

Educational (1912) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 929

DATED 18th 10 1912

[Despatch Abstract.]

Special test
Exception being made by the order already
passed in G.O. No. 578 dated 1-7-1912 refusing
to permit K. Lakshminarayana, being
accountant, Sarvasiddhi Lakshmi
Vyasaiah to appear for the
Annual Judicial test.

B.F.D.--80-4

2342

CURRENT No.

Received.....27.9.1912, Registered.....30.9.1912

Subject.

Special Test.

Submitting petition from K.Lakshminarayana, Head Accountant, Taluk Office, Sarvasidhi, Vizagapatam District praying for a reconsideration of the orders of Government refusing to permit him to appear for Criminal Judicial Test.

ENCLOSURES.

No. 27 SEP 1912

REVENUE DEPT.

Spars copies

R e f e r e n c e No. 2609 Pt.-12-1.

Station M a d r a s, , Dated the 24th September 1912. 191--

From (Name) W. G. McFarland, Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Secretary, Board of Revenue, L.R.,
Madras.

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Revenue Department.

Rev. no 23429/12
10.12
C^N 26585-87
2.6522

The Hon'ble Mr. R. B. Clegg, Commissioner of Land Revenue.

Read:- Endorsement of J.R.Huggins, Esq., I.C.S., Ag.
Collector of Vizagapatam, N.Dis.On C.2197 of 1912, dated
8th September 1912, on review petition from K. Lakshimi-
narayana, Head Accountant, Sarvasidhi Taluk, dated 29th
August 1912.

Reference:-



Reference:-

Submitted to Government.

2. It is true that the criminal test was open to the memorialist only in the years 1892--1895 after he entered service, and not for nearly 10 years, as originally reported by the Board; but this mistake does not materially affect the question. The Board has no recommendation to make on his behalf.

Maghaviak
for SECRETARY.
25.9.12

To

The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department, with the review petition
and its enclosures in original.

A.S.R./25-9-12.

25/9

To

His Excellency The Hon. Sir Murray Hammick, K.C.S.I.,
C.I.E.,

Governor-in-Council, Madras.

Through

The Collector of Vizagapatam.

May it please Your Excellency,

The humble review petition presented by Kolluru Lakshiminarayana, Head Accountant, Sarvasidhi Taluk sheweth:-

2. That the Government were not pleased to appear for the Criminal Judicial Test in their order No.578 Misc., dated 1st July 1912, copy of which is herewith submitted (Enclosure A) and that a kind perusal of which will shew that the Board of Revenue refused to recommend on his behalf on the two following grounds:-

Firstly, that the grounds of application were purely personal, and secondly, though the Test was open for nearly 10 years after he entered service he did not make use of his opportunities.

3. That with regard to the second ground, your humble petitioner submits that the test was not open to him for nearly 10 years as was remarked by the Board of Revenue inasmuch as he was confirmed on 7th April 1892 and the last chance thrown open to him was 1895.

5. That your petitioner passed the Matriculation Examination of the Madras University in 1885 and continued his studies in the F.A. class till 1887, that he had to discontinue his studies owing to ill-health and join the Educational Department and worked there till 1890 when he joined the Revenue Department and that he could not be

confirmed

*It was closed to him in 1896.
Page 32 of G.O.
9.5.1894*

confirmed till 7th April 1892 although he worked hard in the Special Inam duty for the enfranchisement of the -- village service inams in 1891 as well as in the Sub-division work in the Bobbili Estate and that enclosure B here with submitted shows how many acting appointments he held previous to his confirmation in different places and how precarious were his chances of confirmation and that in spite of all such difficulties, he was able to pass the following tests previous to his confirmation.

- (1) Precis-writing, Higher Grade.
- (2) Translation, Lower Grade.
- (3). Revenue Test, Lower Grade without Accountant Code.

5. That Mr. Willock, the then Collector confirmed him on 7th April 1892 and appointed him to act as Revenue Inspector in the latter part of July and that your petitioner was unable to apply for the Criminal Judicial Test in 1892 as he was quite new to his responsible duties -- and as there was hardly any time to study for the Examination.

6. That your petitioner could not apply for the said test in 1893 being attached with the Survey Party in the Godavary District during the months of July and -- August to undergo the Revenue Inspectors' Course of Survey Training.

7. That your humble petitioner passed the Revenue Lower without Account Code in 1890 but that he was declared unsuccessful in 1894 on the ground that he could not -- obtain marks according to the new curriculum owing to the separation of the Account Test from the Revenue Test and that he had therefore to appear for the Revenue ~~Test~~ --- Lower Grade as well as the Account Test Lower Grade as they were then obligatory Departmental examinations for a Revenue Inspector. and as the then Collector Mr. Wolfe Murray ordered his reversion in case he failed to ----

qualify

qualify himself within March 1895 and as he was given pay of Rs.25 according to the old scale and that he successfully passed the two examinations in 1894 and that he received the order of the Government to the effect that he need not appear again for the Revenue Test while he was undergoing the examination and that had he received the order in time he would have applied for the Criminal Judicial Test in 1894.

8. That your humble petitioner appeared for the Criminal Lower Grade in 1895 but unfortunately failed -- owing to a deficiency in the total number of marks and that it was due to the refusal of leave on public grounds owing to the unfavourable character of the season as he was then a Revenue Inspector and as such he had to study for the examination attending to his multifarious duties.

9. That it may be observed from the above that your petitioner passed all the tests required for a -- Tahsildar except Criminal Judicial Test previous to 1895 and that there was no gross negligence whatsoever on his part.

10. That your petitioner since passed the Account test Higher Grade which is the requisite qualification for the Head Accountant in the Collector's Office and that he also passed the Translation Higher Grade in Telugu in the 1st class as 3rd in the Presidency although his optional language was Sanskrit over a lot of graduates and that it may be observed that though he is a Matriculate, he has the abilities becoming a graduate.

11. That with regard to the first ground that the grounds of the application were purely personal, your petitioner humbly reiterates that he rendered meritorious services in the special Inam duty (vide B.P.No.264 dated 9-10-1907 Revenue Settlement) expecting that the Government

incorrelly

will

study for the F.A. examination so as to enable him to appear for the Criminal Judicial Test being in the ---- special duty for 5 years and that it is superfluous for him to represent how hard he had to work for obtaining such a good remark in the Special duty and that a copy of the testimonial given by the Inam Deputy Collector is herewith submitted (Enclosure C) for your kind perusal. Again, that your humble petitioner represents that some of the Deputy Tahsildars in the Agency tracts of this District were exempted from passing most of the Special Tests and that notably one Mr. Parthasaradhi Iyengar who was *recruited* from the Postal Department was exempted from passing all the special tests last year and confirmed as a Deputy Tahsildar on Rs.150/- and that it may be observed from the above that there are not only personal but there are also administrative grounds for granting such a concession for an officer of Rs.70 grade and who has now put in 20 years good service in the Department.

12. That your petitioner humbly submits an analogous case of Mr. V. S. Padmanabha Iyer a clerk in the Tinvelly Collectorate who was confirmed in 1892 like himself but was permitted to appear for the Criminal Judicial Test on the recommendation of Mr. Wallace, the Collector of Tinvelly and that such a concession was granted by the previous Government even in an enlightened District like Tinvelly and that he passed said test last year. --- Please vide the Fort St. George Gazette dated 9th January 1912 page 34.

13. That your petitioner belongs to a subsect of Brahmans who are very backward in point of English education and that he is the only upper subordinate in his subsect in the Revenue department of this Presidency and that

*no papers
traceable
in the records*

*no papers
traceable*

14. That, in conclusion, your humble petitioner --
approaches your Excellency with this review petition and
prays that his application may be reconsidered in the
light of the above facts and humbly requests that your
Excellency may be graciously pleased to grant him the
concession applied for. X

For which act of kindness and generosity your
humble petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

Yellamanchily,

Date. 29. 8. 12

He begs to remain,

May it please your Excellency,

Your most obedient Servant,


Manuaram

2497
Recommended and
submitted to the
Jl Officer Narasapatam
He deserves the concession
prayed for

Consistency
of date
29812
D. Dis. 12274
Submitted 29.8.12
L. C. Chari
D.O. 31.8.12



Use
K. P. R.
4912
W. G.



The Hon'ble Mr. R.B.Clegg, Commissioner, of land Revenue,
Educational Department.

Read the following:-

8

G.O. No.578 Mis., dated 1st July 1912.

Read the following papers:-

From the Board of Revenue, Land Revenue Ref: No.2937
dated 21st June 1912.

Submitted to Government for orders.

2. The grounds for the application are purely personal
Though the test was open to the applicant for nearly 10 years
after he entered service, he did not make use of his oppor-
tunities. In these circumstances, the Board has no recommen-
dation to make on his behalf.

Order.

The Government see no reason to permit K. Lakshimi-
narayana, Head Accountant, Sarvasidhi Taluk office to appear
for the Criminal Judicial Test.

(True Extract)

(sd) L.Davidson

Ag. Secretary to Govern-
ment.

To

The Board, Land Revenue.

Resolution.

Communicated to the Collector of Vizagapatam.

(True extract)

(sd) T. Raghaviah

(True copy) For Ag. Secretary.

D.Dis. 2047 of 1912

Dated 17-7-1912

Communicated to the Divisional officer, Narasapatam

D.Dis. No. 1011 of 1912.
Communicated to the Tahsildar of Sarvasidhi for information and communication to the party concerned.

(sd) C. Chalapati. 9

For Divisional officer

(True copy)

D.Dis. No. 1206 of 1912.

Dated 5-8-1912.

Communicated to M.R. Ry. K. Lakshimnarayana for information.

(Sd). K.. L. Narayana.

For Tahsildar.

(True copy)

N. Narayana
29.8.12.

*Received by
29.8.12*

Enclosure. B. 10 10

	From	To
1. Ag. 2nd gumastan, Bimilipatam Deputy Tahsildar's office.	7-1-1890	26-2-1890.
2. Do. Do. Chipurupalli.	5-3-1890.	31-3-1890.
3. 3rd. clerk, Special Deputy Collector's office, Bobbili.	7-4-1890.	19-9-1890.
4. Ag. 4th English Accountant Collector's office, Vizagapatam.	20-9-1890.	29-10-1890.
5. Do. Do.	30-10-1890.	31-10-1890.
6. Ag. 4th Vernacular Accountant	1-11-1890	22-11-1890.
7. Do. Do.	23-11-1890	30-11-1890.
8. 3rd. clerk, Inam Dy. Collector's office, Vizagapatam.	9-12-1890.	27-3-1891.
9. 3rd clerk General Deputy Collector's office, Vizianagram	6-4-1891.	31-7-1891.
10. 2nd clerk, Huzur Divisional office, Vizagapatam.	26-8-1891.	25-2-1892.
11. Estate Accountant, Collector's office, Vizagapatam. (Permanent)	26-2-1892.	2-3-1892.
12. D. Do. Permanent	3-3-1892.	6-4-1892.

K. S. Narayan
29.8.12

Enclosure. C.

K. Lakshminarayana Pantulu is an excellent --
Revenue Inspector. He knows his work thoroughly well.
He has a fair command of the English language. He --
exercised close and effective supervision over the work
of the Surveyors. He was very great help to me in the
preparation of Revision schemes and sketches. He richly
deserves encouragement.

(sd) S. Vasudevarao. (B.A)

Inam Dy. Collector.

31-5-1907.

(True copy)

Kanungo
29 8/12

K. Lakshminarayana
Taluk Hd Actt.
Sawaidhe Taluk
Vizag Dt-

18/12/12
To the Honble Mr. Begg
Secretary to the Government
Madras

I respectfully beg to submit
that I have submitted a revision statement to the
Government praying for exemption to appear for
the Criminal Judicial Test. I proceeded to Madras
with a view to make a personal representation to
the Honorable Mr. Begg and Mr. ... but
I regret to submit that my previous work
submitted to the Government previous to my
arrival at Madras. I have since then been
employed on the ... and I have
submitted my memorial, I was confirmed
on Rs 70 as the Taluk Head ...
in the ... even in supervision of
the ... and I was directed
by the ... to the Governor, Vizagapatnam
to ... as to qualify myself as an
Agency ... I beg to bring these
facts to your kind and sympathetic
considering that they would support my case.
2. It was ... by
the Board of Revenue that there were no public
grounds for my exemption in spite of the
recommendations made by the Divisional
officer and the Collector. It was during
...

10/12/12
Supported by the ...
The ... is not sent to the ...

As your honor's name has become a household
word in the Decentralization scheme as a sympathetic
official, I take the liberty of addressing your honor
in this manner for which, I beg that I may be
excused.

Very respectfully,
Your most obedient servant,
K. Lakshmi Narayan
Head Clerk,

S. S. S. Taluk
is a good friend.

for acceptance; but the previous order was not accepted by Rev. The practice followed is that where the application is not supported by the head of the department, the draft is not sent to the President for acceptance.

2 The Bd of Revs L.R.

When given for copying
When copied
When examined
When signed

191

READ AGAIN G.O. No. . DATED
191 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

V.S.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy Heading only