

Educational (1913) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 128

DATED 12.2. 1913 .

[Despatch Abstract.]

Buildings Declining to resume the question of making certain improvements to the — occupied by the board high school at Chittoor except at the instance of the District Board.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G. & L.]
[P. 1.]

1/000-17-11-11.

87 DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S.O.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of clerk with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S.O.)	Initials with date of (1) clerk of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

Stock Form No. 151.
10,000-30-7-07.

E d u c a t i o n a l
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT No. 19^{Est}



190 , Registered 6 . 1 1903.

Subject.

Requesting that, in the circumstances stated, orders passed in
G.O. No. 1078 Mis., dated 7th December 1912, declining to bear
the cost of certain improvements to the Board High School
building at Chittoor may be reconsidered.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies /

Current No. 31 / 113

Station Madras , Dated the 2 January 1912

From (Name) The Hon'ble Sir.A.G.Bourne, D.Sc.F.R.S.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,
Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Local and Municipal Department.

Sir,

With reference to G.O. No. 1078, Mis., dated 7th
December 1912, declining to bear the cost of certain improvements
to the Board High School, Chittoor, I have the honour to request
that Government will be pleased to reconsider the order. It
appears to me that the cost of the special repairs referred to
by the President, District Board, Chittoor, in his letter Ref.
on Cur. No. 1211/D.B., dated 29th September 1912, which seem to
be of the nature of substantial improvements to the building may
properly be met from Provincial funds, since the building in
question is the property of Government and the net cost of the
school is paid from provincial funds.

I have the honour to be,
Your most obedient servant,
A. G. Bourne
Director of Public Instruction.

Refer to G.O. A

C. No. 19 From the 8-9 No 21/13 dated 1-1-1913.

SUBJECT. Improvements to the Board high school, Chittoor.

- C Please see Gons 750 Dtdy 16-8-12 and 1078 Dtdy 7-12-12 and the notes connected therewith. In the latter G.O. it was decided that the Govt. would not bear the cost of certain repairs to the Board high school, Chittoor. The nature of the repairs are detailed in para. 2 of P.D.P.'s letter on page 1 of Gons 1078 Dtdy 7-12-12. The D.P.D.'s remarks on the general question of the Govt.'s meeting the cost of similar repairs will be found on page 5 of Gons 750 Dtdy 16-8-12.
2. The D.P.D. now requests a reconsideration of Gons 1078 Dtdy 7-12-12 on the ground that the repairs referred to seem to him to be of the nature of substantial improvements to the building and that their cost may properly be met from provincial funds, since the building in question is the property of Govt. & the net cost of the school is paid from provincial funds. From the notes connected with the Gons 750 d 16-8-12 it will be seen that the facts that the building belonged to Govt. & that the net cost of the school was paid from provincial funds were known to Govt. The mention of these facts by the D.P.D. now does not therefore afford any fresh grounds for a reconsideration of the order. What remains

remains there is his opinion that the repairs in question are of the nature of substantial improvements. The Govt. hold a different opinion.

3. It is for Consideration whether sufficient grounds exist for a reconsideration of the previous orders. If it is decided to reconsider them, the remarks of the Financial will have to be obtained.

It is observed that the request for reconsideration proceeds from the D.P.I., and not from the ^{Dist.} Board, who has apparently acquiesced in the Govt. order.

The office note in the past the cost before the Govt. The point which the D.P.I. really raises is that the net cost of the school is paid from Provincial funds. It is true that this fact was mentioned in the current disposed of in G.O. No. 1078 of 7.12.12, but only incidentally. That order, as the notes show, was based mainly on the view taken of the question whether a flooring of Cidrapah. Slabs is or is not a permanent improvement. A further consideration I do not think that was the proper criterion. If Govt. bears the net cost of a school, surely ordinary repairs to the buildings ought

to form part of the net cost - ~~registered~~
 for G.O. The present difficulty arises out
 of G.O. No. 750 of 16.8.7. I cannot recall.
 I had how to D.P.I. ever came to
 write as he did in his letter No.
 3350 of 6.7.12 at p. 5 of that G.O.
 I have telephoned to the D.P.I. who
 agrees with all the facts of the
 case must have been misapprehended.
 He is looking up the papers & will
 telephone again later. L.D.

27.1.13
 5/13
 2/13

The letter No 3350 of 6.7.12 represents
 my office view of the position. I raised
 the question of reconsideration of the G.O.
 and the current of 2 January 1913 was
 stupidly ^{dropped +} despatched without further
 reference to me or I should have
 explained my position.

2. It seems to me impossible to hold
 logically that any expenditure
 actually incurred is not expenditure
 and exempt from being defrayed by
 Provincial funds as part of the
 net cost and should the District
 Board contest the position the defence
 would be rather thin.

3 Perhaps if the District Board acquiesce it is no business of mine to press the matter. The precedents in similar cases ~~are numerous~~ with a few exceptions support the view that the Board may pay for ordinary repairs and be ^{certainly} are not in the habit of treating this expenditure as expenditure!

4 The current has introduced another question i.e. whether the improvements that have been or are to be effected are substantial or ordinary - I should hold that they were substantial but unless the Board protests it may be as well to leave the matter alone -

Perhaps the A.C. should be told that the Govt cannot reopen the question except at the instance of the District Board who appear to have acquiesced in the decision

22/9.2.13

This is clearly the proper disposal & may be adopted. If the general position is one caused by a local body, whether the practice may have been and seems then varied, I don't think Govt can maintain the position that building repairs should be excluded from net cost. J. H. S.



Draft order is submitted for approval.
The Secretary's order have been noted. After
issue of the order, the file may be sent to
L.M. for perusal. &

A
10/11/13

Yes if

[for notes & 11-2-13 12-2-13]

7/13/13 L.M.

Ed. no 19-2
14-2-13.

In Progress

L.M. Dept

Please see extract of Secretary's orders
placed below which may be circulated in office
for notes, and the file returned to Ed.

Ed
14-2-13

Ed
15/2/13

Edl no
24-2-13

Educational Department

The papers may now be put away.

Ed
24-2-13 15/2/13

Ed
26-2-13

Educational
~~LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL~~ DEPARTMENT

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No. , dated 190 .
Again G.O., No. , dated 190 .
Current No. 19. From the D.P.I., No. 3/13, dated 2.1.1903.

" " " " " "

" " " " " "

ORDER. No. 128 ^{his} dated 12.2.1903.

The Govt. regret that they cannot reopen the quirk except at the instance of the Dr. Board which appears to have acquiesced in the decision already given.

Sporechno
elektrone
ca
1/2

12/12

To
The S.P.D. ✓
Lom - 248 ✓

12/12

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.D. No.
 191

DATED

CORREL. No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DEDUC.

PAPER NOT READ OF, BEING WANT FOR DISPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL

V.E.-

A = Copy in full
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only
 Q = Copy heading only