

Educational (1912) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 356

DATED 26 4 1912

[Despatch Abstract.]

Exemption sanctioning permanent
from the upper subordinate list of the College
of Engineering of certain persons
for employment as upper subordinates
in the C.E.D.

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Back papers.

Number.	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Remarks. (Note.—When an original is removed from this file the fact should be noted against the paper and its destination mentioned.)

Further papers.

--	--	--	--

Papers of other departments to be returned.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Date of return.

Letter to the Director of Public Instruction, No.458-C, dated 12th January 1912:-

I have the honour to inform you that the sanction Government of India has been received to the addition of 11 appointments as noted in the margin to the permanent upper subordinate cadre of this department to provide for the replacement of the subordinate staff of the College of Engineering, Madras. You will see from para.3 of G.O.No.414-Educational

- 1 Sub Engineer, 1st grade, on Rs.400
- 1 " " 3rd grade, on Rs.250
- 1 Supervisor, 1st grade, on Rs.200
- 2 Supervisors, 2nd grade, on Rs.150 each
- 3 Overseers, 1st grade, on Rs.100 each
- 2 Overseers, 2nd grade, on Rs.80 each
- 1 Overseer, 3rd grade, on Rs.60.

11

dated 29th June 1911 the addition of the 11 appointments to this department is not to be made all at once but gradually as

vacancies occur in the Educational service, Provincial or Subordinate. In order to enable me to decide which of the appointments now sanctioned may be added to the cadre at once and which of them will have to remain unfilled for the present, I have the honour to request that you will be so good as to let me have at an early date a list of the Instructors and Assistant Instructors, with the pay drawn by them, now employed in the College, who will continue to be borne in the Educational service till their retirement.

Please quote this in the reply

Ref. on C. No. 382 of 1914.
Diaposa



1912.

Edi.

The Old Coll

Dated 19 February

CURRENT NO. 2687

PASSED ON BY

R. C.

U. R. C.

REF.

R. K.

D. O.

From

THE HON'BLE MR. A. G. BOURNE, D. SC., F.R.S., C.I.E.,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras

To

The Chief Engineer,

Public Works Department,

MADRAS.

Enclosures.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 458 C. dated 12th January 1912, I have the honour to forward herewith the list required by you of the instructors and assistant instructors employed in the College of Engineering, and also a copy of the Principal's letter No. 1744 dated 5th February 1912, on the subject, and to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether it would be possible to provide for the assistant instructors Nos. 3, 4, 5, and 7 in the list who hold certificates as draftsmen only, on the Upper Subordinate Establishment of the Public Works Department with the special sanction of Government, if necessary. No. 6 is a qualified man and there will perhaps be no difficulty in his getting into the Public Works Department. Mr. Reynolds (No. 1) has just been invalided and will have to be replaced by an

officer

8/3
officer of the Public Works Department, but the question of similarly replacing Mr. Old (No. 2) may, I think, be considered later ^{or} on his retirement.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. V. Thirumangalakudi
For Director of Public Instruction.

K.V.T.

W
Dated 5th February 1912.

From

W. H. James, Esq., B. Sc.,

Principal, College of Engineering, Madras.

To

The Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement Ref. on C. No. 382/12 25th January 1912, I have the honour to submit herewith the information required.

2/ In this connection, I would again urge the necessity for transferring all the Subordinate members without delay to the Public Works Department Establishment if the reorganization is to carry full benefit in regard to improving the efficiency of the Staff.

3/ The transfer of the Instructors is not very material, but there is pressing need for bringing the Assistant Instructors into touch with practical work. From the accompanying statement, it will be seen that these men are comparatively young and the possibilities in the way of improvement will be considerably prejudiced if they are retained in their present positions until they arrive at the age of retirement.

4/ I may mention that I have discussed this matter with the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, and I understand he is prepared to reconsider the possibility of taking over these men, provided Government can see their way to exempt them under the examination rules.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(Signed) W. H. James,

Principal.

(True Copy)

C. V. Thirumangalakudi
For Director of Public Instruction.

25
K.V.T.

No.	Name.	Designation.	Age Years.	Total Service in College years.	Present pay Rs	Remarks.
1.	B. O. Reynolds, Esq.	Instructor in Civil Engineering.	"	"	500	Provincial Educational Service.
2.	W. C. Old. <i>Engineer</i>	Instructor in Surveying.	"	"	300	Do
3.	Mr. W. C. H. Littlewood.	Assistant Instructor in Surveying & Drawing.	45-8	19-10	200 (150-5-200)	
4.	M. R. Ry. S. Subramania Aiyar.	Assistant Instructor in Drawing.	39-2	19-0	150 (100-5-150)	
5.	" V.S.Pranatharthi- hara Aiyar.	Assistant Instructor in Surveying.	35-2	12-0	115 (100-5-150)	
6.	" T.R.Venkatesa Aiyar.	2nd Assistant Instructor in Surveying.	28-2	3-2	85 (75-2½-100)	
7.	" K.C.Subbiah Chetty.	Vernacular Assistant.	35-3	11-7	80 (60-2-80)	

College of Engineering,
Madras, 5th February 1912.

(Signed) W. H. James,

Principal.

(True copy)

K.V.T.

For Director of Public Instruction.

(Date 609)

1813
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

INSTRUCTOR.

(Name) H. H. Jones

1	K. G. Jones	11-5	100-5-50	100
2	L. H. Jones	3-5	100-5-50	100
3	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
4	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
5	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
6	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
7	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
8	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
9	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100
10	A. B. Jones	10-0	100-5-50	100

1	100-5-50	100
2	100-5-50	100
3	100-5-50	100
4	100-5-50	100
5	100-5-50	100
6	100-5-50	100
7	100-5-50	100
8	100-5-50	100
9	100-5-50	100
10	100-5-50	100

1007

CURRENT No.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Subject:—

Exemption from examination rules of
Certain Assistant Instructors in the College
of Engineering for employment as Upper
Subordinates

NOTES.

W.

'K'

In G.O. No. 414 Educational of 29th June 1911, the Govt. approved the proposal of the Principal of the College of Engineering and the Director of Public Instruction that the subordinate staff of the College should be recruited from the P.W.D. The sanction of the Govt. of India was accordingly asked for and obtained to the addition of 11 appointments to the upper subordinate cadre of this Presidency ~~institutes~~ to replace the 2 instructors ^{borne on} ~~transferred to~~ the Provincial Educational service and 9 asst. instructors borne on the subordinate Educational service. It was also directed in para 3 of the Educational Dept. order quoted above that the addition to the P.W.D. Cadre is not to be made all at once but only gradually as vacancies occur in the Educational service, Provincial or subordinate, that is, that the two instructors and such of the asst. instructors as are not transferred to

G.O. No. 915-16 W 8 18.7.11. M.

G.O. No. 55 W 8 12.1.12. C.

K.

the P.W.D. establishment should continue to be borne on the Educational Service till their retirement.

C

2. At the time of the receipt of the Govt. of India's ~~sanction~~ sanction to the addition to the P.W.D. Cadre, 4 out of the 11 appointments in the College ~~was~~ ^{were} already being held by 4 upper subordinates lent to the Educational Dept., ~~of~~ the remaining 7 appointments being held by members of the Educational Service. Mr B.O Reynolds having since retired from the service and been replaced by a Sub-Engineer, there are now only 6 ^{such} appointments, (1 in the Provincial Service and 5 ^{in the} subordinate service) in the College. Mr James has urged the necessity for transferring all the subordinate members without delay to the P.W.D. establishment if the reorganisation is to carry full benefit in regard to improving the efficiency of the staff. He considers that the transfer of the Instructor (Mr W.C. Old) is not very material but that there is pressing need

for bringing the Asst Inspectors into touch with practical work and that the possibilities in the way of their improvement will be considerably prejudiced if they are retained in their present positions until they retire. The D.P.D. has also asked whether it would not be possible to comply with Mr James' request.

3. The 5 men in the Subordinate Educational service referred to are:-

Pay.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| (1) | Mr W.C.H. Littlewood. | Rs 200 (150-5-200) |
| (2) | MADRy S. Subrahmanya Iyer | Rs 150 (100-5-150) |
| (3) | " V.S. Pranakarthikena Iyer | Rs 115 (100-5-150) |
| (4) | " T.R. Venkatesa Aiyar | Rs 85 (75-2½-100) |
| (5) | " K.C. Subbayya Chetti | Rs 80 (60-2-80) |

The ages, qualifications^{and} service of the men are ~~given~~ given on page 3 of G.O. No. 414 Educational of 29.6.11. It will be seen that only No (4) - T.R. Venkatesa Aiyar - is a passed overseer and there will therefore be no difficulty in bringing him on^{to} the P.W.D. establishment. The other 4 men have not passed the prescribed

exams.

K

9
 10
 K
 Examining them for appointment as
 upper subordinates and it was for
 this reason that their transfer to
 this dept was not agreed to - Vide
 pages 12 & 13 of the notes to the above
 G.O. and also ~~from~~ ^{H.E.} Sir Murray Hammick's
 note of 18.3.11 on page 17 of these notes.
 In view of the representations ^{not} made
 by Mr James ~~W. H. H.~~ and
 also because this dept is directly
 interested in the efficiency ^{working} of
 the College, it is proposed, on
 reconsideration, to take over the
 College men. They will not
 necessarily be employed on duties
 appertaining to upper subordinates
 but duties suitable to their
 qualifications will be found for
 them. They will also not be
 transferred from the College all
 at once but only at intervals
 &c. It is submitted therefore
 that the Educational Dept. may
 be requested to sanction the
 exemption of the 4 men from passing
 the Engineer Subordinate test.

Kk
 3.6.12.

The above note represents the facts of the case but I may add that I am only willing to take over men who are not likely to be of use for out-door duties of Nypers but subordinate because I want to assist the Educational Dept in improving the situation at the College & thereby to benefit the P.W.D. by improving the quality of the men turned out of the College.

Educational n.o

AS
5/4/12

P.W.U.O.D No. 940
Dated 6-4-12

P.W.U.O.D No. 940
Dated 6-4-12

Edl c. no 1096
Refd 9-4-1912



Page 3 of 20. no.
414 Ed
29-6-11 H

Educational Departt.

The qualifications of the four Asstt. instructors in question are as follows:-

- (1) Mr Littlewood - Draftsman (1st class) & Surveyor (3rd class)
- (2) MRS S. Subrahmanya Aiyar - Draftsman (1st class).
- (3) .. V.S. Pravatirichan Aiyar - Surveyor (2nd class)
- (4) .. K.C. Subbaya Chetti - Draftsman certificate.

2. From the P.W. Secretary's note above

it would seem that he proposes to take only those men who are not fit for the out door duties of Upper Subordinates. If these men are not to be appointed as Upper Subordinates there will be no use in exempting them from the necessity of holding the Upper Subordinate diploma. If they are to be appointed as clerks or accountants they will have to be ~~off~~ exempted from the tests prescribed for those posts and not from the Upper Subordinate test. The P. W. D. may therefore be requested to state clearly

- (1) which instructors they propose to take;
- (2) what appointments it is proposed to appoint them to &
- (3) what tests they should be exempted from.

12 APR 1912

OL no 1096-1
11-4-12

7A. CA
11-4-12 11-4-12

O.W. dept no.

11/4/12

11/4

P. W. D. No. 1057
Dated 12-4-12

P. W. D.

OLS-19

The Educational Dept may be informed

- (1) that this dept proposes to take ^{over} all the ^{4 post} instructors,
- (2) that it is proposed to appoint them as upper subordinates in

100 1, 2, 3 + 5 on p
3.
not

19/4

Suitable grades with reference to the pay drawn by them at present and

(3) that they should be exempted from the upper subordinates test of the College of Engineering, Maunabo.

2. It is submitted that the Educational Dept. have not correctly interpreted ~~the meaning of~~ ^{his} remarks that ~~you~~ ^{he} "was only willing to take over men who are not likely to be of use for out door duties of upper subordinates". What was meant was that ^{although} ~~the~~ ^{the} men are not likely to be of use for out door duties of upper subordinates yet ^{he was} ~~you were~~ prepared to take them over in the interests of the College and ultimately of this dept.

yes; This was perfectly clear to G.S. right up to the time he passed the extraordinary provision of it in the Dept. office note. L.H.

~~Handwritten signature~~

Kb 18.4.12 T.M. 19.4.12

W.M.

19.4.12.

Educational Dept. V.O.

L.H. 20.4.12

Educational Department

A draft order is submitted for approval

opp 22.4.12
T.H.
22.4.12

P.W.U.O.D No. 1091.
Dated 20-4-12

Circled in Box N-20.

H.E. The Governor. 11
The draft is for
approval. 23.4.12

App'd
25.4.12

26.4.12

19

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1096, From the P.W.D 402 No. 940, dated 6-4-1912

19

” ” ” ” ” ” 19

ORDER.

mis.

No. 356, dated 8/26, 4 1912

The Govt. Sanction the permanent exemption from the Upper Subordinate test of the College of Engineering of the following persons for employment as Upper Subordinates in the P.W.D.

1. Mr. W. C. H. Littlewood.
2. Mr. R. S. Subramanya Aiyar.
3. " V. S. Pranasartihara Aiyar.
4. " K. C. Subbayya Chetti.

Pij
 22-4-12
 7.21.
 22-4-12

23. 4. 12

To, the P.W.D. ✓ Depto
Copy to the D.P.S. ✓ 26/4/12

24/3/12

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED _____
 191

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy Heading only.