

Educational (1912) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 122

DATED 10-2-12-

(9)⁵

[Despatch Abstract.]

Reformatory School, Amritsar.

Sanctioning, with remarks,
the immediate discharge of V. V.
Janesan from the ———.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[E. O. 1.]
1,000-17-11-11.

Ed DEPARTMENT.

CURRENT FILE.

PAPERS PUT UP WITH CURRENT No. _____

Papers of the department to which the current belongs.

Number	Date.	Original (=O.) or spare copy (=S.C.)	Remarks. (In this column such of the papers as have been removed for copying, printing, etc., should be marked with a cross.)	Initials of clerk with date in token of return to Reference department.


Papers of other departments.

Department.	Number.	Date.	Original (= O.) or spare copy (= S.C.).	Initials with date of (1) clerk of the Chief Secretariat department acknowledging returns of the paper concerned or (2) Despatcher to denote the return of the papers to departments outside the Chief Secretariat.

Stock Form No. 185.
4,000-2-5-10.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Received



191

Registered

26. 1. 1912

Subject.

Submitting for the orders of Government a question as to the desirability of the boy-pupil No. 969, Ganesa Aiyar being discharged from the Reformatory School, Chingleput under section 14(a) of the Reformatory Schools Act.

ENCLOSURES.

No. _____

Ref on Current

No. 8682/11

Spare copies _____

Station, Madras, Dated the 25th January 1912.

From (Name) The Hon'ble Mr. A. G. Boume, D.Sc., F.R.S., C.I.E.,

(Designation) Director of Public Instruction,

Madras

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Educational Department,

Sir,

The Superintendent, Reformatory School, Chingleput reports that a case of unnatural offence was committed in the school on the 10th December last and that one of the pupils on whom there was strong suspicion has been segregated and will be kept so until his discharge which will be in less than two months time, and he recommends that the other boy-pupil No. 969 Ganesa Aiyar about whose retention orders were passed in G.O. No. 270 P. Educational, dated 24th August 1911 be discharged at once from the school under section 14 (a) of the Reformatory Schools Act, as he is morally depraved and is likely to prove a source of contamination to other boys. This boy was admitted into the school on the 16th December 1910 and the Superintendent states that there are positive indications that previous to this he was an habitual offender in this respect and that his presence in the school contravenes section 1079 (3) of the rules under the Prisons Act of 1894.

PR Parup

He adds that the convicting magistrate was of course not aware of the facts of the case which were suspected on medical examination a short time ago when an operation had to be performed on the boy.

In these circumstances I beg to support the Superintendent's recommendation.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C. W. Thompson

For Director of Public Instruction.

N.V.S.

[E. & L.]
61.

1,000-17-11-11.

ED DEPARTMENT.

NOTE FILE.

C. No. 271 From the S.P.D. No. 8682/11, dated 25-1-1912.

SUBJECT Discharge of a pupil from the Reformatory school, Chingleput.

p. 7 of G.O. No. 15828
20.3.07. B

Under rule 36 of the rules for the Reformatory school, Chingleput, the Inspector-General (i.e., the S.P.D. - vide rule 2) may sanction the prosecution of youthful offenders for offences other than "immature crime". Under rule 37 Cases of immature crime shall be reported for the orders of the local Govt., and under rule 39 (1) Corporal punishment for immature offences shall be inflicted in the manner authorised by the local Govt. in the orders issued in each case reported to them under rule 37.

2. In the present case the S.P.D. reports that Ganesa Aiyar has committed immature offence and instead of recommending corporal punishment he requests that Ganesa Aiyar may be discharged from the school. This recommendation is based on the fact that "there are positive indications that previous to this he was an habitual offender in this respect" and that he should not have been sent to the Reformatory school by the convicting magistrate as required by clause (3) of section 1079 of the rules under the Prisons Act, 1894. This section runs: -

"No boy should be sent to a Reformatory who has been

Book put up

2. been convicted of an unnatural offence^{or} of gross indecency indicative of habitual immorality.

3. The Superintendent of the Reformatory reports that the Magistrate was not aware of the facts of the case which were suspected on medical examination a short time ago when an operation had to be performed on the boy. It is not known for what offence Ganesa aiyar was convicted. If his conviction was not for an unnatural offence or for gross indecency indicative of habitual immorality his detention in the school cannot be said to contravene section 1079(3) of the rules under the Prisons Act, 1894. Orders are solicited as to whether the D.P.D. may be requested to obtain and forward to Govt. a copy of the judgment in the case, ^(whether) his attention may also be drawn to para. 2 of G.O. 568 dt 12th September 1904 and he may be requested to report the circumstances in which the commission of the crime became possible.

PP. It does not seem necessary to call for a copy of the judgment. The Superintendent of the school states that the boy committed unnatural offence & that there were found indications that he was a habitual offender in this respect. His continuance in the school is therefore undesirable.

p. 2 of CF.

Book put up.

C

15

and the D.P.S.'s recommendation that the boy be discharged immediately may, it is submitted, be accepted under Section 14 (a) of the Reformatory Schools Act.

The D.P.S. may also be asked to report the circumstances under which the Commission of the crime became possible.

Re

5.2.12.

H.M.

Both these boys ought: (i) submit, (ii) first to be whipped, Rule 39 (H.B.) (iii) then segregated until the effects of the whipping have passed away sufficiently to eliminate all risk of blood poisoning, (iv) then medically discharged from the school. They cannot be permitted to associate with the other boys and an example ought to be made.

A more detailed report is well required (a) to judge how many stripes to award to each of the two boys & (b) to make certain that the misconduct was not due to defective supervision in dormitories or elsewhere.

L.D.

7-24

Pr. 56888
12.9.04

C

Circled in Box M-40.

I don't think flagging will do any good in this case. If the boys were going to be kept in school it would be different. I would order this boy to be handed over to his parent or guardian at once. Let him deal with him. The sooner he is out of the school the better. The other boy will be kept segregated until his term is up.

The J.P. 1. Thones he told to Enquire whether the offense was enacted by the committed by deficient supervision or anything else that can be amended & if so to take steps to have the matter looked into & set right.

Mrs
8.11.12

A draft order is submitted for approval.

PP
9.2.12
R2
9.2.12

Don

191

C. No. 271, From the 209, No. 86287, dated 25-1- 1912.

” ” ” ” ” ” ” 191 .

191 .

No. 122, dated 10 2 1912.

his

In the circumstances stated the Govern-
ment Council is pleased to sanction ^(immediate) the discharge
of V. V. Ganesan, a pupil in the Refor-
matory School, Chingleput. The Super-
intendent's action in regard to the other
pupil against whom there was strong
suspicion is ~~also~~ approved.

2. The attention of the S.P.D will be drawn to para. 2 of G.O. 568 Dtd 12th September 1904 and he will be requested to enquire whether the commission of the crime was rendered possible by defective supervision or any other cause ^{remedy} ~~thing else~~ that can be ~~amended~~ ^{remedy} and if so, to take ^{the necessary remedial action} ~~steps~~ to prevent the occurrence of similar offences in future. J

9.2.12

Best 7/9 ^{son}
10-2-12

C

L

~~Sheep~~

sent 10/2/12

1024

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____

191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
191

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy Heading only.