

# Educational (191 ) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 437

DATED 21-5-12

[Despatch Abstract.]

L. Basavaraj.

Informing \_\_\_\_\_ of Katteraraj,  
Guntur Dt., that <sup>the</sup> report do not consider  
the evidence produced satisfactory proof  
that he has received an education up to  
the prescribed standard.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O.                               | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O.                    | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------------------------------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------------------------|-----|-------------|-------|
| CN <sup>o</sup> 1331/13<br>20/8/14 |     |             |       | CN <sup>o</sup> 3109/14 |     |             |       |

[ E. & L. ]  
68  
2,400-15-3-29.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

# CURRENT FILE.

## CONTENTS.

| From                | Number. | Date.  | Pages. |
|---------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| [1336] L. Basaviah. | --      | 1-5-12 | 1.     |

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

| Number. | Date. | Original (=O.)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.) | Remarks.<br>(In this column such of the<br>papers as have been removed<br>for copying, printing,<br>etc., should be marked with<br>a cross.) | Initials of Referencer with date<br>in token of return to Reference<br>department. |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         |       |                                             |                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                    |

Papers of other departments.

| Department. | Number. | Date. | Original (=O.)<br>or spare copy<br>(=S. C.) | Despatcher to note the return of the papers to<br>the departments concerned. |
|-------------|---------|-------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|             |         |       |                                             |                                                                              |



Regd 6. 5-12  
CURRENT NO. 2623  
PUBLIC

Esleno 1336  
8-5-12

The Government of  
Madras.

The humble petition of Lakkaraju Basavaiah,  
son of Ganakiramayya, of Vatterdam, Morisset  
post, Senali, Guntur District,

Most Respectfully Sheweth:-

That your petitioner duly received the G.O. No.  
89 R, dated 5th March 1912, in modification  
of G.O. No. 36 R, dated 2nd February 1912,  
and, with reference to it, he most loyally begs  
leave to submit the following for favour of your  
Lordships' consideration.

- The very wording of the provision under clause  
(5) of article 1 of the Public Service Notification  
does not convey the meaning that a person, who  
had failed to pass the Upper Secondary Examination  
on three occasions, will not be eligible for superior  
service.
- In the absence of any statutory provision that a  
person, who had failed to pass the Upper Secondary  
Examination on three occasions, will not be eligible  
for superior service, your petitioner is entitled to be  
declared qualified under the said provision.
- Consequently, on the strength of the evidence submitted  
in obedience to the G.O. No. 338 R, dated 30th October  
1911, your petitioner most humbly craves your Lordships  
permission that may make him eligible for appointment  
to any post in the superior service.

For which act of benevolence,  
your petitioner shall, as in  
duty bound, ever pray.

1st May 1912.

He begs to remain,  
your Lordships' most obedient  
and humble servant,  
Lakkaraju Basavaiah.

PR

# NOTES.

---

C. No. 1336 From the Lakkharaju Basavayya No. 2/4 dated 1-5-1912.

SUBJECT. Interpretation of clause (5) of art. 1 of the Public Service Notification.

D. Please see G.O. 89 R dt 5<sup>th</sup> March 1912 and the notes connected with it.

2. The petitioner appears to be arguing in a circle. The contention now put forward is that clause (5) of art. 1 of the Public Service Notification does not say that "a person who had failed to pass the Upper Secondary examination on three occasions will not be eligible for superior service" and that in the absence of any statutory provision to that effect he is entitled to be declared qualified for appointments in the superior service.

3. Clause (5) of art 1, penultimate sentence runs:-

"... natives of India who have not attended any Secondary school will be eligible (on or after 1<sup>st</sup> April 1911, for appointment to any post in the superior service the sanctioned pay of which exceeds Rs 20 p.m) if they satisfy Govt. that they have received an education at least up to the standard of the late Upper Secondary examination or the Matriculation examination." In G.O.

D. 89 R dt 5.3.12 the petitioner was informed that he did not satisfy Govt. that he had received an education at least up to the standard of the Upper Secondary examination. As he has failed to satisfy Govt. on this point he is ineligible for appointment.

appointments of which the Salary exceeds Rs 20 p.m.

4. It is for consideration whether the petitioner may be informed that he is ineligible for appointment in the Superior Service the Sanctioned pay of which exceeds Rs 20 p.m. because he has <sup>for the reason given in</sup> ~~not~~ failed to satisfy Govt. that he has received an education at least up to the standard of the Late Upper Secondary examination.

17.5.12 The mere fact of the petitioner's failure at the Upper secondary examination did not render him ineligible under the notification; it only furnished Govt. a ground ~~for~~ <sup>for</sup> deciding that they were not satisfied that he had the requisite education.

CR  
18/5/12

Order  
mu

Apr 21/5/12  
Please transcribe  
this on separate  
sheet CR  
21/5

The pet. will be informed that Govt. do not consider the evidence produced satisfactory proof that he has received an education up to the prescribed standard. The matter cannot be further discussed.

LD.  
21.5.12

21/5/12

20 The petition ✓  
21/5/12



Received ..... 190 . Registered ..... 190 .

Abstract.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., No. 437 Mis., , dated 21st May 1912. 190 .

Read the following paper:—

ENCLOSURES.

No. ....

Petition from Lakharazu Basawiah, of Kattevaram, Morrispet  
Post, Tenali, Guntur district, dated 1st May 1912.

ORDER :-

The petitioner will be informed that the Government do  
not consider the evidence produced satisfactory proof that he  
has received an education up to the prescribed standard. The  
matter cannot be further discussed.

(True Extract)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the petitioner.

6/11  
Despd  
21/5/12

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_ } 191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. \_\_\_\_\_, DATED \_\_\_\_\_  
191

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy Heading only.