

Educational (1913) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 603

DATED 27.6.13

[Despatch Abstract.]

Law college, Madras.

Deferring for the present the reorganization of the — Sanctioned in G.O. No. 224, Educational dated 15-3-1913, directing that the arrangements as to the teaching staff in force last year be continued and sanctioning the reemployment of the Special lecturer on the 25% for a period not exceeding six months.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

and just that it should not be applied to one like me who in the confident expectation of obtaining the appointment have rendered the services and sacrifices set out above.

I trust that I shall never have reason to regret the decision which enabled me four years ago to enter Government service as a Professor in the Law College: and I feel assured that His Excellency's Government, in whose sense of justice I have every confidence will not inflict such a serious hardship upon me as after having sent for me from a place when I could have done very well by myself- and exacted from me various sacrifices and some years of arduous service, to ask me to go back to begin again at the beginning.

Paul Appasamy

K. Sankar
Madras,
May 6-1913.

AG. JUNIOR PROFESSOR
(sub pro tem)
LAW COLLEGE, MADRAS.

2
20.1764/5

Emmanuel College,
Cambridge, June 20, 1904.

I have very great pleasure in writing a testimonial on behalf of my pupil Mr. P. Appaswamy, B. A.

Mr. Appaswamy is one of the best and most capable students who have been at Emmanuel in recent years. He took a most distinguished place in the Tripos Examinations of the University, obtaining a double first in the Historical Tripos, and a very good second in Part II of the Law Tripos. I think it of still greater importance that Mr. Appaswamy, far from being a mere "Examination man," possesses in a remarkable degree the love of knowledge and has a breadth and solidity of culture rarely met within a man of his years.

Mr. Appaswamy is an excellent speaker and a clear and vigorous writer. No member of the College has ever been more highly esteemed by his teachers and fellow students on the score alike of character and intellectual ability and attainments. He has taken the fullest and most prominent part in the intellectual and social life of the College, and his influence has always been on the side of whatever tends to make a College better.

If anything that I can say will help to place Mr. Appaswamy in a position of high opportunity and responsibility, I shall rejoice not only for his own sake, but for the sake of those who will benefit by his help. I have perfect confidence in him, and believe him to be pre-eminently well qualified on every ground to be a University teacher either in History or in Law.

(Signed) J. ADAM LITT.D.Hon.I.L.D.
of Aberdeen.
Fellow and Senior Tutor of
Emmanuel College, Cambridge.
Gifford-Lecturer at Aberdeen
University.

Weyanoke, Hills Road,
Cambridge.
Conville Caius College,
June 21, 1904.

I have much pleasure in recording the very high opinion I have formed of Mr. P. Appaswamy's abilities during his residence at Cambridge. He read under my supervision for the Historical Tripos and I was one of his Examiners. I find it difficult to express the great admiration I formed both as teacher and examiner - of his powers he has great facility in acquiring and assimilating information and equal facility in applying and expressing it. His style is remarkably easy and fluent and has an unusual polish. He is an enthusiastic student and is able to communicate his own enthusiasm to others. He would be an undoubted acquisition to the teaching staff of any University.

(Signed) G. E. GREEN,
Assistant Lecturer,
at Conville, Caius, Jesus,
Clare, Christs and -
Emmanuel Colleges.

Emmanuel College Lodge, Cambridge.

Mr. P. Appaswamy has been a resident member of this College for four years. He is a scholar on the College Foundation and has had a distinguished career.

He obtained a First Class in both parts of the Historical Tripos, 1902-1903, and a good Second Class in the Law Tripos, 1904.

Mr. Appaswamy is a man of good ability and wide general knowledge. He is also an excellent speaker. He seems to be in thorough sympathy with English thought and English manners,

17
- 3 -
and has had a large Circle of friends in College.

He is a person of the highest character and has won the regard and respect of all with whom he has come in contact here.

(Signed) W. CHAWNER,
Master of Emmanuel College.

June 22, 1904.

4.

Downing College,
Cambridge, June 18th, 1904.

Mr. P. Appaswamy of Emmanuel College was a pupil of mine in 1903-1904 and I have pleasure in testifying to his diligence and the aptitude which he showed in the study of the law. He was quick in grasping legal principles and clear and accurate in applying them. He obtained high honours in Part II of the Law Tripos of 1904 and I feel sure he will be successful whether he devotes himself to teaching or the practice of the law.

(Signed) D. L. HARRIS, M. A.,
Barrister-at-law,
Fellow and Lecturer of Downing
College.

5.

Tinnevely Bridge,
March 28th 1909.

Mr. Paul Appaswamy has been appearing frequently in Civil and Criminal cases in the District and Sessions Court, Tinnevely, during the 2½ years that I have been Judge of the Court. He conducts his cases with much sense and ability. He is an

6
excellent speaker in public. His conduct is worthy of the
great English University where he was educated and gained
Honours.

(Signed) C. G. SPENCER, I. C. S.


3
Cm. 1764/03

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Est. B. 5,000-7-7-13.

Re No. 169/13 Date 28 April 1913.

C. No. of



Date of despatch

DESPATCHED
28 APR 1913

From

Name Dr. S. Swaminadhan
Bar-at-law

Designation By Principal

Station or Camp Law College, Madras

NUMBER OF ENCLOSURES. 1.

Date of receipt. } 29.4.13
Date of registry }

Date of receipt by drafting }
Clerk with initials. }

Date of receipt in Record }
section with initials. }

Date of return from the Re- }
cord section. }

Subject.

Submitting an application
from Mr. T. Rajagopalachari
Assistant Professor, for one
of the Professorships newly
sanctioned for the Law College.

Suggestions to Referees.

Note or Order.

20
6
ENDORSEMENT.


1
R.C. no. 169/13.

Forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction.

On one of his previous applications, I have already stated
the excellent opinion that I always entertained of Mr. T.
Rajagopāla Chariar. Mr. Nelson has an equally high opinion
of his work. He deserves all possible encouragement.

Law College, Madras.

28th April 1913.


Ag. Principal.

1

21

9

From

T. Rajagopalachariar, M.A., B.L.,
Assistant Professor, Law College,
M A D R A S.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department,
O O T A C A M U N D.

Through the Director of Public Instruction, Madras.
Through the Principal, Law College, Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to submit for the consideration of Government my qualifications for one of the Professorships newly sanctioned for the Law College, Madras.

17/11/21

2. I was enrolled as High Court Vakil in March 1896. After I had practised at the Bar for six years, I was appointed to the present post of Assistant Professor in February 1902, and have ever since been lecturing on important subjects like Hindu and Mahomedan Law, Jurisprudence, and Procedure. My work has, I believe, given every satisfaction to the Principals and the higher authorities, and the results in the University Examinations of the College Students in the subjects entrusted to me have always been very satisfactory.

3. I have a good knowledge of Sanskrit and have studied the Hindu Law texts in the original. I have been for over 8 years past lecturing in Hindu Law as the Principal lecturer of the College in that subject. I have further contributed some original articles to the Madras Law Journal and other legal periodicals on subjects connected with Hindu Law (vide

M. L. J. 1909, p. 111) M. L. J. 1910, p. 491) Madras Weekly Notes pp. 73, 102, etc.).

4. I understand that of the two new professors under the re-organised scheme of the College, one is to be a professor of Hindu and Mahomedan Law. I submit that as one who has studied and lectured in that subject for several years past as the principal Lecturer, and as one who has had considerable experience in teaching the subject, and in handling large classes of grown-up students, my claims for the professorship in that subject should be favourably considered. I humbly submit that it will be an injustice to me and the long service that I have put in as lecturer if one who is junior to me in standing and is new to educational work is appointed over me as professor.

I request therefore that Government will be pleased to give favourable consideration to my claims, and promote me to one of the Professorships.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

T. Rajagopalachariar

Madras, 1
28th April 1913. 1

No. 169 of 13.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Edi. R. 10,000-4-1-12.

No. 64. Date 4 Feb. 1913.

C. No. 628 of 1913.

Date of despatch 4 Feb. 1913.

From

Name Dr. S. Swaminidham
Principal, Law College

Designation as Principal, Law College

Station or Camp Madras

NUMBER OF ENCLOSURES

Date of receipt. 5/2/13

Date of registry. 6/3/13

Date of receipt by drafting }
Clerk with initials.

Date of receipt in Record }
section with initials.


Date of return from the Re- }
cord section.

Subject.

Submitting with recommendation
the application of Mr. T. Raju
for the post of Junior Professor
in the College.

Suggestions to Referencers.

Note or Order.



(2)

24
4th February 1913.
12

From

T. Rajagopala Uhariar,
Assistant Professor,
Law College, M a d r a s .

To

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department,
Fort St. George, M a d r a s .

Thro'

The Director of Public Instruction,
M a d r a s .

Thro'

The Ag. Principal,
Law College, M a d r a s .

Sir,

I request permission to submit the following for your kind and favourable consideration.

2. The Junior Professorship in the Law College has now become permanently vacant owing to the confirmation of Mr. C.E. Odgers, M.A., B.C.L., Bar-at-law, as Administrator-General. I beg leave to place before Government my claims and qualifications for being promoted to that post.

3. I was first entertained as Assistant Professor in the Law College under the New College Scheme in 1902. I have been for the last 11 years working in the college practically as a full-timed officer to the satisfaction of the Principal and the higher authorities. I have been sole lecturer in Hindu Law and Civil Procedure during the greater part of this period and I have lectured in several other subjects from time to time. The results of the University and other Examinations in the subjects taught by me have

been such as to win the favourable opinion of the Principal and the Government.

4. When an acting vacancy occurred in the Junior Professorship some time back, it was filled up immediately in the holidays without my having an opportunity to press my claims, by the appointment of Mr. Paul Appasawmi, then Assistant Professor, but Junior to me by several years in age, service in the College, and period of professional practice. On my representation to the permanent Principal of the injustice of superseding me, I was informed that an acting arrangement would not prejudice my claims when the permanent vacancy occurred.

5. Rule 10 of the College Rules sanctioned by Government lays down that the appointment of Junior Professor is open to High Court Vakils, I was enrolled in the High Court in 1896, and have obtained the degree of Master of Arts in Branch I, (Mathematics).

6. In addition to my earnest and assiduous work in the College, I have specially studied the subject of Hindu Law, and possess a good knowledge of Sanskrit. I beg leave to refer in this connection to contributions made by me on Hindu Law Subjects to legal Journals in Madras, (Vide 19 Madras Law Journal, p. III; 20 Madras Law Journal, p. 491; 1 Madras Weekly Notes, pp. 73, 102 etc.)

7. I request therefore that Government will be pleased to recognise my just claims to be appointed Junior Professor in the Law College.

8. In case any modification of the terms of the appointment of the Junior Professor is made under the re-organization scheme which, I learn, is under consideration, I request that I may be promoted to one of the Senior Professorships under that scheme when sanctioned.

Madras
4 February 1913

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
T. Rajagopalachari
Asst. Professor

Forwarded to the Director of Public Instruction for favourable consideration and submission to Government.

2. From my connection with the College, since 1902, I have had ample opportunities of estimating the value of the work done by Mr. Rajagopalachari and I am very glad to be able to say that he is held in very high estimation both by his pupils and his colleagues. It is also apparent from the College records that Mr. Nelson has a high opinion of his work and worth. I should be very glad to see that the important subject of Hindu Law which he has been expounding for several years past with great ability and learning is given its proper place in the curriculum of Legal studies, by the teacher in that subject being raised to the position of a College Professor. This step, if taken, will be to the distinct advantage of the College. If the present vacancy is not to be filled up now permanently, pending the scheme for re-organization, now before the Government, I request Mr. Rajagopalachari's claims to a professorship in the College may be considered in connection with the re-organization scheme when sanctioned by Government.

Law College, Madras,
4 February 1913

Y. Principal.

10/2/13
C. P. S. (10/2/13)

C 1774
4/6/13

27

13. Sannadhi Street,
Mylapore.

1st June 1913.



From

S.Venkatachariar, B.A., M.L.,
Advocate, High Court, Madras.

To

The Members of the Law College Council,
M a d r a s.

Through

Lionel Davidson Esq., I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government.

Sirs,

(I) I hear that two Professorships are to be created in the Madras Law College from July next, and I beg to offer myself as a candidate for one of them.

(II) I took my B.L.Degree in 1900 and have since been practising as a Pleader and an Advocate except during the interval required ^{for a} in passing the M.L. Degree Examination and enrolling myself as an Advocate of the High Court in 1908.

(III) Ever since taking the B.L. Degree, I have devoted my close attention to the study of Hindu and Muhamaden Laws in particular; and I have contributed several articles to all the Law Journals of India, a list of which is herewith annexed and also ^{an} copy of the opinions of the learned Judges as regards them.

(IV) I am also an Examiner in Law to the Madras University.

(V) I have also quite recently published a book called "The Law of Obligations in British India".

I am,
Yours faithfully,

4
C 1774/13

28

15

List of Articles contributed by S. Vencatachariar to the various
Law Journals in India.

HINDU LAW.

- I. Status of Adopted Sons. [1908] X, Bombay Law Reporter, 57.
- II. Testamentary Power under Hindu Law. [1908] X, Bombay Law Reporter, 17.
- III. Hindu Law of Stridhanam. [1910] XII, Bombay Law Reporter, 57.
- IV. Theory of Impartibility in Hindu Law. [1911] XIII, Bombay Law Reporter, 7.
- V. Hindu Law of Stridhasam. [1911] XIII, Bombay Law Reporter, 97.
- VI. Gifts under Hindu Law. [1912] XIV, Bombay Law Reporter, August No.
- VII. Mitakshara Theory of Sapindaship in Hindu Law. [1908] V, Allahabad Law Journal, 104.
- VIII. Dayabhaga Theory of Sapindaship in Hindu Law. [1908] V, Allahabad Law Journal 273.
- IX. Legislative Instinct of Hindu Smriti Writers. [1909] VI, Allahabad Law Journal, 53.
- X. Effect of Illegitimacy on Inheritance in Hindu Law. [1910] VII, Allahabad Law Journal, 99.
- XI. Survivorship in Hindu Law. [1911] VIII, Allahabad Law Journal, 1.
- XII. Hindu Law of Adoption and its Evolution. [1912] 22, M. L. J. last No. for April.

XII. A. Joint Family System and Hindu Law.
MUHAMMADAN LAW. (1913). M. L. J. April 1913. L. 4

- XIII. Muhammadan Law of Inheritance. [1907-8] Calcutta Weekly Notes, No. 5.
Do. do. do. do. do. do. " 6.
Do. do. do. do. do. do. " 7.
- XIV. Influence of Custom in Mussulman Jurisprudence. [1908] V, Allahabad Law Journal, 101.
- XV. Do. do. do. do. [1908] V, Allahabad Law Journal, 117.
- XVI. Divorce in Mussulman Jurisprudence. [1908] V, Allahabad Law Journal, last No. for December.
- XVII. Do. do. do. [1910] VII, Allahabad Law Journal, 1.
- XVIII. Affiliation in Muhammadan Law. [1910] VII, Allahabad Law Journal, 53.
- XIX. Muhammadan Testamentary Law and its Evolution. [1913] XI, Allahabad Law Journal, 1st No. for March.

S. Vencatachariar

(1). Sir Arnold White ^{for} Chief Justice writes:- "Mr. S. Venkatachari is an M.L. and an Advocate of the High Court. He tells me he is an applicant of the post of Special Lecturer in Law College. He seems to have done a good deal of literary work in connection with his profession and I have no doubt he is a man of considerable attainment and capacity".

(2). "I have read some of his articles ^{on} and Muhamadan Law. They are well written and show a considerable knowledge of the subject.

(Sd) Abdur Rahim.J.

(3). "They (the articles) will, I am sure, prove highly instructive" Chaudary J. of the Calcutta High Court.

(4) "The view contended for in this article (Dayabhaga Theory of Sapindaship in Hindu Law. 5. A.L.J. 273) has also received Judicial support. ^{See} Calcutta 721, Ed. A.L.J. (1900) P. 273.

(5. A.L.J. p. 273)

EDUCATIONAL
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

R.F.D.-854

CURRENT No. 1959201

Received



191

Registered

21/6

1913

Subject

Submitting application from M.H.Ky., C. Kunhi Raman Avl.,
Acting Assistant Professor, Law College dated 28th May 1913 for
a permanent appointment in the College under the new scheme.

ENCLOSURES

No.

Spare copies

N' Ref on C No. 29/13

Station Madras, Dated the 20th June 1913.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Sir Alfred Gibbs Bourne, D.Sc.,
F.R.S., K.C.I.E.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
EDUCATIONAL ~~Department~~ Department.

Copy
sent
21/6

31 18
N. R. Cl. No.29/13 dated 20th June 1913.


Submitted to Government in continuation of this office

letter Cl. No.29/13 dated 30th May 1913.

pages 5-7
ante.
V.S.

In Stone
For Director of Public Instruction.

Cannanore
26th May 1913.



From,

M.R.Ry.

C. Kunhi Raman Ayl: B.A., B.L.

Acting Assistant Professor, Law College
and High Court Vakil, Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Educational Department

Ootacamund.

(Through the Vice Principal, Law College, Madras).

Sir,

I respectfully beg to submit the following facts for consideration, when permanent appointments are made in the Madras Law College under the new scheme.

2. I was appointed as an acting Assistant Professor in the College in 1911, when a vacancy occurred on the appointment of the Hon'ble Mr. C. E. Odgers as acting Administrator General of Madras. There were at that time other applicants senior to me in practice at the Bar. But the Government was pleased to select me and also to allow me the full pay of the appointment = Rs. 250/-.

3. Under the new rules recently published for recruiting members of the staff in the College, Assistant Professors have to be legal practitioners of seven years' standing at the Bar. I do not, I beg to submit, come under the new rules framed long after I was employed as an Assistant Professor. Under the rules which were in force at the time of my appointment, I should have been confirmed on the confirmation of the Hon'ble Mr. C. E. Odgers as Administrator General. But I did not move in the matter at that time as I had actually worked in

the

33
the College for about two academic years, and consequently I was under the impression that any new rules subsequently passed would not affect me injuriously.

4. But just before the College closed for the mid-summer holidays, the Acting Principal hurriedly arranged for a meeting of the College Council to make nominations under the new rules. If I had any previous intimation about the meeting, I would have represented my case to the members of the College Council. But I knew of it only after the meeting was over, when I heard that my name had not been sent up, on the ground that I am not a practitioner of seven years' standing at the Bar.

5. Upon this, I interviewed some of the members of the College Council. The Hon'ble Sir Charles A. White, Chief Justice, and the Hon'ble Justice Sir John E. P. Wallis were surprised to hear from me that I have been working in the College for nearly two years. This fact was evidently not brought to their notice at the meeting. The former told me that he had already, as the President of the College Council, spoken to the Director of Public Instruction, that the members of the existing staff ought not to be disturbed by the new rules and that the matter might not be disposed of before Mr. R. A. Nelson, the permanent Principal returned from leave. The latter told me that all that the Council was asked to do was to submit the names of persons who possessed the qualifications under the new rules and that it was not asked to say anything about the members of the existing staff. He thought the Government was not bound by the nominations made and said that if the matter was referred to him again he would consider my case. Mr. C. F. Napier also similarly sympathised with me.

6. If the matter is to be dealt with before Mr. R. A. Nelson returns from leave in July next, I beg that I may not be thrown out of employment after two years' service in the College on

on the ground that I do not possess the qualification based merely on the duration of practice at the Bar fixed in a rule passed long after my appointment in the College.

7. That I have worked hard and conscientiously will appear from the results achieved by the College at the examinations, as well as by a reference to Mr. R. A. Nelson. In the First examination in Law held in April 1912, 59.8 per cent passed from the College as against 53.2 in the previous year. In the B.L. Degree examination of the same year, 57.9 per cent passed as against 45.5 in the previous year. (vide The 55th and the 56th annual reports of the syndicate of the University of Madras). During the full academic year preceding these examinations, I was in the College and in charge of some of the most difficult subjects.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

C. Kunhi Raman

34/2

202225/13

NOTE FILE.

Forwarded to the
Director of Public Instruction
for form of recognition
data.

[illegible]



GOVERNMENT HOUSE.

Madras,
2nd April 1913.

My dear Davidson,

Mr. Paul Appaswami, who, I believe, is acting Vice Principal of the Law College, saw His Excellency yesterday regarding his prospects. He told His Excellency that several changes have been recently introduced in the rules governing the constitution of the College, of which the following are some.

- I { (i) 1. 12 years practice at the Bar is ^{made} a necessary qualification for the junior professorship while 5 years is sufficient qualification for the Principal.
(ii)
(iii)
(iv) 2. Alteration of hours.
3. Teaching appointments to be held only by practising lawyers.

II
III
His Excellency was given to understand that some of these alterations were opposed by the Law College Council who have also written to Government asking to be allowed that the patronage of the appointments in the Law College should be given to them.

Will you please send me as soon as possible for His Excellency's perusal the papers connected with these changes, etc.?

Yours sincerely,

Blair

The Hon'ble

Mr. L. Davidson, C.S.I., I.C.S.,

B. Ag. Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

The papers are submitted.

CHANGES IN THE LAW COLLEGE RULES.

(i) The prescription of qualification for professors and assistant professors ^(under the new scheme has been made 100%) paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 224 Edl., dated 15th March 1913. For the discussions which led to this decision please see pages ^(5, 6, 11 & 14) of notes with G.O., No. 1099 Edl., dated 10th December 1912. The main object in laying down the standing at the Bar of these persons is to secure the services of men of distinction in the profession. The rules hitherto in force prescribed that the junior professor and the assistant professors should ordinarily be graduates of a University and ~~also the~~ ^{also the} Barristers-at-Law or not less than three years' standing or Vakils of the High Court of Madras of not less than five years' standing. This portion of the rules did not undergo any -- alteration since it was first framed in 1889. The rules will ^{now} be altered with reference to the recent decision. The Director of Public Instruction will in the ordinary course submit proposals in this regard.

(ii) As regards the Principal, the qualifications prescribed in the rules are that he should be a graduate of a University and a -- Barrister-at-Law or not less than five years' standing. Please see rule 10 on page 3 of G.O., No. 677 Edl., dated 26th September 1911. The Principal's status and pay have not been altered under recent orders. It may probably be necessary to alter the qualification prescribed for him with reference to the changes introduced

Rule 10 on page 3 of
G.O. No 677 Edl.
26-9-11

Please see rule 11 on
page 11 of G.O. 714 Edl.
13-11-19
(in the file below)

10 579 2
31-7-11

37
3-
in the case of other members of the staff. This question will naturally come up for consideration when the proposals for the revision of the rules are received.

(iii) Alteration of hours. The change in the hours of lectures of the professors and assistant professors was made so that the lecture work might not interfere with their court work. Please see para 2 on page 2 of G.O., No. 519 Edl., dated 31st July 1911, and pages 7 to 9 of the notes therewith.

(iv) Practising lawyers as lecturers. This alteration was suggested by the Government of India in paragraph 2 of their letter No. 293 dated 27th February 1911, printed at page 2 of G.O., No. 519 Edl., dated 31st July 1911. Almost all the changes that have been introduced are consequential on the adoption of this suggestion.

3. The College Council and the alterations.
(12) In G.O., No. 519 Edl., dated 31st July 1911 the Government, while calling for a special report upon the system of management of the Law College and the recruitment of its staff, requested the Director to consult the Principal and the College Council. In its resolution dated 5th February 1912 printed at page 3 of G.O., No. 1099 Edl., dated 10th December 1912, the Council submitted its recommendation with reference to the staff and suggested that the existing hours of work, namely, 10 A.M. to 4 P.M. should be retained; no reasons were assigned in support of this recommendation. The Council proposed that the professors and the assistant professors might be permitted to practise in the courts; but it was desired that such practice should be subsidiary to

24/1
[Returned 23/4/13 - vide vol 30. on p. 11]

circled
in conf Box not
2-4-13

Again G.O. No _____, dated _____ 191 ____
Current No. 1732 - from the C. Kumbharam, No. _____, dated 26-5-1913.
Current No. 1764 from the D. P. I., No. 29, dated 2-6-1913.
" 1774 " S. Venkataswamy, No. 1-6-13
" 1759 " D. P. I., No. 29 - 20-6-13
SUBJECT.

Appoint of professors & assistant
professors - Law College.

(in Conf. Box No 1)

(Two summary
would be) slip ⑤

Submitted that several of the papers
required for the disposal ^(of this case) chiefly those re-
lating to the appoint of Messrs Paul
Appaswami, Mathavan Nair, Kumbharam
D. P. I. & Egnanarayana Adiga were sub-
mitted to the P. S. G. on 3rd April 1913 with
a note required for H.E. the Governor
regarding Mr. Appaswami's claims
for being appointed as one of the
professors and incidentally on the
question whether the new qualifications
should affect the existing incumbents.
This file has not been returned. A
requisition was sent to the P. S. G.'s office
and on the 16th with a telephonic message
was received from that office ^(to the effect) that
the papers were with H.E. and that
they would be returned as soon as
they were disposed of. ~~These~~ Apparently
this has not yet been done. In the
meanwhile the file is sub^d with abstracts of the for-
Orders are to be passed on the D. P. I.'s letter on pp 5 to 7 of it.

fo 224 ④
15/3/13

④ They are allowed
to practice
private practice

fo 50 20 ②
20.1.07

fo 193 ②
20.6.11

required under new qualifications. Even apart from this it has to be considered how far these two gentlemen's practice at the bar can be called distinguished and whether they have sufficient practice to keep them in "daily touch" with the profession. No information is available on this point.

3 The Assist professors are not permanent incumbents in their posts. Their appointment is terminable at a term's notice on either side. Messrs Apparao & Rajagopalachari are the Assist professors under this tenure; the former is now acting as ^{sub professor} junior professor, Mr. Kumbhiraman taking his place as ~~professor~~ Assist professor. Though Mr. Odgers, the permanent junior professor under the old scheme had been confirmed as Administrator General, no proposals for making permanent arrangements for the junior professorship had been considered apparently in view of the impending reorganization.

4 Of the persons proposed to the College Council, there can be no question about Dr. Swaminathan. He is barrister of over 12 years standing.

(admitted on)
18.9.00

(page 27 to 29 of
the College Calendar)

(enrolled
15-7-98)

(admitted
7-1-04)

(enrolled 17-4-96)

for 216 En. (2)
5/10/12

He has acted as junior professor and principal & is now also acting in the latter capacity.

(ii) Mr. C. V. Anantakrishna Aijar is a vakil nearly fifteen years standing. It is understood he has a large practice.

(iii) Mr. C. Madhavan Nair is qualified by his standing. He has already acted as ~~private teacher~~ ^{senior professor} ^[seven years' standing required] junior professor for 2020, 21, 22 & 23.

(iv) Mr. Paul Appaswami is one of the permanent assistant professors and is also qualified by his standing under the new rules.

(v) So also Mr. Rajagopalachariyer.

(vi) Mr. R. Ramesam is a vakil of seventeen years standing.

(vii) Mr. K. K. G. Gnananarayana Aijar was enrolled as a vakil on 20th July 1906. He will be qualified for seven years standing by 20th July next. He ~~was~~ was appointed as special lecturer, in October last; the post was created to meet the needs of the college pending the reorganization. ~~When he was appointed~~ When submitting his nomination the Director stated that the Ag. Principal

(Dr. Vanniaswamy) considered him as one of the best men among the juniors ^(especially in regard to standing). The fact, however, stated that the appointment would not give him any claim for assistant professorship ~~when~~ under the reorganization. It is for consideration whether this ^{proposal} should be approved now.

(vii) Mr. B. Sitarama Rao is a vakil of nearly nine years standing.

5. Of the alternative nomination proposed by the Director ~~by~~ for professorship & assistant professorship, the case of Mr. Appaswami has been dealt with above. Mr. Kumbharman, ~~who~~ is proposed for assistant professorship is now acting in that capacity. The circumstances under which he was appointed are not at present known. ~~His~~ Information as to his standing at the bar is not also available. From the University Calendar, it however appears that he passed the B.A. exam in 1909; allowing for a year's apprentice course, he should have been enrolled in 1910 and thus will have only a standing of three years as against seven required by the rules. If the ^{claim} ~~case~~ of

(written from
memory)

(Submitted
15-7-54)

③
To 193 1/2 (added)
20.6.51

(fo. has not being
available)

(The name of the
Alumnae Club
has not been
the list)

Messrs Paul Appaiwanui for professors
 ship & Kumbisaman for Assistant professor.
 ship under the new rules are not ^(Consistent) they
 the D.I. I has no objection to the ~~proposal~~
 of proposal of the College Council.

23/6/15

[Papers about Mr
 Thakkar has
 been sent in the
 duplicate in brackets]

(since 23/6/15)



11-A
GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
OOTACAMUND.

23.6.13.

My dear Francis

When I see your paper as regards -
From the ~~Board~~ last sentence of the first
paragraph of the note as it does not appear
that the proposed alterations have yet been
passed into Rule.

In conversation His Excellency said he
was doubtful about the proposed alterations.
One reason was that the Permanent Principal
had not apparently been consulted about
them - or at least ^{no expression of} ~~his~~ ^{his} views was before the Government.

Another was that bachelors or Vakils
in good practice would not be able to
give sufficient time and attention to
their College work & their students.
They would tend to cut their lectures
short in order to hurry off to their

3000 TALKING
QUANTITY



11-B.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
OOTACAMUND.

Count ~~counts~~ - or would come to
the College tired with a heavy day's
work. Further a valid of 12
years standing who was not in good
practice would be of doubtful use
as a Professor of Law. I gathered
that His Excellency rather favoured
the employment of full time men
who would ^{be willing to} make their career in teaching.
He witnessed Sir William Carson & Sir
Friedrick Pollock.

I do not think His Excellency would like
any decisive step to be taken in the
matter of appointments - until he is
able to deal with the papers himself.
I suggest that a temporary arrangement might
be made on the lines of those of last term.

I think I am right in saying that His
Excellency is opposed in any case to giving the
rules retrospective effect.

Yours sincerely
R. S. S. S.

From the P. S. G's do overleaf it will be seen that the question of the reorganization of the College establishment is to be further considered and that there is therefore no necessity to consider the question of appointments to the new posts. The former point will apparently be brought up for consideration in connection with the revision of the College rules (chiefly in consequence of the reorganization) which the file relating to which is now under circulation to the three H. Hs in advance in accordance with H.E.'s orders.

2. Though the ~~sanction~~ Govt of India have sanctioned the reorganization, the sanction does not lapse for a year, and there seems therefore to be no objection to keeping it in abeyance.

3. Submitted that the S-P. I may be informed that it will be some time before effect can be given to the reorganization & that in the meanwhile the arrangements which were in force last term should be continued. The permanent staff before reorganization consisted of 1 Principal, 1 junior professor & two assistant professors. Towards

Page 1 of the original
Jf 224
15.2.13 (H)

Cf. Art. 293 of the
Civil Disputes
Code.

Gr. 81880
7.9.12 (2)

Please see the D.P.D.
remarks, in col. 10 of
recom. roll in pp.
316 D.R.
5.18.12 (K)

* In case of temporary
appointments, it is necessary to
specify the period.

② The College class for exam
on 22nd December -
for 126. Ed (S)
12.2.12

1309. K. Nagannarayana
adiga - for 126. R
5.10.12
(K)

p. 70 et

Eol no 1959-1
24/6/12

app'd
18/24/6

Kindly file in "Ment". The
College informed on 12/7 &
advice should come in soon
as they can be got out.
16/24/6

the close of last year, a special lecture
on 16.250 p.m for a period not exceeding
seven months was sanctioned. This

sanction should have lapsed with
April last. ~~But~~ The special lecturer
may be sanctioned for the present for
a ^{further} period not exceeding ⁶ six months.

This will carry him up to the Christmas
vacation. If it is deemed necessary to
introduce the reorganization in the
meanwhile, the ^{the} appointm^t may be abolished.

4. It is also submitted that the ^{the} officer
who acted as ~~Assistant~~ Special Lecturer
on the last occasion may also be
appointed for the coming term also. It
may be noted that the D.P.D. does
not object to his appointment as one of
the Assistent professors.


5. There is no specific provision
for the retention of the Special
Lecturer. The cost may be met
from the Savings in "Salaries" under
other appointments of the College. This may
be indicated in the order.

6. A draft order is submitted for
approval. If approved it may
be sent to Financial for acceptance.

CR
25.8.12

File no. for acceptance

16/24/6



Regd 25.6.13

Financial Department

The proposals are:

- (1) to defer giving effect to the re-organisation of the Law College Sanctioned in G.O. 224 Sd/15.3.13;
- and (2) to re-employ for a period of six months from the date of the next re-opening of the Law College (viz. 12th July 1913) the temporary lecturer on Rs 250 pm. sanctioned originally for 7 months in G.O. 818 Sd/7.9.12.

The proposals may, it is submitted, be accepted in Financial.

CDN
25.6.13

C.N.
26.6.13

CL

Yrs. - I understand that this maintains the status quo all round

26.6.13



Cf. D.O. from P.S.G.
page 11 anti. and
para: 1 of draft.
(Fashion marks on page 11-Bank)

② Net extra cost is about
Rs 16,800 per annum
for 7 months viz. 1099-1000
10-12-13

Para: 1 of draft.

Page 3 of 40 $\frac{12657}{12.2.13}$

(For provision of funds,
please see para: 2 of draft.)

File no 4189-1
25.6.13

(Signature)

A.M. (Hon. Mr. Srinivasa aiyar)

It will be seen from the Private Secretary's D.O. on p. 45 above that H.C. the former is doubtful of the expediency of the rules regarding the qualifications of professors & asst. professors & wishes the point reconsidered. This is raised in the connected queries file regarding the Law College rules which H.C. directed to be circulated first before being sent to him, & on which H.M. lately minutes. As H.C. is now indisposed & the Law College House almost at once (12th July) his draft proposes to continue the present system for a while, so that the new rules & the matter of qualifications of professors may be further considered before amendments are made.

MF 27/6

M

27-6-13

Done MF 27/6

[G.O. No. 603 Sd & 27-6-13]

Ans in
Capt. B. 3
27-6-13



READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____

, dated _____

191

" " " "

" " " "

191

C. No. 1704. From the

J.P. I

, No. 29

, dated

30.5.1913

" " 1907. " " "

J.P. I

, No. 20.6

1913

" " " " "

" " " "

, No. 20.6

1913

ORDER.

Mrs

No. 6032, dated

27.6.1913

The D.P. I will be informed that ^{for the present} it will not be possible to give effect to the reorganization of the Law College, sanctioned in G.O. No. 224. Ed. 2/15-3-13. ^{It will be delayed some months} before the first term, or immediately after the opening of the College ~~reopens~~ after the summer vacation. Pending further orders, the arrangements ^{at} as to the teaching staff ^{which} ~~was~~ ^{have} in force last year will be continued. Sanction will also be accorded to the

⊕ 12-7-13
(page 3 of 12690 - 5)
12-2-13

Page 133 of B.E. 1913-14
(2nd ed. revised)

Handwritten notes:

- * IE*
- Volu deep*
- 6-10 ft deep*
- 27 bts*
- deep 11*
- 27-613*
- Redd's*
- A.L.*
- Cty photo mail sept.*
- Jagthe (Hoggs)*

reemployment of the special
lecturer on Rs 250, sanctioned
in G.O. No. 878. Ed, 27-7-12,
for a period not exceeding
six months from the date
of ^{the} reopening of the College.

2. The extra charges being
03/3-64 on account of the
sanction now accorded
will be met from the
total provision under
salaries for the staff of
the Law College under the
head "22-D - Education -

Foot. Colleges - Professional
3. In R.Ry. K. Egnanaray
Adya will be appointed
as the temporary special
lecturer, and the following
notificⁿ will be published
in the Fort. St. George Gazette:
Notification

Mr. Kakkinje Ganga-
narayana Adja Avajal
to be a temporary special
lecturer in the Law College
Madras.

Ca
10.603

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAYERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy Heading only.