

Educational (1912) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 193

DATED 29-2-12.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Questions in Council. Recording papers relating to the question asked by the Honble Mr Seshajiri Aiyar ~~at the~~ and the answer given thereto at the meeting of the League Council of the 14th Feb'y/12 re -
Establishment of Model Schools.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[L. & M.]
4,189-419-2-08

81
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Question 50 ✓
2

NOTE FILE.

3

[Meeting of 14th February 1912.]

The resolution moved by the Hon. Mr. Seshagiri Aiyar on the establishment of model high schools on 30th Novr. 1910 will be found on page 436 of the Fort St. George Gazette ^{Part IV} dated 13th December 1910. From the concluding portion of the discussions on page 451 of the Gazette it will be seen that the Hon. Member wished that his proposition should go before the new Minister of Education and therefore did not withdraw it. There was however ^{definite} no understanding that it should be submitted to the Govt. of India. The resolution and the discussion connected with it were not therefore extracted from the ~~proceedings~~ ^{proceedings} and separately submitted to the Govt. of India, but the whole Proceedings ~~proceedings~~ went in the usual way.

2. Definite proposals for the establishment of model schools were submitted to the Govt. of India with letter No. 87 Edl., dated 21-2-10, and they are now before the Secretary of State - vide G.O. No. 183 Edl., dated 29-3-11. Pending the receipt of the orders of the Secretary of State the proposals cannot be made public - please see ^{the} answers to question 82 asked at the meeting of the 29th Novr. 1910 on page 6 of G.O. No. 702 Edl., dated 5-12-10, and also the telegram from the Govt. of India at slip (C)

3. The following answer is submitted for approval:-

"(a) The resolution ~~in~~ ^{mentioned} which the Hon. ~~Member~~ ^{Member} refers was not specially submitted to the Govt. of India or to the Secretary of State,

Page 358 of Part IV of the Fort St. George Gazette 8 13-12-1910

A

B

G

E

D

It was communicated to both these authorities
"State", but went to them in the usual way with
the proceedings of the Madras Legislature in Council.

"(b) Definite proposals have been for-
mulated for the establishment of model schools".

affd
5-11-12

Circ in Box No 13

TH
5-2-12 Re

H. M.

LD.
5-2-12

(Please see marked passages on pp 445, 446
of the 1st St George Gazette. It is possible
that the L.C.M. may have thought there
was an understanding that his
resolution should be communicated to
the Govt of India, but he was never
told more than that the new min-
ister for education would have ample
opportunity for reading & considering
papers and proposals already submitted.
I have told the office to look up the
notes as to the action taken by Educ.
on receipt of a copy of the debate from
Legislature, which may show that the
point was specifically considered, but
there is no need to keep back the
answer until that is cleared up. -
India must have read the debates.

Don

Note subd to Secy.
Separately, notes
passed
Re
6.2.12

The resolution moved by the Hon. Mr.
Secretary, viz. on the establishment of
model schools have been for-
mulated for the establishment of model
schools in the 1st St. George Gazette dated
page 436 of the 1st St. George Gazette dated
12th December 1910. From the above it appears
of the discussions on page 431 of the Gazette
it will be seen that the Hon. Member who
introduced the resolution should go before the new Minister
of Education and therefore did not withdraw it.
There was however no understanding that it should
be submitted to the Govt. of India. The resolu-
tion and the discussion connected with it were
not therefore extracted from the proceedings and
submitted to the Govt. of India but the whole
proceedings were in the usual way.

2. Definite proposals for the establishment
of model schools were submitted to the Govt. of
India with letter No. 17 K.L., dated 21-2-10,
and they are now before the Secretary of State
vide G.O. No. 183 K.L., dated 22-2-11. Pending
the receipt of the orders of the Secretary of
State the proposals cannot be made public.
Please see answers to question 82 asked at the
meeting of the 28th Novr. 1910 on page 6 of G.O.
No. 702 K.L., dated 5-12-10 and also the tele-
gram from the Govt. of India at slip 6.

3. The following answer is submitted for
approval:-

"(a) The resolution to which the Hon. Mem-
ber refers was not specially submitted to
the Govt. of India or to the Secretary of State."

"Stated, but went to them in the usual way with the passages of the Bill. The Bill is now in the hands of the Government of India, but he was never told more than that the new minister for education would have ample opportunity for reading and considering papers and proposals already submitted. I have told the office to look up the notes as to the action taken by Educational on receipt of a copy of the debate from Legislative, which may show that the point was specifically considered, but there is no need to keep back the draft - answer until that is cleared up. India must have read the debates.

L.D.
5-2-12.

437, 440 + 443
of Proceedings

Please see marked passages on pages 445, 448 and 451 of the Fort St. George Gazette. It is possible that the Legislative Council Member may have thought there was an understanding that his resolution should be communicated to the Government of India, but he was never told more than that the new minister for education would have ample opportunity for reading and considering papers and proposals already submitted. I have told the office to look up the notes as to the action taken by Educational on receipt of a copy of the debate from Legislative, which may show that the point was specifically considered, but there is no need to keep back the draft - answer until that is cleared up. India must have read the debates.

L.D.
5-2-12.

Submitted that no action seems to have been taken in Ed in the matter after receipt of a copy of the debate. of from Legislative. The notes connected with the resolution are at Flag C. The practice in Legislative is to send to the various depts after the legislative debates are over such of the questions as require further consideration. But this is not done with the resolutions.

moved in the Council, Hence
apparent of the further action
necessary in the case of the Hon
Mr. Leshapin Air's resolution was
not taken.

As verbally instructed by Secy,
the Legislative Secy has been asked
to put up a note on the subject
for the Secy's orders.

Re
5.2.11

Ryht.

J
521

Please see marked passages on pages 145
and 146 of the Report of the Government of India,
is possible that the Legislative Council Member
may have thought there was an intention to
the resolution should be communicated to the
Government of India, but he was never told
that the new Minister for Education would
have ample opportunity for reading and consider-
ing papers and proposals already submitted.
I have told the office to look up the notes as to
the action taken by Khosla on receipt of
a copy of the debate from the Legislative, which may
show that the point was specifically considered,
but there is no need to look back the draft -
matter will that is cleared up. India must
have read the debates.

L.D.

5-2-11

The question and answer as finally approved are as follows:—

Establishment
of model
schools.

Question 68. (a) Will the Government be pleased to state whether the resolution moved by me on the establishment of model schools, on the 30th November 1910, has been sent up to the Government of India and the Secretary of State for India?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to state whether any definite proposals have been formulated regarding the establishment of model schools?

Answer 68—

- (a) The resolution which the Honourable Member mentions did not form the subject of specific correspondence with the Government of India or the Secretary of State. It was communicated to both those authorities with the proceedings of the Madras Legislative Council.
- (b) Definite proposals have been formulated for the establishment of model schools.

2. A draft order is submitted for approval.

7/4
28/12/11 R
29.2.12.

W. J. P.

See supplemental questions on page 8.

1,500—17-11-11.

Edna C.

DEPARTMENT

READ AGAIN G.O. No., dated 191

99 99 99 99 99 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 236, From the Leg Sept, No., dated 191

” ” ” ” “ ” ” ” 191

191

ORDER.

mis

No. 193, dated 29. 2. 1912.

Recorded. ✓

Wm
2/2/2

7/1
28.2.12

Re
29.2.12.

P-7-0

Establishment
of model
schools.

8
10
Eol
Question 68. (a) Will the Government be pleased to state whether the resolution moved by me on the establishment of model schools, on the 30th November 1910, has been sent up to the Government of India and the Secretary of State for India?

(b) Will the Government be pleased to state whether any definite proposals have been formulated regarding the establishment of model schools?

Answer 68—

(a) The resolution which the Honourable Member mentions did not form the subject of specific correspondence with the Government of India or the Secretary of State. It was communicated to both those authorities with the proceedings of the Madras Legislative Council.

(b) Definite proposals have been formulated for the establishment of model schools.

The Hon'ble Mr. SESHAGIRI AIYAR :—"The Government say that definite proposals have been formulated for the establishment of model schools. May I know for how many and whether they will be established this year?"

The Hon'ble Sir MURRAY HAMMICK :—"I am afraid that I cannot give the information. The papers are now before the Secretary of State and so I cannot say anything."

The Hon'ble Mr. SESHAGIRI AIYAR :—"Have no orders ~~have~~ been received from the Government of India yet?"

The Hon'ble Sir MURRAY HAMMICK :—"No."

8

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
 191 .

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BRING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

A A A A A A A

N.B. —

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- P = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy Heading only.