

Educational (1912) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 238

DATED 13.3.12

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council resolution

Recording papers relating to the resolution proposed to be moved by the Honble Mr. Ramachandra Rao Rautulu at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 13th March 1912 recommending that opportunities may be afforded to the members of the Leg. Council to discuss & scrutinise schemes involving large recurring expenditure and included in the budget estimates of 1912.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[2.1.]

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.
Legislative Department.

Resolution re: revised Financial Statement.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT
EDUCATIONAL "
REVENUE "
FINANCIAL "

The Hon'ble Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao Pantulu.
Resolutions on matters of the Government.

SUBJECT.—No.

11. This Council recommends that in regard to schemes involving large recurring expenditure and included in the Budget estimates, of the year (1912-1913) under the Department of Revenue, Law and Justice and Education the Governor in Council be pleased to afford full opportunities to the members of this Council to discuss and scrutinize them in detail.

2. Under rule (1) of the above rules, the department concerned has to prepared a note, or if necessary, for the member in charge. It is requested that a copy of the note may also be sent to the Hon'ble Mr. Atkinson in cases where he is not furnished with one as member in charge of the department.

Financial Department
Educational "
Revenue "
Judicial "

Ex. No. 706
Reg. No. 12

2
3
Re Revised Financial Statement
Resolutions on matters of General Public Interest

SUBJECT.—No. *11* for the meeting of the Legislative

Council to be held on the *13 March* 191*2*.

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Resolution may be forwarded to the
Finl. & Le Department with reference to No. (1) of the rules regulating
Secretariat procedure in dealing with these Resolutions in the Legislative Council.
Financial The department may be asked to be so good as to report in regard to the admission
of the Resolution at an early date.

2. Under rule (1) of the above rules, the department
concerned has to prepared a note, & if necessary, for the member
in charge. It is requested that a copy of the note may also
be sent to the Hon'ble Mr. Atkinson in cases where he is not
furnished with one as member in charge of the department.

hms
Mr
Financial department

Educational " ✓

Revenue "

Judicial "

W.C.N. 706
Reg 26. 3.12

The big schemes which appear in the budget under the head Education are the following:-

- (1) Establishment of model secondary schools
- (2) Reorganisation of the Govt. Training schools for masters, and
- 3) Reorganization of the Govt. Training schools for mistresses.

2. (1) Establishment of Model secondary schools

This scheme is now before the Secretary of State and its details cannot be made public at this stage. Moreover the principles of the scheme were fully discussed at the meeting of the Leg. Council held on the 30th November 1910 and there is no necessity for discussing them a second time.

(3) (2) Reorganization of Training schools for masters. This scheme was submitted to

the Government of India with letter No. 916 Edl. dated 22nd December 1911 and is now under their consideration. It cannot therefore be now discussed in the Council.

4 (3) Reorganization of Training schools for mistresses.

This scheme has already been sanctioned by the Govt. of India and is being given effect to. Nothing will therefore be gained by discussing it now at the Council.

5. In addition to the three schemes mentioned above there are two items of lump provision in the body of the budget which involve somewhat large recurring expenditure. These are

Page 133 of the C.B.E.

G.O. no. 183 Ed
29.3.11 S

Vide extract from
the Leg. Cl. Pro. flayed V

Z

G.O. no. 679 Ed
27.9.11 T

Page 143 of the C.B.2

Page 144 80

Para 71 of the Pro. of
the Finance Committee

* half of 87,000

G.O. no. 204rd W
2-3-12

(1) the provision of Rs.25,000 under "Govt. Schools- General" for the opening of additional elementary schools for girls and

(2) the provision of Rs.45,000 under "Govt. schools- special" for the opening of sessional schools for the elementary school teachers.

6. The provision of Rs.25,000 for additional elementary schools for girls was entered at the instance of the mover of the present resolution in the Finance committee. In addition to this amount, there is also a sum of Rs.43,500^x included in the lump ~~division~~ provision of four lakhs (out of the coronation grant of 8 lakhs) entered in the budget which has been ~~expix~~ specifically assigned for the education of girls. The bulk of this amount will have to be spent on the elementary education of girls and the D.P.I. has already been requested to submit proposals for the utilization of these amounts in 1912-13.

7. The provision of Rs.45,000 for sessional schools was made in pursuance of the proposals submitted to the Govt. of India for the expansion of elementary education in this Presidency.- vide para 12 of letter No. 853 Edl., dated 2nd December 1911. The amount is intended for the establishment of 30 sessional schools at a cost of Rs.1,500 each, including stipendiary allowance. Each school will train 40 elementary teachers and this arrangement will be continued for five years. The D.P.I. has already been requested to submit proposals for the utilization of this amount. The only thing which remains still to be settled in regard to the establishment of the

the sessional schools is their location and in this matter the opinion of the educational officers will have to be accepted. There appears to be nothing in the scheme which requires to be discussed in Council, ~~and even if there be any, it is not clear how any opportunity is given to the Council to discuss it.~~

^{7/4}_{8.3.12} It does not appear that any profitable purpose will be served by discussing the scheme for the establishment of sessional schools in Council. the opinions of the educational officers would be the best.

In regard to the education of girls, the matter may be considered on receipt of the proposals which have been called for from the D.P.I. But it is submitted that the procedure suggested in the resolution will cause delay in carrying out the proposals and ^{may} ~~will~~ prevent the allotments from being fully spent before the close of the year.

Re
8.3.12.

The resolution has been
Disallowed.

What Sir M. Hammick said at the last budget debate is in point. See p. 243 of Gazette - meeting of 5.4.11 & put up an Extract - for record.
W.
9.3.12

Recorded
J
9.3.12

Done
8/2

order 238 dated 13-3-1912
mis

Extract from the Hon^{ble} Sir Murray
Hamrick's speech at the budget
debate held in April 1911:—

"Before I sit down, I would only refer to what one or two Honourable Members said as regards the production of schemes before this Council. On this matter, I cannot at all admit that the reform scheme, that the Hon^{ble} Mr. Govindaraghava Aiyar referred to, was intended to have in any way the effect that he suggested. I cannot admit that the Government are at all bound to lay their schemes for the improvement of public service before this Council, for, to do so would impede the work of Government considerably and it would act almost prejudicially to public interests. The Government are entirely responsible for the efficient well-working of the public machine and they must be permitted to use their discretion in the matter of consulting this Council on subjects, whenever they think fit to consult them. It is a matter which is entirely within the discretion of the executive Government. They cannot admit for a minute that they are bound in all cases to consult this Council before they send a scheme up to the Government of India or to the Secretary of State. We do not think that we should be justified in surrendering our discretion in that matter.

Schemes involving large recurring expenditure to be discussed and scrutinised by the members of the Legislative Council.

Resolution No. XI by the Honourable Mr.

Ramachandra Rao.

The Resolution is inadmissible at the meeting of the Legislative Council for the discussion of the Revised Financial Statement as it is a general question and is not directly relevant to any specific entry in the Revised Financial Statement.

Read again G.O. No.

Rule 13(e)

706. From the Legislative Council, No. 8-13-1912, dated 8-13-1912.

769. I agree that this Resolution must be dis- 1912

allowed under rule 13(e). But the Hon'ble Member can move it at a meeting of the Council when 1912

questions of general public interest are con- sidered. He can for example, if he wishes, move 1912

it at the meeting of the 2nd April, and he may be told so.

P. S. G. H.A.S. 7-3-12.

Telegram from the P. S.G., dated 9-3-12:-

x x All your recommendations accepted x x.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the Encl. department may be informed as above.

636 9312.

Scm-769

Regd-11-3-12

9312

10

Legislative Council.

8-3-78
C. H. C.

.2.A.H
.SI-E-V

9. 2. 0.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Submitted that the Chief department may

250
—
315

22-7-1951

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191

” ” ” ” ” 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 706, From the leg, No. _____, dated 5-3. 1912

769, Limit, 9. 3. 1912.

191

ORDER.

hus

No. 238, dated 13. 3. 1912

Recorded

(L) d. 8

9.3.12

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
191 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy Heading only.