

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1913.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 1024, 10th November 1913.

Building Grant.

Sanctioning a grant of Rs. 10,089 being one-third of the estimated value of the ground floor of the Islamiah secondary school, Vaniyambadi, which has already been completed and another grant of Rs. 18,500 towards the cost of the first and second storeys proposed to be added thereto.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Enclosures

Regd.

} 1913.

Spare copies

G.O. No. 1024, 10th November 1913.

Building Grant.

Sanctioning a grant of Rs. 10,039 being one-third of the estimated value of the ground floor of the Islamiah secondary school, Vaniyambadi, which has already been completed and another grant of Rs. 18,500 towards the cost of the first and second storeys proposed to be added thereto.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 16th December 1911.

No.—Ref. on Cur. 7648.

I have the honour to submit the enclosed plans with estimate amounting to Rs. 33,961 for the construction of a second floor to the building occupied by the Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi, and to recommend that a grant of Rupees 11,320 may be sanctioned under rule 51 of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2. The present accommodation is quite insufficient for the growing strength and importance of the school and the proposed addition is therefore a real necessity.

3. Information regarding the nature and depth of the soil and sub-soil have been called for and will be submitted on receipt.

II

**Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated
24th January 1912.**

LIST of the more glaring errors in the plans and estimate for constructing a second floor to the building occupied by the Islamic secondary school, Vāniyambādi, North Arcot district.

1. No scale is shown on the drawings submitted.
2. The section of the roof and floor timbers provided are quite incorrect and insufficient. It is not known to what rooms, etc., the sections of the scantlings apply. The same remarks apply also to the R.S. joists provided.
3. It is not known how one side of the third story is to be supported and of what size the same is to be.
4. The estimate provides 9" thickness of walls for the third storey whereas the plan shows 1½' thickness.
5. It is not known what foundations are provided. Presumably the lower storey is in existence. If so, the fact should be stated.
6. No details are furnished as to how the octagonal portion of the tower below the dome is carried by the walls underneath.
7. The tower portion is not shown on plan (side elevation).
8. The thickness of walls, nature of foundations, etc., of the tower are not known.
9. The width of the verandah end piers is not known

C. A. SMITH,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

III

Endorsement No. 3144-2, Educational, dated 30th January 1912.

The plans and estimate amounting to Rs. 33,961 for the construction of a second floor to the building occupied by the Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi, are returned to the Director of Public Instruction for re-submission after correction of the errors pointed out by the Chief Engineer in his note read above.

2. The attention of the Director of Public Instruction will be drawn to paragraph 2 of G.O. No. 672, Educational, dated 21st September 1908, under which the plans and estimate should have been prepared and signed by a competent person. It

is observed that the plans submitted by the Director of Public Instruction do not show how much of the building is in existence already and that this omission alone makes it difficult to check the estimate.

3. The Director of Public Instruction will be requested to see that the plans in this case as well as in all similar cases bear distinguishing numbers.

(By Order.)

M. S. MASCAR NHAS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

IV

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 25th June 1912.

No.—R.C. 7648/1911.

With reference to Endorsement No. 3144-2, Educational, dated 30th January 1912, I have the honour to submit the enclosed revised plans and estimates for providing additional accommodation for the Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi, and to recommend that under rule 53 (a) of the Grant-in-Aid Code a grant of Rs. 18,000 may be sanctioned.

2. The revised plans and estimates were received in this office only on the 14th instant.

3. In his letter, dated 5th instant (copy enclosed), the correspondent requests that a grant may be allowed towards the cost of the ground floor which has been already constructed against the provision of the Grant-in-Aid Code. Though the request is inadmissible under the rules, the special circumstances of the case make it desirable that some aid, say, one-third of the total expenditure incurred, may, as a special case, be given. This is the only high school for Muhammadans in the southern districts and it has been established by private effort. If the Government be pleased to consider the case favourably, a valuation statement of the ground floor will be obtained and submitted.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from YAKUB HASAN, Honorary General Secretary, Vāniyambādi Muhammadan Educational Society, and Manager, Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi.

To—the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated—Madras, the 5th June 1912.

With reference to your Proceedings No. Ref. on C. 7648 of 1911, dated 3rd February 1912, I have the honour to re-submit plans and estimates of the proposed building for the Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi, for a grant for which I had applied to you on the 5th August 1911, through the Inspector of Schools, Fifth Circle. With the said application I had sent plans and estimates for the lower as well as for the upper storey, the former amounting to Rs. 30,117 and the latter to Rs. 33,961 and I had left it to the Educational Department to give such grant towards the cost of the whole as they might think proper. But from the terms employed in your proceedings, it appears that the plans and estimates referring to the upper storey alone were taken into consideration and were returned to me for re-submission after correction, no mention being made of the estimates for the lower storey nor they forming part of the docket returned to me. I may here mention that my application for grant was submitted after the visit at our invitation of Mr. J. H. Stone, the then Acting Director of Public Instruction, to our school at Vāniyambādi. He was very much impressed with all that was done to establish a higher grade school on the liberal basis for the education of Mussalmans and the amount of the money spent in bringing about the desired result. He said that the Government should meet us a great way in solving the many difficulties we had in our way, particularly in finishing the spacious building that we had attempted to construct at first independent of Government aid. He advised us to lay our requirements in this connection before the Government and leave it to them to determine the amount of grant to be sanctioned. Accordingly, we submitted our application without specifying the grant required.

We were aware that the Grant-in-Aid Code provides for a grant on a building to be constructed only after the sanction of the Government; and in commencing our building before a grant was sanctioned we had, in a technical way, debarred ourselves from the benefit

of the Code. But a section of the same Code provides for grant for the purchase of a ready-made building and we feel sure that if the Government are disposed to encourage Muhammadan schools, they will see their way to help us with a grant for that portion of the building which has been completed. We beg that Government will be pleased to appoint some competent official or officials to examine the building and make a valuation of its cost and to satisfy themselves that, in putting it up, all the essentials of a school building have been faithfully observed.

If a grant is not paid for this portion of the building, it will much hamper our resources. For, in putting so much money in the building, we have prevented our Capital Fund from being increased beyond Rs. 33,000 to a more substantial figure. Besides, the growing needs of our school, which at the time we commenced the building, was intended to be only an incomplete secondary school, but has since become a complete secondary school, require more accommodation, not only on account of the increasing number of standards, forms and sections, but also on account of science laboratories, library, museum and lecture hall. Even with the addition of the first floor, our present needs will not be fully met and very soon we shall have to put up an extension towards the east as shown in the plan. For the first floor construction and for this future extension and to raise the capital fund to an adequate figure and for equipping laboratories, library and museum, considerable sums of money will be required. The Mussalmans of Vaniyambadi have generously contributed large sums in the past and are straining every nerve to meet the growing needs of the school. But their resources, if not supplemented generously by Government, will fall short of attaining their ideal in view. It will thus be seen that a great deal of the future success of the school will depend on the attitude Government will adopt in the matter of the building grant. Rs. 10 to Rs. 15 thousand is not so small a sum that we can afford to be deprived of, for no other fault than that of having overestimated our own resources and not applied to Government at the very first instance.

In accordance with the provisions of the Code, the Government will have a lien on the whole building and not merely on the portions on which the Government contemplate giving the grant. And I submit that it is but right that in view of this fact that Government should bear a share in the construction of the other too. I may add that the payment of the grant may be spread over two or three years, if the Government so desire. The plans and estimates have been revised by Mr. Hensman, the Assistant Engineer of the Corporation of Madras, and some parts of the building were strengthened and the omissions pointed out have been supplied. This has increased the estimated cost of the first and second floors to Rs. 36,000.

I beg you will be good enough to recommend to the Government for a building grant of half the cost of both the storeys.

Revised and additional plans and estimates are herewith submitted. The estimates for the ground floor amounting to Rs. 30,117 are already with you. An abstract estimate of the last is, however, submitted herewith.

V

Memorandum No. 1773-1, Educational, dated 4th July 1912.

With reference to paragraph 3 of his letter R.C. No. 7648/11, dated 25th June 1912, recommending the payment of a grant in respect of the ground floor of the building occupied by the Islamiah Secondary School, Vaniyambadi, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to obtain and submit to Government a valuation statement of the building irrespective of the decision which may be arrived at by Government on the question of sanctioning a grant towards its cost.

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

VI

Memorandum No. 1773-3, Educational, dated 26th July 1912.

With reference to his letter R.C. No. 7648/11, dated 25th June 1912, submitting revised plans and estimates for the provision of additional accommodation required for the Islamiah secondary school, Vaniyambadi, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to obtain and submit to Government, at an early date, a descriptive specification of the materials used in the ground floor already built and of the materials proposed for each and every part of the new building.

2. The plans and estimates received with his letter read above are returned for reference and re-submission with the specification required.

(By Order.)

M. S. MASCARENHAS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

VII

Letter—from MUHAMMAD IBRAHIM SAHIB QURAISHI, B.A., L.T., Principal,
Madrasa-e-Islamiah, Vaniyambadi.

To—the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated—the 3rd October 1912.

No.—405.

With reference to Proceedings Ref. on C. No. 7648 of 1911, dated 1st October 1912, I am directed by the management to submit herewith the plans * and estimates of the first and second floor of our school building. The valuation of the ground floor called for in the Proceedings, dated 12th July 1912, have already been submitted along with the descriptive specifications of the materials used.

Ref. on Cur. No. 7648/11, dated 12th October 1912.

Submitted to Government with reference to Memorandum No. 1773-3, Educational, dated 26th July 1912.

2. The plans and estimates referred to in paragraph 2 of the above memorandum are enclosed.

A. G. BOURNE,
Director of Public Instruction.

ENCLOSURE.

Islamiah School, Vaniyambadi.

ABSTRACT ESTIMATE.

Ground Floor.

Num-ber.	Denomination.	Description of work.	Rate.	Per	Amount.
1	66,928 c.ft.	Earth work—	RS. A.		RS. A. P.
	58,052 c.ft.	(a) Excavation	3 8	1,000	234 4 0
2		(b) Sand	3 0	100	1,741 8 0
		Concrete—			
3	2,745 c.ft.	Broken stone in lime mortar	15 0	100	4,622 0 0
	17,832 c.ft.	Brick masonry—			
		(a) Table moulded bricks in clay ..	20 0	100	549 0 0
		(b) Table moulded bricks in lime mortar ..	28 0	100	4,994 14 8
	825 c.ft.	(c) Archwork on doors, etc.	30 0	100	247 8 0
	800 c.ft.	(d) Saracenic arches	32 0	100	256 0 0
	265 r.ft.	(e) Cornice	0 5	R.ft.	83 0 0
4	4,376 c.ft.	Stone masonry—			
	1,200 c.ft.	(a) Stone in lime mortar	32 0	100	1,400 5 0
		(b) Columns, rings of arches, key-stones etc. ..	2 8	C.ft.	3,000 0 0
	640 s.ft.	(c) Steps, copying stones, etc. ..	0 5	S.ft.	200 0 0
5	2,125 s.ft.	Doors—			
	912 s.ft.	Glazed and venetianed pannels ..	2 8	S.ft.	5,312 8 0
6		Folding partitions	1 8	S.ft.	1,368 0 0
7	24,648½ lb.	Girders—			
		Rolled steel joists	170 0	ton.	1,535 8 0
8		N.B.—Hoisting charge	3 0	each	117 0 0
	5,012 s.ft.	Roofing—			
		Jack arches in table moulded bricks with filling in ..	30 0	100	1,503 9 0
9	4,690 s.ft.	Flooring with Cuddapah Slabs on 6" concrete ..	19 0	100	891 3 0
10	8,177 s.ft.	Pointing	1 10	100	132 15 0
11	4,500 s.ft.	Plastering—			
	11,826 s.ft.	(a) With three coats (fine)	8 8	100	382 8 0
	11,826 s.ft.	(b) With two coats (ord.)	7 0	100	828 0 0
12	56 ..	Whitewashing	0 4	100	29 10 0
13		Cast Iron Fanlights	8 0	each	448 0 0
14		Painting—			
		(a) Girders	6 0	100	112 0 0
15	4,250 s.ft.	Wood oiling—			
	1,824 s.ft.	(a) Doors	2 0	100	85 0 0
		(b) Folding partitions	2 0	100	36 8 0
Total ..					30,117 12 8.

J. E. HENSMAN,
First Assistant Engineer, Corporation of Madras.

VIII

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, No. 3157 C., dated 5th April 1913.

The Director of Public Instruction submits, through the Educational department, a detailed estimate amounting to Rs. 36,000 and 13 plans in connection with a grant-in-aid towards the cost of adding first and second floors to the Islamiah secondary school building at Vāniyambādi (North Arcot district).

2. The plans and estimates have been scrutinised in the Chief Engineer's office and the following alterations have been made:—

(1) Altering the hipped ends of the Mangalore tiled roof over the second floor into gables, both gables being supported on masonry walls carried up from the walls underneath as shown in flap No. C.A. 74/1913. The brick-nogged partitions provided for in the estimate submitted are disapproved as they offer insufficient protection from weather.

(2) Making the enclosure walls of the gallery $1\frac{1}{4}$ bricks (1' 0") thick, with projecting pilasters at places where the trusses and purlins rest, and substituting Bell's asbestos slates for Mangalore tiles of the roof over second floor.

(3) Altering the dimensions of the members of the trusses as figured in plan No. 12.

(4) Increasing the scantlings of purlins and ridge pieces of the roof from $3" \times 5"$ and $3" \times 6"$ to $4" \times 6"$ and $2\frac{1}{2}" \times 8"$ respectively.

(5) Omitting the rolled steel ($8" \times 4"$) stanchions provided in the estimate submitted for supporting the ends of the central trussed rafters of the roof.

(6) Altering the sections of the rolled steel girders supporting the jack-arched terrace roof over room No. XI in plan No. 5 from $12" \times 5" \times 32$ lb. to $15" \times 5" \times 42$ lb. The main girders $14" \times 12"$ at 96 lb. supporting the ends of the former will be dispensed with as a wall will be built up instead. See paragraph 2 (1).

(7) Providing additional girders $14" \times 6"$ at 57 lb. and $18" \times 7"$ at 75 lb., the former for supporting in the middle the existing rolled steel girders ($10" \times 5"$ at 30 lb.) carrying the jack-arched floors over rooms I, II, III in plan No. 4 and the latter for supporting in the same way the girders over room No. IV; the girders $14" \times 6"$ at 57 lb. over rooms I, II and III being also centrally supported by cast-iron columns 7" diameter and $\frac{3}{4}"$ thick.

(8) Providing two girders side by side $14" \times 6"$ at 46 lb. (each) to relieve the piers Q and Q' (marked in plan No. 4) of the superincumbent load.

(9) Providing cantilever girders $12" \times 5"$ at 32 lb. for carrying the balcony of the first floor for which there is no provision in the estimate.

(10) Providing an amount of Rs. 919 for foundations for cast-iron columns for blocking up doorways and arched openings marked *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* in plan No. 4 and for other unforeseen works.

3. By these alterations the amount of the estimate has been increased in the Chief Engineer's office from Rs. 36,000 to Rs. 37,000.

4. The portion of the horse shoe arches (according to the plans submitted) between the red lines in sketch No. C.A. 80/1913 is weak construction. There should be room for two rings of brickwork to complete themselves from the crown of the arch to the capital.

The arrangement of the verandah pillars on the first floor with relation to the pillars and piers on the ground floor is capable of improvement for instance at the point marked K in flap No. C.A. 80/1913 where it would be more satisfactory to get the verandah pillar on the first floor vertically over the pier on the ground floor.

5. On sectional elevation (plan No. 11) the corbelling to support the octagon on the square is much too abrupt and should be gradual as shown in flap No. C.A. 81/1913.

The construction of the dome according to this plan (No. 11) is weak as there is tension in the brickwork between the red lines shown in the flap No. C.A. 81/1913 and tendency to tip up at A. This weakness could be got over by increasing the diameter of the necking so that the bulge of the extrados of the dome is less.

6. The Superintending Engineer should satisfy himself that the existing foundations are sufficient to carry a three-storeyed building and should also decide whether the existing front wall from Z to Z' in plan No. 4 is capable of carrying the additional weight involved by the proposed upper storeys or whether some of the openings in it would be built up solid or whether the whole wall should be dismantled and re-built.

7. The rates for some of the items seem to be high.

8. On ground floor plan No. 4 and on first floor plan No. 5 there is a girder $8" \times 4"$ across the circular stair case which will interfere with head way. The positions of these girders should be altered so that sufficient head way is secured.

C. A. SMITH,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

IX

Memorandum No. 2788-2 of 1912, Educational, dated 22nd April 1913.

The plans and estimates relating to the Islamiah secondary school building at Vāniyambādi, North Arcot district, are returned to the Director of Public Instruction with the request that a complete set of fresh plans embodying the suggestions of the Chief Engineer contained in his note No. 3157-C., dated 5th April 1913 (copy enclosed), may be drawn up and re-submitted to Government at an early date. The building is considered to be capable of improvement from an architectural point of view.

(By Order.)

C. S. ANANTARAMA AYYAR,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

X

Letter—from the Hon'ble Sir ALFRED GIBBS BOURNE, K.C.I.E., D.Sc., F.R.S.,
Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 6th August 1913.

No.—Ref. on Cur. 7648/1911.

With reference to your memorandum No. 2788-2 of 1912, dated 22nd April 1913, calling for fresh plans regarding the provision of additional accommodation for the Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi, I have the honour to submit a copy of the letter, dated 5th August 1913, received from the manager of the school.

2. I also request that early orders may be passed on paragraph 3 of my letter R.C. No. 7648 of 1911, dated 25th June 1912, regarding grant for the portion already completed.

ENCLOSURE.

Letter—from the Manager, Islamiah Secondary School, Vāniyambādi.

To—the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Dated—the 5th August 1913.

With reference to your letter R.O.C. No. 7648 of 1911, dated 30th April 1913, and your reminder about the same, dated 30th July 1913, I have the honour to mention that by an appointment made with the Chief Engineer I had an interview with the Consulting Architect to the Government of Madras on the 19th June last at Ootacamund concerning the Chief Engineer's note No. 3157-C., dated 5th April 1913, on the plans and estimates relating to the Islamiah secondary school, Vāniyambādi. The Consulting Architect approved some of the sketches submitted by me as required by the Chief Engineer's note and agreed to modify some of the suggestions contained in the said note. He agreed to re-examine the plans and sketches in the light of the new suggestions and modifications and, if I understood him right, to prepare fresh plans and estimates that he would like to be substituted for the old ones which had already

undergone much alterations and re-alterations. I believe the Chief Engineer will issue a fresh note to supersede or supplement his previous note No. 3157 C., dated 5th April 1913, and attach thereto fresh plans and estimates approved by him. I shall feel much obliged if the Government take them into consideration in connection with my application for grant-in-aid and kindly pass their orders thereon.

XI

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, No. 870-D., dated 13th October 1913.

The Director of Public Instruction submits through the Educational Department a detailed estimate amounting to Rs. 36,000 and thirteen plans in connection with a grant-in-aid towards the cost of adding first and second floors to the Islamiah secondary school building at Vāniyambādi, North Arcot district.

2. The plans and estimates have been scrutinized in the Chief Engineer's office with the result that the revised plans C.A. Nos. 220 to 229/1913 and the estimate have been prepared and the amount thereof increased from Rs. 36,000 to Rs. 37,300.

3. The Superintending Engineer should satisfy himself that the existing foundations are sufficient to carry a three-storied building and should also decide whether the existing walls are sound.

4. The rates for some of the items seem to be high.

5. With these remarks the estimate amounting to Rs. 37,300 and plans as revised by the Chief Engineer are recommended for approval

S. D. PEARS,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

Order—No. 1024, Educational, dated 10th November 1913.

The Government are pleased as a special case to sanction a grant of Rs. 10,039 being one-third of the estimated value (Rs. 30,118) of the ground floor of the Islamiah secondary school at Vāniyambādi and another grant not exceeding one-half of the actual expenditure nor Rs. 18,500 towards the cost of the first and second storeys proposed to be added thereto. The latter grant will be subject to the following conditions:—

(1) that in carrying out the work the suggestions of the Chief Engineer in his note, dated 13th October 1913, are adopted; and

(2) that all the conditions prescribed in the Grant-in-Aid Code have been duly complied with.

2. On the above conditions being fulfilled the grant will be paid as funds become available.

(True Extract.)

W. FRANCIS,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction,
with plans, estimates and the Chief Engineer's note.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.
Editors' Table (Order only).

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 1024, EDUCATIONAL. DATED 10TH NOVEMBER 1913.

[SUBJECT.—Application of the Islamia Secondary school, Vāniyambādi, for a grant of Rs. 11,320.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 16th December 1911, No. 7648.

The plans and estimates may be forwarded to the Public Works Department for scrutiny.

2. That department may also be requested to note the time required for the completion of the building.

P.R.—19-12-11.

R. SUNDARAM AYYAR—19-12-11.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—19-12-11.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The plans and estimates are not properly prepared and their scrutiny cannot be undertaken in the present form. The preparation of plans and estimates should be entrusted to a competent Architect or Engineer under G.O. No. 672, Educational, dated 21st September 1908. The plans do not show how much of the building is in existence already, and this omission alone would make it difficult to check the estimate. A list of the more glaring errors is attached. With these remarks the file may be returned to Educational. That department may be requested to instruct the Director of Public Instruction to see that plans in this and every other case bear distinguishing numbers.

P.R.—24-1-12.

R. KRISHNAMACHARI—24-1-12.

D. MARSHALL—24-1-12.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft endorsement is submitted for approval.

P.R.—29-1-12.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—30-1-12.

[Memorandum No. 3144-2, dated 30th January 1912.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 25th June 1912, R.O.C. No. 7648/11.

With reference to paragraph 3 of the Director's letter it is submitted that a valuation statement of the ground floor may be called for at once without waiting for the scrutiny of the plans and estimates by the Public Works Department and irrespective of the decision which the Government may arrive at on the question of grant.

2. A draft memorandum is submitted for approval. After its issue the revised plans and estimates now received may be forwarded to the Public Works Department with reference to their note above.
L. DAVIDSON.
P.R.—28-6-12.

It is submitted that, before the valuation statement is called for, it would be necessary to determine whether any grant should be sanctioned in respect of the ground floor which has already been completed. Attention is invited to G.Os. No. 577, dated 5th August 1908, No. 256, dated 29th April 1909, and No. 633, dated 8th September 1911, in which Government declined to sanction grants in respect of buildings already constructed, and particularly to paragraph 2 of the second of the orders quoted above. No recent precedents are traceable sanctioning such grants. It is for consideration whether the case of the Islamia school at Vāniyambādi should be treated as a special one.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—3-7-12.

The valuation statement may be called for. To give a grant for the ground floor would involve a relaxation of the Code, but the circumstances are exceptional.

L. DAVIDSON—4-7-12.

Issue memorandum and send to the Public Works Department.

L. DAVIDSON—4-7-12.

[Issued as memorandum No. 1773-1, dated 4th July 1912.]

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

As this is a large and complicated work, a descriptive specification, describing the materials used in the ground floor already built and the materials proposed for each and every part of the new work, is necessary to enable a scrutiny of the plans and estimates being made in this department. Educational may, it is submitted, be

W. G. MOLESWORTH.

requested to return the plans and estimates to the Director of Public Instruction and call for the specification mentioned above

M.K.T.—20-7-12.

W. G. MOLESWORTH—22-7-12.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft memorandum is submitted for approval.

P.R.—24-7-12.

C.R.—24-7-12.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—25-7-12.

[Memorandum No. 1773-3, dated 26th July 1912.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 12th October 1912, R.O.C. No. 7648.

The particulars furnished by the Director of Public Instruction may be forwarded to the Public Works Department with reference to its note above. That department may also be asked to scrutinize the valuation statement of the ground floor.

P.R.—26-10-12.

C.R.—28-10-12.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—29-10-12.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

[Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 5th April 1913.]

The plans and estimates have been scrutinised in the Chief Engineer's office and the remarks thereon are embodied in the Engineer's note read above. The Chief Engineer is not prepared to recommend the estimate for sanction as matters stand. There are far too many alterations and a muddle is sure to be made of the work without a complete set of fresh plans. It is submitted that the Chief Engineer's note read above may be sent to Educational with a request that a complete set of plans embodying the Chief Engineer's proposals be drawn out and resubmitted for further orders. It might also be remarked that the building is considered to be capable of improvement from an architectural point of view.

K.R.—2-4-13.

T.V.N.—3-4-13.

C. A. SMITH—3-4-13.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

A draft memorandum is submitted for approval.

P.R.—19-4-13.

C.R.—21-4-13.

[Memorandum No. 2788-2, Educational, dated 22nd April 1913.]

Educational Superintendent—

What has become of the papers about grant to Vāniyambādi secondary school (Islamia)?

2. Mr. Yakub Husain calls and says the plans are with the Director of Public Instruction and will shortly be passed, but wants to know about the chances of getting a grant.

This was all the correspondent's fault, it is now clear. 3. There has already been much delay in Public Works Department, he says, so see there is none in Educational please.

W. FRANCIS—20-6-13.

4. There are two parts of the question, apparently—the part which has already been built and that which remain to be done. Mr. Yakub Husain asked that these be treated separately.

W. FRANCIS—20-6-13.

Please see Memorandum No. 2788-2, dated 22nd April 1913, and the file connected with the plans and estimates for the proposed first and second floors to the Islamia school have been returned for revision with reference to the Chief Engineer's suggestions. Please see the Public Works Department note, dated 3rd April 1913.

2. As regards the grant for the portion of the building already constructed, a valuation statement, and then, at the instance of Public Works Department, a descriptive specification of materials used were called for and forwarded to that department. The Chief Engineer has not scrutinized the valuation statement of the ground floor; but his note is confined only to the plans and estimates of the additions proposed. His *final* recommendations (when revised plans and estimates are received) will apparently deal with the ground floor. It may not now be possible to deal with the latter question in advance, as the plans relating to it are mixed up with the others and are not now with Government.

C.R.—20-6-13.

W. FRANCIS—20-6-13.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 6th August 1913, R.O.C. No. 7648/11.

The original plans and estimates returned to the correspondent have not been returned. Perhaps the Public Works Department has their duplicates with it. The file may be forwarded to Public Works Department with reference to the correspondent's letter.

2. With reference to paragraph 2 of the Director's letter, attention is solicited to And Chief Secretary's note on page 6 of these notes.

W. FRANCIS—13-8-13.

If possible.

W. FRANCIS—13-8-13.

paragraph 2 of office-note *supra*. The Public Works Department may be asked to scrutinize the valuation statement of the ground floor, if possible, in advance of the examination of the estimates for the first and second floors, should the latter take time.

P.R.—11-8-13.

C.R.—12-8-13.

I understand the Public Works Department are kindly re-drawing the plans for this building. If it will send them to Educational when done, with the valuation above referred to, the question of the grant will be gone into.

W. FRANCIS—13-8-13.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The Chief Engineer has drawn up revised plans and estimate for the work which may be sent to the Educational Department.

2. As regards the valuation statement of the ground floor, it is not possible to scrutinise it, as there is no means of checking how the quantities have been arrived at and what are the data for fixing the rates

M.K.T.—10-10-13.

D. MARSHALL—10-10-13.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

It is for consideration whether a grant may be given for the ground floor which has already been completed. In the case of the Breek's Memorial school, G.O. No. 958, Educational, dated 16th October 1912.

Ootacamund, it has been decided to sanction a grant for certain buildings which were completed in advance of sanction to the grant; but that case is distinguishable from the present in that application was first made but by some misapprehension, it was not renewed. The present case is stated to be exceptional.

2. If it is decided to sanction a grant in this case, the authorities of the school may be called on to furnish further particulars with reference to paragraph 2 of the foregoing note of the Public Works Department and the half grant for the first and second floors may be sanctioned at once.

3. It is also for consideration whether a half grant in the case of the extension may be sanctioned immediately without waiting for the disposal of the other question referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 above; the matter relating to the extension is complete now.

P.R.—14-10-13.

C.R.—16-10-13.

His Excellency the Governor—

The Labbai Muhammadans of Vāniyambādi have built a secondary school of one storey. To this a second storey is to be added. They apply for grants in aid of both storeys. The rules say that grants should be given only to buildings erected after sanction. This would bar the grant to the first storey. The Director of Public Instruction gives reasons for treating the case as special and giving a one-third grant for the first storey. The question arises, how much is that storey worth? On pages 16-17, current file, is a valuation statement signed by the architect, Mr. Hensman, Assistant Engineer to the Madras Corporation, valuing it at Rs. 30,118. The Public Works Department were asked to check this, but say they cannot, as there is no means of checking either the quantities or the rates in it. It is suggested that Mr. Hensman's valuation might be accepted. It is much less than the value of the upper storey as designed by the Public Works Department, which is to cost Rupees 37,000 and so cannot be excessive.

A One-third grant for this may be given as the Director of Public Instruction recommends—Rs. 10,039.

For the upper storey, the usual half grant (Rs. 18,500) may be given.

The departure from rule seems justified by the exceptional nature of the case—Musalmans building themselves a secondary school.

W. FRANCIS—22-10-13.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The Educational department propose to sanction a total building grant of Rs. (10,039 + 18,500 =) 28,539 as shown below, for the Islamia secondary school at Vāniyambādi:—

	Amount of grant proposed.
	RS.
(a) Ground floor (already constructed)—one-third of the cost of the building valued at about Rs. 30,118.	10,039
(b) First floor (to be hereafter constructed)—one-half of the total estimated cost Rs. 37,300.	18,500
Total ..	28,539

2. Item (a).—The building has already been constructed and the Government grant is *not* strictly speaking admissible. In view of the special circumstances of the case—viz., this is the only high school for Muhammadans in the southern districts and it has been established by private effort—the Director of Public Instruction recommends a grant of one-third (Rs. 10,039) of the total cost (Rs. 30,118). Submitted that the proposal may be accepted in Financial.

Vide rule 51 of the Grant-in-Aid Code on page 10 of G.O. No. 370, Educational, dated 1st May 1912.

For a precedent, *vide* G.O. No. 379, Educational, dated 28th April 1913.

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

EDUCATIONAL LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

Current No., dated 190 ..
G.O., No. 1024, dated 10-11-1903.

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence including order or orders (b)
Notes. (b)

Docket. Building Grant
Same having a grant note of Rs 10,039 being one-third of the estimated value of the ground floor of the Government school, Bangalore, which has already been completed & the grant of Rs 18,500 towards the cost of the first floor is also proposed to be made.

Number (c) of copies required when struck off (not including copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained in the Press). Complete Correspondence and orders. Notes

FOR RECORD.*			
Madras Records	...	25	12
Ooty Records	...	6	4
For filing with original	...	1	1
Total		32	17

FOR ISSUE.†

	Letter only.	Complete G.O.	Special issue copies (b) of G.O.		Notes.
			No.	Papers.	
Signature copies.....					
India Office.....					
Govt. of India.....Dept.					
Public Department.....					
Judicial Department.....					
Financial Department.....					
Revenue Department.....					
Public Works Department.....		5			
Local and Municipal Department.....					
.....Dept.					
Total					

Spare copies.	The Director of Public Instruction.....	15			
	The Comr. for Govt. Examinations.....				
	The Superintendent, Govt. Museum.....				
	The Surgeon-General.....				
	The Accountant-General.....	15			
	The Collector of.....				
	The P. D. B.....				
	The.....				
	The.....				
	The.....				
	The.....				
	The.....				
	The.....				
	The.....				
Total		35			

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(b) For rules regarding printing of notes, see the rules in G.O., No. 1220, Public, dated 30th November 1900.

(c) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(d) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(e) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Deputy Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Deputy Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, but only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on.....*(Press Clerk.)
† Copies distributed on.....*(Despatcher.)

* To be initialled and dated.

TO PRESS, MADRAS.
OOTY.

A

Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval
at once.

Type may be released after usual interval.

.....Clerk.

.....Clerk.

R. L. Annamalai
.....Clerk.

13/4/13

for
.....Supdt.

.....Supdt.

.....Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	13/4/13 <i>ML</i>
First proof furnished on.....	20/4/13 <i>ML</i>
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	1/12/12 <i>ML</i>
Final copies supplied on.....	6/12/12 <i>ML</i>

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	32		
" " " Issue.....	35		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E. T.....			
Total ...	83		