

Educational (1912) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 818

DATED 7 - 9 - 12

[Despatch Abstract.]

Law College — Sanctioning
the employment in the — of a
special lecturer on Rs 250 per
month for a period not exceeding
seven months from the date of
entertainment.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[P. & L.]
3,400-15-8-09.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

CONTENTS.

From	Number.	Date.	Pages.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of Referencer with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S. C.)	Despatcher to note the return of the papers to the departments concerned.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

C No 2260

Received.....191 , Registered 17-8-1912.

Subject.

Requesting sanction of Government for the employment of a special lecturer on a salary of Rs 250 per mensem in the Law College, M a d r a s , from 1st September 12 for a period of seven months or until the question of reorganization of the college is definitely settled.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Ref on Cur No. 5760/12

Station M a d r a s, Dated the 6th August 1912.From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc. F.R.S.C.I.E.(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,M a d r a s

To the Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department.
/Through
The Accountant-General/

S i r ,

I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government for the employment of a special lecturer on a salary of Rs 250/- per mensem in the Madras Law College from 1st September 1912 for a period of seven months or until the scheme for the reorganization of the College /vide this office letter Ref Cl. No. 54 /11 dated 18th April 1912/ is sanctioned, whichever is earlier.

2. A similar proposal was made by the Hon'ble Mr. Stone in this office letter Ref on Cur No. 5022/11 dated 18th August 11 but the Government stated in their order No. 812 Educational dated 18th November 1911 that they were not satisfied as to its necessity and that they considered that by increasing the number of hours of work per week of the Pri and the other lecturers to 11 and 13

2

respectively the sanctioned staff should without difficulty be able to give all the lectures necessary. //The Acting Principal ~~now~~ reports that the number of candidates seeking admission into the various classes of the College has been abnormally large this year being 562 against 460 last year, as noted on the margin and that

	Strength in 11	Strength in 11	
B.L. Class	176	153	as the Pleadership
F.L. Class	299	237	students attend certain
Pleadership class	87	70	lectures along with the
	562	460	B.L. and F.L. classes, it

will be impossible to arrange for the instruction, at the same time, of either the B.L. or F.L. class and the Pleadership class in lecture rooms which can accommodate only 170 students. He states that the difficulty cannot be got over to any appreciable extent by increasing the number of working hours of the members of the staff as suggested in the above G.O.

In these circumstances I consider the employment of a special lecturer to be urgently needed. I beg to submit herewith a copy of the time table submitted by the acting Principal showing the distribution of work under the revision proposed among the special lecturer and the present members of the staff. It will be seen therefrom that the Principal will have 11 hours teaching work a week excluding tutorial hours and the other lecturers 12 hours each and to state that if additional help is not given the present members of the staff will have to work for a still larger number of hours in the week.

3. I shall submit a reappropriation statement pointing out the source from which the extra charge can be met, on receipt of the ^{final} ~~formal~~ issue of the Civil Budget Estimates for the current year.

I may add here that the increase in the fee revenue caused by the large accession to the strength will more than cover

the additional cost of the temporary assistant lecturer.

I have the honour to be,

S i r ,

Your most obedient servant,

C. W. Cunningham

For Director of Public Instruction.

ms

N.V.S.

T I M E T A B L E.

The Acting Principal.

F. L.

10 - 11 A.M. 2 - 3 P.M. 4 - 5 P.M.

Monday.	Roman Law.	Roman Law Class A.	
Tuesday.	Jurisprudence.	Criminal Law. B.L. & Pleader ship classes	
Wednesday.	Roman Law.	Roman Law, Class B.	
Thursday.	Jurisprudence.	Criminal Law B.L. & Pleader ship classes	
Friday.	Roman Law.	Roman Law Class C.	Roman Law Class D.

[Signature]

(11 hours a week)

for Director of Public Instruction.

T I M E T A B L E.

Mr. Paul Appaswami, Acting Junior Professor.

B.L.

F.L.

	12 - 1 P.M.	2 - 3 P.M.	3 - 4 P.M.
Monday.	Property.	Torts. Sec.II.	
Tuesday.	Do.	Do. Sec. I.	Classes in property Sec. I.
Wednesday.	Do.	Do. Sec.II.	Classes in property Sec.II.
Thursday.	Do.	Do. Sec. I.	
Friday.	Do.	Do. Sec.II & I alternately.	

(12) (12 hours a week)

G. Krishnaswami
for Director of Public Instruction.

U. L.


11 2
10 24
21 26
12 20

T I M E T A B L E.

Mr. C. Kunhi Raman, Acting Assistant Professor.

10 - 11 A.M. 12 - 1 P.M. 4 - 5 P.M.

Monday.	Penal Code Sec.I. Contracts Sec.I.		
Tuesday.	Do. Sec.II.	Do. Sec.II.	
Wednesday.	Do. Sec.I.	Do. Sec.I.	
Thursday.	Do. Sec.II.	Do. Sec.II.	Penal Code. Sec. II.
Friday.	Do. Sects. I & II alternately.	Do. Secs I & II alternately.	Penal Code Secs I & II alternately.


 for Director Public Instruction.

(12 hours a week)

U. L.

8

6

T I M E T A B L E.

Mr. T. Rajagopala Chariar, Assistant Professor.

11 - 12 Noon. 2 - 3 P.M. 3 - 4 P.M.

Monday.	Hindu Law Sec.I.	Classes in Hindu Law Sec. I.	Civil Procedure Code.
Tuesday.	Do. Sec.II.		Do.
Wednesday.	Do. Sec.I.		Do.
Thursday.	Do. Sec.II.		Do.
Friday?	Do. Sec I II alternately.	Classes in Hindu Law Sec. II.	Do.

(12)

G. Krishnaswami (12 hours a week)

for Director of Public Instruction.

U. L.

9

9

T I M E T A B L E.

THE SPECIAL LECTURER TO BE APPOINTED.

11 - 12 Noon. 12 - 1 P.M. 3 - 4 P.M.

Monday	Hindu Law Sec.II.	Contract Sec.II.	Muhammadian Law.
Tuesday.	Do.	Sec.I.	Do. Sec. I.
Wednesday.	Do.	Sec.II.	Do. Sec.II.
Thursday.	Do.	Sec.I.	Do. Sec.I.
Friday:	Do. Sec.II.	Do. Sec. II	Muhammadian Law.
	&/alternately.	& at I alternately.	

(12 hours a week)

for Director of Public Instruction.

U. L.

OFFICE OF THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL, MADRAS,

Fort St. George, the 16 of August 1912..

No.G.A.31- 9971

Forwarded.

2. There is no audit objection to the proposal being -- sanctioned by Government.
3. The necessary reappropriation of funds should be -- made to meet the cost in the current year of the additional lecturer if sanctioned, before the expenditure is actually incurred.

Enc. 12.
(Para 3 on p. 2 ante)



K. V. Srinivasan

Offg: Accountant-General.

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Educational Department.

[E. & L.]
61.

1,000—11-11.

Ednel. DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FILE.

C. No. 2260 From the D.P.I. No 5760 dated 6-8-1912.

SUBJECT.

Appointment of a special lecturer
for the Law College.

M

Please see G.O. no. 812 Edl D 18-11-11 and the notes there with. In that order the Govt. declined to sanction the request of the D.P.I. for the appointment of a special lecturer for the Law College. and they considered that the existing staff of the College was by no means overworked and ~~ought to be able to give all the lectures necessary~~ stated in the order that by increasing the no of hours of work to 11 in the case of the Principal and to 13 in the case of each of the other lecturers the existing staff should without difficulty, be able to give all the lectures necessary.

2. In the present communication the D.P.I. repeats his request. The reasons given for the proposal are the same as those advanced in 1911, namely, that each lecture room in the College can accommodate only about 170 students, that the strength of each of the B.L. & F.L. classes exceeds this limit and that consequently the students of the Pleadership class could not be assembled with the B.L. & F.L. students for lectures in the subjects common to them as has been the practice hitherto.

3. The present strength of the several classes of the college are as follows: -

B.L. - class -	176
F.L. - class -	299
Pledurship class -	87
Total	<u>562</u>

The combined strength of the B.L. & Pledurship classes or of the F.L. and Pledurship classes will be too large even for ~~lecture~~ purposes of lecture, leaving alone the question of accommodation, and arrangement must therefore be made for holding the Pledurship class separately and also for splitting the F.L. class, which is the largest in point of strength, into two sections. The D.D. therefore considers the employment of a special lecturer to be urgently needed and his opinion may be accepted.

4. A time table showing how the work will be redistributed when a special lecturer is appointed has been submitted & it will be found on pages 5 to 9 of the current file. Under the revised distribution the Principal will have 16 hours teaching work a week including tutorial hours and the other lecturers 12 hours each.

5. As in another file regarding the re-organization of the teaching staff of the Law College, the Govt. have decided to increase

Please see notes
in Conf. conv below.

[It is not known why the D.P.G.
has not yet received the
final check of the C.P.G. It is
understood that the A.G.
distributed the budget. The D.P.G.
may ~~not~~ have received it.]

the staff by the appointment of a ^{professor} ~~lecturer~~
and ^{three} ~~two~~ assistant professors, the present proposal
of the D.P.G. to appoint a special lecturer
on Rs 250 a month for seven months
from 1st Sept. - next may it is submitted
be sanctioned.

6- As regards the source from which
the ~~entire~~ cost should be met ~~it~~ during
the current year, the Director states that
he will submit a reappropriation statement
on receipt of the final issue of the C.P.G.
but as the A.G. ~~states~~ says that the necessary
reappropriation of funds should be provided
before any expenditure is actually and as the
appointment of a special lecturer on the 1st
Sept. next seems to be necessary, the ^{D.P.G.} ~~cost may~~
may be asked to expedite ~~the budget~~ the ~~check~~
~~be asked to be met from the temporary provision~~
~~from model high schools in the current year's~~
~~budgets.~~

7- A draft on these lines is submitted
for approval. If approved it may be
sent to the Final Dept. for acceptance.

~~7/6 8/2~~
~~25-8-2000~~ According to the revised time table
submitted by the Dy. Principal, there will
be 59 lectures and classes, excluding the Principal's
tutorial hours. With the ^{existing} ~~four~~ ^{four} members
of the staff alone, each will have on an

para. 2 - page 2 of 9.

average about 15 hours class work. In the notes on page 2 of the notes with f.o. no. 812-28, dated 18.11.11, it was considered that fifteen hours work a week for each lecturer was not too much. As it has, however, since been considered necessary on other grounds also to increase the staff permanently by four additional lecturers, no objection need perhaps be raised to the appointment of a lecturer as a temporary measure.

CA
18.11.11

~~I enclose herewith (a confidential) letter to the Principal of the College of Arts and Sciences, Bangalore, dated 18.11.11, regarding the appointment of a lecturer as a temporary measure.~~

I enclose herewith and His Excellency's letter. - plotted for hours a week as the maximum lecturing time for each professor and assistant professor, when they proposed a staff of two professors, & four assistant professors to help the Principal. This means a maximum of 40 hours lecturing, unless (according to H.E.'s note of 24.12.11) the Principal & Assistant Principal as well. - time heads are expected to lecture for 10 hours each instead of five, in which case the maximum goes up to 50 hours.

Page 5 of notes (from 4) Page Q
H 7-8 ibid

Page 8 ibid

812
18.7.11

Present members of
the staff

(including the asst
now proposed)

* Especially as the asst
Principal (D. Swaminathan)
has been over busy to
practice.




U.O. no 2260-1
22-8-12

2. In the notes to fly (17) to the D.P.L. &
W. Krishnasami Aiyar thought 15
hours for each lecturer a reasonable
limit. The time table now sent up is
obscure but the total number of lectures
is 59 i.e. 11 for the Principal and 12
for each of the four other members of
the staff including the proposed new head.
If each of the ~~5~~ took 15 hours &
the Principal himself 14, there would be
no need for an addition. It must be
remarked however that only the Principal
~~is a full time man~~ ^{is a full time man}
The three assistants ^{and the Junior Professor} are not of
W. Krishnasami Aiyar's view is accepted,
namely, that "it will not be possible
for any professor who cares for his
practice at the bar to spare more than
four hours a week" he accepted, we cannot
will refuse ^{*} the present modest application
for one assistant to save the three half-
-time men from working 15 hours a
week instead of 12.

3. The appointment, if sanctioned, at all
should be with effect from 1st September,
think, even if a reappropriation statement
is a little late

Final av.
H.C. the Secy.

27-8-12



Rs 28-8-12 5PM

Financial Department

The present staff of the Law College consists of 1 Principal, 1 Vice-principal, and two Assistant lecturers. In Cr. 812 Gd. 8 18.11.11, the Govt. declined to sanction the proposal of the D.P.I. to employ an additional assistant lecturer on Rs 250 p.m. in the Law College for 7 months from 1-9-11, on the ground that by increasing the number of hours of work in a week to 11 in the case of the Principal and to 13 in the case of each of the other lecturers (fifteen hours of work in a week ^{for each lecturer} has not been considered an undue strain) the existing staff should without difficulty be able to give all the lectures necessary.

2. The D.P.I. again asks for the appointment of a temporary lecturer for 7 months from 1-9-12. The total number of lectures in a week is hereafter to be 59. The D.P.I. wants an extra lecturer so that the Principal may have only 11 hours of work, and the remaining four

Col. present scale on page 3
of letter 7231218 7.12.10-N

+ Rs 250 each.

M

Hon. Mr. K. K. Chaudhary
note on page 2 of note to
Cr. 812 Gd. 8 18.11.11-M

Pages 1-3 C.F.

+ Total number of
hours on page 5-9 C.F.

Para: 2 page 2 C.F.

four professors including the extra professor now proposed 12 hours each. If the Principal is asked to take 14 hours and the existing three professors 15 hours each, there will be no necessity to appoint an extra assistant.

3. The question is separately under consideration regarding additions to the teaching staff of the Law College. It has been proposed in that file that each professor or Assistant professor should be asked to lecture for only 5 hours in a week (the lecturers being however men of distinction at the Bar), and that it will be sufficient if each class has 12 hours a week.

4. It is respectfully submitted for consideration whether pending the issue of orders on the general question referred to in para: 3 above, it will not suffice if the D.P.I. is asked to work the College with the existing staff, the number of hours for each lecturer being raised, or the total number of hours for each class being reduced.

Para: 2 page 2 C.F.

1... 14 = 14
3... 15 = 45
59

File under Separate Consideration in File (Duplicate prop. file - Q)

This file has not yet been examined in File or the proposals accepted. Al 30812

Page 6 + 7 g. nots in H. E. - Q

H. E. The Crown notes 21.6.11 on page 9 g. nots Dr. 5199 R 31.7.11

X (There are 3 classes, F.L., B.L., + Pleadership) [in regard to the present lecturers, please see portion K on page 5 of nots Dr. 5199 R 31.7.11 - R]

CS. 30-8-12 30.8.12

30.8.12

The late Mr. Krishnaswami Aiyar was "under the impression that the staff of the Law College was much underworked & needed no addition to its numerical strength" (DO. d. 6.9.11.) Again he was "almost certain the present staff is underworked" (Note d. 19.9.11). He considered that 15 hours a week w^d not be an undue strain & that 11 & 13 hrs a week are considerably under the mark (note d. 7.11.11). Dr. Bourne apparently agreed (UO. note d. 13.11.11) & the proposal ~~was~~ to have an addl lecturer was negatived in G.O. N^o 812 d. 18.11.11. It is admitted that if the Lecturers now work up to the standard n^o of hours wth Mr. Krishnaswami Aiyar & Dr. Bourne approved last yr, no additional lecturer is now nec^y. In these circ^s Financial cannot accept the proposal to incur ^{the} expenditure involved in the present ~~proposal~~ C.F. and Draft. If Educ^l Dept^t does not accept this view, the file may be circ^d to the H.M. in ch. of Financial.

Ayl
30.8.12

Print. no. 51804
1.9.12

Educational no.
Please link the file about the staff of the Law College. I thought that had been marked for Financial after H.E. the Secy. Enquired what the cost of the staff he proposed w^d be.
2 of 1.

50815 50815

Submitted that the main file regarding the reorganization of the Law College staff is still with Financial, having been sent to that department on the 16th August after working out the cost of the staff as finally agreed upon.

A
2.9.12

H.E. the Governor.

Ld.
2.9.12

I have never had experience of lecturing with myself, but I cannot help thinking that Kisturamji Bhai required too much. Of course a man can lecture all day, if he merely reads his lectures from a book or scans them preparatory. But for such a subject as Law, if we are to have good lectures for say 12 hours a week at the outside, we must have the additional lecturers must be given. The College is so big now; that ample funds can be found from receipts. I wd. sanction the proposal.

M
3. ix. 12

Acc. 5th

Honble Secy H.A. Stuart Ld.
4.9.12

(a spare copy of the
minutes for notes
is in this file - 2)

① Since not?
to Educational.

Circulated
in conf. 6
Nov. 20. 12
2.9.12

I remember that at Wren's many of the lecturers used to lecture for six hours a day so that 12 hours a week seems very little. However these law college lecturers are not full time men and I will not object to the proposed employment of an add lecturer. I am sorry to see such an increase in the number of ~~prof~~ students.

Ans
4.9.12

The draft order in the file is now for approval. After issue, the file may be sent to Financial for perusal.


CA 6.9.12 Jn. See that the D.P.I. gets a copy of the Ch. at once. 7.9.12

The fo. has issued. The file may be sent to the Finl. Departt. with the request that a copy of the C.B.E. ^(final issue) may be furnished to this departt. to be forwarded to the D.P.I.

CA 9.9.12 Jn. no long Wm



Elvis 2260-2
9.9.12



Rs 10-9-12



Financial department

The case may, it is submitted, be returned to Educational after perusal.

2. With reference to portion K on page 10 ante, it is submitted that the D.P.I. would, by this time, ~~have~~ have received along with other heads of departments copies of the final edition of the Civil Budget Estimates from the Accountant-General, and that it does not appear really necessary that the D.P.I. should now be supplied with a copy from the Secretariat.

CMC. bsk
11.9.12 12.9.12.

C.N.
12.9.12

Cl.

slf
14.9.12

Think H.O. 5322-1
16.9.12

70. Educational no.



[Lgo. No 81877 9.12]

[It is the Acct. Genl. that sees to the printing and distribution of copies of Civil Budget Estimates of para 195 of Treasury Manual.]

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes on the left page, appearing to be bleed-through from the reverse side.]

Again G.O. No. _____, dated _____ 191 .
Again G.O. No. _____, dated _____ 191 .
Current No 2260 From the D.P.I, No. 57607, dated 6-8, 1912.

ORDER. No. 818, dated 7-9-1912.
Mike

The Govt. sanction the
employment ~~of a~~ in the Law
College of a special lecturer
on Rs 250 per mensem for a period
not exceeding
~~of~~ seven months from 1st Sept to
1st Oct, the date of entertainment.

2. The D.S.I is requested to expedite the reappropriation statement referred to in para. 3 of his letter; no expenditure should be incurred until ~~such time~~ it is sanctioned.

Ca
201812

Lat.
7.9.12

DESPATCHED
7-SEP 1912

Lo
Kidd
.. Ag. } 940

7/9/12

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____ } 191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 191 .

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT. _____

STATEMENT WITH DITTO. _____

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL. _____

A A A A A A A

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy Heading only.