

Educational (1913) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 453

DATED 17.5.1913.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Petition Declining to comply with the request
of Mr. R. R. K. Raghavendra Rao, retired assistant
inspector of schools to be promoted to the 4th post
to be from 10.5.1912 so as to be able to
to earn a pension of Rs 200 per month.

E d u c a t i o n a l
~~LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL~~ DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 1378 Eol

Received..... 190 , Registered..... 28/4 | 190 .

Subject

Submitting with remarks memorial from M.R.Ry. U.
Raghavendra Rao, ^{Ar.}retired Assistant Inspector of Schools,
requesting that he may be given promotion from the 5th
to the 4th grade with effect from 5th February 1912, so
that he might become entitled to a pension of Rs.200 per
mensam.

ENCLOSURES.

No.....

Spare copies.....

Ar. Ref on Current. No. 1538/13

Station, M a d r a s , Dated the 25th April 1913

From (Name) The Hon'ble Sir Alfred Gibbs Bourne, D.Sc.
F.R.S., K.C.I.E.,
(Designation) Director of Public Instruction.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational ~~Local and Municipal~~ Department.

Yr,



Bg
20/4

To

His Excellency the

GOVERNOR in COUNCIL,

Port St. George,

M A D R A S.

Through

The Director of Public Instruction,

M a d r a s.

Humble memorial ^{of} U. Raghavendra Rao
of the Provincial Educational Service
(retired) dated Mangalore, 21st --
January 1912.

Most respectfully sheweth,--

That your Excellency's Memorialist was a member of
the Provincial Educational Service till 25th July 1912,
on which date he retired after having served Government
for 36 years 2 months and 11 days, of which period 34
years 7 months and 3 days has been recognised as qualify
ing superior service (G. O. No 581, Educational Dated
October 2, 1912).

2 That on the date of his retirement, your Excellency's
humble servant had not yet completed the required three
years' service on his retiring pay of Rs400/-, being still
short of 5 months and 7 days and that therefore he could
not be eligible for a pension of one half of his retiring
pay, although it was his highest ambition to secure a --
pension of Rs200 on retirement after his long service.

3 That your Memorialist's ambition on the eve of his retirement having been to secure a pension of Rs 200, he did not find it absolutely necessary to obtain an extension of service for these 5 months and 7 days, as he --- could depend upon getting a promotion to the higher pay of Rs 450, when arrangements were made to fill up the post of Rs 600, rendered vacant in January 1912 by the retirement of Mr. Reynolds; in which case your Excellency's Memorialist would become eligible to a pension of Rs 200 even if he retired at the end of July 1912.

4 That it now seems as if your Excellency's Memorialist made an unpardonable miscalculation in praying to his own Departmental Chief in January 1912 that he might be permitted to retire at the end of July, instead of seeking for an extension of service for the above period of 5 months and 7 days i. e., till 31st December of the same year.

5 That your Excellency's humble servant when still in service had always been treated with much kindness and consideration by his own Departmental Chief and if he had approached that Officer with a representation that he still required 5 months and 7 days' extension of service to enable him to retire on a pension of Rs 200, this favour would assuredly have been granted to him, as his Departmental Chief is specially considerate in such matters in the case of all his servants.

6 That therefore your Excellency's Memorialist began to feel disconcerted at his own action as in para 4 above when the hoped for promotion was not notified, while the proposed date of his retirement was fast approaching. But he was consoled by a knowledge of Government Rules

*Please see how much
the D.P.C. ended -
para 4 - where he
says that extension
was actually refused.
At - page 10 high.*
12/8/13

4

-x-

that he need not feel any anxiety on the matter and could still depend upon getting the promotion even after his -- actual retirement, as there were many instances of Government having notified promotions not only to servants who had retired long before, but even to servants who had -- actually died and whose dues had to be paid to the heirs of the deceased.

7. That accordingly when the hour fixed for his retirement arrived, your Excellency's Memorialist handed -- over charge of his post to the successor appointed to relieve him, but nevertheless delayed submitting his own -- application for pension, in expectation of the Government Notification of the long-looked-for promotion on which he had relied so much in proposing to retire at the end of July 1912. But as pension matters are always treated as of an urgent nature, your Excellency's Memorialist was -- required to submit his pension application without further delay. Although he was assured by competent advisers that the submission of his pension application -- would in no way prejudice his getting the long-looked-for promotion in due course, your Excellency's humble servant, in submitting the pension application, still wished that it would take a long time before orders were actually -- passed on his pension application, hoping that the anticipated promotion would be notified in the mean time. The order of pension was however passed by Government in less than a month -- an event which would have brought -- abundant joy to many another retired servant but which -- merely brought to your Excellency's Memorialist some -- little disappointment in the above circumstances.

8. That your Excellency's servant's long-cherished -- hopes founded upon his own calculation have at last ~~been~~ been completely crushed.

-4- 5

George Gazette (January 14, 1918) in which your
Excellency's servant finds that the promotion from V Grade
Grade (1450) from 5th February 1918, which he ^{has}
along been looking forward to and on which he relies
much in proposing to retire at the end of July 1918,
been conferred, not on him but on his next Junior Mr
Hobday, although as senior to him, your Excellency's
humble Memorialist was still in service from 5th February
till the date of his retirement.

9 That your Excellency's Memorialist is indeed much
grieved at imagining that if it should please your grace
Excellency to hear this humble Memorial, Mr. D. A. Hobday
might be put in the awkward position of having to
forego the promotion notified in the Gazette. It is
therefore a source of much gratification to the Memorialist
to find that Mr. D. A. Hobday's promotion to the next
class will in this case be only put off by one month and
twenty five days - from 5th February to 31st March; for
on 1st April following he could get the same promotion
owing to the retirement of Mr. Subbrayalu. Even this
slight loss may not be felt, as right would in this case
go to the right and as Mr. Hobday gets yet another pro-
motion to a still higher grade on 27th November follow-
ing.

10 That your Excellency's humble Memorialist the
most respectfully and humbly prays that your Excellency's
Government may be graciously pleased to recognise that
that that the Memorialist was in service as senior to
D. A. Hobday from 5th February 1918 to the date of his
retirement on 25th July, in the matter of giving effect
to the above promotions and to accord to him the same
treatment that would have been shown to him if it

6 7
promotions had been ordered immediately on the occurrence of the vacancy, instead of being put off for some good reason for a whole year, in the course of which he had to retire and to confer upon him the promotion from 5th Grade to 4th Grade from 5th February 1912 even as a valuable bonus to a long standing servant on the eve of his retirement.

For which gracious act of kindness and generosity your Excellency's most humble Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Mangalme
17th Feb 1913

H. Raykavancha Rao
Assistant Inspector of Schools
Retired



BY ENDORSEMENT
Memorandum No. 238-1, dated 10th
February 1913.

made
Read petition from *M. Raghavendra Rao* of the Provincial
Educational Service (retired) dated Mangalore, 21st
January 1913.

Every petition must be submitted through the head of
the office or department to which the petitioner belongs
or belonged.

(By Order)

B. M. S. Ramaniya
Ag. Under Secretary to Government.

Dr. P. 11.2.13.

To the petitioner.



8
9
Humble Memorial from,

U.Raghavendra Rao, of the Provincial Educational Service
(Retired)

Dated Mangalore, January 21st, 1913.

SUBJECT.

Promotions in the Provincial Educational Service:- Praying that the Government may be graciously pleased to recognise - that he was still in service from 5th February to the date of his retirement as senior to Mr: D.A.Hobday in the matter - of giving effect to the ----- as notified in the Fort St; George Gazette dated January 14, 1913.

Submitted respectfully through the Director of Public Instruction as required in endorsement No.238-1/10-2-1913 herewith enclosed.

89

9 10

Current No. 1538/13, dated 25th April 1913.

Submitted to Government.

2. The memorialist retired from service on 25th July 1912. Two vacancies existed in the higher grades of the Provincial Educational Service when he retired. There was some delay in filling these vacancies; because the decision of the Government of India in regard to the post in the Provincial Service that ought to be taken as having been absorbed by the retirement of Mr. Reynolds was made known only about the end of November 1912, i.e. four months after the date of retirement of the memorialist. In filling these ^{grade} vacancies the claims of the memorialist were not considered as it has not been usual to consider the claims for promotion of officers who have retired from service, and Mr. Hobday, his immediate junior was therefore promoted to the 4th grade as 1897.

10.1.88 E
9.12.12

12- 10 11
the amounts already drawn by them. The date of promotion of Mr. Hobday to the 4th grade will have to be changed from 5th February 1912 to 1st April 1912, the date of M.R.Ry. G.V. Subbarayudu Sastri's retirement and that of M.R.Ry. V.R. Venkatarama Aiyar from 1st April 1912 to 25th July 1912, the date of retirement of the memorialist.

4. The undersigned is of opinion that the promotion of officers from a date anterior to that of the order of Government is in itself of the nature of a concession and to promote an officer no longer in service with retrospective effect, especially when he had to be refused an extension because the Circle Inspector did not advise his further retention in service seems to be undesirable in that it will create a most inconvenient precedent.

G. H. S. S. S.

for Director of Public Instruction.

L. M.
61
2,000-1-1-00

NOTE FILE.

81581 321012 - 563
18287-215
114 8939-42-12

Again G.O., No. , dated 190
Current No. 1378 ~~88~~ from the D.P. I , No. 1538, dated 25.4.1907.
Current No. from the , No. , dated 190 .

SUBJECT.

Memorial of U. Raghavendra Rao,
retired Asst. Insp. of Schools.

p. 9. cf.

The facts are briefly set forth
in the Endt. of the D.P. I. In the circum-
stances explained by ~~him~~ him it
does not appear that Govt. can com-
ply with the memorialist's request, viz,
that he may be given promotion from
the 5th to the 4th grade with effect from
5th February 1912 so that he might be-
come entitled to a ~~promotion~~ pen-
sion of Rs 200 ~~per annum~~ instead
of Rs 196-5-11 as at present.

(R)
according to fo. 581-
2110/12

D

As apart from the awkwardness of
reconsidering the arrangements now
made and of giving retrospective effect
to any new arrangement affecting an
officer already retired, ~~the~~ the Director
does not apparently consider the case
strong enough on its merits. It is not
however, specific on the point, ~~and~~ ^{but his} mention
of the case of refusal of application for
extension of service may be taken as

an indication of his views in the matter. Subject to approval, a draft letter is submitted below.

CA

12/5/13

There is certainly not sufficient ground for the exceptional treatment applied for.

As this is a personal memorial, the right should be seen to be for ourselves.

H. E. R. Foxcroft.

L.D.

12.5.13

Don box No 40

13.5.13

P.

14.5.13



B. G. 14/5
 receipt for
 to the
 R.

[illegible][illegible]

" "....." " "....." " "....." " "....." 191

Mrs.

No. 45358, dated 12-5-1913.

The Govt. regret that they
are unable to comply with
the request of the petitioners

13. 57.12

Rec'd for numbering
on 16-5-13 at 6 Pm
25
16/5

19-5-13

To
The petition, two two
D P D.

42/57

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No. _____
 191 .

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

V.B.-

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 F = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy Heading only.