

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

Recd.

Regd.

} 1912.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 795, 29th August 1912.

DESPATCHED

Grants.

Sanctioning the payment of special —, amounting to Rs. 43,587, to certain colleges, and directing that the amount will be met from the provision for the establishment of Government model high schools under "22. EDUCATION" in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1912-13.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT

Recd.

Regd.

} 1912.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 795, 29th August 1912.

Grants.

Sanctioning the payment of special —, amounting to Rs. 43,587, to certain colleges, and directing that the amount will be met from the provision for the establishment of Government model high schools under "22. EDUCATION" in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1912-13.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 4th June 1912.
No.—C. 4578.

	RS.	
(1) Madras Christian College ...	12,581	I have the honour to submit the enclosed applications for special grants on behalf of the marginally-noted colleges and to recommend that grants not exceeding the amounts shown against them or one-half of the entire cost of the articles, whichever may be less, may be sanctioned under rules 56 and 60 of the Grant-in-Aid Code to be paid on submission of the necessary vouchers by the correspondents concerned before 1st March 1913.
(2) St. Aloysius College, Mangalore ...	7,068	
(3) St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly ...	1,025	
(4) Pachaiyappa's College, Madras ...	9,130	
(5) Maharaja's College, Vizianagaram ...	4,250	
(6) Mrs. A. V. N. College, Vizagapatam ...	5,151	
(7) Kallikota College, Berhampur ...	2,012	
(8) C.M.S. College, Tinnevely ...	953	

2. The application for grant for the Madras Christian College includes the cost of fitting up gas and water pipes and electric wires, that for the Aloysius College, Mangalore, the cost of fitting up an electric plant with accessories, and those for the Maharaja's College, Vizianagram, Mrs. A. V. N. College, Vizagapatam, and Kallikota College, Berhampur, the cost of fitting up oil-gas plant installations for the science laboratories. Although only a third grant has hitherto been given for similar works, I beg to recommend that a half grant may be sanctioned for them as half grants are admissible towards the cost of purchase or erection of buildings in accordance with Chapter VIII of the Grant-in-Aid Code as recently revised by Government. The applications for grants towards the cost of the gas-houses for the last three colleges will be dealt with by me under Chapter VIII of the Grant-in-Aid Code as the grants applied for are within the limits of my sanctioning power.

3. As the current year's budget provision for "Grants for furniture, apparatus and other special objects" will only suffice to meet the grants already promised and those applied for by secondary and elementary schools during the current year, I beg to request that the above grants for the colleges may be sanctioned from the current year's budget provision of Rs. 1,50,500 for "22. EDUCATION—University."

4. It is requested that the enclosures be returned when no longer required.

II

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 19th June 1912.
No.—Ref. on Cur. 4578.

I have the honour to submit the enclosed application for grant towards the purchase of science apparatus and chemicals for the S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly, and to recommend that a grant not exceeding Rs. 1,417 or one-half of the entire cost of the

articles, whichever may be less, may be sanctioned under rules 57 and 60 of the Grant-in-Aid Code to be paid on submission of the necessary vouchers by the correspondent before the 1st March 1913.

2. As the current year's budget provision for "Grants for furniture, apparatus and other special objects" will not suffice to meet the present application, I request that this grant may be sanctioned from the allotment for "22. EDUCATION—University" for the current official year.

3. It is requested that the enclosures may be returned when no longer required.

III

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. A. G. BOURNE, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E., Director of Public Instruction.
To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—Madras, the 14th August 1912.
No.—Ref. on Cur. 4578.

I have the honour to solicit the attention of Government to my letters Cur. No. 4578, dated 4th June 1912, and Ref. on Cur. No. 4578, dated 19th June 1912, submitting for the sanction of Government applications for special grants on behalf of certain colleges, and to request that early orders may be passed on them. If the grants are not sanctioned soon, it may not be possible for the correspondents of the colleges concerned to submit the necessary vouchers and to utilize the grants in full before the close of the current official year as most of the articles proposed to be purchased have to be ordered from England after the grants are promised.

Order—No. 795, Educational, dated 29th August 1912.

The Government sanction the payment to the institutions mentioned below of special grants not exceeding the amounts specified in each case or one-half of the actual cost of the articles, whichever may be less:—

	RS.
(1) Madras Christian College ...	12,581
(2) St. Aloysius College, Mangalore ...	7,068
(3) St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly ...	1,025
(4) Pachaiyappa's College, Madras ...	9,130
(5) Maharaja's College, Vizianagram ...	4,250
(6) Mrs. A. V. N. College, Vizagapatam ...	5,151
(7) Kallikota College, Berhampur ...	2,012
(8) C.M.S. College, Tinnevely ...	953
(9) S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly ...	1,417

2. The grants will be met from the sum of 75 lakh available out of the lump provision of 1.50 lakhs made in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1912-13 under 22. EDUCATION for the establishment of Government model high schools and will be paid on the submission of the necessary vouchers to the Director of Public Instruction before the 1st March 1913.

(True Extract.)

L. DAVIDSON,
 Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
 „ Accountant-General.
 „ Financial Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 795, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
29TH AUGUST 1912.

[SUBJECT.—*Special grants to certain colleges.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, No. 4578, dated 4th June 1912.

* In the cases of Pachaiyappa's College, the Maharaja's College, Vizianagram, and Mrs. A. V. N. College the grants proposed do not agree with the amounts shown in the applications. Some reductions, not indicated, have been made by the Director of Public Instruction and the reduced figures have been adopted.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—12-6-12.

Vide page 133 of the Civil Budget Estimate.

Vide page 12 of the Proceedings of the Finance Committee, 1912.

G.O. Nos. 93-94, Educational, dated 5th February 1912.

Cf. G.O. No. 140, Educational, dated 19th February 1912.

likely to be received. As regards the University library building it is submitted that the question of the site has not yet been settled; nor have plans and estimates been sanctioned.

2. It is unlikely that the whole of the provision of Rs. 1,50,500 will be required for expenditure on the objects specified above during the year 1912-13. Even

Vide G.O. No. 271, Educational, dated 25th March 1912.

if anything is required it can also be easily met from the special grant of Rs. 4 lakhs sanctioned by the Government of India.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. If approved, it may be forwarded to the Financial Department for acceptance.

P.R.—11-6-12.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—12-6-12.

L. DAVIDSON—13-6-12.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft may, it is submitted, be accepted.

A.S.—19-6-12.

A. HANUMANTA RAO—20-6-12.

C. REILLY—21-6-12.

A. G. CARDEW—23-6-12.

I don't think the 1.50 provided under 22. EDUCATION—University can be used by the Director of Public Instruction. In the Financial Statement (paragraph 129) it is said that this provision is a grant to the University for the promotion of study and research, and the Finance Committee certainly understood that the money would be

made over to the University. It was clearly never intended to be at the disposal of the Director of Public Instruction who must indicate some other source from which the money can be found.

H. A. S[UART]—24-6-12.

A. G. CARDEW—25-6-12.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

From the Director of Public Instruction, R.O.C. No. 4578, dated 19th June 1912.

The application for a similar grant of Rs. 1,417 for the S.P.G. College, Trichinopoly, to come out of the same source, since received from the Director of Public Instruction, has also been added to the file.

2. With reference to the Honourable Member's note it seems necessary to explain briefly the circumstances under which the provision of Rs. 1,50,500 came to be made in the Civil Budget Estimate for 1912-13.

In the budget for 1912-13, submitted by the Director of Public Instruction, no provision was made under "22. EDUCATION—UNIVERSITY". He was then specially asked by Government whether any

Page 307 of Budget Notes.
Page 323 *ibid.*

allotment should be made under that head for grants to the University of Madras and affiliated colleges. He replied that it was unlikely that any amount would be re-

Ibid.

quired during 1912-13 for expenditure under "22. EDUCATION—UNIVERSITY" and that any grants given in future to colleges might be suitably given under "22-G. EDUCATION—GRANTS-IN-AID". The Honourable Member (now His Excellency) was

Vide his note on page 320 of budget notes.

also of the same opinion and remarked that it was no use giving the University money to add to its balances.

3. In the meanwhile the Financial Department took up the question of spending the Imperial and Provincial surplus funds and allotted a sum of three lakhs for a hostel in Georgetown. The Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart (then Chief Secretary) remarked as follows:—

"I do not believe that we shall spend three lakhs on hostel accommodation in Georgetown, and I would reduce this to two. The lakh so saved might be given to the University which might utilize it in part for a grant-in-aid of the Tamil lexicon which is now under compilation. It could also usefully employ money in obtaining the services of some eminent specialist in economics or science or Sanskrit from Europe to give a course of lectures during the cold weather. Another purpose to which they could usefully devote the money is the purchase of books for the library. Indeed I think it would be a good thing to build a University library near the Senate House if a site can be found there."

4. These proposals were discussed in Council and were agreed to. In paragraph 231 of the Draft Financial Statement it was stated that "a grant of one lakh might be profitably utilized by the University for the promotion of study and research."

Page 12 of the proceedings.

In the Finance Committee, in reply to a query from the Hon'ble Mr. Seshagiri

Aiyar, whether the grant was intended for the University library, Dr. Bourne explained that if the grant were made, the University would be able to start work on the Tamil lexicon, which would absorb about Rs. 20,000 during 1912-13. There was also, he said, a proposal about the new University library building and there would be no difficulty about beginning operations as soon as the site was settled. He added that if there was any unspent balance it could be devoted to the purchase of books. Sir Harold Stuart suggested that possibly funds could also be devoted to the payment of honoraria to lecturers from Europe. The Hon'ble Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar proposed that the 50 lakh cut out of item relating to the hostel accommodation in Georgetown be

* The balance of Rs. 500 is for Johnston of Carnaloch scholarship.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—3-7-12.

added to the grant to the Madras University making the latter 1-50 lakhs—a provision* which now stands in the budget.

5. It will thus be seen that the idea was to spend this grant (i) on the Tamil lexicon, (ii) the University library building, (iii) purchase of books and (iv) possibly also on the payment of remuneration to lecturers from Europe. As explained on page 1 *supra*, no large expenditure is likely to be incurred on the first two objects in 1912-13. At least some portion of the grant will be available and the amount of the grant now applied for is only Rs. 42,170 plus Rs. 1,417 or Rs. 43,587. The improvement of collegiate education is within the province of the University; and in the previous years such grants were actually made from the allotment for the University. It does not therefore appear that there is any objection to spending a portion of the amount provided under "University" on objects now specified by the Director of Public Instruction.

It does not also appear that it was the intention of Government to hand over the money to the University to be added to its balance. The allotment for the construction of library should apparently be spent by the Public Works Department. If the amount is not utilized on the object within the current year it will lapse. It is also unlikely that any large outlay on books will be needed before the library is built.

* Viz., 65 lakh promised by India for 1912-13 but not yet assigned and allotted to this Presidency in the budget.

The University is separately considering the question of instituting lectureships out of the recurring grant* sanctioned from Imperial funds.

6. It is for consideration whether, in the circumstances above, the Director of Public Instruction may, as ordered by the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart, be asked to point out other sources from which the money can be found. Subject to approval, a draft memorandum is submitted.

P.R.—1-7-12.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—3-7-12.

I think it would be best to send this un-officially to the Director of Public Instruction instead of issuing a memorandum.

L. DAVIDSON—3-7-12.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

1 cannot at present indicate any other source.

2. The colleges form the University and these proposals will promote University study and research. Unless the 1-50 lakhs is to be paid into the University balance a large portion of it will surely lapse as pointed out in the Educational note.

A. G. BOURNE—5-7-12.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted for orders with reference to the Hon'ble Sir Harold Stuart's note, dated 24th June 1912. If the Financial Department still object to the diversion of the provision of 1-50 lakhs for "University" they may, in the circumstances, be asked to provide an additional allotment from the reserve at their disposal, if possible.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—6-7-12.

L. DAVIDSON—8-7-12.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Attention is solicited to Honourable Member's note, dated 24th June 1912.

2. As the Educational Department now point out that there will be a large lapse in the grant entered in the budget for 1912-13 under the head 22. EDUCATION—

University, it is for orders whether the proposal to utilize Rs. 43,587* for grants to private colleges may be accepted. Grants to private Arts colleges for purposes connected with University study have been considered in the past† a fit object of expenditure against the University grant.

* Rs. 42,170 in the draft + 1,417 since applied for.

† Cf. item 4 in list of charges specified in paragraph 129 of Revised Financial Statement, 1912-13 (page 41).

A.S.—14-7-12.

A. HANUMANTA RAO—15-7-12.

I think in the circumstances stated in the notes subsequent to page 2 we may agree with Educational.

C. RILLY—16-7-12.

A. G. CARDEW—17-7-12.

I cannot agree to the diversion of the University grant by the Government. It was certainly my impression that the money would be made over to the University but for use, either this year or next on some object or objects indicated by the Government in general terms. I have no objection to the University being asked whether they will make these grants from the sum placed at their disposal, but I do object to our treating this grant as though it were at the disposal of the Director of Public Instruction. And I must enter a protest against the assumption underlying the Educational Department note that we are obliged to find this money from other sources. We have given very liberal grants for education as a whole and the department must try to keep within them at least until we see whether we shall have lapses under other heads which can be diverted.

H. A. S[UART]—4-8-12.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

As the Financial Department object to the diversion of the provision of 1.50 lakhs made under "University" and as the Director of Public Instruction is unable at present to indicate any other source from which the proposed expenditure can be met, the only course open is to ask that department to sanction an additional allotment. To the latter alternative also, the Honourable Member in charge of Financial objects and observes that Educational should keep its expenditure within the budget provision.

2. For some years the Government have been recognizing the need for assisting aided colleges with special grants for equipment chiefly with the object of enabling them to conform to the requirements prescribed by the University. The special

Page 2 of Notes with G.O. No. 72, Educational, dated 4th February 1909.

assignment from Imperial funds made by the Government of India in 1904-1905 to 1908-1909 enabled this Government to meet this demand in those years. The assignment for colleges alone amounted to Rs. 80,000 a year. The whole of the assignment of 1.05 lakhs, which was originally a temporary grant, was, during the new financial settlement introduced in 1908-1909, permanently merged in the fixed annual adjustments from Imperial and Provincial revenues for the same purpose. Please see the letter from the Government of India, No. 518, dated 11th June 1909, on page 2 of G.O. No. 421, Educational, dated 16th July 1909, and the Financial notes on page 2 of the notes therewith.

3. Since then, allotments required for special grants to colleges were made from Provincial funds under the head "University" in 22. EDUCATION. In paragraph 6 of this Government's letter, No. 73, Educational, dated 4th February 1909, the Government estimated that the probable requirement of the colleges for equipment, etc., would be about 1.50 lakhs and proposed to spread the allotment over a period of three years. The current year is the third year. No special provision, however, exists in this year's budget for this purpose either under "22. EDUCATION—UNIVERSITY" or "22-G. GRANTS-IN-AID". There seems, therefore, to be need for finding funds for this object in the current year.

4. As regards the question of meeting the present cost from the provision for education, it is submitted that a sum of Rs. 75,000 has been recently resumed by Financial from the lump provision of 1.50 lakhs for Government model schools. G.O. No. 468, Financial, dated 26th July 1912.

When the Financial Department's proposal to make the above resumption was received, this department accepted the proposal under the impression that funds required for meeting the grants to the colleges now in issue would be met from the provision under "University" as proposed by the Director

Yes: I should certainly have made the suggestion.

L. DAVIDSON—10-8-12.

of Public Instruction. Otherwise this department might have proposed that the grants might be met from the lump provision proposed for resumption, and if that were allowed, the additional cost would be within the total budget provision for education. By the resumption, this provision has been reduced by Rs. 75,000, while the amount now required is only Rs. 43,587. In these circumstances, Financial may be asked to reconsider the case and allot the necessary amount at least from the resumed sum.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—10-8-12.

There seems to have been a mistake made when the budget was drawn up. So far as the Director was concerned, his original intention was to ask for a supplemental grant under "22-G. Grants-in-aid" if on further consideration he had reason to suppose assistance of this kind would be required by affiliated colleges. There were no demands before him at the time and either he overlooked the matter or the necessity for the assistance now sought did not make itself apparent until after the final form of the budget was settled. As soon as he became aware that there were suitable objects for grants-in-aid to the amount of some Rs. 40,000 it was not unnatural that he should suggest expenditure from the head "22. University". For in previous years it was to that head that such grants were debited. In paragraph 129 of the Revised Financial Statement the usual statement is repeated that the minor head of "University" includes expenditure on account of grants made to colleges in order to render them more efficient in those respects in which an inspection by the University shows them to be defective. What Dr. Bourne forgot, no doubt, was the discussion which took place in the Finance Committee and the statement that the budget allotment of 1.50 was intended to be a direct grant to the University.

2. I quite understand that it is difficult to go back from that assurance, but as there is no likelihood of the University spending all the money this year, it is for consideration whether a portion of it might not be utilized on aiding affiliated colleges, intimation being at the same time given to the University to the effect that the sum withdrawn this year will be re-allotted next year. The grant of assistance to colleges

G.O. No. 421, Educational, dated 16th July 1909.

is a recognized liability and the financial settlement benefited to the extent of Rs. 80,000 per annum on that account. The omission to make proper provision under either "22-G. Grants-in-aid" or "22. University" is to be regretted, but in the circumstances it is submitted that the present application should, if possible, be complied with. The Government of India, I understand, are most anxious to avoid lapses from the educational budget and to that

end are sanctioning, almost as a matter of routine, proposals to transfer allotments from one sub-head to another. It will probably be conceded that the Educational Department has a first claim to benefit by lapses under educational sub-heads. If I had been aware that the provision of funds in this case would give rise to such difficulty, I should certainly have proposed that the resumption of half the grant towards model schools should be coupled with the condition that the savings should be made available in the first instance for these grants-in-aid to affiliated colleges.

3. Perhaps in these circumstances Financial will find it possible to re-consider the matter. If not, the Director's recommendations must lie over until further savings present themselves towards the close of the year. There is no very great urgency about these grants-in-aid, but of course the colleges concerned would like to have them as soon as possible and perhaps delay in giving them may strengthen the criticism now so frequently to be found in the public press that assistance to private institutions is postponed to expenditure on Government schools and colleges.

L. DAVIDSON—10-8-12.

To the Financial Department.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted with reference to Honourable Member's note on page 4 *ante*. Attention is invited to the subsequent notes in Educational. It is for orders whether in view of their further remarks we should now accept Educational's original draft. Doubtless, if it is decided later to make any direct grants to the University, we should not find much difficulty in finding funds. If, however, it is thought that the grants to colleges now proposed should not this year come out of "22. Education—University", it may be suggested to Educational that the sum of the total of 1.50 we have resumed only .75. Of .75 lakh still unresumed for the model high school scheme may be diverted for the present grants. It is understood that there is no likelihood of any expenditure being incurred on the model high school scheme this year.

C. REILLY—16-8-12.

When the educational budget was first submitted in October 1911, the Director of Public Instruction made *no* provision under the minor head "University". He was then asked by official memorandum whether provision should not be made for

Budget notes, pages 335 and 337.

Budget note, page 351.

grants to affiliated colleges, and he replied on 21st November 1911 that any such grants should be given under grants-in-aid and not through the University. Apparently, however, he omitted to provide under grants-in-aid for these grants for the equipment of colleges. The Government put in a provision under 22. Education—University and it was raised by the Finance Committee to 1.50 lakhs. The objection taken by the Honourable Member to the utilization of this 1.50 lakhs for grants by the Director of Public Instruction to aided colleges is therefore correct, and the original proposal of Educational should not be accepted. But as it is undesirable to deprive the colleges of the grants in question, paragraph 1 of the Educational draft may be accepted and Educational may utilize the .75 lakh under model high schools on the understanding that if this allotment is needed later in the year for the model high school scheme, Financial will endeavour to reallocate the money from savings elsewhere. There will probably be lapses under Public Works Department.

A. G. CARDEW—18-8-12.

I accept the Chief Secretary's solution.

H. A. S[UART]—19-8-12.

A. G. CARDEW—20-8-12.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Please see alterations in the draft made with reference to the last note of the Financial Department. The draft may be submitted to His Excellency for approval.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—22-8-12.

Yes.

L. DAVIDSON—23-8-12.

I agree. We ought not to have made this mistake in the budget. I cannot understand how it happened, because I remember particularly noting somewhere that we should want these grants to colleges for apparatus.

M. H[AMMICK]—24-8-12.

His Excellency apparently refers to his note, dated 25th November 1911, on page 320 of the Budget Notes.

2. The draft order may now issue.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—28-8-12.

L. DAVIDSON—29-8-12.

[G.O. No. 795, Educational, dated 29th August 1912.]

19

19

READ ALSO :—

C. No. 1597, From the 299, No. 4578, dated 4-6-1912

19/2.

2125 " 4018 14-8-19/2

ORDER

No. 795, dated 29/8/1912

Reiss

The Govt. sanction the payment to the institutions mentioned below of special grants not exceeding the amounts specified in each case or one-half of the actual cost of the articles, whichever may be less:—

1. Madras Christian College. 12, 581
 2. St. Mary's College, Mangalore. 7, 068
 3. St. Joseph's College, Trichinopoly. 1, 025
 4. Pachaiyappa's College, Madras. 9, 130
 5. Maharajah's College, Vizianagaram. 4, 250
 6. Mrs. A. V. M. College, Vizagapatnam. 5, 151
 7. Kallikote College, Berhampur. 2, 012
 8. C. M. S. College, Tinnevely. 953
 9. S. P. G. College, Trichinopoly. 1, 417
2. The grants will be met from the

~~Provision~~

Sum of 75 lakh available out of the lump provision of 1.50 lakhs made in the C.B.E. for 12-13 under 22. Education for the establishment of Govt. model high schools.

provision of Rs 1,50,500 under 22 - Education - University in the C.B.E. for 1912-13 and will be paid on the submission of the necessary vouchers to the S.P.D before the 1st 1913.

PP CA 11-6-12

LD 13

To the S.P.D with enclosures ret'd - A.G. (Khan) 78.

also in manifest

DESPATCHED 31 AUG 1912

Sham Chandra 19/9/12

88 20/9/12

TO PRESS, ~~MADRAS~~
OOTY.

A

Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Clerk.

Clerk.

Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....			
" " " Issue.....			
For Volumes.....			
For E. T.....			
Total ...			

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in Print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.