Stuart, K.C.V.O., C.S.I.

L. DAVIDSON—17-2-13.

I recognise the force of the financial objections: on the other hand there are certainly exceptions to the rule suggested, viz., that all male inspectors can do their work by bicycle. And residence in the Presidency town entails greater general expenditure. Would a Presidency allowance be a preferable solution?

P[ENTLAND]—21-2-13.

Residence in Madras entails some extra expense but it gives many additional amenities and most officers are glad to bear the former to get the latter. I am afraid that if we gave Presidency allowance in this case we should at once bring down on us a large number of claims which could not be resisted. If then we are to give anything we had better give a conveyance allowance. I submit however that there is not a good case for such an allowance, for I do not believe that these officers are put to extra expense on account of conveyances because of their inspection duty in the Presidency town. Every official has to keep a conveyance to take him to office and Mr. Slater or Mr. Jolly would have as strong a claim to conveyance allowance as these inspectors. This is yet another instance of the methods of persistent penetration pursued by Dr. Bourne with Mr. Davidson's backing to which I have already drawn attention and I hope the proposal will be rejected.

H. A. S[UART]—22-2-13.

The case must be re-circulated to His Excellency the Governor.

L. DAVIDSON—24-2-13.

This is a case regarding an allowance to be granted to certain Educational officers towards the cost of conveyance used in their inspections in the Presidency town.

2. These officers' pay depends on their grade in the service and is the same as it would be if they were stationed outside Madras. The question is whether residence in Madras entails extra expense on conveyance used in inspections.

3. A mofussil officer, except when in his headquarters, draws a daily allowance which is intended to cover all additional expenses due to his being away from headquarters. It is meant to cover, among other things, conveyance charges in towns visited.

4. A Presidency officer making inspections in Madras receives no allowance, but either has to use his own conveyance, or to pay hire for a conveyance, to a far greater extent than the mofussil officer in his headquarters. It seems fair that he should be given an allowance; but the computation of this allowance should be made with reference to the conditions of each case. For instance, if an officer resident in Madras has to make an inspection at a distance of a few miles once a month, he should not be given the same allowance as a man whose daily inspection work takes him 10 or 12 miles a day.

5. As regards the Inspector, for whom the allowance is asked, it is stated that he had to visit 159 schools, other than attending office, on 159 days in a year. On an average, he comes to 13 days a month, or 13 days a month.

6. If this reasoning is correct, Sir Harold Stuart's contention that if this allowance were granted, Messrs. Jolly and Slater and others who have to go daily to office would have an equal claim to one, does not seem well-founded. The allowance is not to cover conveyance to office, but is for inspection work away from office, in doing which a mofussil officer of the grade of an Inspector would ordinarily be drawing a daily allowance of Rs. 5.

7. I understand that there are at present some 40 or more officers in the Presidency town drawing either local, Presidency, conveyance or house-rent allowances in respect of extra expenses incurred by being stationed in the Presidency town.

8. It is noteworthy that an allowance is given in the case of the corresponding officers in Calcutta.

P[ENTLAND]—27-2-13.

First to the Hon'ble Sir H. A. Stuart, then to other Honourable Members.

Submitted for orders as to further circulation.

L. DAVIDSON—3-3-13.

2. With reference to the last paragraph of His Excellency's note above, attention is respectfully invited to the *revised* item No. 90 in Appendix 26 to the Civil Service Regulations, which authorizes the payment of allowance to the *Inspectress* of schools and the Inspector and Assistant Inspector of European schools stationed in Calcutta but not to *Inspector* of schools.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—1-3-13.

Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart again.

L. DAVIDSON—3-3-13.

I can see no essential difference between the cases of an officer who requires a conveyance to take him to do his work at the Secretariat and one who needs it to take him to do his work at a school. There may, however, be a difference in degree, since the latter officer may have to visit several schools in the course of the morning and may therefore require more use of the conveyance entailing additional expenses upon him. I believe that there is really no difference between His Excellency and myself in our attitude towards this case. My view is that if it can be shown that these inspecting officers are put to extra expense for inspecting the schools over and above what they would incur for attending office, then there is a good case for a conveyance allowance. I should like to know whether the Inspector of Schools, IV Circle, does as a matter of fact provide himself with an additional horse or conveyance or both or incur additional expense on conveyance because of his duties of inspection, and if so the nature and cost of such additional conveyance. He has 41 schools to inspect and apparently in 1911-12 he paid 159 visits to these institutions or an average of nearly four per school. That seems rather high and I should like to have the figures for the previous year and for the present year up to date. In the case of the Inspector and the Assistant Inspector of European and Training schools I shall be glad if the Educational Department will give me similar information.

2. The office have already pointed out that Dr. Bourne's statement that the Inspectors of Schools stationed at Calcutta receive a conveyance allowance is incorrect. A reference to item No. 90, Appendix 26, of the Civil Service Regulations, shows that Inspectors of Schools stationed at Calcutta did formerly receive a conveyance allowance but that they have, since July 1911, been deprived of it. Moreover, the allowance was only Rs. 80 a month, and that is the allowance which is still given to the Inspector and Assistant Inspector of European schools at Calcutta.

H. A. S[UART]—5-3-13.

A draft memorandum is submitted for approval.

2. With reference to the portion marked A in the Honourable Member's note above, it is submitted that in paragraph 2 of the Director of Public Instruction's letter it is stated that besides inspection of schools the Inspector had other work away from

1911-12 was 159. This figure does not therefore represent the number of days spent on inspection of schools *alone*. Separate figures for inspection are therefore being called for.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—13-3-13.

His Excellency the Governor (should see the memorandum before issue).

L. DAVIDSON—15-3-13.

Thanks. It is clear I think that these officers are put to extra expense for inspecting the schools over and above what they would incur for attending office. But I have no objection whatever to the proposal to obtain further information through the Director of Public Instruction. The information will be helpful so far as it goes, but not I think necessarily conclusive. For instance as to the Inspector's horse and carriage, (1) he may be forced to overwork his horse, and (2) in Calcutta European and higher Indian officials frequently travel by tramway whereas in Madras they do not.

Also I do not know what the words "actual inspection" are intended to convey. Inspection may mean anything from the annual inspection of a school to the chance visit of an Inspector. It would be a great pity to discourage or limit the activity of inspectors of schools: and the wording of the memorandum should be careful on that point.

P[ENTLAND]—22-3-13.

I have amended the memorandum which may issue.

L. DAVIDSON—26-3-13.

[Memorandum No. 3255-2, Educational, 26th March 1913.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 2nd July 1913, No. 7359/12.

The additional information furnished by the Director of Public Instruction with reference to Memorandum No. 3255-2, Educational, dated 26th March 1913, is tabulated below:—

Description and number of conveyance maintained.	Additional conveyances for inspection.	Additional expenditure incurred on conveyance for inspection.	Duty away from office.			
			Number of schools visited.	Number of days of visit.	Total number of days of duty away from office.	Average number of days per month.
Inspector of Schools, IV Circle.	1910-11	A carriage and a horse.	47	78	149	12
	1911-12		44	64	169	13
	1912-13		80	73	136	11
Inspector of European and Training Schools.	1910-11	Hired carriage formerly; now keeps a motor bicycle.	..	44	52	4
	1911-12		..	38	44	4
	1912-13		..	18	24	2
Assistant Inspector of European and Training Schools.	1910-11	Ordinary bicycle; hires carriage on inclement days when books or records are to be carried.	..	..	..	..
	1911-12		..	78	9	1
	1912-13		..	22	23	2

G.O. No. 4, Educational, dated 4th January 1912.

* Actual number visited in the years has not been given.

† The post of Assistant Inspector was created only about January 1912.

2. The above information is not complete in regard to the European schools Inspectors. It is stated that the Inspector hired conveyance by the day formerly and that he now maintains a motor bicycle; but it is not known what expenditure was actually incurred on hire of carriages prior to the purchase of motor bicycle.

engaged on certain special occasions; and it would be desirable to know on I doubt whether they could give it unless they keep more than usually careful private accounts.

W. FRANCIS.

3. The Director of Public Instruction

This I cannot follow.

W. FRANCIS.

* M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur A. C. Pranatartihara Ayyar (page 3 of list of officers of the Educational Department).

† Draws acting allowance of Rs. 100 for acting in the Indian Educational Service.

Article 94 of the Inspection Code.

If he did not how would he get to office? He lives in Triplicane.

W. FRANCIS.

The Inspector of European Schools must also have some vehicle to get to office. He could not walk from Spencer's Hotel in the sun every day. Similarly with the Assistant Inspector, Mr. Thompson.

W. FRANCIS.

Nothing specific is said about the maintenance of motor bicycle by the latter officer. The Assistant Inspector maintains only a cycle. Unless it is shown that he has been incurring any appreciable expenditure on carriage hire, the case so far as he is concerned seems weak. Please see the Chief Secretary's note, dated 6th February 1913, and His Excellency's note, dated 21st February 1913, on pages 3 and 4 *supra*.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—21-7-13.

W. FRANCIS—24-7-13.

The Director of Public Instruction whom I have consulted does not press for the further consideration of this matter now, so it may rest.

P[ENTLAND]—31-7-13.

H. A. S[UART]—1-8-13.

Draft.

W. FRANCIS—4-8-13.

A draft order recording the correspondence is submitted for approval.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—4-8-13.

C. S. ANANTARAMA AYYAR—5-8-13.

[G.O. No. 716, Educational, dated 5th August 1913.]

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191

C. No. 3253, From the Doc, No. 7359, dated 7. 11. 1912

7074 20 20 24/1 1913

ORDER.

No. 716828, dated 5. 8. 1913.

Recorded

$$\frac{62}{4.8 \text{ m}}$$

To
The S.S.I.
Lynchburg, Va. with Anne's child
Oct 1891

五

A

Proof returned corrected. Please strike
if and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval
at once.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

For A. Werski Co. suva ^{Asks} Clerk.

.....Clerk.

For _____ Supdt.

..... Supdt.

.....Supdt

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.		
Received in Press on.....	15/8/13	22/8/13	
First proof furnished on.....	15/8/13	22/8/13	
Call for revised proof received on.....			
Revised proof furnished on.....			
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	22/8/13	22/8/13	
Final copies supplied on.....	22/8/13	22/8/13	
Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	32		
" " " Issue.....	8		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E. T.....	7		
Total	56		