

Educational. (1912) Department.

MISCELLANEOUS.

G.O., No. 908

DATED 11 10 1912

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislation Council Receiving a free note
connected with the question asked by the Hon. Mr. de
Shaw and Khan Peltai at a meeting of the — held on
27th Sept 1912 on the advisability of re-constituting the text of the
last special reference to the Council.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
67
100-15-3-02

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.
LEGISLATIVE

NOTES.

C. No. 2475 Cal

13-9-12

2

12-11-9-12.]

Educational Department.

The Hon'ble Mr. Shanmukham Pillai.

Question 58. (a) Will the Government be pleased to state what action has been taken on the memorial submitted by Mr. S. T. Srinivasa Gopalachari, advocate, and others of Madras, on the 20th February last, regarding the desirability of re-constituting the Text-Book Committee with special reference to Tamil studies?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to state the names of the members of the Text-Book Committee, who represent the Tamil studies now and their respective special qualifications?

(c) Will the Government be pleased to re-constitute the said committee at an early date, by appointing to it, men of special University qualifications, who are directly engaged in teaching Tamil in schools and colleges?

[Meeting of 27th September 1912.]

3
Educational Department.

Q. 58.

(a) The memorial of Mr. S. J. Srinivasa Gopalachari & others referred to, will be found on pages 1 & 2 of Gons. 385 Ed. 4-5-12. The D.P. to whom the memorial was referred

E.

pages 7 & 8 of the G.O.

for remarks having observed that the members of the Tamil Sub. Committee were fully qualified to deal with the books in Tamil, the memorialists were informed that the Govt. ^{had} enquired into the matter of their memorial but that they saw no reason to alter the Constitution of the Committee. The attention of the Committee was, however, drawn to the ^{advisable} ~~possibility~~ of making the books for use in schools as suitable as possible for the use of Indian children.

(b) The names of the members of the Committee as it stood in April last and their qualifications are given on page 6 of Gons. 385 Ed. 4-5-12. There is no information in this office as to whether any alteration has since been made in the ^(constitution of the) Committee by the D.P. nor whether the members of the Committee have any special qualifications. The D.P. may be consulted w.o. as to these.

✱

(c) In the circumstances stated by Dr. Borome and by the Secretary (Mr. Moir) the Committee requires no reconstitution at present as suggested by the additional L.C.M. ~~The question of appointing persons especially qualified for the task is not the concern of the Committee and the Govt. who are at the end of the point will be the persons fitting~~

fitting

pages 7 & 8 of Gons. 385 Ed. 4-5-12
pages 11 & 12 — do —

E.

2. The following draft answer is submitted for approval:-

(a) The Govt. enquired into the matter of the memorial but saw no reason to alter the constitution of the Tamil Sub. Committee. The memorialists have already been informed of this decision.

(b) The names of the members of the Tamil Sub. Committee and their qualifications are given below:-

Name	Examination qualifs	Language
(1) Mr. Rao Bahadur A.C. Prana- tarthara Aiyar	B. A.	Tamil
(2) " B. Hanumantha Rao.	B. A.	Tamil
(3) " Rao Bahadur M. Rangachariyar	M. A.	Sanskrit
(4) " P. J. Srinivasa Aiyangar	M. A.	Tamil
(5) " J. S. Subrahmanya Aiyar	M. A., L.T. Sanskrit	
(6) The Revd J. Walker.	M. A.	

(c) The Govt. consider that the Tamil Sub. Committee as now constituted is fully qualified to deal with books in Tamil placed before them. They are not convinced of any necessity to reconstitute the committee.

3. The file may be forwarded to the D.P.S. w.o. for remarks on the proposed answers and for favour of any additions to the answer to question (b) with reference to the special qualifications of the members.

This may go at once to H.E. the Govt. duplicates being sent in advance to the D.P.S. for verification.

Author of a Tamil work in Indian Curries by name "Sanskritana Vitakam" (Sanskritana Vitakam)

This gentleman died to-day of cholera I think


Laffine but for above The D.P.S. must see

X no. 2475-180

14.9.12

Box No. 20





12-11-9-12.]

Educational Department.

The Hon'ble Mr. Shanmukham Pillai.

Question 58. (a) Will the Government be pleased to state what action has been taken on the memorial submitted by Mr. S. T. Srinivasa Gopalachari, advocate, and others of Madras, on the 20th February last, regarding the desirability of re-constituting the Text-Book Committee with special reference to Tamil studies?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to state the names of the members of the Text-Book Committee, who represent the Tamil studies now and their respective special qualifications?

(c) Will the Government be pleased to re-constitute the said committee at an early date, by appointing to it, men of special University qualifications, who are directly engaged in teaching Tamil in schools and colleges?

[Meeting of 27th September 1912.]

Draft Answer.

(a) The Government enquired into the matter of the memorial but saw no reason to alter the constitution of the Tamil sub-committee. The memorialists have already been informed of this decision.

(b) The names of the members of the Tamil sub-committee and their qualifications are given below:-

Name.	Examination qualification.	Language.
(1) M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur A.C.Pranatartihara, Aiyar.	B.A.	Tamil.
(2) B. Hanumanta Rao.	B.A.	Tamil.
(3) ,, Rao Bahadur M.Rangaachariyar.	M.A.	Sanskrit
(4) ,, P.T. Srinivasa Aiyangar.	M.A.	Tamil
(5) ,, T.S.Subramanya Aiyar.	M.A., I.T.	Sanskrit
(6) ,, The Rev. T. Walker	M.A.	... Tamil

(c) The Government consider that the Tamil sub-committee as now constituted is fully qualified to deal with books in Tamil placed before them. They do not see any necessity to re-constitute it.

2475-120
16-9-12

To ~~the Director of Public Instruction, Madras~~
submitted to C. I., for approval.

To The Director of Public Instruction for verification.
For Rev J Walker decessor Rao Sahib Baraman Pillai Shone
L.D.
14-9-12.
The substitute. *[Signature]*
18.9.12

U.O. no 118

18-9-12



(The Hon'ble Mr. Shanmukham Pillai.)

Re-constitution
of Text-Book
Committee
(Tamil section).

Question 58. (a) Will the Government be pleased to state what action has been taken on the memorial submitted by Mr. S. T. Srinivasa Gopalachari, Advocate, and others of Madras, on the 20th February last, regarding the desirability of re-constituting the Text-Book Committee with special reference to Tamil studies?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to state the names of the members of the Text-Book Committee who represent the Tamil studies now and their respective special qualifications?

(c) Will the Government be pleased to re-constitute the said committee, at an early date, by appointing to it men of special University qualifications who are directly engaged in teaching Tamil in schools and colleges?

Answer 58—

(a) The Government enquired into the matter of the memorial but saw no reason to alter the constitution of the Tamil sub-committee. The memorialists have already been informed of this decision.

(b) The names of the members of the Tamil sub-committee and their qualifications are given below:—

	Name.	Examination qualification.	Language.
(1)	M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur A. C. Pranatartihara Aiyar	B.A.	Tamil.
(2)	„ B. Hanumanta Rao	B.A.	Tamil.
(3)	„ Rao Bahadur M. Rangachariyar.	M.A.	Sanskrit.
(4)	„ P. T. Srinivasa Aiyangar	M.A.	Tamil.
(5)	„ T. S. Subrahmanya Aiyar	M.A., L.T.	Sanskrit.
(6)	„ Rao Sahib S. Bavanandam Pillai. ..	..	Tamil.

(c) The Government consider that the Tamil sub-committee as now constituted is fully qualified to deal with books in Tamil placed before them. They do not see any necessity to re-constitute it.

22/12

The paper is recorded
draft is submitted for approval

To
The Director of Public Instruction for verification.
for Mr. J. Walter Srinivasan, Advocate, Madras.
1-3-52.

The question and answer as finally settled are as follows:—

Re-constitution
of Text-Book
Committee
(Tamil section).

Question 62. (a) Will the Government be pleased to state what action has been taken on the memorial submitted by Mr. S. T. Srinivasa Gopalachari, Advocate, and others of Madras, on the 20th February last, regarding the desirability of re-constituting the Text-Book Committee with special reference to Tamil studies?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to state the names of the members of the Text-Book Committee who represent the Tamil studies now and their respective special qualifications?

(c) Will the Government be pleased to re-constitute the said committee, at an early date, by appointing to it men of special University qualifications who are directly engaged in teaching Tamil in schools and colleges?

Answer 62—

(a) The Government enquired into the matter of the memorial but saw no reason to alter the constitution of the Tamil sub-committee. The memorialists have already been informed of this decision.

(b) The names of the members of the Tamil sub-committee and their qualifications are given below:—

	Name.	Examination qualification.	Language.
(1)	M.R.Ry. Rao Bahadur A. C. Pranatartihara Aiyar	B.A.	Tamil.
(2)	„ B. Hanumanta Rao	B.A.	Tamil.
(3)	„ Rao Bahadur M. Rangachariyar.	M.A.	Sanskrit.
(4)	„ P. T. Srinivasa Aiyangar	M.A.	Tamil.
(5)	„ T. S. Subrahmanya Aiyar	M.A., L.T.	Sanskrit.
(6)	„ Rao Sahib S. Bavandam Pillai.	...	Tamil.

(c) The Government consider that the Tamil sub-committee as now constituted is fully qualified to deal with books in Tamil placed before them.

The papers may be recorded. A draft is submitted for approval.

TH CA
9.10.12 9.10.12

hony mbr

Educl

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings: -

8

Again G.O., No. , dated

19 .

Again G.O., No. , dated

19 .

Current No. 2475 From the Leg Sept , No. , dated 13-9-1912 .

" " " " " " " " " " " "

" " " " " " " " " " " "

ORDER.

No. 908^{mis}, dated 11-10-1912

mis

Dpu/w

Recorded.

TH CA
9.10.12 9.10.12 *mon*

When given for copying _____
When copied _____ } 191
When examined _____
When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
191 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DETTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

V.E.--
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy Heading only