

Educational (1913) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 63

DATED 28-1-13.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Re appropriation Accepting the proposal of the Director of Public Instruction to reappropriate Rs 15,000 from the allotment of Rs 1,00,000 under 22-G- Special grant for buildings & equipment for European schools for the purpose of meeting the excess expenditure appearing in revised estimate.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
1.

1,000-17-11-11.

Edna DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of clerk with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S. C.)	Initials with date of (1) clerk of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

Stock Form No. 140.
4,000-9-5-10.

E d u c a t i o n a l

PENSION DEPARTMENT.

Received 191 Registered *24-12-1912* *2*

Subject.

Explaining the circumstances under which an additional sum of Rs 15,000/- has been provided in the revised estimate for the current year and in the budget estimate for the next year for the payment of teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Current No. *10172/12*

Station, *Madras*, Dated the *21* December 191 *2*

From / Name *The Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Bourne, D.Sc.F.R.S.C.I.E.*

(Designation) *Director of Public Instruction, Madras.*

To *The Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras,*
E d u c a t i o n a l P e n s i o n D e p a r t m e n t.

Sir,

In the Budget Estimate for 1913-1914, a sum of Rs 2,90,000/- has been shown both under the revised estimate for the current year and in the budget estimate for the next year, under 22.G.- Education, Non-European Schools-Teaching grants for secondary schools for boys and girls. I have the honour to invite the attention of Government to the fact that the amount referred to includes, over and above Rs 1,95,000/- budgeted for the current year for payment of teaching grants, the Government of India recurring grant of Rs 80,000/- sanctioned towards the improvement of aided secondary schools- vide G.O. No. 293, Educational, dated 4th April 1912, and an additional sum of Rs 15,000/- which was considered to be necessary to meet half the amount of fee income foregone on account of Muhammadans, girls, pupils of the backward classes and castes &c. I now find that Rs 38,000/- extra has already been paid as the result of

*Page 144 of
the C.B.E.*

*1,95,000
80,000
15,000
2,90,000*

P

TK 24/12

the alteration in rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, This may be said to have been entirely devoted to the objects for which the Rs 80,000/- was given in as much as it places more funds in the hands of managers of existing secondary schools and will enable them to improve the pay and prospects of their teachers to some slight extent. There is, however, only the balance of the Rs 80,000/- available for distribution among the remaining schools and if the Government are prepared to accept the amount entered in the budget estimate, the extra amount entered in the revised estimate for the current year (Rs 15,000/-) could, it now appears probable, be met by re-appropriation from other grant heads. As reported in my letter Ref on Cur No. 8435 of 12 dated 10.12.12 the schemes for European buildings for schools are so little advanced up to date that Rs 15,000/- could be safely taken from this head.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. A. Arieve.

For Director of Public Instruction.

N.V.S.

RA
21.12.12

Cur 3505-14

NOTES.

The attention is called to the fact that the amount of the \$50,000.00 was given in the form of a check to the bank of the District of Columbia, and the bank of the District of Columbia will credit the same to the account of the District of Columbia. The amount of the \$50,000.00 is available for the District of Columbia, and the District of Columbia is prepared to accept the amount entered in the budget estimate for the current year. The amount entered in the revised estimate for the current year is \$15,000.00, and it now appears probable, as set by the appropriation from other funds, as reported in my letter of the 15th of the month, that the amount for the District of Columbia will be advanced up to date that the \$15,000.00 could be safely taken from this fund.

I have the honor to be,

Your most obedient servant,

For Director of Public Instruction.

3 Educational Department. 4

Subject _____

Provision of Rs.15,000 in the revised estimate for the current year and the budget estimate for the next year for the payment of teaching grants to secondary schools for boys and girls.

X
10,000 Secondary schools
 boys
5,000 --do-- girls.

I think the D.P.I. means this letter to be regarded as a warning that the extra L.15,000^x included in the revised estimate at page 145 *in honor* is expenditure which will ~~however~~ become recurring if the grants for this year are raised to that extent. He is now ready to pay out teaching grants, but is holding over an assignment equivalent to this amount until assured that the additional sum is approved for this and future years. He would like at once to know whether that assurance can be given or it is desired that he should restrict the total outlay to L.2,75,000 which he can do if need be. The draft should go to Financial for acceptance.


L.D.
14-1-13.

no. 3493, red

15. 1. 13

TO

The Financial Department, u.o.



Reg 15-1-12

on the 13

Financial Department.

The figures relating to teaching grants to Secondary Schools for Boys and Girls are as follows:-

	1911-12 Actuals Lakhs	1912-13.		1913-14 Budget Lakhs
		Budget Lakhs	Revised Lakhs	
Secondary Schools for Boys.	1.55	1.60	2.50	2.50
,, for Girls	.38	.35	.40	.40
Total	1.93	1.95	2.90	2.90

2. The revised estimate for 1912-13 and the budget for 1913-14 thus exceed the budget for the current year by .95 lakh. Of this excess, .80 lakh has been provided from Imperial funds. The D.P.I. now applies for sanction for the incurring from Provincial funds of the balance viz .15 lakh. This additional charge is partly the result of G.O. 842 Educl. d/17-9-12 (altering rule 32 of the Grant-in-Aid Code to the effect that half the fee income foregone in accordance with rule 102 of the Madras Educational Rules may be added to the grant ordinarily admissible to schools for Muhammadans, Uriyas Girls and backward classes or castes).

3. Expenditure in 1912-13:- The D.P.I. proposes to meet the charge (Rs. 15,000) during the current year by re-appropriation from the budget grant of 1 lakh for special grant to European Schools for buildings and equipment. This provision of 1 lakh was allotted by this Govt. on the recommendation of the Finance Committee of 1912, for a specific purpose; and according to para: 200 of the Madras Treasury Manual

Page 145 C.B.E.
(1st Edn. Revised)

2.90-1.95=.95

G.O.293 Educl.d/
4-4-12. P

(Accepted in Finl.) X

Page 145 C.B.E. &
G.O.278 Educl.d/
26-3-12. Reg 4

* Repeated in the Revised

p. 2 of G.O.278 Educl
26-3-12. Reg 4.

the sanction of Govt. (in the Fin: Dept) is required for any reappropriation from this specific allotment. On receipt in Financial of the Educl Dept. proceedings on this case, an order may issue sanctioning the allotment of Rs. 15,000 required by the D.P.I.

In this connection, it is submitted that the Educl. Dept. may be requested to invite the attention of the D.P.I. once again to para: 211 of the Madras Treasury Manual, so that all anticipated savings in the Educational grants for the current year may at once be surrendered to this dept. The D.P.I. should also give timely intimation to the Acctt. Genl. of alterations in the revised estimate for the current year, vide Para: 193(19) of the Madras Treasury Manual.

4. Expenditure in 1913-14:— The Budget for 1913-14 includes^x this additional Rs. 15,000. With reference to the Educl. dept. note on page 1 ante that this additional grant is a recurring charge and will have to be incurred in 1913-14 and future years, it is respectfully submitted that the expenditure under grants-in-Aid of Schools in any one year is subject to the existence of budget provision in that year. The budget allotment in each year will in its turn depend upon the exigencies of the general financial position. It is therefore submitted that the item should not be considered as recurring in the sense that the Govt. are committed definitely to the expenditure.

6/3/14. We cannot make an assignment 23.4.13. to the D.P.I. for all time. Provision ~~to make the charge~~ for larger grants-in-aid occurs in the B.E. for 13-14, and if our financial prosperity continues we shall probably be

The Revised for 1912-13 is repeated for the Budget 1913-14. (p. 145 C.B.E.)

Rule 1 of the Grant-in-aid Code (put up)

able to continue allotments on the present scale. Beyond that, however, it is not possible for him to commit itself. Such grants as the present are always subject to the condition that the general financial position permits them to be made. We can't agree to their being converted into rigid fixed charges like 'salaries' and 'cost' charges.

C.R.
24.1.13

CS.

As I understand the position, it is that the D.P.I. wants to spend in 1912-13 Rs. 15,000 on grants for secondary schools over & above the sanctioned provision of Rs. 27,500, and suggests that these Rs. 15,000 should be met by reappropriation from the provision for buildings for European schools. I do not think we need object to the reappropriation, but we certainly can't accept any warning that this Rs. 15,000 or any other such will become a recurring charge. Nor do I see that the D.P.I. says anything about "future years". He has got his B.E. for 1913-14, & beyond that we can't go. I should prefer a draft L.O. in less general & more explicit terms, restricting the sanction to the proposed reappropriation of funds in 1912-13.

24.1.13

File No 324-17.25-1-13

Revist
5-30/Jan

Edw. A. J.

I have added words to the draft giving effect to the Chief Secretary's wishes. The D.P. 1 & Educational are fully alive to the general limitation to which all grants in aid are subject. Insufficiency of funds is expressly cited as a ground for reduction of grants in Art. 35 of the Grant-in-aid Code. But it wd. not be fair to sanction teaching grants on a higher scale in 1912-13 without the intention to continue the same scale in future years subject to continued efficiency and the availability of funds. As Finl. accept the liabilities this year & have incorporated them in the budget for 1912-14 that is all that Educ. desire.

Issue the draft please.

L.D.
28.7.13

C.No.3493, from the Director of Public Instruction, No.10172/12, dated 21-12

ORDER:- No. 63 his.
Misc 28.1.13.

The Government accept the proposal
of the Director of Public Instruction

T.K.
7-1-13.

C.R.
7-1-13.

L.D.
14-1-13.

Sp today
28/1

To
The D.P.I. *File* 28-1-13
.. a.g. *File* 28-1-13
Copy to the Finl Dept
(with copy quote) *File*
Ans 28/1
28/1

to appropriate Rs.
15,000 from the allotment of
Rs. 1,00,000 under 22 G. Special grant for the
purpose of meeting the
excess expenditure appearing
in the revised estimate
under 22 G. for European
schools, teaching grants for
secondary schools for boys
and girls. L.D.
28-1-13

When given for copying
 When copied
 When examined
 When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 191

DAYED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

ORDER WITH DETO.

PAPERS NOT WOUND UP, BRING HEART FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

V.E.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only.