

Educational (191²) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 321

DATED 17—4—1912

[Despatch Abstract.]

To the University of Madras.

Sanctioning the further affiliation to the
of the Sacred Heart College, Bangalore, in the
intermediate course, in the French language.

G. O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G. O.	No.	Department.	Date.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Page 2

Educational Op. n^o. 321, Press, dated the
17th April 1912 & the Senior Pupils note rec^d
on the 27th instant.

To Mr. M. S. Under, Record Keeper,
who was in charge during the Madras session
of the Educational business as far as that
could be done here. He will please note on
the points raised by the Senceri Superd.
J. M. P.
27.11.1912

All that I was doing when the Government was at Coly was to receive the Ed & Leg^{re} papers collected by Mr Kandaswami Pillai and to send them over to type, retaining only requisitions of other departments for Ed and Legislative papers and slips from Coly. The stamping was supposed to be done under your supervision so that you ought to know how the mistake arose. I have nothing to do with the docketing of go or with the sending of matter to the Gazetteer.

Ans

3

I beg to bring to the notice of the Secretary that ~~through~~ the affiliation of the Sacred Heart College, Bangalore sanctioned in G.O. No 321. 22, dated 17th April 1912 was not notified in the Gazette as marked in the G.O.; nor was the G.O. printed.

awaites
D

Separate investigation is being made ^{under the Registration Act} as to how the mistake occurred and why it was not detected.

It does not appear to be desirable to publish the notification now. The Universities Act does not require that the affiliation should be notified; and the publication of the fact in the Gazette seems to have been only in accordance with precedent. Submitted that the G.O. may now be merely printed for record.

A.
16.10.12

J. J.
B. B.

For the Senior Superintendent.

Please see the original of G.O.No. 321, Edl., dated 17th April 1912, placed below, and also the despatch entries on the last page of the G.O. The G.O. is one in the Press series and was marked for E.T. It was sent down to Madras on 18th April 1912 to be printed with a separate copy for gazette. The G.O. was never sent to Press nor was the copy for gazette sent. The Madras office tabled the G.O., put a Miscellaneous docket and returned it to be put up in the bundle.

I don't think that it can now be gazetted without the matter being brought to the notice of the Secretary.

T.K.
31-8-12.

This is very annoying.

- If the fo. was intended for the Press, why was not the Press slip prepared and attached to the fo.? The slip below seems to have been prepared only recently. Was one actually sent down with the fo.?*
- I wish to know why the actual ^{watched} notification was not ~~sent down~~ ^{sent} and the omission detected. Is not a list of notifications sent down maintained here as in Lm. and verification made on receipt of the gazette whether the notifications have actually been published by the Madras office? If this*

had been done, the present middle would not have occurred.

- (5) When for are sent up for record by the Madras office, does not somebody examine here whether everything connected with it has been done? Why was the G.O. all the while?

I want an answer to these points before I take the Secy's orders for public notice and submit the case to the Secy for dealing with the remission of the Madras office.

CR
1.9.12

(1) In the Educational Department the Press slip used to be prepared by the clerk in the Madras office who was entrusted with the duty of tabling the G.O.s, sending them to Press, reading the proofs, gazetting notifications etc. In accordance with this practice the G.O. under reference was sent to the Madras office to be tabled and sent to the Press. A typed copy of the G.O., specially marked for -- Gazette was also attached to the original. It will be noted that the G.O. was sent down on the 18th April 1912; that is, soon after the office opened in Ootacamund and before any common current section was formed or thought of.

(2) No press slip was sent from here as under the arrangement explained above it was not necessary.

(3) Please see paragraph (1) above. The clerk in Madras attended to the Gazetting of notifications and after gazetting them he would enter the date of gazetting

Who was the clerk responsible for this work in Madras?

CR

b

gazetting in the original of the G.O.s and pass them on to the despatcher who would put them in the perusal files. These perusal files used to be circulated among the superintendent and clerks who would then check whether the G.O.s had been despatched and notifications gazetted. Under this arrangement no muddle ever occurred.

(4) No such list is maintained in Educational and none was necessary under the arrangement mentioned in para (3) above.

(5) The G.O.s sent up from the Madras office are now examined before they are put into the bundle. We began to do this as soon as we found that the Madras office had ceased sending up perusal files. The G.O., in question was received in the Ooty office within a week or two of its opening when it was under the impression that the arrangement in vogue in previous years continued. The Ooty office had no notice of the discontinuance of this arrangement and of the additional work that was expected from it.

(6) The G.O., was in the record bundle.

*Mr. Stone has done
main case. Each
copy clerk
maintains a
list & see that the
notice appears in
the gazette.
CA*

*7K
4.9.12
Mr. Pinto*

*Please find out how the
mistake occurred in this case.
Why was a mis. Docket sheet put
up? Why was not the slip sent
for the Gazette sent to the Press.
As the docket has been mentioned
20.10.12 to the Rep. & the Secy.*

*[The p. was sent to the
Press to be printed.]
27/11/12*



Received 191 . Registered 191 .

Abstract.

Gazette

EDUCATIONAL
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., No. 321. , dated 17th April 1913. 191 .

Read the following paper:—

ENCLOSURES.

No.

From the Registrar of the Madras University, No.859,
dated 14th March 1913.

From the Director of Public Instruction, N.Ref. on Cur.
No.3549/13 dated 28th March 1913.

ORDER:—

Under section 31 sub-section (4) of the Indian --
Universities Act, 1904, the Governor in Council is pleased
to sanction the further affiliation to the University of Madras
of the Sacred Heart College, Bangalore, in the intermediate
course of study in the French language.

(True Extract)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Registrar, University of Madras.
.. the Director of Public Instruction.



When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

} 191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
191 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR OBSERVATION
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. —

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
F = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy Heading only.