

1,04-10-0-10.

Educational (1913) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 554

DATED 12.6 1913.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition Declining to comply with the request of S. Vankata Krishna Rao to Vyjanagram to accord recognition to the Hindu Theological high school at the place.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT. *v*



Registered *122-18*

Subject. *1250 Ed*

Recognition of the Ripon Hindu Theological High School
by the Government.

ENCLOSURES.
No. <i>One bundle</i>
Spare copies

No. *1422-P*

Station, *Madras Governor's Camp*, Dated the *11th April* 1913

From / Name/ *C.B. Cotterell Esq., I.C.S.,*

(Designation) *Private Secretary to H.E. the Governor*

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department,
Sir,

I am desired to transfer to you for disposal
by the Government the enclosed memorial, dated 8th April
1913 from Mr. S. Venkata Krishnarow, Vizianagram.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Alim
Private Secretary

To

Edw
His Excellency The Right Hon'ble

John Baron Pentland of Lyth, P. C, G. C. I. E.

Governor of Fort St. George.

11403
MADRAS.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

The humble representation of Sharafkhana Venkata Krishnarow, Founder and Proprietor of the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagaram respectfully sheweth:—

1. That in pursuance of a resolution passed at a large and representative meeting held under the auspices of the Vidyabhivardhani Society which the petitioner organised in 1883, the petitioner started a school in 1884 to commemorate the name of Lord Ripon, the then Viceroy, who was leaving the shores of India at the close of his most memorable administration during which he conferred numerous blessings on His Majesty's indian subjects.
2. That the school so started was only a Third Grade Middle School at first, which was gradually raised to a First Grade one in 1886 and was sending up students for the then Middle School Examination privately, as it was only an unrecognised institution at that time. It was producing creditable results, as a First Grade Middle School, as every year some of the students sent up from the institution passed in first class and some times one of its students had the distinction of having stood first in the Northern Circars.
3. That owing to the good results produced in this school and the satisfactory work turned out on the whole, year after year, the Principal of the Maharaja's College, for obvious reasons threw obstacles in the way of admitting its students into the Fourth Form of the College to which they naturally sought admission after completing their studies here; and the petitioner was therefore obliged to raise the standard of this institution to that of a High School in 1890, so as to be able to educate students up to the Matriculation standard and send up candidates for the Matriculation directly from it. It produced very good results and turned out very satisfactory work even as a High School.
4. That the new rules of recognition framed by the Government of Madras came into force in the year 1892 which entailed enormous expenditure, on furniture, apparatus, and library and that also technically qualified teachers had to be employed on much higher salaries.
5. That the petitioner was, however, rendered able to satisfy the conditions specified in the new rules of recognition, with the kind co-operation of a few wealthy gentlemen of the town whom he formed into a committee to assist him in the future management of the institution and that he had in November 1892 applied to the then

Inspector of Schools Mr. C. Nagojirow to recommend the school for recognition before the end of the year.

6. That the Inspector was at first favourably disposed towards the institution and even promised, after an inspection of the school, to do his best to get it recognised; but that he had changed his mind subsequently, probably under the unhealthy and unfavourable influence that the College would suffer in prestige and strength, if this school, which had already enlisted the sympathy of the towns-people by its good work, should also be recognised.

7. That the Inspector under this influence made a very unfavourable report to the Director as shown below, instead of recommending the school for recognition, as he originally promised.

"This is an unaided school worked and managed by an inefficient staff of teachers and unpassed men who have no other calling to follow. It contains a large number of unfit boys in each class, and their instruction is ill-attended to. It has been doing more harm than good to the cause of education in the town. I lately inspected the school and my remarks are based on my close inspection into it." He informed the Director that there was no necessity for a second school in the town as there was the Maharaja's College affording ample facilities for collegiate and secondary education in the town.

8. That the petitioner had therefore to go to Madras in December 1892 at considerable expense and personal inconvenience to represent the real state of affairs personally to the then Director of Public Instruction, Dr. Duncan and prove to his satisfaction, by means of facts and figures that, if the Inspector did not report favourably, it was because he was unreasonably prejudiced against the institution. The Director was convinced of the real state of affairs and was consequently kind enough to recognise the school in his Proceedings No. 2454 dated 8th March 1893 in spite of the Inspector's unfavourable report.

9. That the very Inspector who first unfavourably reported to the Director inspected the school in November 1893 for the first time after recognition and made the following remarks in his inspection report.

"I was on the whole satisfied with the way in which the work of the school was done. In efficiency, it is at least as good as most other schools in my circle. This is very creditable to the teachers and the manager. It is noteworthy that Drawing was very successfully taught to the Fourth and Fifth Forms."

10. That successive Inspectors until three years after Mr. Yates had taken charge of the Circle, have been pleased with the working of the school as shown by the remarks quoted below for Your Excellency's kind perusal.

(1). Mr. L. C. Williams Pillai says:—(1902.)

"That the school is maintained up to this degree of efficiency notwithstanding the existence of a powerful rival in the Maharaja's College is creditable to the manager who is doing really a useful work among the poorer section of the population."

(2)—1903. "The results of the institution were better than in the previous

"years and compare very favourably with those of the Maharaja's College. Promotions were more strictly made, and on the whole the manager has striven to meet the wishes of the Department."

(3)—1904. "Except in accommodation and equipment, the school compares very favourably with the High School Department of the Maharaja's College, Vizianagaram."

(4). Mr. A. C. Pranathartha Hara Iyer says:—1905.

"Considering the difficulties financial and other, with which the manager single handed has had to work his efforts, it may be said to have been, so far successful."

(5). Mr. J. A. Yates says:—1906.

"The tone and discipline of the school seemed to me to be excellent and the relations of the staff good. There is plenty of energy and life in the staff. The teachers are nearly all young and energetic. The manager's hopes of improving the accommodation all round will, it is hoped, be realised at an early date."

(6)—1907. "The manager reports that he has had great difficulty in getting teachers and has on several occasions applied to me to assist him. But I have not been able to do so. Since inspection the Head Master has resigned, but in his place a first class trained Collegiate Grade Graduate has been appointed and also a fully qualified and experienced teacher as 1st Assistant. It is hoped that the staff will satisfy the requirements soon. The manager is endeavouring to get some of his staff trained."

(7)—1908. "I am glad to report that in all directions there has been improvement in the school in the matter of staff, accommodation, equipment and free scholars; the manager has acquired for rent a large garden with buildings opposite the school and has housed the library and office there; also the 3rd and 4th classes. He hopes to have a room there for Drawing also. The improvement effected in the sheds, at the back of the main building, which are now separated by masonry walls and provided with daises for the masters is also to be noted. There is now provision for games, Badminton and Tennis being played; also for school gardening which could be taught along with Elementary Botany. The raising of the wall between the school and the next building has effectually prevented the ingress of insanitary odours."

"The provision under the head of furniture &c. except in the matter of books for the teachers remains much the same up to date. But with the supply of Apparatus for Science teaching—out of the Government Special Grant and Rs. 500 for science or the appliances supplied by the manager, the school will be very fairly equipped. Provision has been made for equipping two sections with modern furniture, also for additions to the school library. Altogether there is great improvement made and to be made here. It goes without saying that this year's supply is only an instalment of what is necessary."

(8)—1909. "The building is not suited to a school. The manager states

4
"however, that all his efforts to get a better building and site are unavailing.
"The reduction in strength has relieved the pressure in space. In this year with
"the aid of the Government grant a considerable sum has been spent upon
"furniture and apparatus."

(9). Mr. Couldry says:—1910.

"Some of the teaching in the school is being well done at present, but
"financial condition of the place is infirm and unsatisfactory. It remains to
"be seen whether the committee lately formed (and whose names have been
"forwarded I understand to the Director's Office) will succeed in putting things
"on a firm basis. There were many traces of recent disorder—in the registers
"syllabuses, staff. These were no doubt due to the crisis through which the school
"has lately passed."

(10). Mr. Mahamad Auzam says:—1911.

"So far as the teaching was concerned the school appeared to be in a
"tolerably satisfactory condition. But the accommodation and the equipment
"as regards the teaching of science is very unsatisfactory. The school is
"attended largely by the boys belonging to the poorer classes who cannot pay
"full fees and to whom concessions are allowed here in the matter of school fees.
"The teaching of English in the forms appeared to be on the whole on the
"right lines since the boys' command of English was fair and in fact better than one
"could expect to find in a school so unsatisfactorily managed."

11. That the ill-wishers of the institution and the enemies of the petitioner,
who have been all along awaiting an opportunity to pull down the institution,
succeeded in their attempt by prejudicing Mr. Yates against an institution
towards which he was till then very favourably disposed. Further the petitioner
applied for a furniture grant in May 1908 and when it was sanctioned Mr. Yates
suggested that the order for the desks should be given to the Committee of
the Technical Section of the Mrs. A. V. N. College of Vizagapatam of which he
was also a member. But seeing that their charge was too high for each desk
and that one of their pattern could be got at Vizianagaram for Rs. 10 only
against Rs. 15, which they were charging for each, the petitioner proposed to
get them made locally so that more desks may be made for the same cost. On
this point the petitioner had some difference with Mr. Yates and the petitioner's
opponents took advantage of this opportunity and misrepresented matters to the
Inspector stating that the petitioner disregarded his instructions. Thus they
succeeded in widening the difference stated above in consequence of which
Mr. Yates began to bear a dislike for the petitioner and his institution ever
afterwards, although the desks were ordered from the Mrs. A. V. N. College
to avoid the displeasure of the Inspector. (Some of the correspondence is appended
for Your Excellency's kind perusal. Appendix No. I.)

12. That still the Inspector waited for an opportunity to take
revenge upon the petitioner and so after the next inspection i.e. in August
1909, sent a very unfavourable report on the 6th September contrary
to that of previous years disapproving the very building, furniture, apparatus
and other things of which he himself made a very favourable report during the

5
previous year. The petitioner thereupon received Proceedings No. 6064 of 1909
dated 23-9-1909 (Appendix No. II) from the Director of Public Instruction
ordering him to satisfy the conditions specified therein, before the 1st of January
1910.

13. That the petitioner reported to the Inspector of Schools early in
January 1910, that all other conditions except that of the building were satisfied
and also that a committee was formed as required. He applied for an
extension of time for providing the school with a suitable building, required
by the proceedings, as it was not practicable to erect a new building within so
short a time; he further submitted plans for the proposed new building for the In-
spector's approval, and if they had been approved the petitioner was ready to take it
for rent immediately and locate the school in it temporarily. But the Inspector never-
theless recommended the withdrawal of the recognition of the school on the 27th of
January while at the same time in reply to the petitioner's application for extension
of time, he by his letter No. 233V of 10 dated 12-2-10 kept the petitioner in false
hopes, that he would be given time to satisfy all the conditions required in
Proceedings No. 6064 of 09 dated 23-9-09 (a copy of the letter is appended for
Your Excellency's kind perusal). Appendix No. III.

14. That while the petitioner was expecting a favourable order from the
Director of Public Instruction, an order withdrawing the recognition of the
school was received on the 25th of February 10, (Appendix No. IV) at which the
petitioner felt so greatly disappointed that he had to run to Madras to represent
the real state of affairs personally to Dr. Bourne who was then the Director of
Public Instruction. Dr. Bourne looked into all the papers and having found the injus-
tice done to the institution at once suspended the order withdrawing the recognition
of the school (Appendix No. V). He sent the petition and the other papers
connected with it to the Inspector, called for such remarks as he might offer and
after the receipt of his explanation, finally sent Proceedings No. 3406 of 1910
dated 27-5-10 urging therein that the affairs of the school should be entrusted to a
Registered Association (Appendix No. VI.)

15. That in pursuance of the proceedings quoted above, the petitioner
spared no pains to form a committee immediately in the town and get it registered,
though no date was fixed in the proceedings before which such a committee was to
be formed and registered. The formation of the committee was however a task of
2 years. Of all the struggles between rival institutions in the Presidency, Your
Excellency would not have heard of one more severe than that between the local
Maharajah's College and this school from its origin, the very recognition of which
was stubbornly contested. The leading members of the original committee of the
school having been officials in the Samasthanam, they could not continue as members
of the managing body of an institution rival to the Maharajah's College and so
they were obliged to sever their connection with the school. The creation of
another committee had many obstacles. A member of the committee of this
school, must, even if he be wealthy, have the moral courage to incur the
displeasure of the Samasthanam and of the Principal of the local college. No
sooner could the petitioner persuade a few wealthy gentlemen to come forward
to form a managing committee with all the secrecy and strategy that he could

command, than it was disturbed in no time by rival forces. In this struggle between the two institutions, some of the best teachers were drawn away into the College as a consequence of which there was a large egress of boys out of this institution, thus upsetting its finances.

16. That having been disgusted in his attempt to form a local committee the petitioner resolved to seek the financial salvation of the school in a place to which the impulse of this rivalry had not spread and applied to the Rajah of Tunj for a donation of ten thousand rupees for the purchase of the present building and for the formation of a funded capital. On his promise to help the institution the petitioner had to make a stay at Tunj during which period he had to leave the entire financial management to one Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer, the Head Master of the school who mismanaged it in several ways (Appendix No. VII). His policy was to prevent the organisation of a committee which would necessarily take the financial control away from his hands. He went to gentlemen who were likely to form into a committee and by various misrepresentations dissuaded them from having anything to do with the institution. He also created disunion among the teachers. The petitioner had therefore to warn him that his procedure was highly detrimental to the interests of the institution. He then felt his position insecure and began to take his pay in advance and did not pay the other teachers, thus allowing their dues to fall into arrears. The school was consequently disorganised. The petitioner had to give him a notice to resign his post and honourably retire. At this juncture Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer rushed to Mr. Mahamad Auzam, the then Inspector of Schools, an old classmate of his, ensured his sympathies and by means of astonishingly skilful misrepresentations, induced him to believe that the petitioner was meditating his dismissal unjustly. The Inspector sent to the petitioner his letter No. 2597 M of 11 dated 21st December (Appendix No. VIII) to keep Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer in office till July 1912. Neither the explanation to the Inspector through a D. O. dated 24-12-12 (Appendix No. IX) nor an official communication No. 8 dated 24-1-12 (Appendix No. X) submitted by the petitioner did, as subsequent events show, appear to convince him of the gravity of Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer's conduct who openly threatened in the presence of a number of teachers that he would work out the ruin of the institution if the petitioner should compel him to resign or if he should dismiss him at any time.

17. That though the petitioner wished as much as he could to avoid this delicate situation, the interests of the school unavoidably demanded the immediate removal of Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer. The dismissal was viewed by Mr. Mahamad Auzam as a defiance of his order which heightened his prejudice against the petitioner. To add to this Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer secured a post at the Head-quarters of the Inspector and began to carry tales to him so as to strengthen the prejudice which had already taken its root in his mind. Not being content with this, Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer caught hold of two more Assistants of the school who were also dismissed for having worked against the interests of the school along with him and made them write petitions making false allegations against the petitioner both to the Inspector of Schools and the Director of Public Instruction. Not being satisfied with this even, the dismissed Head Master induced one Mr. Krishnaswami Naidu to approach Mr. Mahamad Auzam with a request for permission to open a school in the place of this long standing and popular institution, opened

in the name of one of the ablest Viceroy's of India. The petitioner was thereupon advised by the Inspector to hand over his institution to Mr. Krishnaswami Naidu and withdraw; but the petitioner did not accept the proposal, as he was hopeful of the co-operation of the townspeople who were willing to help the institution.

18. That the above circumstances gave sufficient cause to the Inspector to grant to Mr. Krishnaswami Naidu an order R. C. No. 734 dated 29-5-12 wherein he referred to the recommendation made to the Director regarding the withdrawal of recognition of this school, though out of place. As he had already made up his mind to wipe out the existence of a school whose manager he thought was insubordinate, Mr. Mahamad Auzam recommended the withdrawal of the recognition of the institution to the Director in his office R. C. No. 63 D of 12 dated 27-5-1912 embodying therein ideas which he never entertained at the time of his inspection on the 28th of August 1912. The petitioner had every reason to believe that, in the opinion of the Inspector after his inspection, there were no defects worth mentioning as was evident from the fact that no notice was sent to the petitioner during the long interval (for he submitted his report on 24-6-12) of any defects except that of the Registration of the committee (Appendix No. B. & C.). Had he found that the school was in such a bad state as he reported it to be on 27-5-12, he would certainly have recommended the withdrawal of recognition at once. It is evident that after Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer succeeded in his attempt to prejudice the Inspector, the latter subsequently changed his opinion and at a moment when he lost self control introduced into the report what was represented by Mr. Kumaraswami Iyer.

19. That the first information which the petitioner received that the school was in such a danger, was by means of the many notices circulated by Mr. Krishnaswami Naidu quoting therein the official number and date of the proceedings of the Inspector of Schools (Appendix No. XI). This created a great sensation in the town and the gentlemen whom the petitioner formed into a committee, were afraid that they would be put to shame if the recognition of the school was to be withdrawn immediately after their taking up the management and so declined in a body to allow the Registration of the Committee; but after much persuasion the petitioner managed to get the committee registered on the 10th of June 1912 and reported the fact to the Director of Public Instruction by means of a telegram first and an official communication No. 33 dated 11th January next, requesting him not to pass final orders on the recommendation of the Inspector of Schools (Appendix No. XII). Thereupon the Director of Public Instruction was kind enough to send proceedings to the Inspector to make a local enquiry and report on the following points regarding the school:—

- (a). Name and designation of each member of the committee reported to have been formed.
- (b). Amount of money contributed, where and how invested and an attested copy of the Articles of Association should also be submitted.
- (c). The constitution of the staff, such as the qualifications and pay, verified from certificates and Acquittance Roll and the number of sections of each class.

- (d). Condition and maintenance of Registers &c.
- (e). Accommodation.
- (f). Equipment, such as grants, general or special.

20. The Inspector made a local enquiry on the 6th of July and finding everything to be satisfactory, except building, contrary to what he had reported to the Director, delayed sending up a report which would be inconsistent to what he had reported previously when recommending the withdrawal of recognition, till the 5th of August 1912, when Mr. Grieve the Deputy Director of Public Instruction made a halt here on his way back from Berhampore, whither he had gone to investigate into the case of Mr. Bhagavanulu Pantulu, the Assistant Inspector of Schools, Ganjam District. Mr. Grieve came to the School on the 6th of August and Mr. Mahamad Auzam who was also touring with him took this opportunity to misrepresent facts to Mr. Grieve and pointed out to him certain temporary defects that were due to the wilful mismanagement of the late Head Master, Mr. Kumaraswamy Iyer, interpreting them as faults due to the mismanagement of the petitioner and strongly prejudiced the Deputy Director. Since Mr. Mahamad Auzam heard through Mr. Kumaraswamy Iyer that the petitioner had executed pro-notes to certain teachers notwithstanding the fact that he had to do the same on account of the management of Mr. Kumaraswamy Iyer through whose sole neglect their pay fell into arrears, he urged the Deputy Director to take a statement of arrears to teachers (of Mr. Kumaraswamy Iyer's time), though they never complained to him being partially sure that they would be paid immediately after the settlement of the accounts between the petitioner and the late Head Master, and interpreted the same as due to the petitioner having spent the school money for private use and kept their pay in arrears after having got the Acquittance Roll signed by giving them pro-notes. The Inspector did not allow the teachers at the same time to add a remark that their pay fell in arrears only in Mr. Kumaraswamy Iyer's time and that some portion of the arrears was also cleared soon after the financial management was taken back by the petitioner himself. This was done evidently to throw the blame of the Head Master's faults on the petitioner. The petitioner begs to submit to Your Excellency that a perusal of the statement of receipts and charges taken for the last 5 years and which is hereto enclosed as Appendix No. A, will clearly show whether the school was working at a profit or a loss to the petitioner.

21. That the petitioner was unable to convince Mr. Grieve by personally representing the facts in his bungalow, as the petitioner was not given a patient hearing since Mr. Grieve was strongly prejudiced against the petitioner owing to the misrepresentations of Mr. Mahamad Auzam which were still fresh in his mind. The petitioner however submitted a detailed explanation letter No. 40 dated 11-8-12 in writing (Appendix No. XIII) answering all the charges brought against him at the time of the investigation of Mr. Grieve, but it proved to be of no use as Mr. Grieve passed orders No. 5441 of 12 dated 8-8-12 (Appendix XIV) withdrawing the recognition of the school on the very day on which he reached Madras. This sad news upset the whole town in consequence of which meetings were held, telegrams were sent, resolutions were passed and petitions signed by the townsmen were submitted to

the Director praying for a reconsideration of the case showing the difficulties which the townsmen would encounter, if the Ripon High School should cease to exist. But one and all of the petitions etc. were disposed of by Mr. Grieve most unfavourably to the school (Appendix Nos. XV and XVI).

22. That the petitioner had to go to Madras to represent the case in person to the Hon'ble Mr. Stone, the Officiating Director of Public Instruction and submit an Appeal No. 41 dated 31-8-12 (Appendix No. XVII) to him who was kind enough to give a patient hearing and seemed favourably disposed towards the school, but Mr. Grieve was dead against it and in consequence of this the appeal was lodged. Meanwhile, as with many other attempts, the teachers also submitted a petition in a body requesting that they might be allowed to conduct the school till the appeal of the petitioner might be disposed of. Mr. Stone who was unwilling to abolish altogether an institution, that was doing such excellent work for so many years gave a temporary recognition to the school in the name of the Teachers' Committee enforcing certain conditions, one of which was, as quoted in para 1 of the Director's Proceedings C. No. 7149 of 12 dated 3rd September 12 (Appendix No. XVIII) that the petitioner should give up all connections with the school while at the same time he should give a loan of furniture etc. to the same.

23. That the petitioner had therefore to submit an appeal (Appendix No. XIX) to the Director requesting him to modify the proceedings by removing that portion of para 1 which is a recorded insult to the petitioner and which was most unwarranted and uncalled for. The reply No. 440 of 13 dated 21-1-13 which the petitioner received was very unfavourable and unjust to the petitioner (Appendix No. XX). The petitioner has been all these years supporting the school at his own cost meeting the deficiency from his private funds as the school was never receiving any Salary or Teaching Grant as many other schools in the Presidency were receiving (Appendix No. XXI). The only Grant which the School received during these years of its existence was Rs. 1,000 in all for library, apparatus and furniture as a Special Grant during these latter years from which time i.e. from 1907 the Government have been sanctioning similar Grants to every school in the Presidency.

24. That the petitioner fully anticipating the spiteful attitude of his opponents who were jealous of a single man being the sole proprietor of such a good institution, formed a committee long ago as he had never any pecuniary interest in the affair, his only object in life having been to leave the institution, named after one of the noblest of Viceroys, India ever had, to the care of his countrymen and then to retire. The members of the committee were at first unwilling to take up the management of the school, on account of the rumours spread by the late Head Master. After it had taken up the management and when it was just trying to acquaint itself with the needs for the improvement of the institution, a blow in the shape of the withdrawal of recognition came down upon them as a surprise. The members of the committee were not given any chance of showing their interest in the school. They were quite willing to discharge the past liabilities (accumulated in the time of the late Head Master) which they undertook to do on the assumption of management. They regret that scanty notice was taken of their existence in so far that their subordinates were

given an upperhand, as it were, over them by the Director having granted a temporary recognition of the school in the name of the teachers employed by the petitioner. It is the peculiar misfortune of the committee that since its formation on the 10th of June 1912, under the circumstances stated above, it has not received sufficient encouragement from the department to peacefully carry out the noble purpose for which it was formed (Appendix No. XXII). The school committee itself would have carried out the requisitions of the department as detailed in Proceedings No. 7149 of 12 dated 3rd September 1912.

25. That the petitioner may be pardoned to respectfully submit that he does not deserve such a prominence in para 1 of the proceedings quoted above which was evidently the reward he received from the department for all the good work that he has been doing in connection with the school for a generation. He is now convinced that it was purile and foolish on his part to have made so much sacrifice from his boyhood for the good of the institution in the interests of the public in spite of strong opposition from the local College authorities. When in May 1910 the Educational department insisted that the petitioner should place the school under the management of a registered committee, anxious as he was to see that the fruit of his life-long work is not blown away by a side wind, as it were, the petitioner agreed to do ~~so~~ under pressure, without any consideration for the sacrifice he made as he never sought any personal gain or cared for it. From the time of its first formation he has suffered much misrepresentation. The petitioner has been placing his reliance on the Educational department which he felt confident would not allow itself to be misled without going into facts and figures and giving a fair trial.

26. That the petitioner was so disgusted that he even went to the length of proposing to amalgamate this institution with the College which with the influence and the position it has been occupying as a First Grade College, and the influence it has been commanding in the department as such, has always been bent upon ruining every other institution in the town which it has invariably regarded as its rival. But the public of Vizianagaram seeing what scanty justice was done to the petitioner on all sides strongly dissuaded him from carrying out his resolution and volunteered to come to his aid so as to avoid the petitioner being made to witness with his own eyes the demolition of the fruit of his own life-long labours by such an amalgamation. But owing to the treatment given to those gentlemen who have disinterestedly come forward to help the institution, these and others from whom the petitioner could expect similar help, are hesitating to interest themselves in the school affairs lest they should merit similar insult.

27. That the petitioner begs to submit that while gentlemen who have worked in the cause of education with pecuniary motives and thereby earned fortune were rewarded with honors as could be seen in the honors list published on the Coronation Day of His Majesty the King Emperor, the petitioner was rewarded with this recorded insult for his self-sacrifice in the cause of education during the last quarter of a century and more.

28. That the petitioner begs to submit that during the 29 years of his management of the institution, either as sole Proprietor or as Manager under the authority of the committee, he has been spending his time, energy, and all his resources for the

good of an institution which bore the name of so great a Viceroy of India as the late Lord Ripon and yet while one could expect to be rewarded by Government for such sacrifices made, the petitioner had the misfortune of his services having been treated with such contempt and deemed fit by the department to receive such insult as was offered to him as shown in para 1 of the proceedings. The petitioner had not defalcated the funds granted either by Government or by private bodies but had spent largely out of his pocket as the statement appended herewith would show, not to speak of the time and energy he had bestowed upon it. The petitioner had the misfortune of having excited the envy of local officers, at the singular success he had through the popularity of the institution, who made it their business to prejudice Inspectors after Inspectors, not to omit even Mr. Ramsford who so recently assumed office as only 4 months ago, as may be judged by the fact that he was asking the Municipality to open a school at a cost of public funds in place of the school founded by the petitioner and costing little to Government the demolition of which school he has been contemplating since he assumed charge, a circumstance which only goes to show, how much he was already poisoned by the petitioner's enemies. The petitioner has been struggling against such odds for nearly a generation and is at present appealing to Your Excellency for due recognition of his services which he is confident he will meet with at the hands of Your Excellency, if only Your Excellency should peruse the records personally.

29. That under the circumstances stated above the petitioner once more humbly and respectfully appeals to Your Excellency's kind generosity to consider sympathetically the petitioner's case and that of the institution which is the product of a native enterprise which it is the policy of the benign Government to encourage.

30. That in conclusion the petitioner prays Your Excellency to be merciful enough to give the members of the newly formed committee a fair opportunity to put the affairs of the school in a satisfactory condition, as they are anxious to do, by granting a temporary recognition of the school in their name at least on the same conditions as was given to teachers, for at least one year from the 30th of April on which date the temporary recognition granted to the teachers ceases, thus removing the recorded insult made to the petitioner and earn the everlasting gratitude of hundreds of citizens of Vizianagaram for which act of kindness the petitioner shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Your Excellency's most obedient
and loyal servant,

Vizianagaram
8th April 1913.

Ram Kanta Krishna Rao

I 13
Camp
Parlakimedi. 9
24--8--08.

D.O.

Dear Mr. KrishnaRow,

Mr. Sreenivasa Aiyangar writes that, if you are going to give the College the order for furniture, will you do so soon: we expect or hope for a good many orders for reformed School furniture. The Committee has patented its devices and does not allow it to be copied. I hope you will see your way to order from the Committee how the change in type has been sanctioned & provided you the type suits your Class Rooms.

Yours Sincerely,

Sd/- J.A. Yates,

(TRUE COPY).

14
WALTAIR
2--9--08. 10

D.O.

Dear Mr. KrishnaRow,

In reply to your letter of yesterday, I beg to point out that you must send Rs.600/- in order to get Rs.390/- grant. If you are thinking of getting Duel Desks, the Mrs. A.V.N. College Technical Section will deliver 40 desks at Rs.15/- each in Vizianagram; thus you will spend Rs.600/- at get Rs.300/- grant. Thus purchasing wood locally and getting the furniture made locally will be of no advantage to you. If you get them made in Vizag at the Mrs. A.V.N. College, you may be certain that the design will be satisfactorily carried out, which is not certain to happen in Vizianagram. Moreover as I wrote to you Officially the Committee have decided to patent the design. To take advantage of the fact, that the furniture has not been patented would be short practice Had I known that the Committee intended taking the course they have, I should certainly not have got the information and --- drawing of the Jewel desks from the Secretary. Of course at you are full liberty to make single seats of the type for which you just asked grant; but even on that type improvements ^{be} have been made or will made in the College.

Believe me,
Yours faithfully,
Sd/- J.A. Yates.

(TRUE COPY)

Dis No. 6064 of 1909

Dated 23--9--1909.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper:----

Letter No. 131 dated 6th September 1909, from the Inspector of Schools, 1 Circle, submitting a report on the condition of the Ripon High School, Vizianagram.

The Manager is informed that as the school is reported to have ceased to satisfy the Educational Rules, particularly those in regard to staff, the recognition accorded to it will under Educational Rule 63 be withdrawn with effect from 1st January 1910 unless, in the meantime (1) measures are taken so as to give the school a suitable accommodation, & equipment and staff (2) the institution is placed under a properly registered committee of management and (3) satisfactory guarantee is given of its financial stability.

Sd/- x x x

For Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Inspector, of Schools,

First Circle,

"Manager (Para 1 only).

Dixms.

No. Dis

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,

FIRST CIRCLE,

CAMP KATTUR,

Dated 12th February 1910.

From

J.A. Yates Esq., M.A.

Inspector of Schools, I Circle.

To

The Manager,

Ripon Hindu Theological High School,

Vizianagram.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 19 dated 8-2-10 I have the honour to state that the plan you refer to and the accompanying letter have been sent to the Director of Public Instruction for orders. When they arrive I shall be in a position to reply.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Sd/- J.A. YATES,

Inspector of Schools, I Circle.

Dis No. 833

12--2--10.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper:---

Letter No. 16 D.V. of 1910, dated 27th January 1910 from the Inspector of Schools, I Circle, submitting a report on the condition of the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagram.

The privilege of recognition accorded to the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagram, is withdrawn as the school has ceased to fulfil the conditions of recognition.

Sd/- V. Krishnaswamy,

For Director of Public Instruction.

(TRUE COPY)

Dis. 43 D.V. 10
22--2--10

Communicated to the Manager R.H.T.H. School, Vizianagram, for information and guidance with reference to his letter No. 139/ 22--12--09.

Sd/- J.A. YATES,

Inspector of Schools,

First Circle.

(TRUE COPY).

Ref on C No. 1547 of 1910

Dated 5--3--1910.

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper:----

x x x

3. The Proceedings withdrawing the recognition of the school is suspended until further enquiry.

Sd/- X X X

for Director of Public Instruction

To

The Inspector of Schools, First Circle,
"Manager (para 3 only), Ripon High School, Vizianagram.

IV

14

Dis. 3406 of 1910

Dated 27-5-10.

A TT

19/15

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper:- Proceedings Dis.No.833/10
dated 12-2-10

Also letter W.C.No.120/D.V. dated 21st April 1910 from
the Inspector of Schools, I Circle.

On further consideration, the Director resolved to
continue the recognition of the Ripon Hindu Theological High
School, Vizianagaram, on the understanding that the affairs of
the School are placed under Registered Association. It is for
the Manager to see that this is done.

2. The Director can give no guarantee that recognition
will be continued unless the School is satisfactorily conducted
in all ~~material~~ respects.

(Sd). H.S. Puncan
for Director of Public Instruction

(True Copy)

Dis.84 D.V of 10/ 3-6-10

Communicated to the Manager, R.H.T.H. School, Vizianagaram
for information and guidance.

(Sd) M. Kameswara Rao
3-6-10

Ag. Inspector of Schools,
I Circle.

Appendix VII
No.

Appendix
VII

20
16

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MANAGER R. H. S. H. SCHOOL. VIZIANAGARAM. No.1

15-1-10

1. The Headmaster shall with the aid of his clerk and staff collect by adopting any approved method the school fees &c., and be responsible to the Manager for such collection.
2. He shall until further orders deposit with him on behalf of the Manager all the amount so collected and send an Irasalna daily for the amount collected remitting only 10 per cent of the amount collected every month in two instalments one on the 15th and the other on the last day of every month.
3. He shall keep with him a daily account of the collections and the expenditure thereof.
4. He shall every month prepare a monthly bill of the establishment charges of the school and other monthly returns, such as numerical return, Return of the Attendance of Masters, and a Return of receipts and charges and submit the same to the manager, before the 5th of every month, and disburse the salaries from the amount in deposit with him, only after the Bill is passed for payment and keep an acquittance Roll for the same.
5. The Bills should be submitted in Duplicate.

N.B. Forms of Salary bills and other Returns will be supplied from the Manager's office.

5. The right of granting, withdrawing, raising or reducing the helps to students shall rest entirely with the school council which should consist of the Headmaster who shall also be the President and four other members duly elected annually from among the teachers, the Headmaster and the whole school staff having the right of such election.

In the case of dispute the decision of the Manager shall be final.

N.B. The Manager shall have the right of granting 10 (ten) full free scholarships absolutely at his pleasure for the whole school.

17 21

6. The Headmaster at his discretion, or at the suggestion of experience, arrange such work as distribution of work for time, distribution of work among teachers, distribution of pupils among classes, new admissions, methods of teaching, preparation of syllabuses and so forth. He shall ~~also~~ have also power to grant customary holidays to the school and to grant his subordinates leave not exceeding a week.

7. Any other expenditure except monthly salary of the staff and the servants considered necessary shall receive the sanction of the Manager before incurred.

8. A sum of Rs.5 (five) is sanctioned as a permanent advance for the contingent charges.

(Sd.) S.V. Krishna Row

Manager.
15 - 1 - 10.

(True Copy).

Sd. Krishna Row
Kanungo
21-8-12

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MANAGER R. H. T. H. SCHOOL, VINAYAKARAM, No. 1.
15-1-10

1. The Headmaster shall with the aid of his clerk and staff collect by adopting any approved method the school fees etc. and be responsible to the Manager for such collection.

2. He shall with further orders deposit with him on behalf of the Manager all the amount so collected and send an ~~acknowledgment~~ daily for the amount collected remitting only 10 per cent to the amount collected every month in two instalments one on the 15th and the other on the last day of every month.

3. He shall keep with him a daily account of the collections and the expenditure thereof.

4. He shall every month prepare a monthly bill of the establishment charges of the school and other monthly returns such as numerical return, Return of the Attendance of Masters, and a Return of receipts and charges and submit the same to the Manager before the 5th of every month and disburse the salaries from the amount in deposit with him only after the Bill is passed for payment and keep an acknowledgment Bill for the same. The Bills should be submitted in duplicate.

5. Forms of Salary bills and other returns will be supplied to the Manager's office.

6. The right of granting, withdrawing, retaining or reducing the bonus to students shall rest solely with the school council which should consist of the Headmaster who shall also be the President and four other members duly elected annually from among the teachers, the Headmaster and the whole school staff having the right of such election.

In the case of dispute the decision of the Manager shall be final.

7. The Manager shall have the right of granting 10 (ten) full time scholarships absolutely at his pleasure for the whole school.

Alfonsine
No. 1000

18 22

Office of the Inspector of Schools
First Circle

Camp Russelkonds

Dated 21st December 1911

No.Re 2597 Mis/of 1911

From

Moulvi Muhammad Anzam Saheb Bahadur B.A.,

Ag.Inspector of Schools, First Circle

To

The Manager

R.H.Theological High School,

Vizianagaram

Sir,

With reference to the petition of the Headmaster of your school complaining of the treatment accorded to him by you and of threatened dismissal I have the honour to request in the interests of the school that Mr.Kumarasawmy Iyer may be retained as Headmaster at least till the close of the current year and in case you do not like him to retain him any further, notice may be given to him to seek employment elsewhere from 1st July 1912, the beginning of the next school year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) M. M. Auzam

Ag. Inspector of Schools,

First Circle.

(True copy)

P. Krishna Rao

21-8-12

VII

The Headmaster at his discretion at the end of the year of experience, arrange such as distribution of work for time, distribution of work among teachers, distribution of pupils among classes, new admissions, methods of teaching, preparation of syllabus and so forth. He shall also have also power to grant customary holidays to the school and to grant his subordinates leave not exceeding a week.

And other expenditure except monthly salary of the staff and the servants considered necessary shall be the responsibility of the Manager before incurred.

A sum of Rs. 5 (five) is sanctioned as a permanent advance for the contingent expenses.

(Sd.) S.V. Krishna Rao

Manager
R.H. - 1 - 10

(True copy)

P. Krishna Rao
21-8-12

(True copy)

P. Krishna Rao
21-8-12

S. VENKATAKRISHNA RAO

Manager, R.H.T.H. School

Vizianagaram,

"Venkateswaravilas"

24th Decbr. 1911

D.O.

To

Moulvi Moahmed Auzam Saheb Bahadur B A ,
Acting Inspector of Schools,
First Circle

Sir,

With reference to your communication No.R.C.2597 Mis/of 1911 dated 21st December 1911 regarding the petition of the Headmaster of this school to you, I wish to write to you in sincere and grateful recollection of the interest evinced by you, that your advice and suggestion regarding the retaining of Mr.Kumarasawmy Iyer in the service of the school, came upon me as a surprise, as you came to that conclusion without giving me an opportunity to know the contents of his petition and endorse my remarks on the same.

I trusted in him to a great extent, and to my regret, I found he grievously abused the trust. During the last two months the procedure he was adopting to prejudice the public mind against the Institution and the agitation he was starting among the teachers and the students against it have been highly unprofessional.

I have been making calm and judicious enquiries at school itself regarding the many complaints against his procedures and I wanted to report to you the courses of his actions in full.

Understanding the serious charges against him, he thought it safe to approach you to enlist your sympathy in his favour.

I find the position of the manager of a private school is awkward especially in conditions of the kind in question, when one in its service hastily seeks official intervention.

I have, however, enclosed the accompanying official communication of mine requesting you to send me a copy of his petition.

I remain,

Yours very faithfully,

(Signed) S.Venkatakrishna Rao

Manager.

(True Copy)

S. Venkatakrishna Rao
Manager

VIZIANAGARAM
"Theological High School"
24th Jan. 1912

VIZIANAGARAM
Manager, R.H.T.H. School

D.O.
To

Monji Mohamed Anwar Sahib Bahadur S.A.
Acting Inspector of Schools
First Circle

With reference to your communication No. R.O. 2593 dated 1911 dated 21st December 1911 regarding the petition of the Headmaster of this school to you, I wish to write to you in sincere and state the recollection of the interest caused by you, that your advice and suggestion regarding the retaining of Mr. Kumarasawmy Iyer in the service of the school, case upon me as a surprise, as you came to that conclusion without giving me an opportunity to know the contents of his petition and endorse my remarks on the same.

I trusted in him to a great extent, and to my regret, I found he grievously abused the trust, during the last two months, the procedure he was adopting to prejudice the public mind against the institution and the agitation he was creating among the teachers and the students against it have been highly unprofessional.

I have been making calm and judicious enquiries at school it well regarding the many complaints against his procedures and I wanted to report to you the course of his actions in full. Understanding the serious charges against him, he thought it safe to approach you to enlist your sympathy in his favour.

I find the position of the manager of a private school is awkward especially in conditions of the kind in question, when one in the service hastily seeks official intervention.

I have, however, enclosed the accompanying official communication of mine requesting you to send me a copy of his petition.

I remain

Yours very faithfully

(Signed) S. Venkatakrishna Rao

Manager.

(Type Copy)

No 8

20 24
Office of the Manager, Ripon Hindu
Theological High School, Vizianagaram.
24th January 1912.

Appendix No X

From

M. R. Ry.

S. Venkata Krishna Row Pantulu Garu,
Manager, R.H.T.H. School,
Vizianagaram.

To

The Inspector of Schools,
First Circle,
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

With reference to the petition of Mr. Kumarasawmy Iyer, I beg to submit the following remarks for your patient and judicious consideration.

In para 1 of his petition he says that he was induced to become the Headmaster of the School on an increased salary. The truth of it is that I did not know who he was till he applied for the place on 6-7-1909 although he was so near in Vizag. I was negotiating with a History Graduate at Cochin at the suggestion of the Principal of the Teacher's College and even appointed him as the Headmaster on Rs.80-5-100. But he wanted time to take charge as he was not relieved there. When I was seriously thinking of the advisability of giving him time, I received suddenly Mr. Kumarasawmy Iyer's application and I thought I could appoint him if he accepted my terms in case he joined duty immediately. Till the whole thing was settled he never told me that he wanted time to take charge but was promising to join duty soon as he would receive the appointment order as he was sure of being relieved at once since the Rajah was not in good terms

with him especially after he dishonoured him by sending a communication by a low paid purohit Brahman that he would dismiss him if he teased him by often applying for leave. He was in sad need of an appointment at that juncture. I depended upon the information given to me and appointed him as the Headmaster with the hope that he would join at once. But he wrote to me afterwards that he was not relieved there and could take charge only after a month. As I lost the previous man too, I could not but consent to his request. The only advantage that I had then was that I had to pay more for one who also joined duty only after a month.

He says that there was a distinct understanding that he should have the power of collecting fees and taking his salary first and pay the teachers afterwards. In answer to a query he put me in one of his letters to know whether he would receive his salary regularly it is true I wrote to him that he might take his salary from the collections and pay the teachers afterwards and this was before he actually took charge on the 7th of August. He was never given the power of collecting fees or taking his salary first as was mentioned in my letter and he was receiving his salary from me direct along with other teachers till the end of that year. In the beginning of theyear 1910 i.e. before reopening after Christmas we discussed at length about the financial management of the school and came to a certain settlement and in accordance with that I issued proceedings No.1 dated 15-1-1910 therein giving him certain powers regarding the financial management of the school (a copy of which is herewith enclosed for your kind perusal); but he never acted according to the instructions given in the proceedings although questioned a number of times.

In para 2 he says that the Recognition of the School that was withdrawn was restored through his exertions.

I beg to submit that no exertions of any kind can do any

Office of the Manager, Ripon Bldg.
Theoretical High School, Virinchipetam.
24th January 1912.

From

M. N. R.

S. Venkata Krishna Rao Principal, Dorn.
Manager, R.H.T.H. School,
Virinchipetam.

To

The Inspector of Schools,
First Circle,
Virinchipetam.

Sir

With reference to the petition of Mr. Ramaswamy Iyer I beg to submit the following remarks for your perusal and judicial consideration.

In para 1 of his petition he says that he was induced to become the Headmaster of the School on an increased salary. The truth of it is that I did not know who he was till he applied for the place on 3-7-1909 although he was in near vicinity. I was negotiating with a history graduate at Cochin at the suggestion of the Principal of the Teacher's College and even appointed him as the Headmaster on Rs. 50-5-100. But he wanted time to take charge as he was not relieved there. When I was seriously thinking of the advisability of giving him time I received and only Mr. Ramaswamy Iyer's application and I thought I could upoint him if he accepted my terms in case he joined duty immediately. Till the whole thing was settled he never told me that he wanted time to take charge but was promising to join duty soon as he would receive the appointment order as he was sure of being relieved at once since the job was not in good terms.

23 26

good without facts and figures and it was only by proving to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Instruction that the Inspector of Schools was prejudiced against the school and hastily recommended for a withdrawal that the Recognition was restored ~~against~~ again and not either by my exertions or those of his.

-ship

In para 4 he says that the Headmaster of a High School at Secunderabad on (150-10-200) was offered to him and that I did not allow him to go promising to keep him here for 10 years. If there was really any truth in this statement he would have taken a written agreement on a stamped paper especially when he was losing such a good appointment. The fact of it was that he picked up a quarrel with the Second Assistant of the school in connection with the distribution of English work and when in compromising I told him to be rather patient if harmony among teachers was to be preserved he told me that he would vacate his place if it was my wish that portion of work in English should be given to the Second Assistant, and since he said in ^Wager I told him as a matter of etiquette that he need not leave the school on that account. Had he shown me the appointment order I would not have stood in his way especially when I was not able to give him at least as much as he could get there if not more. The truth is that he did not agree to the terms proposed by Mr. Varada Rajah Mudaliar, Secretary of the High School at Secunderabad and he took the opportunity of throwing the blame upon me.

I

In para 5 he says that ~~he~~ I did not care for the departure of other teachers so much as I did for his and that I promised to begin to wind up the school if he should leave the school. In answer I beg to inform you that he wrote to me a number of misleading letters containing false information during my absence from Vizianagaram perhaps with the object of extracting some promises from me and in one of his such letters he wrote to me that all the teachers were seriously thinking of leaving

with him especially after he dismissed him by sending a communication by a low paid private messenger that he would dismiss him if he ceased him by after applying for leave. He was in need of an appointment at that juncture. I depended upon the information given to me and appointed him as the Headmaster with the hope that he would join at once. But he wrote to me afterwards that he was not relieved there and could take charge only after a month. As I lost the previous man to I could not but consent to his request. The only advantage that I had then was that I had to pay more for one who also joined duty only after a month.

He says that there was a distinct understanding that he should have the power of collecting fees and taking his salary first and pay the teachers afterwards. In answer to a query he put me in one of his letters to know whether he would receive his salary regularly it is true I wrote to him that he might take his salary from the collections and pay the teachers afterwards and this was before he actually took charge on the 1st of August. He was never given the power of collecting fees or taking his salary first as was mentioned in my letter and he was receiving his salary from me direct along with other teachers till the end of that year. In the beginning of 1910 i.e. before reporting after Christmas he discussed at length about the financial management of the school and came to a certain settlement and in accordance with that I issued proceedings No. 1 dated 15-1-1910 therein giving him certain powers regarding the financial management of the school (a copy of which is herewith enclosed for your kind perusal); but he never acted according to the instructions given in the proceedings although questioned a number of times.

In para 2 he says that the Recognition of the School that was withdrawn was restored through his exertions. I beg to submit that no exertions of any kind can be

no 4 without facts and figures and it was only by providing to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Instruction that the Inspector of Schools was satisfied against the school and finally recommended for a withdrawal that the Institution was restored against again and not either by my order or those of his.

In para 4 he says that the Headmaster of a High School at Secunderabad on (180-10-300) was offered to him and that I did not allow him to go promising to keep him here for 10 years. If there was any truth in this statement he would have taken a written agreement on a stamped paper signed when he was leaving such a good appointment. The fact of it was that he picked up a quarrel with the Second Assistant of the school in connection with the distribution of English work and when in compromise I told him to be rather patient it harmony among teachers was to be preserved he told me that he would vacate his place if it was my wish that position of work in English should be given to the Second Assistant and since he said in reply I told him as a matter of etiquette that he need not leave the school on that account. Had he known me the appointment order I would not have stood in his way especially when I was not able to give him of teachers which he could get there if not more. The truth is that he did not agree to the terms proposed by Mr. Varadachari Maharaj Secretary of the High School at Secunderabad and he took the opportunity of throwing the blame upon me.

In para 5 he says that he did not care for the departure of other teachers so much as I did for his and that I promised to begin to wind up the school if he should leave the school. In answer I beg to inform you that he wrote to me a number of misleading letters containing false information during my absence from Secunderabad perhaps with the object of extracting some promises from me and in one of his much let out he wrote to me that all the teachers were seriously thinking of leaving

27/24

the Institution one after another and wanted me to reveal to him my intention of maintaining the Institution under the above circumstances. In answer to that letter, it is true, I wrote to him that I would begin to wind up the school if he also should ^{leave} have the school like others as it would be difficult for me to fill up all the vacancies from top to toe and wanted him to inform me of his resolution. Evidently the above letter referred to, was written to me with the motive of extracting some assurance from me as he already grew unpopular with the teachers and students and thought his position was rather unsafe if the fact of his unpopularity were known to me; for when I made a careful and secret investigation about the contents of the letter by an interview with the teachers individually I found that every information was false and had no foundation at all.

In para 6, he says that there was a wide spread rumour that I was prepared to remove him from service and appoint an Assistant in his place and that I gave him an assurance in writing to keep him as long as he wished to continue in school. The fact of writing to him a letter stating therein that he may continue in the school as long as he wished is true but not under the circumstances he stated. The real fact was that he suspended payment of salaries to all the teachers after the reopening of the school and kept a major portion collected for the first instalment in advance for his salary to the end of August, and inconvenienced all the teachers. There was a hue and cry among the teachers and all of them in a body with the exception of one or two whom he satisfied to some extent complained to me of their situation, and when I sent for the account and examined it I found it to be a fact and questioned him about his procedure. Then he told me that he wanted to be without arrears of even a pie as he heard through his son reading in the III Form that the History Assistant of the school would be made the Headmaster and that this information

28/5

was corroborated by his wife, I told him in as plain terms as possible that there was no foundation for the rumour since both of us were away from the town and that there was no necessity for such a procedure. But although he was convinced, he wanted to take that opportunity of taking some assurance from me and wanted that some written assurance should be given to him before he disbursed salaries to the teachers. I had no other go, than to satisfy him by giving an assurance in the terms stated above as otherwise there was no possibility of making him pay even a single pie to the teachers especially as I entrusted to him by my proceedings sent herewith the entire financial management.

In para 7 he says, that I did not inform him of my replacing him when he was going to bring his daughter for delivery. I had no intention of removing him from service as I had no specific and serious charges against him except neglect of official work and creating dissension among teachers. I thought I could mend matters by mild means and so could not inform him of my intention of removing him as I did not know what would happen in future myself.

In para 8 he says, that he heard a positive and reliable information from teachers ~~am~~ that I was deeply contemplating of his removal and so told them that I could not easily remove him as I had given him assurances. The fact was this. In spite of my assurances and advices he did not pay even a single pie to most of the teachers whom he disliked even in the next instalment and teachers got enraged and held a meeting in one of their houses and discussed about the advisability of requesting me to remove the powers given to the headmaster regarding finances since they were being put to much inconvenience by that arrangement, and they were conducting these meetings successively for three days. He learnt the object of the meeting through a friend who is also a teacher and went to the place of the meeting and heard from outside, the conversation

was corroborated by his wife. I told him in as plain terms as possible that there was no foundation for the rumour since both of us were away from the town and that there was no necessity for such a procedure. But although he was contacted he wanted to take that opportunity of taking some assurance from me and wanted that some written assurance should be given to him before he disbursed salaries to the teachers. I had no other go, then to satisfy him by giving an assurance in the terms stated above as otherwise there was no possibility of making him pay even a single pie to the teachers especially as I entrusted to him by my proceedings went herewith the entire financial management.

In para 7 he says that I did not inform him of my removing him when he was going to bring his daughter for delivery. I had no intention of removing him from service as I had no specific and serious charges against him except neglect of official work and creating dissension among teachers. I thought I could mend matters by mild means and so could not inform him of my intention of removing him as I did not know what would happen in future myself.

In para 8 he says that he heard a positive and reliable information from teachers that I was deeply contemplating of his removal and so told them that I could not easily remove him as I had given him assurances. The fact was that in spite of my assurances and advice he did not pay even a single pie to most of the teachers whom he disliked even in the next instant and teachers got enraged and held a meeting in one of their houses and discussed about the advisability of removing me to remove the powers given to the headmaster regarding financial since they were being put to much inconvenience by that arrangement and they were conducting these meetings successively for three days. He learnt the object of the meeting through a friend who is also a teacher and went to the place of the meeting and heard from outside the conversation

29/26

about the transfer of the financial management, at once challenged in the presence of the teachers who were there that he would ~~draw~~^{ruin} the school and get the recognition of the school withdrawn by revealing the secrets of the school to the Director of Public Instruction and to the Inspector of Schools and would help the college authorities towards pulling down the school by opening to them the secrets of the school and would also see that the students of his class at least, would leave the school all in a body if he should be meddled with. In that connection he told the teachers that were present there that I was a "Bankrupt" "Rogue" "Liar" and "Deceiver" and ought not to be believed and that he would not leave the school without reducing it to ashes. This was at 11 O'clock in the night and with a loud voice so that the neighbours were awakened from their slumber. The teachers that were present were frightened but could not dare to inform me of the challenge at once, but the neighbour told me that there were some disputes among teachers near his house and that the headmaster was bawling out loudly that he will get the recognition of the school withdrawn. I began to make enquiry about the matter and learnt the whole truth from the teachers that were present then. It was only then that I understood his nature, and was able to read what complicated motives had been moving him to get a number of oral and written assurances from me to make his position quite secure. The whole trouble arose out of a fear in him that a popular assistant of his would become the headmaster and no assurance of mine could remove or even suppress that fear. He tried to organise a party of teachers and students in his favour and this lead to bitter misunderstandings among the staff and the students. I had a highest regard for the person which rose to sincere admiration when I used to hear his persuasive and highly appealing words and it took many months for me and I required the examination of many opportunities to slowly understand his mind and spirit. Even then I considered he was a man of

30
27

family and I should not damage his future, and I sent word by Mr. Venkatroya Sastriat, ~~Di~~, Chief Professor of Philosophy in the Maharajah's College an esteemed friend of mine of several years and a relation of his to the effect that he might honourably resign instead of avowing to the members of the staff that he would ruin the institution. In all his representations to many gentlemen of the public here and some of the Department, he took care to avoid explaining why I asked him to resign. He chose to appeal to public sympathy by quoting his family circumstances and the coming confinement of his daughter and to prejudice the local public and some officers of the Department against me by the use of pathetic terms of the nature found in the petition to you at the end. I gave him time to reconsider the evils of the steps he was taking and to come round and apologise and to continue in the service of the school. Understanding my kindness to be weakness and inability to dispense with his services he kept certain records of the Institution which he refused to send to me in spite of a number of orders and was openly preparing to blast the Institution ~~which~~ if his services should be dispensed with. He utilised the school hours to enlist the sympathy of teachers and students and was doing no work in the class and did not allow some teachers to work in the class as he kept talking to them. School work got completely disorganised. The Christmas Holidays came and he used them to go to parents and students to ask them to apply for their transfer certificates saying that the Inspector promised to abolish the Institution. What was agitation for the last six months assumed the form of a commotion on the reopening day after the ~~the~~ Christmas Holiday days. During the first five working days after reopening he began to openly preach to students to apply for transfer certificates and was very anxious to issue them forthwith though the applications were not signed by guardians in some cases. Some boys sat in the VI Form, but he scared them away. On account of his refusal to send the school records which

about the transfer of the financial management at once challenged in the presence of the teachers who were there that he would ~~the~~ school and get the recognition of the school withdrawn by revealing the secrets of the school to the Director of Public Instruction and to the Inspector of Schools and would help the college authorities to pull down the school by opening to them the secrets of the school and would also see that the students of his class at least would leave the school all in a body if he should be rebuffed. In that connection he told the teachers that were present there that I was a "Bandit", "Rogue", "Liar" and "Deceiver" and ought not to be believed and that he would not leave the school without reducing it to ashes. This was at 11 O'clock in the night and with a loud voice so that the neighbours were awakened from their slumber. The teachers that were present were frightened but could not dare to inform me of the challenge at once but the neighbour told me that there were some disputes among teachers near his house and that the headmaster was bullying out loudly that he will get the recognition of the school withdrawn. I began to make enquiry about the matter and learnt the whole truth from the teachers that were present then. It was only then that I understood his nature and was able to read what complicated motives had been moving him to get a number of oral and written assurances from me to make his position quite secure. The whole trouble arose out of a fear in him that a popular assistant of his would become the headmaster and no assurance of mine could remove or even suppress that fear. He tried to organise a party of teachers and students in his favour and this led to bitter misunderstanding among the staff and the students. I had a highest regard for the person which rose to sincere admiration when I used to hear his persuasive and dignified speaking words and it took many months for me and I required the examination of many opportunities to nearly understand his mind and spirit. Even then I considered he was a man of

31
28

he kept with him, to me as usual, the school work got blocked up. He refused to resign. I had no ~~large~~^{course} other than dismissing him. I enclose the dismissal order herewith. I would have submitted my remarks earlier but as all the petitions signed and anonymous, sent to you were the offshoots of the commotion, I wanted to send you an exhaustive report on it. But since subsequent references were made to me by you, I thought delaying correspondence would be to keep you uninformed of many things of which you seemed to want urgent information and I despatch this and the accompanying remarks on the anonymous ones. To let you clearly understand what reliance could be placed on his representation by the Department I shall submit an exhaustive report on the commotion later on.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(sd) D. Krishna Rao

Manager.

(True Copy)

Sd. Krishna Rao
Manager

family and I should not damage his future, and I sent word by Mr. Venkateswara Reddy, Chief Professor of Philosophy in the Government College an esteemed friend of mine of several years and a relation of his to the effect that he might honorably resign instead of moving to the membership of the staff that he would ruin the institution. In all his representations to the public he and some of the Department, he took care to avoid explaining why I asked him to resign. He chose to appeal to public sympathy by putting his family circumstances and the wrong treatment of his daughter and to prejudice the local public and some officers of the Department against me by the most pathetic terms of nature found in the petition to you at the end. I gave him time to reconsider the evils of the steps he was taking and to come round and apologize and to continue in the service of the school. Understanding my kindness to be weakness and inability to dispense with his services he kept certain records of the institution which he refused to send to me in spite of a number of orders and was openly preparing to blast the institution with it. His services should be dispensed with. He utilized the school hours to enlist the sympathy of teachers and students and was doing no work in the class and did not allow other teachers to work in the class as he kept talking to them. Since I was not completely dissatisfied. The Christian Holy-days came and he used them to go to parties and students to ask them to apply for their transfer certificates saying that the Inspector promised to abolish the institution. What an agitation for the last six months he used the form of a commotion on the reopening day after the Christian Holy-days. During the first five working days after reopening he began to openly preach to students to apply for transfer certificates and every manner to do so then forthwith though the applications were not signed by guardians in some cases. Some boys sat in the VI form but he wanted them away. On account of his refusal to send the school records and

NOTICE.

GEORGE 5TH. SECONDARY SCHOOL VIZIANAGRAM.

In response to the call of many of my friends and in view of the encouragement given to me by the leading gentry of this town, I, the undersigned, beg to announce to the public of Vizianagram that an educational institution on entirely the latest developed lines of improvement and strictly in accordance with the rules of the Educational Dept, is going to be opened by me. The inspector of schools' 1st. circle, Waltair, has been approached and the scheme, for the establishment in this city, of a school to be worked strictly in accordance with the "modern lines," laid before him. The said officer, being fully and thoroughly satisfied of the undersigned's ability to conduct it, has been pleased, to grant permission; "as the recognition of the R. H. T. H. School Vizianagram has been recommended to be withdrawn owing to mismanagement and the Provincial Incomplete Secondary School also is likely to share the same fate." (Vide his R. C. No. 734 M/s of 12 dated 29-5-12.)

The undersigned begs to bring to the notice of his many friends, supporters, and gentry and public of Vizianagram, that his object, in starting the above institution, is not with an eye to make money but to supply a demand sorely felt, in this town, for a school where education is imparted on modern lines. It is, also, part of the undersigned's scheme, to add a section to the above where technical subjects such as:- Telegraph, Type-writing, Carpentry &c. are taught, for which has been secured the assistance and services of trained teachers of established standing.

To give thorough and entire satisfaction to the parents and guardians of the student population and to his many friends, supporters, and gentry and public, of this town, is the first and the foremost object of the undersigned and in view thereof the undersigned is quite prepared to appoint a committee, consisting of the leading educated gentry of this place, with powers to make any recommendations for the improvement of the said institution. It is the earnest desire of the undersigned to make the institution a complete success and, therefore, appeals to the patriotic gentry and public of Vizianagram for support and co-operation in his humble efforts and make the same a "model institution."

The many friends of the undersigned, the supporters, and the gentry and the public of this town, are humbly requested to note that this school will be opened on the 10th. June 1912 in the building of M. R. Ry. Prabhakara Rao Naidu Garu, in the name of our beloved King and Emperor, George V, and the institution will, therefore, be styled George V Secondary school, Vizianagram. The undersigned, therefore, humbly and earnestly, requests the co-operation of his many friends, supporters, and gentry and public of this town and of the parents and guardians of the school going boys of this place and outside.

Vizianagram }
Dated 6-6-12 }

Danimoreddy Krishnaswami
Manager.

P. J. S. V. S. Press, Vizianagram.

No.33

Appendix No XII

30 33

Office of the Managing Committee,
R. H.T.H. School,
Vizianagram,

11th June 1912

From

The Secretary,

Per

Managing Committee,

R. H. T. H. School,

Vizianagram.

To

The Director of Public Institution,

Madras.

Sir,

In elaboration of my telegrams to you of the 8th June, I have the honour to state the following with reference to the Prime question of the continuance of the recognition of this institution and the Director's communication to me (Vide 3406, 27-5-1910) that it would depend on main conditions, namely, that the school should be managed by a Registered Association and that the management should be satisfactory.

Here-in, I enclose a copy of the deed of the Association which was registered on 10th June, 1912. I committed the school on that date to the management of the above Association and secured means for the satisfactory conduct of the school. The Committee expects the co-operation of the public, and thus the need for a Second High School in this locality having been strongly certified to by many Inspectors and felt by the citizens, the institution has been made to depend upon popular support and the management of the leading men and representations of the town. To give initial assurance to the Department regarding financial stability, each member of the Association has bound himself to stand responsible for his share of three thousand rupees and further

31 34

stability would speedily be secured by the collection of donations for funds and materials and money for the purchase of the present buildings and its improvements, which have been promised to be paid to the Association on the registration of it.

The making of the Committee was a task of two years. Of all the struggles between rival institutions in the presidency, you would not have read of one more pertinacious and deadly than that between the Local Maharaja's College and the Ripon Hindu High School, from the beginning of the origin of the latter, the very recognition of which was stubbornly contested. The leading citizens of the town are officials in the Vizianagram Samasthanam and the Principal of the College got the Samasthanam to use its mighty influence to make parents feel that they as dependants on it, were in duty bound to send their children to the College. The entire school history of Vizianagram may briefly be put as the prodigious attempt of the Maharaja's College to exist without a rival, as the Samasthanam expects it not to be a burden on it, and to be self-supporting and the rise and growth of the Ripon Hindu High School owing to the great need of a second institution for Secondary School students. In this singular struggle of 27 years, the success of the Ripon School, and the continuance of it as an institution inspite of bad financial disabilities were due to its vitality having been in its genuine popularity. The leading members of the former committee of the school having been high officials in the Samasthanam, they could not continue as members of the managing body of an institution rival to the Samasthanam College. It dissolved. The creation of another had many obstacles. In moments of despair, I felt I was trying to dam swelling floods with sands and thought of giving it up. A member of the committee of our school must even if he be wealthy have the moral courage to incur displeasure of the Samasthanam and the Principal. If ^{few} ~~is~~ persuaded a ~~few~~ men to become members and formed a nucleus with all the secrecy and strategy would require, it was disturbed in no time by rival forces. There was departmental urging that the Committee should

Office of the Managing Committee,
N. H. T. H. School,
Vizianagram,
15th June 1912

The Secretary,
Managing Committee,
N. H. T. H. School,
Vizianagram.

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

Sir,

In continuation of my telegram to you of the 4th June, I have the honor to state the following with reference to the question of the continuance of the recognition of this institution and the Director's communication to me (Vide 3508, 17-5-1910) that it would depend on main conditions, namely, that the school should be managed by a Registered Association and that the management should be satisfactory.

Herein I enclose a copy of the deed of the Association which was registered on 10th June, 1912. I committed the school on that date to the management of the above Association and secured seats for the satisfactory conduct of the school. The Committee expects the co-operation of the public, and thus the need for a Second Hindu School in this locality having been strongly felt, tried to by many investors and felt by the citizens, the institution has been made to depend upon popular support and the management of the leading men and representatives of the town. To give initial impetus to the department regarding stability, each member of the Association has bound himself to stand responsible for his share of three thousand rupees and further

stability would speedily be secured by the collection of donations for funds and materials and money for the purchase of the present building and its improvement, which have been promised to be paid to the Association on the completion of it.

The making of the Committee was a task of two years. On all occasions between rival institutions in the presidency, you would not have read of one more pertinacious and deadly than that between the local Maharaja's College and the Ripon High School, from the beginning of the origin of the latter, the very recognition of which was stubbornly contested. The leading

citizens of the town are officials in the Vijnayana Samasthanam and the Principal of the College got the Samasthanam to use its mighty influence to the parents feel that they as dependants on it were in duty bound to send their children to the College. The entire school history of Vijnayana may briefly be put as the production attempt of the Maharaja's College to exist without a rival, as the Samasthanam expects it not to be a burden on it, and to be self-supporting and the rise and growth of the Ripon High School owing to the great need of a second institution for

Secondary School students. In this singular arena rivalry of 27 years, the success of the Ripon School, and the continuance of it as an institution in spite of bad financial disabilities were due to its vitality having been in its remote population. The leading members of the former committee of the school having been high officials in the Samasthanam, they could not continue as members of the managing body of an institution rival to the Samasthanam College. It dissolved. The creation of another

had many obstacles. In moments of despair, I felt I was giving to the swelling floods with sands and thought of giving it up. A member of the committee of our school must even if he be wealthy have the moral courage to incur the displeasure of the Samasthanam and the Principal. It I persuaded a fair man to become member and formed a nucleus, still all the secrecy and

activity would require it was disturbed in no time by rival forces. There was departmental warning that the Committee should

be formed at once. In the tug of war, in the years in which some of my best teachers were taken by the Principal into his College, there was a large egress of boys upsetting its finances. A perusal of the correspondence with the men who wrote to me of their willingness to become members of the Committee and their subsequent unwillingness by the threat and influence that were brought to bear on them by the rivals, would show what a bitter struggle could be and what difficulties I had in organising a competent Committee.

Mr. Yates had been a lover of our school. His inspection reports and suggestions for its developments gave it animation and its strength increased, but he came subsequently to favour the College party, which incensed him so much as to write reports contradictory to the previous ones and recommended to the withdrawal of its recognition in 2, 1910. On the reconsideration you were pleased to order the continuance of it, and urged me to create a Committee. Disgusted with the taunting hopes, some men were going to become members, I resolved to seek the financial salvation of the school in a place where the impulse of this rivalry had not reached and I applied to the Raja Rajah of Tuni for the large donation of ten thousand rupees for the purchase of the present building and funds; on his promise to help me I put up at Tuni itself for some months hoping his recovery from illness, but to the misfortune of my school, such a generous person died. In this period of my absence, I had to leave the entire financial management to one Mr. Kumaraswamy Ayar, the head master of my school. His subject having been philosophy and the departmental rules allowing him to teach English, he felt an unaccountable despair that his position would not be safe in spite of my assurance that he could feel quite secure in it. He thought a written assurance ²vested from me to the effect that he could not be removed from his office and he might continue to have the power of financial management as

long as he was in service would make his position strong, and to this end, he organised a party of some teachers against me who did not understand his selfish aims. His policy was to prevent the organisation of a committee which ~~mm~~ might take his financial power into its hands, and to see that even if one were organised, that the financial management did not go to it. He went to some men and created spectres of dangers and troubles in their imaginations and dissuaded them from becoming members of the school Committee. This was the reward of my having taken the head master into my confidence in this affair. On the other hand, he was telling the staff that the men that were likely to become members of the Committee were not reliable and their interests would be safe if the financial management continued in his hands. When, latter, the staff understood how all his schemes tended to ~~safe~~ guard his own interests, they broke away from him one after another, but he managed that they did not unite against him by ~~xx~~ creating bitter misunderstandings with one another. I interfered and warned that his procedures were highly detrimental to the interest of all the institutions. He felt more ^{inse} wise cure of his position and began to take his pay in advance; and did not pay some teachers he then disliked. The School was disorganised. He turned to the students next and created a strong party of them in his favour and preached them in November and December 1911 in the class neglecting his work, that the important members of the High School staff were leaving the institution and that if he also left, their course would suffer in the short term and that they must all make combined efforts in all ways to keep him in office. At this juncture he rushed to Mr. Mahammad Azzam, our inspector of schools and ~~he~~ burst into a flood of tears, captured his sympathies, and by astonishingly skilful misrepresentation, made him think that I was meditating his dismissal quite unjustly. The Inspector wrote to me (No. 2597 m of 11 dated 21st December a copy of which is attached here to) to keep him in office till July 1912. On the strength of the Inspector's support the Head Master gave out in this town during the Christmas

34 37

holidays that the school would ~~be~~ be abolished, if he was asked to leave its services, and advised boys to apply for transfer certificates and join the Maharaja's College. He ^{stated} ~~stated~~ this revolutionary force of students, but ^{was} ~~was~~ he was unable to direct or control it later on, for, the boys whom he made to sign petitions against me or write in his house anonymous letters to the Department against the school and the teachers whom he thought to be his rivals turned against him when they found that the other teachers were not leaving the institution, and the school opened all right on the 8th January. The boys were in a dilemma. They sided the Head Master. They abandoned him when his teachings proved false. He continued in office. Were they to join the Institution to be his students or to leave the institution for fear of him? They and their parents felt convinced that the school would work all right even without the Headmaster and those that ~~work~~ took certificates rejoined the school. The Head^{hy} ~~master~~ ^{master} was dismissed for having started commotion and for creating misunderstanding among teachers and for disorganising the school and for getting boys write petitions to the department. /He joined the Provincial Lower Secondary school and promised its manager that he would work there without any pay on account of his vow, to see that Ripon School abolished and the Provincial Lower Secondary school developed into a High School. As the manager understood that he wanted to be invested with dictatorial powers and adopted plans which launched his school against mine subserve his private interests and was at the same time taking one Mr. Krishnasawmy Naidu to the Inspector to request him to advise the above Manager to sell his school to the said Krishnasawmy Naidu. He was dismissed from the Provincial School for double dealing. The Inspector advised the Manager to ^{pay} ~~take~~ him for the period he was working in the school, but the Manager said, he

promised to work in ~~his~~ his school without pay. The Inspector's recommendation regarding him to me and to the Manager of Provincial School not having been obeyed by us, he (the officer) got prejudiced against our schools and came on a surprise visit on the 17th of May. I showed him the Committee was organised, I was waiting for the signatures of two members in the deed to be registered and it only remained to register it at Vizagapatam. He saw the deed signed by the others. On that day of the surprise visit, he promised to Krishnasawmy Naidu co-^{writer} with the dismissed Head Master, that he would consider his claims to open a new school. He returned to his head quarters and speedily recommended the withdrawal of the recognition of my school on the 25th of May in his despatch to you and on 29th of May he gave permission in his Re. No. 733 of 29 - 5-12 to Krishnasawmy Naidu to open a new school and purposely added in it, "as the recognition of the R.H.T.H. school has been recommended to be withdrawn owing to mismanagement and the Provincial incomplete Secondary School is likely to have the same fate". Krishnasawmy Naidu circulated 4000 printed notices in this town quoting the above clause contained in the Inspector's order. This created a great sensation in the town, and the public talk is that our school exists no more. The Inspector recommended the withdrawal of the recognition to you, but before final orders could be got from you he gave permission (Vide printed notice sent herewith) to the dismissed Headmaster's friend to open a school, which he wishes to be a High School in the place of my school and to absorb Provincial Lower Secondary School. I do not know if ~~my~~ ^{my} school would open all right on the 1st of July. If the present sensation due to the Inspector's order dissolves my my school, I am deprived of the right of appeal to you on His Excellency the Governor. Such was the end of the commotion started by Mr. Krishnasawmy Naidu B.A.L.T. I submit to you

holidays that the school would be abolished. It was asked to leave its services, and advised boys to apply for transfer certificates and join the Maharaja's College. He stated this was a temporary force of students, but ~~it~~ ^{it} was unable to direct or control it later on, for the boys whom he made to sign petitions against me or write in his name anonymous letters to the Inspector meant against the school and the teachers whom he thought to be his rivals turned against him when they found that the other teachers were not leaving the institution, and the school opened all right on 1st July. The boys were in a dilemma. They sided the Head Master. They abandoned him when his teaching proved false. He continued in office. These boys to join the institution to be his students or to leave the institution for fear of him? They and their parents felt convinced that the school would work all right even without the Headmaster and those that took certificates rejoined the school. The headmaster was dismissed for having started commotion and for creating misunderstanding among teachers and for disorganising the school and for getting boys write petitions to the department. He joined the Provincial Lower Secondary School and promised its manager that he would work there without any pay on account of his vow to see that Ripon School abolished and the Provincial Lower Secondary School developed into a High School. As the manager understood that he wanted to be invested with discretionary powers and adopted plans which touched his school against mine suppressive his private interests and as at the same time taking Mr. Krishnasawmy Naidu to the Inspector to request him to advise the above Manager to sell his school to the said Krishnasawmy Naidu. He was dissatisfied from the Provincial School for double dealing. The Inspector advised the Manager to take him for the period he was working in the school, but the Manager said he

39 36

a separate report of of Krishnasawmy Ayar commotion, detailing how he created parties among the staff, the students, advising many to take transfer certificates and the public and how he misguided the Inspector by misrepresentations towards ^{the} present end, commit his unprofessional conduct, attained L.T. he is, to your Judgment.

Meanwhile I pray you would issue orders by telegraph for the continuance of the recognition of my school in view of the creation of the Committee and the comparatively much better results it produced this year, in the School Final Examination than College, so that I might without the loss of minutes, print notices and circulate in the town, to show by your promptly shown kindness my school is alive and shall continue as vigorous as ever.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant

(2) *R. Krishna Rao*
Manager

(True Copy)

R. Krishna Rao
31-8-12

promised to work in his school without pay. The Inspector recommended regarding him to be and to the Manager of Provincial School not having been moved by it, he (the Inspector) got printed notices sent to the school and also on a separate visit on the 17th of May. I showed him the Committee was organised. I was waiting for the signatures of the members in the deed to be registered and it only remained to register it at Vixagapetam. He and the deed signed by the others. On that day of the surprise visit, he promised to Krishnasawmy Naidu ^{with the} dismissed headmaster, that he would consider his claims to open a new school. He returned to his head quarters and specially recommended the withdrawal of the recognition of my school on the 20th of May in his despatch to the Government and on 20th of May he gave permission in his No. 2073 of 29-5-12 to Krishnasawmy Naidu to open a new school and proviso added in it, "as the recognition of the R.H.S. School has been recommended to be withdrawn owing to mismanagement and the Provincial Inspector Secondary School is likely to have the same fate." Krishnasawmy Naidu is a person who printed notices in this town stating the above and contained in the Inspector's order. This created a great sensation in the town and the public talk is that our school is no more. The Inspector recommended the withdrawal of the recognition to you, but before final orders could be sent from you he gave permission (the printed notice sent previously) to the dismissed headmaster to open a school in the place of my school and to absorb to be a High School in the place of my school and to absorb Provincial Lower Secondary School. I do not know if my school would open all right in the 1st of July. If the present sensation due to the Inspector's order dissolves my school, I am deprived of the right of appeal to you and the Government. Such was the end of the commotion started by Mr. Krishnasawmy Naidu B.A.L.T. I submit to you

11--8--12.

From

The Manager,

R.H.T.H. School,

Vizianagram.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S.

Sir,

With reference to the defects noted by Mr. R.G. Grieve, Deputy Director of Public Instruction, in the course of the investigation of the affairs of the school during his recent visit to this town, I beg to submit the following.

1. The number on rolls of VI Form was on the day of the visit, 62. The Deputy Director asked me why the Form was not divided into 2 Sections. Some of the students were those promoted from Form V, and others were those that rejoined the class, after having failed in one or more subjects in the last School Final Examination, to undergo supplementary course. 39 Students sit in the History Class, 18 in the Chemistry, 14 in Mathematics, 10 in Sanskrit, 35 in Telugu and the Class thus breaks up when the above subjects are taught.

All the 62 Students have taken up English and they are taught in two batches. The Students that failed last year being grouped as one, and the Students promoted from the V Form as another—by the teachers. The Head Master being in charge of the one, and, the first Assistant of the other. This measure requires only slight modification as these two teachers are worked much and to give them relief. I appointed one Mr. M. Subbarao, B.A., who is to assist the above two in English and to do Mathematics work in condition. He is to join duty on the 15th of August. In the identification of the materials got for science apparatus and the books newly received for the Library. The Deputy Director noted that six books were not yet received. The Government gave a grant of Rs. 300/- for

for science apparatus and Library and for the Rs. 150/- allotted, for science materials are identified correctly for the amount, but, though the Government grant Rs.150/- were allotted for the purchase of the Library Books. I gave indent for Rs. 176/- worth of Books and Books worth Rs.150/- to the money given by the Government were identified for Rs. 26/- allotted by the School for special Books, they not being procurable here, --

Messrs. Bodduramayya & Co., of Vizagapatam, have given orders to the Companies where they are available and they are expected shortly. Whether the Books for Rs.26/- are got or not, for Rs.300/- given by Government, Science materials worth Rs.150/- and Books worth Rs.150/- were shown during the investigation. The six books noted as not having been received are for Rs. 26/- of the School money and on the arrival of theirs, proof would be submitted to the Department regarding the day on which the indent was given and their prices together with the reasons of Book-sellers of Vizagapatam for delay. It is our misfortune that the Inspector must have pressed the A.I., to note this to lead the D.D., to think that they are serious attempts made in this School to misuse Government money. The only fault of this Institution is that in the period in which it was working under loss salaries to Teachers accumulated but in the course of the last term a portion of the debt was cleared from mere schools fees and the remaining would be cleared in the course of this term from school fees and other sources for which the following arrangements have been made.

3. The Local Public Prosecutor and Mr. D. Jagannadha Rao Pantulu Landed Proprietor, and Mr. O.P. Ramamoorthy, Pleader have agreed to liquidate the debts of the school and as the future of the school has been hanging in the balance. They waited to see if the students would reassemble in full and if the recognition would continue in order that they might usefully give their money to the Institution.

The boys have rejoined in their confidence in the staff and the three Gentlemen have come to realise that the school has inherent strength and that by giving it money and undertaking its management they can satisfy the Department.

As moneyed men are very cautious and want to be quite sure of the continuance of the recognition, they wish to write to the Department of their readiness to give ~~1000~~ money and to know from the Department its attitude towards the school, and they also calculate what steps they ought to take for the future conduct of the Institution. I request you to give us 45 days time, since their own letter to you would follow this in three or four days, to end our negotiation to the satisfaction of the money givers and the interest of the Institution.

4. Regarding the discrepancy in the accounts I beg to submit that they were prepared in the time of the late Headmaster by the writer under his instructions and I could not therefore explain them in their absence but I beg to submit -- that there was no motive in falsifying the accounts as I would gain nothing thereby.

5. The school is in vigorous working order, notices were circulated by the opener of The New George Secondry School, that the withdrawal of the recognition of this School was recommended by the Inspector and to scare the public the Office -- number and date of the recommendation were quoted. Though the Inspector says he is not responsible for the persons quoting them and that he never gave permission for the opening of the new school and he did not know how the Office No. and date got out or what it means. Public confidence in this Institution sustained the scare created by such notices and the commotion started by the same. Old students have re-joined and new -- students come in. The staff is in fact. Would the Department in its benign mercy grant but fortyfive days time to make the moneyed men to estimate the strength of the Institution still more carefully and liquidate its debts and provide for its future up keep.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.
Sd/- S.V. KrishnaRow
Manager, R.H.T.H., School,
Vizianagram.

XIII

Dis.No.5441 of 1912

Dated 3-8-1912.

Appendix No XIV

43

40

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper:-

Again Progs. Dis.No.3406 dated 27-5-10.

Also Progs. Ref. on Cur. No.380 of 12 dated 10-6-12.

The privilege of recognition accorded to the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagaram is withdrawn as the condition specified in para 2 of Proceedings Dis.No.3406 dated 27-5-10 has not been fulfilled.

1-977

2. The attendance of boys in the School in the current term may be reckoned in any recognised school they may subsequently join for S.S.L.C. and other purposes.

(Sd). R.G.Grieve,
for Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Correspondent, Ripon H.T.H. School,
Vizianagaram.

XIV

Dis. No. 5792 of 1912.

Dated 21--8--1912.

XV 44
41

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION OFFICE.

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following:---

From the Manager, R.H.T.H. School, Vizianagram,
No. 40, dated 9th August 1912, submitting his explanation
in regard to the defects pointed out by the Deputy Director
in the course of his investigation of the affairs of the
school.

Recorded with reference to Proceedings Dis. No.
5441 of 1912, dated 8th August 1912.

2. The Director sees no reason for reconsidering
the order passed.

Sd/- x x x

For Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Manager,
Inspector of Schools, 1st Circle.

XV

No. 5925 of 1912:

Dated 26-8-12.

Appendix No XVI

45
42

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following paper:-

Telegram dated 20th August 1912 from A. Sanyasi Razu of Vizianagaram.

Also the telegram and petitions from the students of the Ripon High School, Vizianagaram.

The Manager of the Ripon School is informed that the Director is not prepared to ^{take} any action upon telegrams, which, while asking for reconsideration of the order withdrawing the recognition of the school, yet provide no guarantee that the state of affairs which necessitated the issue of these orders has in any degree been improved.

The Manager and all concerned must distinctly understand that the Director is not prepared to reopen the question at all, unless and until he is satisfied that the management has been transferred to a body so constituted as to ensure the school being carried on in compliance with the conditions of recognition as stated in Rule 22 of the Madras Educational Rules.

(Sd) V. Krishnaswamy,
for Director of Public Instruction

To

The Manager,

R.H.T.H. School, Vizianagaram

Copy to K. Sanyasi Razu, Vizianagaram.

Copy to Inspector of Schools, I Circle.

XVII

No 441

Appendix No XVII

46

43

9, Muthucalati Chetti Street.
Triplicane, Madras.
31-8-12.

From

The Secretary,
VIDYABHIVARDHANI SABHA,
VIZIANAGARAM.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,
MADRAS.

SIR,

With reference to your Proceedings No 5441 of 12/8-8-12, it is stated therein that the recognition of the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagaram is withdrawn as the condition in para 2 of your Proceedings No 3406/27-5-12, has not been fulfilled.

Para 2 of the above Proceedings runs as follows:—"The Director can give no guarantee that the recognition will be continued, unless the School is satisfactorily conducted in all respects."

As shown below, I beg to submit that the school cannot now be said not to satisfy all the conditions of recognition, since the defects noted by the Inspector of Schools in his report dated 24-6-12, and the Deputy Director have been rectified from the time of the dismissal of the late Head Master in the early part of the current year.

2. Here, I may be permitted to refer to my communication No. 8, 24-1-12, to the Inspector, acquainting him with the highly unprofessional conduct unfortunately adopted by my late Head Master, the said Mr. Kumara Swami. I was most unfortunate as I now realise it to my cost, in issuing Proceedings to him dated 15-1-12 (a copy is herewith enclosed) placing him in entire charge of the school. During my continued absence for nearly a period of 2 years from Vizianagaram, he had every opportunity to mismanage everything, taking advantage of my confidence in him, till I had to open my eyes by the secret conspiracies to blow up this long standing Institution, when I had to remove him from my service after full enquiry. In spite of the many cases of gross mismanagement, and unprofessional behaviour brought to his door, I gave him a chance to honorably resign his place.

3. At this juncture, "understanding my kindness to be weakness and inability to dispense with his services," he rushed to Mr Mahomed Auzam, the Inspector of Schools, and "burst into a flood of tears, captured his sympathies, and by astonishingly skilful representations, made him think that I was meditating his dismissal quite unjustly" as stated in my letter No. 33

dated 11—6—12. It is not quite unnatural that any person of a sympathetic disposition, and kind-hearted nature, should be moved to stretch a helping hand under the above circumstances. To my great misfortune, the Proceedings of the Inspector, No. R. C. 2597 mis. of 11 dated 21st Dec. 1911 had been the consequence, which has become the foundation for the ensuing difficulties. Neither my explanation to the Inspector through a D. O. dated 24—12—1911 (copy enclosed herewith) nor my communication No 8/24—1—12 did, as subsequent events show, appear to convince the gravity of Mr. Kumaraswami's conduct, thus putting an end to his trials to work out his threats to see the recognition of the school withdrawn, as openly avowed by him in the presence of a number of my teachers. Though I wished as much as I could to avoid this delicate situation, the interest of the school at heart peremptorily demanded an instant and unavoidable removal of the late Headmaster. It is not strange that this dismissal, as viewed from the stand point of the order of Mr. Mahomed Auzam, should appear to be a defiance of his order giving rise to his prejudice. To add to this, Mr. Kumaraswami could secure a post at Vizagapatam (the Head Quarters of the Inspector) which gave him full facilities to carry tales to the Inspector to grow the prejudice which has already taken its seed.

Not being content with this, he caught hold of Mr. Narasimha Charlu, Mathematics Assistant of the school, K. Satya Narayanamoorthy, the Telugu Teacher of the lower forms and one V. Appa Row, a student of the V Form, all dismissed for misconduct and neglect of duty, to write petitions against me with false allegations, dictated by him, to strengthen the misrepresentation he had already made to the Inspector of Schools.

A perusal of all the petitions side by side will show that they all started from one and the same pen at least regarding the allegations.

Not satisfied with this, Mr. Kumaraswami succeeded in finding a willing and helping hand in one Kristnaswami Naidu, who was forthwith carried to the all-obliging and ever sympathetic Inspector and recommended to be competent to substitute a new Institution in place of this longstanding and popular one. I was therefore advised by the Inspector to withdraw and hand over this Institution to Kristnaswami Naidu. Being ignorant of defects, if any, in my Institution, I was deterred from doing so, though willing, in deference to the strong public opinion of the townsmen, who were, if necessary, ready to take up the management of the school.

The above circumstances would appear to afford a sufficient cause to the Inspector for giving permission No R.C. 733 dated 29—5—12 to Kristnaswami Naidu (which he was freely proclaiming) wherein he referred to the recommendation he made regarding the withdrawal of recognition of our school even though out of place.

This showed that he had made up his mind to wipe out the existence of the school as a sort of punishment to me. The following instance is I think not out of place in showing the favourable attitude of the Inspector towards Mr. Kumaraswami whenever an opportunity occurred.

In the Secondary School Conference which was held at Vizagapatam on the 9th, 11th and 11th May 1912, under the presidency of Mr. Mahomed Auzam, Mr. Kumaraswami was given a special opportunity at the close of the Conference to talk on "Private schools and their management," his chosen subject, although neither his name nor his subject was originally included in the programme circulated to all the schools on 25th April 1912, when all the other speakers were lecturing on Educational Subjects such as:—English, Mathematics, History, etc. The lecture I was informed, was full of baseless and vexatious allegations against our school. Mr. Kumaraswami's audacious trials to prejudice the department did not end with this; while on his way back from Madura, whither he went to leave his daughter in last June, he halted a day at Madras, and saw Mr. Grieve on the pretext of personally lodging a complaint against the manager of the Provincial School (from which also he was removed as Headmaster) in connection with the leaving certificates of his sons and has taken the opportunity of opening his case before him with the object of enlisting his sympathy.

4. The Inspector of Schools inspected our school in August 1911. It is usual to submit the report within three months after the inspection, as can be noted from a series of the past inspection reports. At the time of inspection in last August, an impression was conveyed to me that there were no serious defects worth noticing in the school. If the report had been submitted as usual, the Inspector would not have had an opportunity to put in it ideas which he did not entertain at the time of inspection. But as the report was despatched on 24—6—12 after Mr. Kumaraswami succeeded in his trials to prejudice him, the report came on me as a surprise. The want of a notice, warning me during this long period of ten months of any defects except that of the Registration of the Committee, supports my surmise. Kumaraswami's allegations and the petitions submitted by his underlings, did unfortunately their work, in spite of my representation of facts through my letter dated 27—3—12 (a copy is herewith enclosed).

5. Had I got the least inkling of the serious nature of any defects, my long experience as the Head of the Institution would, I submit, not have made me bold enough to dare your displeasure, not to take into account the severe loss of popularity I have been so long enjoying, which would only be a suicidal policy. I have been working during the last 27 years with a view to place the Institution on solid foundations, to hand it over to the public of Vizianagaram after equipping it fully with all modern requirements and then to retire. With this object in view, I have always been trying to carry out the suggestions of the several officers who inspected the school and their reports bear testimony to this.

Mr. Yates:—The accommodation I have noted is not sufficient for such an increase in strength. The manager's hopes of improving the accommodation all round will, it is hoped, be realised at an early date.

Mr. Yates:—I am glad to report improvement in the accommodation. The Manager has acquired for rent a large garden with buildings opposite the school. The improvement effected in the sheds at the back of the main building, which are now separated by masonry walls and provided with daises for the masters, is noted.

We have provided a big ventilated hall for practical work with an adjoining room for locating the Laboratory. Thus I have been from time to time trying my best to satisfy the condition regarding the building also either by carrying out the suggestions of the Inspecting Officers, or raising new sheds, when found necessary, or by taking for rent additional buildings when available, as I found it impossible to find another spacious building to remove there. Finding it impossible to procure a building suited for the school I requested a Merchant Prince of Vizianagaram (a former student of the school) to purchase the building for the school so that it might be improved to suit the convenience when it becomes a school building. He consented and when I was ready to submit a plan etc for your approval, in May last the commotion started at Vizianagaram regarding the school prevented me from doing anything in that direction. Even now, if the site is approved, the building will be purchased and improved.

Regarding furniture and other appliances, it may be added that it cannot be said that it is either insufficient or of the old pattern as remarked by the Inspector.

Mr Couldry's remark about furniture etc. in 1910 was as follows:—
 "3. Furniture, apparatus and appliances fair for the present." In 1911 a sum of Rs. 300 being a special grant from the Govt. was spent on apparatus and Library in addition to that spent in 1908, 09 and 10 for purposes of Dual Desks and other apparatus. Although the Inspector appeared to be satisfied, at the time of his Inspection, he subsequently changed his opinion, regarding this in his report under the circumstances stated above.

6. Now that particular attention is being paid by the members of the Committee regarding the financial management etc of the school, ever since the removal of Mr. Kumaraswami in the beginning of the current year, I am glad to find that salaries are being paid, to teachers regularly and signatures obtained after full payment in cash.

As for the alleged number of decrees referred to in the Inspection Report dated 24—6—12, there was only one decree that was passed against me in Dec. last, subsequent to the Inspection in August and after the dismissal of Mr. Kumaraswami in January, Mr. Kumaraswami who was instrumental in getting the decree passed, brought it to the notice of the Inspector of Schools who embodied it in his Inspection report dated 24—6—12. Even though one decree cannot be called a number of decrees, I subsequently saw it paid off and I can assure you now that not even one is outstanding against the Institution. This fact was noted down by the Deputy Director at the time of his investigation.

Regarding the rent of the building, it appears to have been brought to the notice of the Deputy Director, as stated in the Inspection Report, that the rent of the building is in arrears for a considerable period. The Deputy Director will bear testimony, that, at the time of his investigation, arrears to the extent of Rs. 50 only were outstanding. The fact of the past arrears having been liquidated after Mr Kumaraswami's removal and its not being embodied in the Inspection Report of August submitted in June may, I submit, lead you or the Deputy Director to believe that the arrears are still

outstanding. Even the amount of Rs. 50 has been subsequently liquidated, and I shall, if required, submit a voucher for the same. The rent for the current year is being paid regularly.

7. As for the garden rent, the Managing Committee exerted themselves by enlisting the sympathy of the public and their efforts are being crowned with success in many directions; as for example, the owner of the garden who is anxious to become a member of the Committee, came forward and excused the rent due to him as a first instalment of his contribution for the institution.

8. As for the supposed discrepancies in accounts, I submit that they are accounts for the official year ending with March 1911. They were prepared by the late writer under instructions of Mr. Kumaraswami, in my absence, as stated above and I had no occasion to look into them till the Deputy Director drew my attention to them.

You will be pleased to observe that Mr Kumaraswami is now out of the Institution working against it. Unfortunately, the writer, who prepared them, was on his death bed at the time of the Inspection and died that very evening. The Deputy Director's visit was so unexpected that I had no previous notice of it till half an hour before he visited the school.

Thus I request you to note that it was physically impossible for me to go through the accounts even just casually or to acquaint myself in the absence of the two persons concerned. However, my subsequent inquiries show that there are no discrepancies worth stating at all and that I can convince you and the Deputy Director to that effect, if necessary, provided I am given an opportunity to do so. It will also be seen that there was no motive for the falsification of the accounts, as I could gain nothing by such a course.

I have been always warning my staff not to get into Mr. Kumaraswami's ways but to maintain correct accounts and am glad to find that correct accounts are being maintained now.

9. The Sixth Form which contained 62 students on the rolls was divided into two sections immediately after the graduate appointed joined duty on the 15th of August.

10. With regard to the unfair treatment of teachers in the Inspector's report I need only draw your attention to my remarks in my communication No 8/ 24—1—12 to the Inspector. As represented above, no single instance of arrears of pay can be pointed out, and no complaint was made by any teacher on the staff to the Inspector about my treatment. On the other hand, the remark made by the Inspector himself that "the boys command English was fair and in fact better than one could expect, and that the teaching in general appears to be in a tolerably satisfactory condition," would strongly negative any inference of an unfair treatment of the teaching staff, for, such good result, can never be expected from a dissatisfied staff. I can show, if necessary, by documentary evidence that there has been no change in the staff from 1910 and it is rather unnatural that the Teachers should have continued so long putting up with inconvenience and unfair treatment at my

hands. On the other hand, I think myself most unfortunate in my attempts to give justice to them by being solicitous of their welfare during the time of Mr. Kumaraswami who had to walk out with his band for creating a commotion trying to stand forth to the world as an aggrieved party, imputing the unfair treatment etc to me. My action at that stage cannot but show whether I have ever been slow in removing under any circumstances the least inconvenience to my teaching staff when brought to my notice. In fact Mr. Kumaraswami might have found my retention of the teachers against his complaints to be an unfair treatment to him.

After a perusal of all the above facts, I beg to submit that it is unnecessary for me to bring to your kind notice the injustice done to me, not to speak of the Members of the Committee recently registered, in not being afforded even an opportunity to meet charges, if any, of which we are totally in the dark.

The Members of the Committee as I explained to you in my communication No 33/11—6—12 first felt hesitating on account of the rumours spread by Mr. Kumaraswami to take up the management of the School.

After it has taken up the management and when it is just trying to acquaint itself with the improvements and the administration of the school, the present blow in the shape of the withdrawal of recognition came down as a surprise. The Committee is not given any chance of showing their interest in the school by removing defects, if any.

It is most unfortunate, if not an irony of fate, that when a Committee was formed and is just interesting itself to place it on a sound basis, the institution should be visited with punishment for the faults of Mr. Kumaraswami, even though being satisfactorily managed after his removal from the school.

11. The school is now in vigorous working order; the old students have rejoined and new students have come in, which bears testimony to the confidence which the public have in the present management. The staff is in tact.

I therefore beg to submit that the withdrawal of recognition at this part of the term involves manifold difficulties both to the student population and their parents. For, many students will be obliged to discontinue their studies for a time for want of accommodation in the College as it is already over-crowded. Even supposing that they will be provided seats they shall have to incur additional expenditure as they shall have to buy new books and pay the school fees they have already paid in this school.

As for teachers they shall have to be vacant for the remaining period of the term as all the vacancies must have been filled up in this term.

12. I beg to submit once more that all this suffering to the staff, students, public and the Institution is caused on account of the punishment

meted out for alleged defects in the Institution during 1910—1911 the time of the late Headmaster, although at present everything is in a satisfactory condition.

Further, I beg to submit that no perceptible disadvantage would be caused by the alleged defects, as the teaching has been noted by the Educational authorities to be fair and as the said defects do not exist now.

13. Under these circumstances, I humbly and respectfully appeal to your kind generosity, to consider sympathetically about this Institution which is the product of a native enterprise and which is the policy of the benign British Government to encourage, and to grant me and the Committee justice which, I am confident, would not be refused if you peruse the records personally.

14. I, therefore, request you to be kind enough to give the members of the newly formed committee and the gentry of the town who co-operated, a fair opportunity to put the affairs of the school in a satisfactory condition, as they are anxious to do, by modifying the proceedings so as to give time at least till the end of the term by continuing the recognition and thus earn the everlasting gratitude of hundreds of citizens of Vizianagaram.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Secretary.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

C. No. 7149/12, dated 3rd September 1912

Again Proceedings Dis No. 544, dated 8th August 1912. 47

Also the letter, dated 24th August 1912 from the teaching staff of the R.H.T.H.School.

Temporary recognition is granted to the R.H.T.H.School, Vizianagaram from August 8th 1912 to the 30th April 1913 on the following conditions:-

(1) That the present Manager of the school Mr. Venkata Krishna Rao entirely withdraws from all connections with the school and waives all claims to money collected or to be collected as fees, and that he confirms his loan of the library, Laboratory furniture and appliances to the Committee of the teachers which purposes to have been made on his behalf by the head master of the school on the 24th August 1912.

(2) That the school continues to be worked by the members of the staff as a provisional Committee of the management.

(3) That an account is submitted by the 15th of each month to the Inspector showing

- (1) The fee collections for the preceding month.
- (2) The payment of teachers.
- (3) Contingent expenditure.
- (4) Balance, if any.

(4) That the monthly balance be paid into the Bank of --- Madras to a deposit account and that an intimation from the Manager of the Bank be submitted to the Inspector not later than the 30th September that such an account has been opened and that he undertakes not to allow any drawings on this --- account that are not authorised by the Inspector of Schools, I Circle, for the time being.

(5) That the staff of the school is not allowed to fall below a strength of a Head Master. eight assistant class masters, a Sanskrit Pandit and Telugu Pandit , a drawing master, a Gymnastic Instructor, and that of the staff too must not have

less qualifications than B.A., L.T., and two others must be graduates.

(6) That the rates of pay of the teachers shall not be higher than the following scale.

Head Master	---	---	Rs 85/-
1st assistant	---	---	80/
2nd	..	---	50/
3rd	..	---	50/-
4th	..	---	37-8-0
5th	..	---	35/
6th	..	---	22-8-0
7th	"	---	17-8-0
8th	...	---	8-0-0
Sanscrit Pandit			17-8-0
Telugu	"		12-8-0
Drawing Master			17-8-0
Gymnastic Master			15-0-0

In the event of any of these conditions not continuing to be complied with the Temporary recognition will lapse automatically.

Any further recognition of the school will be contingent on compliance of the terms of Proceedings 5925, dated 26th August 1912.

Sd/- R.G. Grieve,

For Director of Public Instruction

To

The Inspector of schools, I Circle.

Head Master, R.H.T.H. School, Vizianagram.

Registrar, Madras University.

Secretary to the Commissioner for
Government Examinations.

Para 1 only

XVIII

Vidyabhivardhani Society, 49

V I Z I A N A G A R A M

Dated 23rd December 12

From

The Secretary,

Vidyabhivardhani Society,

V I Z I A N A G A R A M

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

M A D R A S .

Sir,

With reference to your Proceedings C.No. 7149 of 12^{3rd Sempter 12}, wherein it is stated " that temporary recognition is granted to R.H.T.H. school, on condition that the present Manager of the school Mr. VenkataKrishna Rao entirely withdraws from all connections with the school &c," I have the honour to state that you will be so good as to refer to my communication No 41 dated 31st August 1912, wherein I have explained that I am only a Secretary to a registered association formed on the 11th of June 1912, in pursuance of the Proceedings No. -- 3406 of 10 dated 27-5-10; that the members of the newly formed committee were not given any opportunity to rectify matters, if there be any, and that the Proceedings No. 5925 of 12 dated 26-8-12 came upon me therefore as a surprise.

2. I am afraid that too much reliance was placed on the representations of those working against the institution out of private motives and personal animosity towards me. On behalf of the Committee, I pointed out in my communication referred to above that no consideration was paid to the committee who have come forward so disinterestedly to manage the institution probably on the strength of the representation made by the late Head Master, against whose conduct and attitude towards the school, I have already reported to you and the Inspector of schools in several of my previous communications.

50 56
Your Proceedings 5925 of 12 does not refer to any specific defects of the school and my representation to you on behalf of the committee remains unchallenged to this date.

I pointed out to you that there were no serious defects calling forth immediate action, and as for the minor defects that the committee were prepared to rectify as promptly as possible, as they were anxious to raise the school to a high standard of efficiency as early as they could.

Even now the Committee is at a loss to understand how it can safely carry the management of the school in obedience to your kind Proceedings of C.No. 7149 m so long as the Teachers' Committee was entrusted with so much power, who are all individually subordinates of the school Committee. Moreover the Committee does not insist that I should be the Manager of the school, and they are quite willing to substitute another, if the Educational Department are to hold, against facts and figures that my being in charge of the school is the sole cause of all the alleged defects in the school.

Further, the Teachers' committee, with whom the affairs of the institution are practically entrusted, consisting as they do, of members come from different parts of the Presidency, and having no more interest in the institution than to draw their salaries punctually, cannot in the least be expected to do what the school Committee consisting of respectable gentlemen of rank and position having permanent interest in the locality and come forward with no other motive than the welfare of the institution and having the means and capacity to improve the same might be expected to do.

The present Committee is quite willing to discharge the past liabilities (accumulated in the time of the late Head Master) which it undertook to do on assumption of management. I beg your pardon for pointing out to you that the

members of the Committee regret that scanty notice was taken of their existence, in so far as their subordinates were given an upperhand as it were, over them. The school Committee themselves would have carried out the requisitions of the Department as detailed in Proceedings C.No.7149 of 12^{3rd Semp.12}. They only wanted a little time to carry out your instructions but the reply which they received in your Proceedings No.

5925 of 12 above shows them that though they were willing to remove the Manager if you so insist, still you have almost identified them with the Manager as may have been represented to you by the late Head Master, Mr. Kumaraswami Aiyer who was playing such tricks with the public here also. They feel very much that they were not given a chance to prove how sincere they are in their eagerness to do their utmost to help an institution which did immense service to the town for the past over a quarter of a century.

It is the peculiar misfortune of the Committee that since its ~~xxx~~formation on the 10th of June 1912, under circumstances stated in my letter No 33 of 11-6-12, it has not received sufficient encouragement from you to peacefully carry out its noble purpose for which it is formed. As for me I may be allowed to state that I do not deserve such prominence in Para 1 for all the work I did. I am now convinced that it was foolish on my part to have taken so much pains for the good of the institution since my boyhood only in the interest of the public in spite of the strong opposition of the local collage authorities here.

In May 1910, the Educational Department insisted that I should place the school under the management of a Committee. Being anxious to see that the fruit of my life-long work is not blown away by a side wind, as it were, I agreed to do so under pressure without any consideration for the sacrifice, as I never sought any personal gain or cared for

52

it. From the time of its first formation up to this moment, I have suffered much representation. I have been hitherto -- placing my reliance on the Educational Department, which, I felt confident would not allow themselves to be misled without going into facts and figures and giving a fair trial.

I may be excused in inviting your attention to a matter of interest, though it may be out of place here, that I may be properly judged by you. Anticipating the spiteful attitude of my opponents who would not fail to harp on a single man being in charge of such a good institution, I had formed a Committee long ago as I had never any pecuniary interest in the affair and as my only object in life was to leave this institution, named after one of the noblest of Viceroys which India ever had to the care of my countrymen and then to pass away peacefully. The past account of the school will only convince you how much of my own money I have been spending on the school. Am I a mere beggar hanging on for my livelihood out of the school, to be kicked away most ignominiously on the mere representations of my civil-wishes? I may not be understood here as if I am -- anxious to keep the school under my sole management. Had it been my object I would not have started a Committee in the beginning though I had ample means to give as a guarantee for the safe running of the school. Explaining the disinterested object with which I started the institution to one Mr. P.Venkatapatiraju, a local Zemindar, J.Syamasundararao Pantulu, and K. V. Krishna Rao Pantulu, I asked them to help me in the management of the school as I was then inexperienced, so that the public may not out of spite be distrustful of my efforts to give for the city an institution named after a noble Viceroy. A few of the testimonials herewith submitted would only support my statements. I am sorry for mentioning these things which I do only to show that I do not deserve the scant courtesy given to me in Para 1 of the Proceedings No. 7149 of 12 .

5
I represented to you in my communication No. 33 dated 11-6-12 that I was quite willing to walk out and hand over the institution to the public of the city after seeing it established on a firm basis. My solicitude for quality rather than for quantity has been the cause of my misfortune. I always took care that most efficient people are got down here as headmasters of the institution. But considering the respect which the institution carries in the town and the position they occupied my teachers and headmasters have been now and then so unscrupulous as to paint me in the blackest colours to be freed of my untiring and disinterested check over their actions and doings.

Subsequently being afraid of the displeasure of your department and the pen and cry most unjustifiably raised under such circumstances, I wanted to hand over the institution to some zemindars and unfortunately my enemies forestalled me then also. I was all along sure that, if any responsible authority were to audit the accounts and go into the school affairs with an unbiased mind, I would not be so maligned; I was always confident of the consideration which your department has been most kindly showing to me till the time of Mr. Yates who has ultimately changed the good opinion which he first entertained of the institution on the representations of some people on whom he had confidence here and thought me worthy of treatment accorded to me. I was so disgusted that I even went to the length of proposing to amalgamate this institution with the college which with the position which it now occupies with regards to the public and to the Educational Department; is seriously bent upon lending its authority in support of the trials of my enemies. But the public of Vizianagaram realising the injustice that I am receiving everywhere strongly dissuaded me from my resolution. Had not eminent Dr. Bourne seen after enquiry how I have been unjustly dealt with and given me some hopeful assurance, I would not have thought of continuing to take interest in the school at all, though Kumaraswamy Aiyer was busy with his spiteful representations dissuading people

54 60
from taking any interest in the school. Seeing that the Educational Department is insisting on having a Committee, the marginally noted gentlemen have of their own accord bestirred themselves in helping me that I may not witness the result of my own labours from my boyhood demolished before my very eyes and within my very lifetime. So I completely left the institution to their care, but as they want my advice, I have been giving it till now as the Department did not treat me as worthy of the position, I have all along been holding. What my faults are have not been pointed out to me.

Under these circumstances, I request you to reconsider the matter and judge for yourself how I merited the implications contained in Para 1 of your Proceedings No. 7149 of 12
3-9-12
and why such a condition should be insisted reflecting so much discredit and personal insult to me. This is the first time that I had ever met with such recognition in my whole lifetime. An intimation from you would have had the desired effect, though my private conviction may be otherwise. I might have voluntarily resigned my connection with the institution instead of being so compelled to do by your Proceedings.

My voluntary trials to see the institution stands permanently and my efforts to see that a Committee is organised to take up the management of the institution would show whether I am expecting any return out of the school. Even now I do not mind in whose hands the institution is placed provided there is sufficient guarantee that it would go on permanently. Accordingly I submit that Para 1 directed at me is unnecessary and out of place.

To place the institution in the hands of a temporary Committee without considering the claims of either the Committee or of me as the proprietor, would only show that I am an undesirable element which, if picked out, would save the institution.

Before concluding, I beg to add that if two successive

Inspectors of Schools have reported against my School, it was because they were both prejudiced by those who had private -- grounds to see that my institution is ruined. Mr. Yates who first reported against my institution was prejudiced by the College authorities who were naturally interested in ruining my institution but I was able to convince Dr. Bourne of the truth of the matter and accordingly he was pleased to cancel the order which he passed first on the representation of Mr. Yates. Then Mr. Muhammad Azam was prejudiced against my institution by the representations of Mr. Kumarswamy Aiyar, the late Head Master who had naturally grievances against me. Partly because he wanted to cover the fault of the delay in sending a report to the Director in reply to his Proceedings to him after my telegram dated 11th June, informing him about the registration of the Committee, he misrepresented matters to Mr. Grieve and prejudiced him also against my institution. None of these Officers were kind enough to make a ^{full} ~~thorough~~ enquiry and inspect the records carefully and in detail to find out the true cause of the state of the finances and judge themselves whether I have been a loser or gainer by this project, and whether I have been working from pecuniary ~~conflicting~~ considerations or from philanthropic motives. x x (Herewith is enclosed a statement showing the receipts and charges for the last 5 years.) Owing to the treatment given to those gentlemen who have disinterestedly come forward to help the institution, others from whom I can expect similar help are hesitating to interest themselves in the school affairs, lest they should get similar insult. During moments of insanity, Mr. Muhammad Azam evidently wrote whatever was dictated by Mr. Kumarswamy Aiyar and insulted me by stating that I have been living upon the school income, when he could have found out by careful examination of records that the school, far from being self-supporting, had to be worked from funds which I had to contribute to it from my own pocket. I am led to think that the sentence

56
62
sentence inserted in your Proceedings was the outcome of his misrepresentation.

While thanking you for your kind disposition to do for the institution whatever lies in your power under the circumstances, I would beg you to reconsider the matter and pass -- orders saving me from this recorded insult.

One would have expected some public recognition from Government for the untiring efforts with which I have been conducting the management of the institution against adverse circumstances for the past 27 years; and so I leave it to you to judge whether I should go rewarded with an insult instead of such recognition.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Secretary, Vidyabhivardhani Society.

63

59

STATEMENT SHOWING THE INCOME OF THE MEMBERS WHO
HAVE BECOME MEMBERS OF THE REGISTERED ASSO-
CIATION FORMED TO MANAGE R.H.T.H.
SCHOOL, VIZIANAGRAM.

No.	Name.	Occupation.	Income from lands per annum.	Monthly income from other sources.	Remarks
1.	Kanukurti Venkata KrishnaRow.	Land Record Super- viser.	Rs.5,000	Rs.250 per month	Munici- pal Coun- ciller
2.	Padala Satyanarayana Row, B.A.L.T.,	Headmaster	Rs.1,000	Rs.85 -do	
3.	R.V. KristnaRow Pantulu	Trustee Gopalpur	Rs.4,000	Rs.80 -do	
4.	V.AtchutaRow Pantulu	Amin Rajam Estate	Rs. 800	Rs.50 -do	
5.	Ch. Raghunaikulu garu	Killadar, Bobbili	Rs. 500	Rs. 40 -do	A big Merch- ant
6.	N. Chiranjeevirow	Mill Owner	Rs.2,000	Rs. "	Con- tractor Beach Magis- trate
7.	V. SomasundaraRow	Pleader	Rs.2,000	Rs.300 monthly	Mem- ber Distri- Board
8.	K. Sanyasiraju Pantulu	Landed Proprietor	Rs.4,000	"	Beach Magistrat
9.	D. JagannadhaRow Pantulu	Pensioner	Rs.3,000	Rs.250 Pension monthly	

Sd/- x x x

Secretary.

Dis No. 440 of 1913.

Dated 21--1--1913.

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION OFFICE.

MADRAS.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

Read the following papers:--

Again Proceedings C. No. 7149/3 of 1912, dated 3rd September 1912.

Also letter No. 43, dated 23rd December 1912 from the Secretary, Vidya Vardhini Sabha, Vizianagram.

The Director is not prepared to modify in any way the conditions on which temporary recognition was granted to the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagram.

Sd/- x x x

For Director of Public Instruction.

To

The Secretary,

Vidya Vardhani Sabha, Vizianagram.

Copy to the Inspector of Schools, First Circle.

XX

XX

Certificate of Incorporation.

No. 1
1912-13.

I hereby certify pursuant to Act XXI of 1860 of the Governor-General of India in Council entitled "An Act for the Registration of Literary, ~~xxxx~~ Scientific and Charitable Societies, 1860" that Vidyabhi Vardhini Society, Vizianagaram is duly incorporated as a Society under the ~~xxxx~~ aforesaid Act.

Vizagapatam,
Dated 10th June 1912.

(Sd) K. Nataraja Pillai,
Asst. Registrar.

(True Copy)

B.O. Vizagapatam,
Dated 11th of June 1912

(Sd) A. Nataraja Pillai,
Asst. Registrar.

Appendix ~~XXXII~~

58

66

59 67

VIDYABHI VARDHANI SOCIETY, VIZIANAGARAM.

Memorandum of Association.

Incorporated under Act XXI of 1860.

I. Name:- The name of the Society shall be "Vidyabhi Vardhani Society, Vizianagaram.

II. Object:- The object of the Society shall be to manage the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagaram, and its branches only.

III. Power:- The Committee shall have the power to carry out its object in all the ways above specified, the Committee reserving to itself the power of allotting as much money as it thinks fit to each school according to circumstances from time to time.

IV. Names of the Members:- The gentlemen who have subscribed their names to the annexed list shall constitute the Committee for the present and the same shall be guided by the annexed rules or any other rules that may be made from time to time by the Committee.

V. Managing Board:- The Managing Board shall for the time consist of:-

No.	Name.	Designation.	Signature.
1.	K. V. Krishna Rao	Supdt., Rev. Dept., President, Vizianagaram.	(Sd.) K.V.Krishna Rao. Member, Dist. Board., Vizag, 2 - 8 - 12.
2.	P. Satyanarayana Rao	Landholder, Member, Vizianagaram.	(Sd.) P. Satyanarayana Rao, Teacher, 5-5-12
3.	S.V. Krishna Rao	Secretary, Vizianagaram.	(Sd.) S.V. Krishna Rao 5-5-12

List

List of Members.

No.	Name.	Designation.	Signature.
1.	K.V.Krishna Rao.	Supdt., Revenue Dept.	(Sd.) K.V.Krishna Rao 2-6-12.
2.	P.Satyanarayana Rao	Landlord.	(Sd.) P.Satyanarayana Rao, 5-5-12.
3.	S.V.Krishna Rao	Landholder.	(Sd.) S.V.Krishna Rao 5-5-12
4.	R.V.Krishna Rao	Mokhasadar.	(Sd.) R.V.Krishna Rao 4-5-12
5.	V.Achutha Rao	Asst. Amin.	(Sd.) V.Achutha Rao 5-5-12
6.	W.Ch.Raghunayakulu	Merchant.	(Sd.) W.Ch.Raghunaya- kulu, 21-5-12
7.	N.Chiranjivi Rao	Bench Magistrate and Contractor.	(Sd.) N.Chiranjivi Rao, 5-6-12

No. of the Society 1/1912-18.

Name of the Society. Vidyabhi Vardhani Society, Vizianagaram.

Name of document. Memorandum of Association.

Date of Registration. 20th June 1912.

(Sd.) K. Nataraja Pillai.

Asst. Registrar.

(True Copy.)

(Sd.) K. Nataraja Pillai,

Asst. Registrar.

61 69
CONSTITUTION AND RULES.

(1) Membership:- No person shall be eligible for membership unless he attains majority, is of a sound mind and comes under one of the undermentioned classes.

(a) Graduates

(b) Public servants drawing a monthly salary of Rs.100 and upwards.

(c) Retired public servants drawing a pension of Rs.50 a month and upwards.

(d) Pleaders

(e) Persons who pay an income-tax

(f) Landholders paying an annual assessment of Rs.250 and upwards.

(g) Other gentlemen whose annual income is not less than Rs.1,000, provided however that a person not falling under any of the above mentioned classes, may be elected as a member by a vote of not less than 3/5ths of the members on rolls for the time being.

(2) Funds:- Every member shall pay a subscription of One rupee a month and all members shall be liable to make good equally Rs.3,000 (Rupees Three thousand) which shall form the fund of the Committee if the same be not collected by general subscriptions and donations before 1st January 1913.

(3) Number of members:- The Committee shall consist of not less than eight members.

(4) Management:- The management shall rest in a Board consisting of the President, Secretary and one other member all of them shall be residents of Vizianagaram and shall be elected by the general body of members from amongst themselves once in three years.

(5) Any vacancy in the Office of bearers or the other members of the Managing Board shall be filled up by the remaining members for the ~~xx~~ unexpired portion of three years mentioned in rule 4.

(6) Managing Board:- The Managing Board shall meet as often as necessary for the conduct of business. Three members shall form the quorum thereof.

(7) The properties of the Committee shall vest in the Board and be in the custody of the Secretary.

(8)(a) All appointments acting or permanent shall be made by the Board after consultation with the Headmaster when found necessary, but the President shall have the power to fill up any vacancy that may occur for a period of one month, such appointment shall however be reported to the Board.

The suspension, dismissal or removal of the servants shall be in the hands of the Board. In the last two cases there shall be a right of appeal to the Committee.

(b) The Headmaster alone shall be responsible for the internal management of the school.

(9) The Board shall invest the funds of the Committee in any Bank or with any person if it approves of.

(10) The Board shall appoint an auditor every year in January to audit the accounts of the previous calendar year and the auditor's report shall be forwarded to the Secretary by the 1st of March and the same shall be placed by him before the Committee.

(11) President:- Subject to such resolution as the Board may pass from time to time the President shall have a general control over the Committee's affairs.

63 71
(12) He shall preside over all meetings of the Board and the Committee. In his absence one of the members present may be selected to preside.

(13) The President shall have the power to fine any of the servants of the Committee, but the fine in no case shall exceed one-twentieth of the salary paid and shall be subject to revision by the Board. All servants of the Committee shall be completely and immediately subordinate to him.

(14) Secretary:- The Secretary shall solely be responsible for the executive administration and shall be in entire charge of the accounts and correspondence.

(15) All documents executed in favour of the Committee shall be in the name of the Secretary ex-officio. He will represent the committee in all suits brought by or against it.

(16) The Secretary shall not have the sum of more than Rs.100/- with him and any excessive sum that comes to his hands should as early as possible be invested as per rule 9.

(17) The accounts and correspondence of the Committee can be inspected at any time by any of the members or educational authorities with a day's notice to the members.

(18) Meetings:- The Secretary shall ordinarily convene all meetings. But he shall also be bound to do so at the instance of the President or on a requisition signed by at least two members in the case of the Board and 5 members in the case of the Committee.

(19) If for any reason a meeting be adjourned to any date beyond 7 days, a fresh notice shall be given.

(20) All questions before a meeting shall be decided by a majority of votes; the President or other presiding member

64 72

having a casting vote, if there should be an equality of votes.

(21) General meetings:- An ordinary General meeting shall be held in the first week of April every year for considering the previous calendar year's administration report, audit notes, and the remarks of the Educational authorities at the inspection of the school or schools under the management of the Committee.

(22) There shall also be another such meeting in the last week of September every year for passing the budget of the ensuing calendar year.

N.B. In the above two cases all the papers shall have been previously circulated among the members of the Board.

(23) General meetings may also be convened whenever necessary with clear ten days' notice to the members. The purpose shall invariably be stated in the same

(24) One half of the resident members shall form the quorum subject to proviso in rule I.

(25) The Committee shall have the power to add, to cancel, or amend the rules or the resolutions in force at any time and any question not specifically dealt with by the rules shall be governed by the provisions of Act XXI of 1860.

(True Copy)

(Sd) K.V. Krishna Rao,
President, 2-6-1912.

(Sd) S.V. Krishna Rao,
Secretary, 2-6-1912.

(Sd) P. Satyanarayana Rao,
2-6-1912.

is 73

No. of the Society 1
1912-13

Name of the Society Vidyabhivardhini Society,
Vizianagaram.

Name of the Document Copy of Rules

Date of filing 10th June 1912.

(Sd) K. Nataraja Pillai,
Asst. Registrar.

(True Copy)

R.O. Vizagapatam,

Dated 11th of June 1912.

(Sd) K. Nataraja Pillai
Asst. Registrar.

XXII

STATEMENT SHOWING THE RECEIPTS AND CHARGES FROM THE YEAR

1907-1912 1st term of the Ripon Hindu Theological

High School, Vizianagram.

Year.	Amount collected in the shape of Fees & special Fees.	Amount spent on teaching staff & servants from Jan to Dec.	Other Expenses such as Library Furniture appearances repairs &c.	Total expenditure.	Re - marks.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
1907	8691-0-3	9040-10-1	1085-14-11	10,126-9-0	
1908	9512-11-3	9160-2-0	1631-14-0	10,792-0-0	In addition to furniture grant Rs 300/-
1909	5308-2-0	6403-3-11	842-1-0	7245-4-11	
1910	7222-9-6	7302-11-6	622-12-3	7925-7-9	In addition to special grant Rs 300/-
1911	5970-8-0	7241-2-9	327-6-9	7568-9-6	
1912 1st term.	2106-11-0	2637-14-6	227-8-0	2865-6-6	
Total.	38,811-10-0	41,785-12-9	47,37-8-11	46,523-4-8	

Sd/- S.V. KrishnaRow,

Secretary.

A

URGENT

No. R.C. 563 D 11
of 1912/25-1-12.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,

FIRST CIRCLE,

CAMP RAJHMUNDY.

Dated 23--1--1912.

From

MOULAVI MUHAMMUD AUZAM SAHEB BAHUR, B.A.,

INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS, FIRST CIRCLE.

W A L T A I R.

To

SECRETARY, MANAGING COMMITTEE,

RIPON HIGH SCHOOL,

V I Z I A N A G R A M.

Sir,

I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to favour me with an early reply to this Office R.C.

No. 563 D of 10 dated 28-8-11, communicating Proceedings Reference No. 7416 of 1910 dated 23-8-1911, of the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, wherein the Committee has been requested to make certain modifications in the Memorandum of Association of the Society for conducting the Ripon High School, Vizianagram.

2. A remainder has been received from the Director of Public Instruction Madras, on the Subject.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Sd/- Moulavi Muhammad Auzam.

Acting Inspector of Schools, I Circle.

23--1--1912.

(TRUE COPY)

No. R.C. 272 Mis 12

11-2-12.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,

FIRST CIRCLE,
CAMP POLAVARAM,

Dated 9-2-1912.

From

MOULAVI MUHAMMUD AUZAM, SAHEB BAHUDUR, B.A.,
ACTING INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS, FIRST CIRCLE,
WALTAIR.

To

THE MANAGER,
RIPON HIGH SCHOOL,
VIZIANAGRAM.

Sir,

I have the honour to request you will be so good as to let me know with the least possible delay, whether the Committee has been registered and whether an endowment has been formed and if so the amount of the endowment. A copy of the revised memorandum of Association may also be furnished to this office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Sd/- x x x

Acting Inspector of Schools
First Circle.

S. VENKATAKRISTNA RAO,
Manager, R. H. T. H. School

Vizianagaram,
"Venkateswara Vilas"
27-3-12.

To

Moulvi Mohamed Auzam Saheb Bahadur B.A.,
Inspector of Schools,
Acting in the First Circle
Vizagapatam.

Sir,

A strong committee with Mr. Kumarasawmy Iyer as its president and the dismissed servants as its active members was recently formed here to work at the destruction of the longstanding and useful Institution, the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagaram, with the object of strongly prejudicing your mind and that of the Director of Public Instruction; each member of the committee is sending petitions after petitions containing false information both to your office and that of the Director. They are in return being forwarded to me for my remarks and report and have been lying with me for about a week or so owing to the death of my 3rd brother-in-law a promising young boy of 14 at my house. Another brother-in-law was also ailing at the time of the death and owing to the shock he became worse subsequently and I am now attending on him being very anxious about his health. I have thus no peace of mind to attend to the petitions referred to me. I already informed this fact by means of a letter to the Head Clerk of your office and requested him to inform you of the same on my behalf. The petitions referred to me require elaborate remarks as I have to prove to your satisfaction that they are simply vexatious and are intended to injure the school permanently.

Under the present circumstances I am not in a position to send you my remarks as early as they are expected, and I therefore request you to excuse me till the end of this month to submit the same. Further I have a mind to pay my respects to you in person with all the necessary records for your perusal as otherwise it is impossible to satisfy you as to the reality of the facts here. I am obliged to write to you this D.O. so that you may not be prejudiced by the parties concerned by representing either in person

Inspector of Schools:

Acting in the United States

3

or by means of another petition anonymous or otherwise that I am so impertinent as not to care either for you or for the Director of Public Instruction and thus delay the correspondence.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Yours obediently,

Sd/ S. V. Kristna Rao.

(True Copy)

23rd June 1912

Vizianagaram

S.V.Kristna Rao

Manager.

194.13

The ~~dog~~ with C.O. 1250 in
orig mail to be ~~to~~ returned
with reply.

Noted in CB

CUW
20.4.13

2/4/13

Extra Cor 1250/13 ✓
20-4-1913



C. 14578/12
5/5/13

To.

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Local, Municipal and Educational Department

Honoured sir,

I beg to enclose herewith a statement showing the number on rolls in the Ripon Hindu Theological High School Vizianagaram, from 1900 - 1913 for your honours kind information as I have already submitted a memorial to His Excellency the Governor of Madras on behalf of the School which I am informed is pending before your honour for disposal.

I beg to remain,

Honoured sir,

Your most obedient servant,

R. K. S. S. S.

Secretary.

Vidyabhivardhini Society

Vizianagaram.

1st May 1913

PR 5/5

81
73

Statement showing the number on rolls in the Ripon Hindu
Theological High School, Vizianagaram from 1900 to 1913
April.

No.	year.	No on rolls on the 31st March.	Remarks.
1.	1900-1901	295	Average number on the rolls was always above 300 during the year.
2.	1901-1902	308	
3.	1902-1903.....	295.....	
4.	1903-1904.....	315.....	
5.	1904-1905.....	325..	
6.	1905-1906.....	344	Average was always above 400 during the year.
7.	1906-1907.....	400.	
8.	1907-1908.....	380	
9.	1908-1909.....	405	From the year in which with- drawl of recognition was recommended by Mr. Yates.
10.	1909-1910.....	263	
11.	1910-1911.....	255	
12.	1912-1913	225	
13.	1912-1913.....	238	In spite of the fact that the recognition lapses with the 30th of April the strength has increased in the second term by nearly 60 students owing to the popularity of the institution.
14.	30th April 1913	291	

1st May 1913 .

H. Krishna Rao
Secretary

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 1533 of 74

Received 190 , Registered 9 5 1903.

Subject.



Resubmitting petition from S.V. Krishna Rau, founder and Proprietor of the Ripon Hindu Theological High School at Vizianagaram and stating that it deserves no consideration.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies.

Ref on Cur No 3 3 9 9 /13

Station, Madras, Dated the 6th May 1903

From (Name) The Hon'ble Sir Alfred Gibbs Bourne, D.Sc.F.R.S.
K.C.I.E.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.

Educational Local and Municipal Department.

To, Stonehousehill, The Nilgiris.

With reference to endorsement No. 1250-1, Educational, dated 21st April 1913, I have the honour to resubmit herewith the petition of S.V. Krishna Rau of the Ripon High School, Vizianagaram, and to state that it deserves no consideration.

As regards the subject matter of the petition and the references to secondary education in Vizianagaram in general, I consider that the petition is couched in terms that are objectionable. The argument mainly consists in assertions that my officers have been the victims of misrepresentations on the part of his enemies and have allowed themselves to be prejudiced in their dealings with the school, that when he has been able to see me personally all has been well,

B27 9/5

and that now I myself have become prejudiced, he is appeal to Government. In particular I object to Mr. Grieve in paras 20 and 21 of his petition, and hardly add that the recognition of the school was not by Mr. Grieve but myself and then only after full consideration. Besides Mr. Grieve, Mr. Stone also visited Vizianagaram and agreed with the several Inspectors in estimate of the school. From enquiries which I made during a recent tour in the Circars I concur with the Inspector, Mr. Mansford and the Municipal Council in the opinion that private enterprise in Vizianagaram has proved entire failure. I am submitting separately for the consideration of Government an application from the Council for the opening of an incomplete secondary school. This school will, with the Maharajah's College, be able fully to meet the needs of secondary education in Vizianagaram. The other secondary schools referred to in the petition are not fit for recognition and the points raised in connection with the school opened by Krishnaswami Naidu have already been dealt with departmentally.

2. The history of the case of the Ripon School is briefly as follows:-

In its earlier years the school appears to have been worked fairly satisfactory, but after 1909 serious defects were noticed so much so that in February 1910 recognition had to be withdrawn owing to defects in the staff, financial position, and management. The school prayed for time till it could be reorganised, in order, secure a re-estimate, and then apply for recognition. All the conditions were complied with, but the school was not

Page 98/100

indom
(now linked)

Quarrels between the headmaster Kumaraswami Aiyar and the Manager had been continuous and there were debts to the school. In June the Manager was asked to show cause why the school should not be withdrawn. On this the Manager formed a Committee but there was no evidence that the Committee consisted of men of substance, able and willing to put the school on a satisfactory basis, and no improvement was effected in the general condition of the institution. I took the opportunity of the Deputy Director being deputed to conduct an inspection at Berhampur and instructed him to halt at Vizianagar and enquire into matters in situ.

His report was as follows:-

" I have the honour to state that on 5th August 1911 I visited the Ripon High School and spent about 3 hours. The Manager was present.

I concur in the opinion of the Inspector of Schools, 1st Circle, that the recognition of the school should be withdrawn at once on account of the condition of the school. I found prevailing at the time of my visit.

i. Management and finance. The Committee which has been formed has ^{as} yet subscribed nothing at all. The Manager admitted himself that the staff were owed about Rs 100 in the shape of pro-notes. A few members of the staff have been paid for June and none for July.

The Manager further admitted that he had not paid the rent for 1911. He also appears to owe Rs 55 and has a garden which forms part of the school premises.

ii. In this connection I have acquitted the Manager.

stated on any page, much less the month.

iv. Several men have lodged cases against the manager for arrears of pay. For instance, the Telugu pandit obtained a decree in Court for about Rs 400/-. Yet he is entered as receiving his full pay in the acquittance roll. I herewith submit this register.

v. As regards ^{for} collections, the method by which they were collected in the past by the late Headmaster is shown herein. The telugu pandit is said to have been dismissed for having collected some fees himself. The manager said these had been made good by deductions from his salary. There is nothing in the acquittance roll to show this.

vi. Staff is quite inadequate. For 8 classes there are, besides the Headmaster / who is the Manager's brother-in-law/

1 L.T.

1 Mathematics B.A.

1 trained F.A.

1 untrained F.A.

1 trained Matriculate / newly appointed and absent/

1 Middle school trained

1 who studied up to Matriculation.

They gave the Inspector
school with

5 18
is bad, and so is sanitation. It is the same
Mr. Yates condemned long ago. For example, in
room is 24.3 x 10.8 while there are 37 on rolls:
If there are 24 on rolls and the room is (including
teacher's platform) 18 x 10.4. There is no proper
Science. All the rooms are poor ones.

viii. Equipment is inadequate. For Chemistry, e.
C. Group Chemistry, there is no proper furniture and
is very scanty. Most of the furniture is old fashioned
apart from apparatus for Science and Geography there is
at all. Rule 38 of the Madras Educational Rules is only
partially complied with as regards gynaesthetic apparatus.
In 1911 the school got a special grant of Rs 300/- . The school
submitted vouchers for all the articles. Yet some of the
books -about 6- have never been bought and the management
said nothing whatever about their non-supply when the vouchers
were sent to the Inspector. This is certainly dishonest.

The recognition may, I recommend, be withdrawn at
once and this grant refunded. Boys in Forms IV, V and VI
may be allowed to count their attendance for the Secondary School
Leaving Certificate upto the date of the order both in this
school and in the school into which they are admitted, as was
done in Travancore."

It is clear from this report that the only course
was to withdraw the recognition of the school. As a special
case the teachers were permitted to continue to work the school
till the end of the current school year i.e. till 30th April
so that the boys might not suffer by the closing of the school
in the middle of the year. This recognition automatically
lapsed on 30th April 1913 and the request was made
rather the ex-man

...s College, an incomplete set
Municipal management.

70
I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

C. W. ...

For Director of Public Instruction.

27/11

N.V.S.

110

Stock Form No. 185.
4,000-9-6-10.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received

191

Registered

191

Subject.

Request for recognition of R.H.T.H.School,
Vizianagaram.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 2

Spare copies

No. 1461-P.

Station, Ootacamund. , Dated the 11th May 1913. 191

From / Name/ C.B. Cotterell, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Private Secretary to His Excellency the
Governor.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department,

Sir,

I am desired to transfer to you for disposal
by the Government the enclosed letter, dated the 8th
May 1913, from Messrs. Satyanarayanamurthy and Appa Rao,

Vizianagaram city
8th May 1913

Most Honoured Sir,

We most humbly pray
that your honour would be pleased to sub-
mit the accompanying copy of our representation
to the Director to the most benign consideration
of His Excellency the Governor of Madras in
connection of with the appeal of Mr. F. V. Krish-
na Rao the Manager of the R. H. S. H. School Vizian-
agaram (Secretary Vidyabhinarthana
Society) for continuing the recognition of
the School -

We beg to remain

Most Honoured Sir
your most obedient servant

Ratyanarayana
V. Appa Rao

90
Edm
2
115.17
Regarding the Manager's Appeal to His Excellency,
The Governor against the order of the Department.

To,

22
The Director,
of Public Instruction.

Most Honoured Sir,

We, the undersigned, heard that Mr. S.V. Krishnarew, the former Manager, appeal to the Government for the recognition of the R.H.T.H. School against the order of the Department. Before the matter will be settled by the Government, we most humbly request your honour to submit the substance of this paper to the Government.

If there is not a proper representation of what the Vidyaabhiwardhani Society (The Managing Committee created by the Manager in order to obtain recognition) is, Secondary Education in Vizianagaram would partly be in the hands of people forming a close league.

The members of the society are:-

- 1 Mr. K.V. Krishnarew
- 2 , , , P. Satyamayanarew
- 3 , , , S.V. Krishnarew (the Manager of the School)
- 4 , , , R.V. Krishnarew
- 5 , , , V. Achutharew
- 6 , , , W. Ch. Raghunathkulu
- 7 , , , N. Chiranjivirew

The Manager has created such a committee, and framed such rules, as would make the life of the school depend solely on himself. He made his brother-in-law the Headmaster and a member (No. 2 above) of the committee. The 5th member is the Headmaster's father-in-law's brother. The 6th member is the Headmaster's daughter's father-in-law. The remain-

committee, a Managing Board was created, whose three members are the Manager, the Headmaster and the President.

Rule 7 of the Society states "The properties of the committee shall rest in the Board and be in the custody of the Secretary". Rule 14 states "The Secretary is solely responsible for the execution, administration and shall be in entire charge of the accounts and correspondence". Rule 15 states "All the documents executed in favour of the committee shall be in the name of the Secretary ex-officio. He will represent the committee in all suits brought by or against it." With regard to the internal management, Rule 8 b states "The Headmaster alone shall be responsible for the internal management of the school".

Thus, the external management is in the hands of the Manager and the internal management is in the hands of his brother-in-law. If any appeal is made to the Committee, it must be decided in favour of the Manager, the majority being his relatives. With such a committee, the Manager has ventured to appeal to His Excellency. It simply means that the former management would continue. He thinks that the Department cannot understand him.

Your honour must have heard by this time the great hubbub during the closing of the R.N.T.H. School. The Headmaster and the Manager were at the bottom of it. Knowing that the school could be dissolved by the end of April the Headmaster managed by many artifices not to publish the results of the boys and thus not to issue their leaving certificates, because his brother-in-law preferred a memorial to the Government, and his school should not break till his Excellency's decision. In cyclostyle notices issued by the Manager on the 23rd of April and circulated in the school, the boys were advised not to apply for leaving certificates. Some parents brought all the cir-

We feel very much ashamed of the troubles in Vizian-gram, on account of the complaints against the management of the Ripen School, the town itself got a bad name. If the school continues at all, it must continue under altogether different management devoid of his friends or any of his relations. The townsmen cannot take the management for Mr. Krishnarew would not part with it. There are some perfect gentlemen in this town who are connected with the Senate, the University and the Government, who are friends of Mr. Krishnarew.

When the last recognition was given to the Committee of Teachers, he was asked to entirely give up his connection with the school. Yet, on the 23rd he asked boys not to apply for certificates and on the 24th as though he had authority, he dispensed with the services of all the teachers by notices to them except the Headmaster. He vacated the school house and removed all the furniture etc. elsewhere. Without staff, without building, without students who are clamoring for leaving certificates round his house, he appealed for the continuation of the recognition. Such acts as this prejudice the Department against the city itself. We are most sorry for these.

The Department may in time come to discover all things contained in this letter, but we felt it our duty to place them before your honour in time, because they are considered.

The Ripen High School did splendid service. Always fortunate to have able masters, in spite of its

If the Department recommends the sparing

of it with government's help

test benignity

No. 50

Vithianagaram

20th May 1913.

C 1680

26/5/13



To,

The Secretary to Government.
Local, Municipal, and
Educational Department.
Coimbatore.

Most Honoured Sir,

My humble appeal to the
Governor in Council against the withdrawal of
Recognition, of the Local Riform Hindu Theology
High School is pending disposal, but meanwhile
my enemies who have no nobler motives
to serve than wreck their personal grudge
against me have been making attempts
to prejudice the Government against me
and thereby against an Educational
Institution which has done immense
service to the town for a quarter of a
Century and more.

I have come to know that Messrs K.
Satya Narayana Rao and V. Appa Rao
have sent a letter dated 8-5-13 to the
Executive and that it has been for
to your honor for consideration.

disclosed to me by the acknowledgment
sent to them by the Private Secretary
to His Excellency but somehow to my care.
This conduct on the part of my enemies
discloses neither intelligence nor any good
purpose but only a morbid tendency
to prejudice your honor at a time when
the fate of an institution is in your honor's
hands.

Attempts of this sort have been made
by these two people to mar my endeavours
at placing the School on a firm footing
and enclosed your honor will find
copies of petitions sent to the Director
of Public Instruction containing false
allegations against me and I am sorry
to say that the Director has acted upon
the untested representations of such men
of Straco who have neither position nor
respect for truth and injured the school
though I have questioned the truth of
these allegations and offered to prove
them to be false in my letter dated 24th 17th 17
12th 30-3-12, which are also herein enclosed
have referred to the evil doings

31-8-12 which was enclosed with His Excellency's
Memorial as Ex. 10 and in para 17 of the memorial
before your honor, and since now I am prepared
to prove to your satisfaction that any allegations
now made by them in their letter dated 8-5-13
if your honor is pleased to send me a copy
of the same or call on me to explain

My only request is that such reports
proceeding from irresponsible young men
who have neither education nor status
should not carry any weight with
Government or used against me
without giving me an opportunity to
refute them.

Praying that you may assent
to the said letter and its authors the
treatment that they deserve

I beg to remain
honored Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. K. [Signature]
Proprietor and Manager
R. S. School
[Signature]

(Copy of H. Satya Narayana moorthy's petition)
dated 21st July 1912.

26

To,

29

The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.



Honoured Sir.

I humbly beg to bring to your kind notice the injustice done to me by the Manager of R. H. J. H. School, Vizianagaram and pray that you would be kind enough to see that justice is done to me.

I was a teacher of the above said Institution for more than two years and half. All of a sudden the manager sent me on the morning of the 19th instant and asked me to resign my post promising to pay the arrears due to me for more than six months. The next day he sent a chit that my services were not required. But he did not send the money due me by way of arrears of salary. He has not given me a month's notice as he should reasonably have done. There is not even a Committee to appeal to. Whether it is necessary for a teacher or not will be clearly known by the following list of teachers just now working in the whole school which consists of 4 classes in High School Dept. including 2 divisions in the VI Form and 5 classes in the Lower Second all nine classes for which

there should be ten teachers according to the Educational Rules including the Headmaster and excluding the Pandits and the Gymnastics teacher and the Clerk. Mr. P. Satyanarayana B.A., L.T., Headmaster in charge 2. P. Doraswamy Ayyangar B.A., L.T., 1st Assistant. 3. Mr. T. Setharamaswamy B.A., untrained 4. K. Annathasastri F.A. untrained who has passed only Telugu bruhah B 5. H. V. Krishanayya F.A. who underwent training but who has not obtained teachers Certificate in spite of repeated attempts. 6. W. Venkatarao a lower Secondary man who was perhaps trained and who is now also manager of an Elementary School 7. Mr. T. Kodandaram who is an unpassed Candidate, who was sent for training but was rejected for want of medical Certificate. Besides these there are one Sanskrit Pandit who is also a religious instructor, one Telugu Pandit, one gymnastics instructor and one Drawing master and a Clerk. Thus it is clear that at least 3 teachers are wanting and so there was necessity for my services. B. As the manager wants to save money for his various demands, he is sending one teacher after another in this term inspection for the year is over.

and he can appoint other teachers to present a complete staff before the next inspection which will ordinarily take place six months hence. That similar things were done in previous years would have been noticed by the Dept. already. As I have been deprived of my appointment in the middle of the term by the manager, I humbly appeal to your honour to direct the manager at least to pay me my arrears of salary and a months salary more in the absence of a months notice which should have been given to me according to Rules of Service.

Meg to Kuman

Honoured Sir

21. 24. 1912

Your most obedient servant
(sd) K. Satyanarayanam
Kaspa

30.3.12.

Explanation to K. Satyanarayana

petition dated 21-2-12 to the Director.

To

The Director of Public Instruction

Madras.

With reference to the petition of Mr. K. Satyanarayana referred to me for my remarks and report I beg to submit the following:-

Mr. K. Satyanarayana was appointed nearly 3 years ago as a Telugu Teacher to the Primary Classes. For the last 6 months he has been working with Mr. Kumaraswamy Ayyar against the interests of the School by spreading all sorts of bad rumours and inducing students to leave the School and so on. He used to collect School fees from students independently although he was many times warned not to do so. He was also writing articles in the Bharatawata a local Telugu paper against the School and its teachers and he did not stop although he was many a time further he wrote an

7104
Assistant Inspector and Sub. Assistant out
the Elementary School Teachers Conference that
was last held in Vizianagaram. I warned him
about it, but in vain. He was several times
excused for his disobedience and bad conduct
but he took advantage of my kindness and since
since he is closely related to me as a Co. Son-in-law
and was too confident that he will not consequently
be removed from service. He began to neglect
his duty and used to absent himself many times
by childish excuses only to spend his time in
the newspaper office. I spoke to him personally
a number of times and chided both as a relative
and superior but to no purpose. His mischief
gradually increased especially after the dismissal
of Mr. Kumaraswamy evidently on the latter
investigation. On the 6th and 7th he absented from
the school and on the 9th he wrote a letter asking
for leave for 4 days and in spite of the refusal
of leave he never attended the school afterwards.
He made his appearance on the morning of the 19th
February and then I sent for him again and told
him that his disobedience could no more be brooked
and that I could not let go such ^{unbecoming} behaviour in
the interests of the discipline of the school as it
would be a precedent to others to follow and
advised him to resign his post instead of giving
me the painful necessity of removing him
from service as I had never such a necessity
during the last 26 years of the existence of
to take me advise a

As for his salary which he says was in arrears I beg to submit that since he was independently collecting fees from students in spite of my warnings he was in reality never in arrears although he did not get his account adjusted by the writer. After his dismissal I wrote to him officially that he should submit an account of fees collected and get it adjusted and that I have no objection to pay that little he had to receive in the shape of his salary (i.e. Rs 13. 8. 0) provided he signs in the Acquittance Roll and returns the valuable Deluge Library Books of the School he had with him. But instead of doing thus he filed a suit in the Munsiff's Court here for Rs 26. 10. 8 including the salary of one month more for which he ought to have been given a notice. There is no case where a month's notice was given when dismissed for misconduct and absence. Rs 13 were deposited in the Court and the case stands posted for 8. 4. 12. ^{subsequently he withdrew the suit} His real object is to elicit vengeance and to prejudice the public mind both against me and the School. His friend and adviser Mr. Kumbhakar Swamy, who vowed in the presence of many respectable gentlemen of the town that he will ruin the school before he leaves Vizianagaram by doing mischief possible. Such as discouraging the members of the Committee, scaring away the students anonymous and signed petition to the Inspector and to the Director and so on.

11. at the instigation of Mr. N. N. Reddy

Director and I can also prove that the wording
of the petition is that of Mr. Kumaraswamy.
Mr. Satyanarayana moorthy says that he was
unjustly dismissed. How can there be smoke
without fire? He wanted to show to you
that the School has been working short-handed
by giving a detailed list of the teachers of the
School to impress upon your mind that the
condition regarding the teaching staff is not
satisfied. He wanted to prove to the Dept. that
there is necessity for his services in the School
by carefully avoiding what his general education
qualifications are and what classes and in
what subjects he was teaching and such
other things. He studied up to the Lower Secondary
Class and appeared twice and could not succeed
even in the Compulsory subject. He showed
some taste for Telugu Language and I thought
he would be useful to teach in the Telugu Class.
But at the annual inspection of 1910-11 the
Asst. Inspector of Schools who examined the
Classes in Telugu was very much dissatisfied
with him and remarked rightly so that
he is not fit for the work he was appointed
and that Class teachers might do better
than this man (Vide Annual Inspection rep.
1910-11. under Asst. Inspector's remarks.
From the above facts it is immaterial
whether he is on the staff or not as he cannot be
counted as a Class teacher. He says the
Director has sent away one teacher after another

to save money. How can it be possible to
leave the classes without teachers especially
when there is a powerful rival College in
the town to find any opportunity to extort
students from our School and how can
this procedure be hidden from the Inspecting
Officers who minutely examine all records
at the time of Inspection.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient Servant

(Sd) J. P. Krishnamoorthy

Copy.

(Petition of V. Apparao)

To,

The Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.



Honoured Sir,

I humbly beg to bring the following

lines to your kind consideration.

I am studying in R. H. J. H. School from years past. The manager gave me the help of one rupee twelve annas. So I was paying him three rupees only in the fourth form and in the 1st term of 5 form also. Now the manager told me that I have to pay full fee at the end of January, that is after the five working days in the second term, because if he told in the beginning of the term the boys would take leaving Certificates and go away to another school. Even though they demand full fee lessons are not properly done. No teacher takes any subject regularly and the progress is very unsatisfactory. Further there are no teachers. Teachers are leaving after another. No teachers are appointed in their place. When teachers are asked about this they say that their salaries were not paid for six months. When asked about the daily changing time table. The manager said that there will be a change.

the end of last term our Class Teacher was absent for a long time. Then the Head Master was taking at least English, but now the Headmaster was removed though the boys requested the Manager to keep him. No one has been appointed in his place. The work is not done according to the general time table. When no other teachers is available vernacular Composition is done by the Telugu Teacher.

The manager took depositions from the students and teachers as he dictated. He gave more help to boys that gave such depositions and salaries to teachers, but he has taken away the help already given to me and my brother. The teachers that did not give their depositions were not given their salaries. Now if I want to go to College there is also another difficulty, the group that I take here is not recognised in the College. So I do not know what to do. The manager may do me some harm if I continued here. Already Mr. H. V. Krishanappa told my brother reading in second form that he would not be promoted though he answered well in the Examination. Regarding the second form in which my brother is reading, Mr. Kodandan who is untrained teacher, who studied up to fourth form takes the class without any other arrangement possible. He is close relative to manager and in general time table is put down as third class teacher but almost always works in the 1st form class. These facts are clearly seen by comparing the general time table and Teacher's attendance Register.

I beg to remain,
Honoured Sir

most devoted servant

Explanation of the Headmaster

on V. Apparao's petition dated 2-12.

97

To

The Inspector of Schools

I Circle

Haltair.

Sir,

With reference to the petition of V. Apparao Student of I Form referred to me for my remarks, I beg to Submit the following:-

i The boy in question was given help when he was reading in the Fourth Form. It was Continued the whole of that year and also in the Ist Form for the 1st Term. In the late Commotion of Mr. Kunnara Swamy predecessor the boy was taking active part to injure the School by spreading false Rumour and preaching to Students of his Class to leave the School, if Mr. Kunnara Swamy to be removed from service and was writing anonymous letters at the instigation of Mr. Kunnara Swamy in the na

of Students of the School both to you and to the Director of Public Instruction to prejudice the Dept. When the whole matter was enquired into the above facts were proved to the satisfaction of all and as a punishment the help that was given to him was removed and this fact was made known to him immediately after he attended the School i.e. on or about 12th January 12. for he never made his appearance in the School as he was busy in scaring away students of the School and spreading false reports about the School. Even then he was distinctly informed that he would be granted his transfer Certificate if he wanted to leave the School. But under the advice of Mr. Kunnarabwamy he preferred to stay in the School so that he might play the part of an informant to him and under his instruction he made this false Complaint to the Director.

2. No teacher left the School in this time except Mr. Kunnarabwamy who was removed from service.

3. All the other Charges in the petition

are silly and vexatious and are intended to
prejudice the Dept. and are false for the work
has been steadily and satisfactorily going on
in the school after the reopening of the
School.

I have the honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

(sd) P. Sathyawarajaram.

Headmaster.

But under the advice of Mr. Thimara Swamy
he preferred to stay in the school so that
he might play the part of a informant to
him and under his instruction he made the
following Complaint to the Director.

1. No teacher left the school in this town
except Mr. Thimara Swamy who was
removed from service.

2. All the other teachers

C.No.1250, from S. Venkatakrishna Rao, Vizianagram, d/9--4-1913
 C.No.1457, from -do.- d/1--5-1913.
 C.No.1533, from the D.P. I. No.3399, d/6--5-1913.
 C.No.1572, from Satyanarayana Murthi & Apparao, Vizianagram, d/8--5-1913.
 1680 from S. Venkatakrishna Rao

Subject.

Re. the Ripon Hindu Theological High School, Vizianagram.

Under rule 22 of the Madras Educational Rules the power to recognize secondary schools vests in the Director of Public Instruction. The question for consideration is whether sufficient grounds exist in the present case to warrant an interference with his discretion. Attention is invited to the proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction

- (1) No.6064, d/23--9-1909 on page 15 of c.f.
- (2) No. 833, d/12--2-1910 on page 17 of c.f.
- (3) No.1547, d/ 5--3-1910 on page 18 of c.f.
- (4) No.3406, d/27--5-1910 on page 19 of c.f.
- (5) No.5441, d/ 3--8-1912 on page 43 of c.f.
- (6) No.5925, d/26--8-1912 on page 45 of c.f.
- (7) No.7149, d/ 3--9-1912 on pages 53-54 c.f.
- (8) No. 440, d/21--1-1913 on page 64 of c.f.

2. It will be seen from the above that so long ago as 1909 the school failed to satisfy the conditions of recognition, that every opportunity was given by the Director to rectify the drawbacks and that the school has not been able to equip itself to the requirements according to the recent standard. The manager of the school admits the latter fact but explains that it was due to circumstances unavoidable. He therefore requests that further time may be given him to put the school in proper

Ms. 1572 cf

14 82 887 of

2. 116
101
order with the help of the committee. The Director points out that this committee is not composed of men of substance able to put the school on a satisfactory basis. From the fact that no evidence of capacity to make any improvement was given before either by the manager or by the committee, the Director is unable to place any faith on the assurance given of future good management.

3. The extract from the inspector's report given on pages 84-86 of the current file shows that the management ~~has been guilty~~ of unfair means adopted in the maintenance of records. Whatever might have been the cause of the present state of affairs it is not clear that the manager will be able to conduct the school on sound lines. There seems therefore to be little ground for interference with the Director's decision in the matter.

4. Pages 89-92 of the current file contain a petition from Satyanarayana Murthi and Appa Rao requesting that the institution may be placed in the hands of the public. It is not for Government to create a management for a private institution.

5. Subject to approval a draft order is submitted below.

CR
26/5/13

H.E.

The draft may be approved

The D.P.T. has been met

and is suffering with

(the file is pending
a new committee
School with the
Mund Council is
linked).

this school. Even if he had not,
it wd. be a most inconvenient
precedent to interfere with his powers
of Excoognition.

11/27/56

P.

9. 6. 13.

come

11/27/61

File was linked with
the file relating to
the opening of the
high school at
Waco, Tex. This
had been prepared
on the 7th. (no.)
7/13

And
inclosed
Box 39

C.No. 125 O, from S. Venkatakrishna Rao,
C.No. 1457, - do. -
C.No. 1533, from the D. P. I. No. 3399,
C.No. 1572, from Satyanarayana-murti & Appa Rao,
C.No. 1680 from S. Venkatakrishna Rao

d/3-4-1913.
d/1-5-1913.
d/5-5-1913.
d/8-5-1913.
20-8-13

ORDER: No 554 B.C. dated 12.6.13
Mis.

The Government are unable to comply with the request of the petitioners.

ca
26/5/13

17/27/5

To

M.R.Ry. S. Venkatakrishna Rao, Vizianagram

M.R. Ry. Satyanarayana-murti, Vizianagram

The D.P. I.

Depd of
12.6.13

17/6/13

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 191

DATE

IT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

TYPE OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

V.B.—

- A = Copy in full
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy heading only