

Educational (191) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 319

DATED 17-4-'12.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Govt. Training Schools.

Recording pending receipt of the orders of the secretary of state on the proposals for the reorganisation of the Govt. Training Schools for masters ^{correspondence from the D.P.G. to the Secy. of state} and requesting ^{him} the D.P.G. to address Govt again on the subject as soon as the orders of the Secy. of state have been communicated to him.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. & L.]
1-5

1,000-17-11-11.

Educl. DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S.C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of clerk with date in token of return to Reference department.

Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Initials with date of (1) clerk of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

Stock Form No. 135.
4,000—9-5-10.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received

7-MAR-1912

191

Registered

7

1912

Subject.

Stating that certain Government Training Schools will
cease to exist from the date of sanction to the scheme for
the reorganization of the Government Training Schools for
Masters.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Cur. No. 1875 of 12

Spare copies

Station, Madras, Dated the 6th March 1912.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Educational Department,

Sir,

From the date of sanction to the scheme for the re-
organization of the Government Training Schools for Masters
approved in G.O. No. 424 Educational, dated 1st July 1911
as modified by G.O. No. 915 Educational, dated 22nd December
1911 the following Government Training Schools should in
accordance with the scheme cease to exist :-

1. Chicacole ✓
2. Ongole ✓
3. Anantapur ✓
4. Panchama Training School, Madras.
5. Cuddalore ✓
6. Badagara ✓

The grant of large extra amounts for the improve-
ment

⊕ The scheme has yet
to be sanctioned by the
Govt.

W

V

Para: 4 gao.
424 W
1-7-11

80. 204850 X
2-3-12

improvement and extension of Elementary Education has since considerably altered the position, and there will be for sometime an abnormal strain upon the training schools. I have the honour to request therefore sanction to the temporary maintenance of the above mentioned schools on their present footing.

2. Particulars of the cost of each school as provided for in the Budget Estimates for 1912-1913 are furnished below for the information of Government :

School.	Cost of Masters and Teachers. Rs.	Cost of establishment. Rs.	Supplies and services. Rs.	Contingencies. Rs.
Chicacole	2,244	72	3,932	208
Ongole	2,172	72	2,840	271
Anantapur	2,280	72	2,850	178
Panchama, Madras	2,124	96	3,645	188
Cuddalore	2,064	72	2,535	447
Badagara	2,340	72	3,335	400

13,224 456 19,137 1692
456
19,137
1692
34,589

13224
456
13680
1140

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

G. Vishwanath
For Director of Public Instruction.

M. S. S.

98

[E. & L.]
61.

1,000-11-11.

Educl. DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FILE.

D.O. No. 695-1,

Educational Department

Fort St George,

Dated 4th March 1912

My dear Bourne,

In connection with G.O. No. 204 Educational, dated 2nd March 1912 asking you to submit proposals for the utilization of the Imperial grant and with reference to the impending discussions in the Legislative Council regarding educational expenditure I am to ask you at your earliest convenience to furnish information in regard to the following matters:-

- (i) The manner in which in you propose to utilize the services of the special officer for whose entertainment a lump provision of Rs.15,000 has been made under the detailed account head "22 B Inspection";
- (ii) the approximate cost of providing a suitable increase in the pay of trained or approved teachers in elementary schools under public management or in receipt of aid from public funds;
- (iii) the number and if possible the geographical distribution of the new elementary schools opened during the current year;
- (iv) the expedience of retaining at least as a temporary measure some of the training schools which it has been proposed to abolish simultaneously with the introduction of the re-organization approved in G.O. No. 915 Educational, dated 22nd December 1911, and
- (v) the reason why the provision made for building grants to European schools was raised from Rs.20,000 in the revised estimate for 1911-12 to Rs.45,000 in the budget estimate for 1912-13 (see detailed account - No. 22- G. Grants in aid) together with information as to the probable disposal of the further special grant of one lakh for building and equipment in the same class of school.

Yours sincerely,

L.D.


5-3-1912.

To the Hon'ble Mr. A.G. Bourne, C.I.E. D.Sc.F.R.S.,
Director of Public Instruction.

Page 134 of
B.E. 12-13.

Page 148 of B.E.
1912-13.

Page 134 of Revised
Final Statement.



D.O. No. 44.

24
5
E LCN-737
Regd 7-3-12
The Old College,
Nungambakkam,
6th March 1912.

My dear Davidson,

pay 1 ante
In reply to Clause IV of your D.O. No. 695 - 1, dated 4th instant, I write to inform you that the temporary retention of the six Government Training Schools which it has been proposed to abolish has been proposed in my letter C. No. 1875 of 1912 of this date which also furnishes details of the cost of such retention.

Yours sincerely,

A. G. S. S. S.

pay 1-2 CF
To
The Hon'ble Mr. Lionel Davidson, I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government, Edl. Deptt.

P.D.

The six schools mentioned by the D.P.I. will be abolished when the Govt of India sanction the proposals for the reorganization of the training schools submitted with letter No. 916 Edl., dated 22nd December 1911. If these schools are to be retained after the proposed reorganization has been approved, it will be necessary to obtain the sanction of the Govt. of India thereto. The retention which ^{will} involve an increased cost of Rs.1140 per mensem under ^{of staff and establishment} salaries will raise the total cost of the scheme beyond Rs.50,000 a year (article 277 (4) of the Civil Account Code), necessitating a reference to the Secretary of State and further postponing the introduction of the new scheme. In these circumstances it is for consideration whether a reference should be made to the Govt. of India. If a reference is to be made, it will be necessary to ascertain from the D.P.I. the exact period for which the schools will have to be retained.

7th
11-3-12 Reference is solicited to para 7 of the letter to the Govt of India No. 916 of 22.12.11 wherein the reasons for the abolition of the Govt Panchama Training School at Madras are given. These reasons would still exist and if the original decision to close this school is maintained, the total cost would be reduced to below Rs. 50000 and the Secy of State's sanction will not be necessary. The D.P.I. may perhaps be consulted

Rs.3060- Monthly cost of scheme already submitted.
" 1140 addl. cost
4200 Total monthly cost
12
Rs.50400 Total annual cost.

50404
Panchama School 2220
48184

V.
D. Borne
Disagree with them
S

Page 269 letter
716 22.12.11

on this point.

Re
4.3.12

In paragraph 15 of the letter to India (Flag V) in G.O., No. 915 dated 22nd December 1911 ^{permanent} notice was given to the effect that the scheme for the re-organization of training schools was only a partial measure of reform and would not meet the requirements of existing schools. Since that letter was written we have been given or promised largely increased grants towards primary education and the need for trained teachers ^{is} consequently likely to be much greater. There is therefore no doubt that until a definite programme has been worked out, the abolition of existing training schools should be deferred, and I think the Government of India ought to be informed of this fact at once, if as seems probable the Local Government cannot sanction their temporary continuance. This, however, is a point as to which Educational would be glad to have the advice of Financial. As to the Panchama training schools, notwithstanding what is stated in paragraph 7 of the letter to India (Flag V), I -- understand from Dr. Bourne that practical difficulty has recently been experienced in regard to the admission of Panchamas to ordinary training schools. The retention of a Panchama school in Madras notwithstanding its comparative inefficiency may therefore be expedient.

2. ^{There is} I think no doubt that it ^{would} be unwise at this juncture permanently to shut down any of the existing training schools. There has been ^a special recommendations recently in connection with the institution at Anantapur, and I have no doubt that similar representations would be received from other localities if the proposals made by Government were

Q. of D. letter in C.O.
204 1818 2-3-12-X



ly known.

LT
12/3/12

CURRENT NO.
1654
FINANCIAL

Regd 123

Ed 737-1
13-3-1912
Leest 7/4
12/3

Full no.

The scheme submitted for the sanction of the Govt. of India in letter 916 Ed. 8 22-12-11 for the re-organisation of Govt. training schools for masters includes the abolition of six training schools. The proposal now is to continue those six schools temporarily (period not stated) after the scheme is introduced.

2. Since the present proposal involves a material modification (involving extra cost) in the scheme as forwarded to the Govt. of India, Submitted that it is necessary to obtain the specific approval of the G. of I. ^{thereto.} ~~for the~~ ~~for the continuation of the six schools.~~

3. The modification proposed will, however, not require a reference to the Secretary of State by the Govt. of India, since the schools are to be continued

Para: 7 of letter 916 Ed 22-12-11 V

Para: 1 of D.R.I. letter Page 2 of 2

[* will be considered by the Acet. Genl as a part of the general Scheme.]

only temporarily and the eventual extra cost under permanent establs. will not be more than that reported in letter No. 916 Vol. 8 22.12.11.

4. The proposals now made by the D.P.I. are a result of "the grant of large extra amounts for the improvement and extension of elementary education". The extra cost involved in the present case (about Rs 34,509 ^{per annum} for all the six schools together) will therefore ^(have to) be met from the eight lakhs grant sanctioned by the G.O. - cf. para: 7 of Home Dept. (Education) letter No. 378 & 13.2.12.

5. The Case may be returned to Educational with the foregoing remarks.

cm
15/3/12
10/3/12

We may agree to the 5 schools which were to be abolished on the introduction of the reorganization scheme being continued on a temporary basis for one year in the interim.

Page 26 of 104 916 22.12.11

Rs 3,060 1/2 p.m.
or Rs 36,724 per annum

cf. G.O. 12.6.11 in G.O.
204 Vol. 8 2.3.12

including charges on acct. of supplies and services and contingencies

Provision actually made in the B.E. 1912-13 is four lakhs (Para 138 of R.F.S.)

G.O. 204 Vol. 8 2.3.12 and D.O. on page 1 ante.

explained by the D.P.I. when the new centres have been firmly established and have been ~~in~~ working for a year, we can consider again the necessity for a further continuance on a temporary basis of these Sin schools ultimately to be abolished.

2. It is not within our financial powers to keep on these Sin schools on a permanent basis, but it is within them to sanction their being continued on a temporary footing for another year.

N.
18.3.12

Hus
19.3.12

Educational Dept

CS.

Encl - U.O. No 1654
Circ Box no 42
21.3.12

Edluc. J.M.

21.3.12

Lapse that these schools may be continued for another year.

23 MAR 1912

The Govt. of I. have not yet sanctioned the scheme for the reorganization of the training schools for masters submitted with this Govt's letter no. 916 Edl dated 22nd Dec. 1911. ~~The same~~ submitted the scheme to the Secy. of State vide papers in the linked file. No orders are therefore now required for continuing the six schools referred to by the D.P.I. on a temporary footing. It is only when the sanction of the Secy of State is received that orders will have to issue sanctioning the continuance on a temporary footing of the schools ^{the abolition of which will then become} ~~which are to be abolished~~ incumbent.

2. In these circumstances it is for consideration whether the D.P.I.'s letter may be recorded pending receipt of the orders of the Secy of State, the notes being shown to the D.P.I. no. for information.

1/11/12
29-3-12
Ca
21/3/12

Yrs: asking him to remind Govt. as soon as orders are received from the Secy. of State & communicated to him.

S

Edl no 737-2
1-4-12



D.P.I.
no.

D.O. No 74 dated 9-4-12.

I understand the position

18/4/12

V S
12/4/12

A draft order is submitted for approval

OK
15/4/12

Wm
17/1/12

READ AGAIN G.O., No., dated 19 .

" " " " 19 .

READ ALSO:-

C. No. *728*, From the *D.D.I*, No. *1875*, dated *6-3-1912*

" " " " 19 .

" " " " 19 .

ORDER.

No. *319*, dated *17-4-1912*

Min

97 17.4

Recorded pending receipt
of the orders of the Secretary of
State on the proposals for the
reorganization of the foot training
schools for masters.

2. The D.P.I will be requested
to address foot again on the
subject as soon as the orders
of the Secretary of State have been
communicated to him.

CA
15/4/12

from
17/4/12

L
The D.P.I. sent 17/4/12

EXTRACTED

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
191 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy Heading only.