

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1912.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 640, 17th July 1912.

Parliamentary question.

Recording question asked in the House of Commons and the answer given thereto with regard to the resolution to amend the Grant-in-Aid Code passed at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 15th February 1912.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



CM 222681
14-8-22

No.

1062
Government of India,
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION.
(Education)

Simla, the 8th August 1912.

A copy of the undermentioned paper is forwarded to the Government of Madras for information.



By order,

D. Sharp

Joint Secretary to the Government of India.

Answer to Mr. MacCallum Scott's question

No.10, dated 18th June 1912.

Lodged.

TR
17-8-12

CR
17-8-12

Note. To be stitched with the original of G.O. no. 6409d &

N 17-7-1912

3
Answer to Mr. CacCallum Scott's Question, No.10, dated
18th June 1912.

As regards the first part of the question, the Madras Government have been unable to act upon a Resolution which would alter fundamentally the established principles of their grant-in-aid scheme and Code. The Code as it stands admits of increased grants-in-aid to secondary schools, and the question of increasing these grants is now being considered.

As regards the second part of the Question, the Quinquennial Report for 1902-07 stated that in Madras and Bombay the total expenditure on secondary schools (not the amount of grants from Government) had not up to 1907 kept pace with the increase in the number of pupils. The Government expenditure on secondary schools in Madras has increased steadily. In the year 1908-09 a sum of £ 9,000 was spent: the budget estimate for next year amounts to over £29,000.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

1912.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 640, 17th July 1912.

Parliamentary question.

Recording question asked in the House of Commons and the answer given thereto with regard to the resolution to amend the Grant-in-Aid Code passed at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 15th February 1912.

Answer to Mr. Cacciatum Scott's Question, No. 10, dated

18th June 1912.

As regards the first part of the question, the Madras Government has been unable to act upon a Resolution which would alter fundamentally the established principles of their grant-in-aid scheme and Code. The Code as it stands admits of increased grants-in-aid to secondary schools, and the question of increasing these grants is now being considered.

As regards the second part of the question, the Quintennial Report for 1902-07 stated that in Madras and Bombay the total expenditure on secondary schools (not the amount of grants from Government) had not up to 1907 kept pace with the increase in the number of pupils. The Government expenditure on secondary schools in Madras has increased steadily. In the year 1907-08 a sum of £ 9,000 was spent; the budget estimate for next year amounts to over £ 29,000.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

G.O. No. 527, Educational, dated 14th June 1912.

Read—the following paper :—

Telegram—from His Majesty's Secretary of State for India.
To—the Governor of Madras.
Dated—the 13th June 1912.
No.—J. & P. 2095.

Question in Parliament Tuesday next. What action being taken in view of resolution passed by Madras Legislative Council, 15th February, to amend grant-in-aid code? Please telegraph reply immediately.

Order—No. 527, Educational, dated 14th June 1912.

The following reply telegram will be despatched to the Secretary of State :—

“Your telegram of 14th. No action has been taken to amend Grant-in-Aid Code in manner proposed in resolution for reasons given by Government in debates of 15th February and 13th March, for which please see *Fort St. George Gazette*, Part IV, 5th March, pages 98 to 122, and 26th March, pages 195 and 204. Taking advantage of word ‘ordinarily’ in rules 33 and 35 of Madras Grant-in-Aid Code, increased grants towards secondary schools are however now being considered.”

(True Extract.)

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Secretary of State for India.

II

Question No. 10 asked in the House of Commons by Mr. MacCallum Scott on 18th June 1912, and the answer given thereto.

10. Mr. MacCallum Scott.—To ask the Under Secretary of State for India, if he will state what action the Government of Madras propose to take on the resolution to amend the provisions of the Madras grant-in-aid to secondary schools which was moved in the Madras Legislative Council on 15th February last by the Hon'ble Mr. T. V. Seshagiri Aiyar, the representative of the University of Madras in that body, and carried by 21 votes to 19; whether he is aware that, in the last quinquennial report of the Director-General of Education in India, it was stated that the amount of grant given by the Government of Madras to secondary schools did not keep pace with the expansion of education; and will he state what action has been taken to meet this criticism?

Answer.—As regards the first part of the Question, the Madras Government have been unable to act upon a resolution which would alter fundamentally the established principles of their Grant-in-aid scheme and Code. The Code as it stands admits of increased grants-in-aid to secondary schools, and the question of increasing these grants is now being considered.

As regards the second part of the Question, the Quinquennial Report for 1902-07 stated that in Madras and Bombay the total expenditure on secondary schools (not the amount of grants from Government) had not up to 1907 kept pace with the increase in the number of pupils. The Government expenditure on secondary schools in Madras has increased steadily. In the year 1908-09 a sum of £9,000 was spent: the budget estimate for next year amounts to over £29,000.

Order—No. 640, Educational, dated 17th July 1912.

Recorded.

(True Extract.)

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 640, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
17TH JULY 1912.

[SUBJECT.—*Parliamentary question regarding the amendment of Grant-in-Aid Code.*]

Previous paper :

G.O. No. 433, Educational, dated 21st May 1912.

Demi-official—from His Majesty's Secretary of State for India.
To—the Governor of Madras.
Dated—the 13th June 1912.
No.—J. & P. 2095.

Question in Parliament Tuesday next. What action being taken in view of resolution passed by Legislative Council of the Governor of Madras, 15th February, to amend Grant-in-Aid Code? Please telegraph reply immediately.

Please codify the following telegram and despatch to-day:—

Telegram—from the Governor of Madras.
To—His Majesty's Secretary of State for India.

“Your telegram of 14th. No action has been taken to amend Grant-in-Aid Code in manner proposed in resolution for reasons given by Government in debates of 15th February and 13th March, for which please see *Fort St. George Gazette*, Part IV, 5th March, pages 98 to 122 and 26th March, pages 195 and 204. Taking advantage of word ‘ordinarily’ in rules 33 and 35 of Madras Grant-in-Aid Code, increased grants towards secondary schools are however now being considered.”

L. DAVIDSON—14-6-12.

The telegram has been codified and submitted below for approval.

C. RAMANUJACHARI—14-6-12.

L. DAVIDSON—14-6-12.

[G.O. No. 527, Educational, dated 14th June 1912.]

Question No. 10 asked in the House of Commons by Mr. MacCallum Scott on 18th June 1912 and the answer given thereto.

The last portion of the answer does not seem to be accurate. It is not clear what is meant by the expression “the budget estimate for next year”. Apparently the budget for 1912-13 is intended.

L. DAVIDSON—16-7-12.

2. While the figure "£9,000" represents only the expenditure in 1908-09 on teaching grants to secondary schools for boys, the budget figure for 1912-13, viz., "£29,000" will be correct only if the following items are taken into account:—

If the Imperial grant of Rs. 80,000, not included in the budget, is taken, the allotment for non-European secondary schools will be nearly equal to the amount given in the answer as shown below :—

C. RAMANUJACHARI-15-7-12.

Very well then.

L. DAVIDSON—16-7-12.

[G.O. No. 640, Educational, dated 17th July 1912.]

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 527 Ed, dated 14 - 6 - 1912. A

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1903, From the India office, No. _____, dated 18-6- 1912

" " " ", " , 191

[illegible]

ORDER.

P. S.

No. 560, dated 17.2.1912

Recorded

7.7.12 CR
13.7.12 15/7/12

not for E.T.
Oxas / I have printed this note as note to this go.

No doubt that
was the intention
of the CP &
for 9-10-11.

omit page 148 of CB 2
I think the correct fig 12-13.
figures & how - be pointed out, in
- teaching European & schools.
B 7 u

Note: The last portion of the answer does not seem to be accurate. It is not clear what is meant by the expression "the budget estimate for next year". Apparently the budget for 12-13 is intended.

2. While the figure "£ 9000" represents ^{the ex-}penditure on teaching grants to secondary schools for boys, the figure ^{of} "£ 29,000" will be correct ^{only} if the following items are taken into account: —

Teaching for Secondary schools for boys	-	160.000
Special grants to Secondary schools for equipment	-	200.000
Fixed grants to high schools for European boys	-	48.000
do middle schools for European boys	-	28.000
		<u>4.436.000</u>
	a £	29.061

38
f.o. 293
4.4.12

If the Imperial grant of Rs. 80,000, not included in the budget, is ~~added~~ taken, the allot. for non European secondary schools ^(mean) will be equal to the amount given in the annex as shown below:—

Teaching grants to secondary schools for boys	160,000
Special grants to secondary schools for equipment	200,000
Imperial grant for improvement aided secondary schools	80,000
	<u>Rs. 4,40,000</u>
	or £ <u>29,600</u>

C. Ramaniyachari

Very well then

J
L. Davidson

ORDINARY.

PREFERENCE.

URGENT (a).

Educational
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Current No., dated 190 ..

G.O., No. *640*, dated *17* - *7* - 190 *2*

Number of MS. pages sent { Correspondence including order or orders
(b)
Notes.....

Docket. *Parliamentary question*

asked in the House of Commons

and the answer given there to

with regard to the resolution

to amend the provisions of the

grant-in-aid Code of 1900

at the meeting of the Legislative

Council held on 17th July 1901

Number of copies required when struck off (not including
copies for volumes and editors' table which are retained
in the Press).

Complete Correspondence and orders. Notes.

For RECORD.*

Madras Records 30 15

Ooty Records 3 3

For filing with original 1 1

Total 34 19

FOR ISSUE.†

Signature copies.....

India Office.....

Govt. of India.....Dept.

Revenue Department.....

Public Works Department.....

Educational Department.....

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

.....Dept.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SECRETARIAT.

(a) If a paper is marked to be printed urgently, the Superintendent of the section concerned should sign the Press slip. The Superintendent should exercise a careful discretion in the matter.

(b) Each letter, memorandum, or order, or set of orders, in the file of correspondence should be headed in succession with the Roman numeral I, II, III, etc., the number being written in red ink or blue chalk above the item. The particular papers to be printed in the special issue-copies should be indicated by the Roman numeral (I, II, etc.) by which that item has been headed in the correspondence file. All matter, including marginal remarks or references, to be printed should be written in ink and matter which should not be printed should be encircled. Initials and signatures that are not quite legible should be re-written distinctly.

(c) For rules regarding printing of notes, see the rules in G.O., No. 1220, Public, dated 30th November 1900.

(d) The number of copies and the details of distribution should be filled in by the Superintendent or Referencer concerned.

(e) In the absence of special instructions to the Press to keep matter standing for a specified period, ordinary papers of 8 pages and under will be kept standing for one week, and larger papers for two weeks only. The type of notes and of all confidential papers is distributed immediately after striking.

(f) This slip, properly and completely filled in, should accompany every paper sent to Press; it will be returned by the Press when proofs are furnished; it should be sent to the Press when a call is made for revised proofs or when a corrected proof is sent for striking. When finally returned by Press it should be filed with the original order or letter.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE PRESS.

(a) If, in the opinion of the Superintendent of the Press, a paper has been marked urgent unnecessarily, he should send the Press slip to the Registrar with a remark to that effect.

(b) Unless a note to the contrary is made against the matter, pencil entries should not be printed. The Superintendent of the Press may bring to the notice of the Registrar any instance of incomplete or careless editing.

(c) Signature copies of letters to the Secretary of State or to the Government of India should be printed on hand-made paper.

(d) This slip should be returned by the Press to the Secretariat when proofs are furnished; it will be sent back to the Press when proofs are returned corrected and should be finally returned to the Secretariat when struck-off copies are supplied.

(e) The names of the Secretary and Members should be printed in full when they occur on the right hand side, but only the first time when they appear on the left side, the initials alone being printed later.

* Copies distributed on.....*(Press Clerk.)

† Copies distributed on.....*(Despatcher.)

TO PRESS, MADRAS.
OOTY.

A

Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.

B

Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval at once.

C

As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.

Type may be released after usual interval.

Clerk.

Clerk.

Clerk.

Supdt.

Supdt.

Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	
First proof furnished on.....	
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	
Final copies supplied on.....	

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....			
" " " Issue.....			
For Volumes.....			
For E. T.....			
Total ...			

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in Print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No. 191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Print in full.
B = Not to be printed.
P = Print abstract only.
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.