

Educational (1912) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 46

DATED 19-1-1912

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mechanics Institutes

Recording notes relating
to the question of opening two —
one in the northern circars & the
other in Calicut.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Madras Budget Notes, 1912-1913.

22. Education.]

Major Head—22. EDUCATION.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE.

(In hundreds of rupees omitting 00/s.)

Accounts, 1908-1909.	Accounts, 1909-1910.	Accounts, 1910-1911.	Minor heads.	Budget estimate, 1911-1912.	Revised estimate, 1911-1912.	Departmental budget estimate, 1912-1913.	Accountant-General's budget estimate, 1912-1913.
			PROVINCIAL.				
1,45,8	17,1	22,3	University	75,5	75,5	..	5
1,05,5	17,0	50,5	Direction	70,8	70,0	75,5	78,5
71,0	67,9	74,9	Inspection	7,70,1	7,85,8	7,76,7	7,79,7
68,1	71,3	71,0	Government Colleges—General ..	8,58,5	3,05,0	8,44,5	3,41,5
5,41,9	7,02,1	7,12,3	Do. —Professional ..	2,37,4	2,18,0	2,17,8	2,17,8
5,48,8	6,98,1	7,39,0	Government Schools—General ..	2,97,1	2,64,0	2,80,2	2,85,8
2,16,9	2,67,3	2,79,3	Do. —Special ..	4,82,7	4,80,5	5,38,9	5,31,2
2,14,0	2,50,0	2,97,1	Grants-in-aid	18,15,0	18,75,5	19,33,0	19,33,0
2,04,5	1,98,3	2,29,0	Scholarships	85,9	79,0	98,9	88,9
2,05,9	2,08,7	2,15,4	Miscellaneous	3,38,0	3,38,0	3,98,0	3,98,0
6,17,8	2,56,7	2,61,3	Refunds	8	2	5	5
3,61,0	3,70,9	3,87,6	Lump provision for the establishment of Government Model High schools.	1,20,0	..	4,17,0	4,17,0
3,49,8	3,90,5	4,21,3	Lump provision for revision of establishments of Agency schools.	..	..	..	..
6,24,9	16,06,4	17,19,7	Lump provision for reorganisation of Training schools for Mistresses.	20,0	..	45,0	45,0
6,88,5	15,73,8	16,78,0	Lump provision for reorganisation of Training schools for Masters.	25,0	..	98,0	98,0
73,1	78,7	83,8	Special additional grant sanctioned by Government of India.	3,00,0	3,00,0	..	..
65,0	71,1	69,5	Add for rounding	1	..	..	—5
8,67,0	2,34,6	2,15,7	Lump provisions	..	..	..	..
7,78,5	2,42,6	2,35,9					
4,8	1	4					
7	1,3	1,3					
..	..	..					
..	..	..					
..	..	..					
..	..	..					
..	..	..					
..	..	..					
10,6	15,3	60,2					
37,38,8	37,90,1	39,86,1	Total ..	49,94,0	46,89,0	52,22,0	51,98,0
3,650,0	37,81,0	41,12,0					

Note.—The budget estimate figures are printed in italics.

Revised estimate—1911-1912.—The revised estimate is mainly based on the actuals of the past year and of five months of the current year. The budget under *University* is retained in the revised estimate pending later actuals. The absence of the Director on leave out of India accounts for the saving under the second head. The decrease under *Inspection* occurs mainly under salaries and allowances. Under the fourth head, 13,0 has been resumed from the budget provision for Europe stores and the lump provision of 23 for Furniture, Apparatus, etc., has also been omitted in the revised, as it has been included in the lump grant of 3,00,0. The decrease under *Government Colleges—Professional* is mainly due to savings under salaries in the

Madras Budget Notes, 1912-1913.

22. Education.]

Teachers' College and the Law College, and that under *Government schools—General* to savings under allowances and supplies and services of elementary schools. Under *Government schools—special*, savings are chiefly expected under salaries and stipends in training schools for masters and to a smaller extent in the provision made for the Technical Institute and the weaving and leather experts. The revised estimate under *Grants-in-aid* is that proposed by the Director. The lump provisions of 1,20,0, 25,0 and 20,0 respectively for the establishment of Government model high schools and the reorganisation of training schools for masters and mistresses will, probably, not be utilised during the current year. The special grant of 3,00,0 has been retained in the revised, pending later information.

Budget estimate, 1912-1913.—The Director has not made any provision under the first head. I have, however, entered the usual provision of 5 on account of the Johnston of Carnalooch scholarship. The budget under *Directions* includes provision for the special officer sanctioned in G.O. No. 481, Educational, dated 17th July 1911, for an additional clerk on Rs. 70 and for strengthening the establishment, but the last two items have not yet been sanctioned by Government. The provision for grain compensation allowance in the departmental budget under the third head may be reduced by 2,0 with reference to the revised estimate for the current year. The establishment for the Superintendent of Industrial Education, provided for under this head, has not yet been sanctioned by Government. A lump provision of 5,8 is made for the reorganisation of the office of the Inspector of European and Training schools. Under *Government Colleges—General* provision is made for a mechanic for the Mangalore College, and an additional attender for the Kumbakonam College, although these appointments have not yet been sanctioned by Government, and for the continuance throughout the year of the temporary assistant for the Rajahmundry College although his retention was sanctioned in G.O. No. 630, Educational, dated the 7th November 1910, up to the 31st March 1912 only. The amount deducted as probable savings under this head may be increased by 3,0. A lump provision of 7,0 is also made for extra establishments for the Presidency College, Madras. Under *Government Colleges—Professional*, provision is made for a commercial instructor for the Teacher's College not yet sanctioned by Government; and for the continuance for the whole year of the temporary assistants sanctioned only up to 31st March 1912 in G.O. No. 162, Educational, dated the 21st March 1911. The abolition of the Breeke Memorial school, Ootacamund, and the smaller expenditure estimated under capitation allowance to teachers in elementary schools for boys and grain compensation allowance in elementary schools for girls, account for the decrease below the current year's budget under *Government schools—General*. I have included in the estimates under this head the educational establishment working in the Laccadive Islands, which has been provided for by the Board of Revenue in its budget under 3. **LAND REVENUE**; this accounts for an increase of 6 under this head. The provision of 7,0 in the departmental budget for the payment of grain compensation allowance in elementary schools for girls may be reduced by 4,0 with reference to the current year's revised estimate. A lump provision of Rs. 1,474 is made under this head for the opening of new schools in the Ganjam Agency; provision is also made for the opening of a Muhammadan Girls' school at Tindivanam which has not yet been sanctioned by Government. Under *Government schools—Special*, the Director has budgeted for two temporary assistants on Rs. 60 for the Training school, Rajahmundry, not yet sanctioned by Government. With reference to past actuals and the current year's revised, the amount provided for stipends in Training schools for masters may be reduced by 15,0 and the provision for grain compensation allowance in Training schools for mistresses and the School of Arts by 4 and 2 respectively. I am unable to offer any remarks on the lump provisions proposed for the weaving, dyeing and leather experts, in the absence of sufficient data regarding them. Under *Grants-in-aid*, enhanced provision is made under Teaching grants to colleges and elementary schools and under Building and Special grants to European schools, but these increases are partly compensated by a decrease of 47,0 under grants for furniture, etc. The last head includes an additional provision of 60,0 under subsidies to local boards. The Director has entered in his budget three lump provisions of 4,17,0, 93,0 and 45,0 for the establishment of model high schools and the reorganisation of Training schools for masters and mistresses respectively and has also included them in the schedule appended to his budget.

R. SRINIVASA AIVAR—12-10-11.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Under paragraph 3 of the Financial department Memorandum No. 3795-2, dated 30th August 1911, this department has only to note upon—

(a) such points in the budget in regard to which it differs from the Accountant-General, or if the Accountant-General has not given his opinion, from the head of department (Director of Public Instruction) submitting the budget; and

(b) any fresh proposals not provided for in the budget either by the head of the department (the Director of Public Instruction) or by the Accountant-General, which it is thought necessary to

22. Education.]

(1) *University*.—The Director of Public Instruction has not made any provision under this head. In the current year's budget a sum of Rs. 50,000 was provided under this head for grants to the University and affiliated colleges with reference to paragraph 3 of Home department letter No. 518, dated 11th June 1909—page 2 of G.O. No. 421, Educational, dated 16th July 1909. In the budget for 1912–1913 the Accountant-General has entered the usual provision of Rs. 500 in round figures for payment of Rs. 480 to the University for the maintenance of Johnston of Carnarvon scholarships—*cf.* G.O. No. 503, Educational, dated 2nd September 1909; but nothing has been provided for grants to the University or to affiliated colleges. Under 22-G. "Grants-in-aid," the allotment for colleges has been increased from Rs. 65,000 to Rs. 80,000, but this is for increased teaching grants and is not for grants for equipment, etc., for which the assignment originally made by the Government of India was intended. It is therefore for consideration whether a provision of Rs. 50,000 may not be repeated in 1912–13 also for grants to the University and for affiliated colleges. This will be in accordance with the proposal contained in paragraph 6 of letter to India, No. 73, Educational, dated 4th February 1909.

(3) *Government Schools—General.*—The Accountant-General has included under this head a provision of Rs. 600 on account of the educational establishment working in the Laccadive Islands, which has hitherto been provided for in the budget of the Board of Revenue—under *8. Land Revenue*. This is merely a matter of classification of charges.

(4) *Government Schools—Special.*—Under this head the Director of Public Instruction has entered a provision of 1.36 lakhs for stipends in training schools for masters. With reference to past actuals (1.22 lakhs in 1910-1911) and the current year's revised estimate (1.34 lakhs), the Accountant-General proposes that the provision made by the Director of Public Instruction may be reduced by .15 lakh. The revised estimate does not seem to justify the large reduction of .15 lakh proposed by the Accountant-General and the low figure of the actuals of 1910-1911 was apparently due to the non-introduction of the scheme for the reorganization of the training schools for masters approved in G.O. No. 155, Educational, dated 15th March 1909. A revised scheme has since been approved by this Government (G.O. No. 424, Educational, dated 1st July 1911) and will be submitted to the Government of India for sanction on receipt of the proposition statement which has been called for from the Director of Public Instruction. It is not possible that the scheme may be sanctioned in time for its introduction during the course of next year, say from July 1912. It does not therefore seem to be desirable to reduce the allotment under this head as proposed by the Accountant-General.

There is no need to make any alteration at present. The presumption is that the Director of Public Instruction knows of no present demands, but you may ask him separately (citing * letter No. 73, Educational, dated 4th February 1909, and G.O. No. 421, Educational, dated 16th July 1909), if any allotment is required or could usefully be spent.

L D.—24-10-11.
M. H[AMMICK]
25-11-11.

L.D.—24-10-11.
M. H [AMMICK]
25-11-11.

I agree.
L.D.—24-10-11.

L.D.—24-10-11.

Very well, since Mr. Guthrie joined early in September 1911.

L.D.—24-10-11.

*This represents provision for four months.

Para. 7 of letter 477, Educational, 16th July 1911.

G.O. 679, Educational, 27th September 1911.

Yes.
M. H. [AMMICK].
25-11-11.

Noted. But see the increased provision of '60 lakh under Subsidies for the purpose.

C. REILLY—14-11-11.

Government of India (Educational) letter, 364, 11th March 1911, on pages 2-3 of G.O. 209, Educational, 11th April 1911—shp 14.

G.O. 209, Educational, 11th April 1911.

Yes. These may be provided for.
M. H. [AMMICK].

This may be done. But Educational should be asked to furnish more information about item (a) which hardly seems to be an object of non-recurring expenditure.
C.R.—14-11-11.

(5) The provision of Rs. 30,000 made by the Director of Public Instruction for the Madura Technical Institute, Rs. 12,260 for the weaving expert and Rs. 45,500 for the dyeing expert and the machinery required for the tinctorial laboratory may be allowed to stand, pending the issue of orders on the scheme for the reorganization of the Madura Technical Institute now under consideration. The Director has provided Rs. 9,600 for the salary of the leather expert, but has made no provision for the opening of a leather trade school for which the expert has been asked to submit proposals—vide G.O. No. 269, Educational, dated 8th May 1911. A provision of Rs. 6,000 may be entered for this purpose.

(6) Lump provisions.—The Director has made three lump provisions for the following:—

- (a) the establishment of model high schools;
- (b) the reorganization of training schools for masters; and
- (c) the reorganization of training schools for mistresses.

As the scheme for the establishment of model high schools is not likely to be introduced before July 1912 at the earliest, the provision of 4.17 lakhs made for the purpose which is for a full year may be reduced by 1.39 lakhs.* The provision (Rs. 93,000) made for the reorganization of training schools for masters may be allowed to stand. As the cost of the scheme for the reorganization of training schools for mistresses is Rs. 39,000, the lump provision of Rs. 45,000 made for the purpose may be reduced by Rs. 6,000, and as the scheme has already been sanctioned, the Director of Public Instruction may be requested to distribute the balance under the respective service heads in the budget.

T.K.—20-10-11.

The only point which has not been touched in the foregoing note is the need for making a lump provision for the expansion of elementary education for which the Government of India have called for a programme and working-plan for a period of five years from 1912-1918. The file on this subject is now with the Financial department. It is perhaps premature to insert any provision in the budget on this account before the proposals have been approved by the Government of India.

K.R.—23-10-11.

I think it is premature, but the point should be mentioned to Financial, for if funds are available this is one direction in which expansion of expenditure is both feasible and desirable.

There is a proposal in the air to place Mr. Stone on special duty when Dr. Bourne returns. This will affect the revised estimate to some extent, but probably not enough to warrant special mention.

L. DAVIDSON—24-10-11.

An extract has been taken of the notes relating to the provision to be made under the head University and a reference to the Director of Public Instruction is submitted separately for approval. This file may now be forwarded to Financial u.o.

T.K.—25-10-11.

K.R.—26-10-11.

14. Special grant made by the Government of India for Education.—Out of the opium surplus in 1910-1911, the Government of India sanctioned a special grant of 6.57 lakhs for this Presidency for expenditure in connection with education and desired that the grant should be expended in two years (1911-1912 and 1912-1913).

The budget grant of 3 lakhs for 1911-12 has been utilized. The balance, viz., 3.57, will have to be spent in 1912-13 on the following objects:—

	Lakhs.
(a) Opening of two institutes for training mechanics	1.00
(b) Contributions to local boards for the construction and equipment of elementary school buildings	1.07
(c) Hostels	1.50
	3.57

Submitted for orders whether these three items may be entered under "Unallotted."

W. V. G. K.
12-11-11

Chy E. Kelly
14-11-11

22. Education.]

Accepted.
M. H[AMMICK].

Honourable Member—

From the point of view of the Finance Department it is correct to omit any provision under "Universities", but we were given a special grant of 1.05 *per annum* to enable us to make grants to the University and it is remarkable that there should be no application for funds. I could suggest a number of objects of useful expenditure.

2. Again, it is not for Financial to press for expenditure on elementary education, but I cannot refrain from observing that quite apart from any assistance we may receive from Imperial it is the duty of the local Government to develop education so far as its means allow. We have proposed a progressive increase of 2 lakhs *per annum*, but I cannot find that we are providing that the increased grant for grants-in-aid to elementary schools being only .50 and the increase under other heads connected with elementary education being inconsiderable. If we can get an assurance that the Director of Public Instruction can spend the money we may, and I think we should, provide it.

3. I have made only one small modification of Mr. Reilly's proposals.

H. A. STUART—20-11-11.

The modification of the budget figures proposed in Finance may be accepted. They are apparently justified by experience.

2. We may enter the expenditure of the 3.57 as proposed. We may spend the money on hostels, if we decide soon enough to enable us to take up the land in Georgetown for the hostel there. The Mechanics' Institutes are much in the air at present, but we may be able to spend something on them. The 1.07 for contribution of school buildings and their equipment will no doubt be spent.

3. As to the University—Dr. Bourne says he can spend the money required on colleges *through the Educational department and not through the University*, and he does not think the University requirements for next year require Government grants, but he will examine the matter again and when the Finance Committee meets he may have some figures to justify an allotment. It is no use giving the University money to add to its balances.

4. As to a lump allotment for opening new schools (primary), we can probably spend another two lakhs in opening new local board schools, if we are content with poor schoolmasters and hired buildings. If the Director is told very early in the year that he can have this money, Dr. Bourne tells me he can probably spend it, and I think we may enter two lakhs for this purpose. There is no reason to wait for India to give this grant. It will be well to push on in opening more local fund primary schools. Have this amount entered?

5. Probably before the Finance Committee sits Dr. Bourne may have some other demands for equipment of different kinds and we can allot the money then in the Finance Committee.

6. It is advisable to leave as many allotments as we can open to that Committee to make. It gives some reality to the work they do.

7. With these additions and modifications suggested in these notes the Budget may go on.

M. H[AMMICK]—25-11-11.

If we increase the provision for grants-in-aid by 1.50, we shall be providing two lakhs more than in the current year. The other provision, if any, will come out of unallotted.

H.A.S.—27-11-11.

2. With reference to paragraphs 2, 3, 5 and 6 of the Honourable Member's note, it is submitted that the Educational Department have already sent to this department demands aggregating 9.97 lakhs. Extracts of these notes may, it is submitted be forwarded to the Educational Department.

V.G.K.—29-11-11.

Issue.

C.R.—29-11-11.

[U.O. note despatched to the His. Accountant-General on 2nd December 1911.]

Financial Dept

Extracts forwarded to the Educational

Dept.

C. Neill
14-12-11

Registrar, Chief Clerk

CURRENT NO.

6475

FINANCIAL

Final N-5-N-6475

14-12-11



Sl. No 3103

Regd 14-12-11

VE

Educl Dept

Ampl 4 sub

[Like Mr. Reilly I also, want more precise information about the ~~2~~ 2 lakhs for "opening two mechanics institutes". It originated in a personal suggestion of Mr. Cardoso last January. But I cannot remember what action was taken to develop the project when India allowed a part for the object.]

14-12-11

The proposal to open two mechanics institutes one in the Northern Circars and the other in Calicut was made by the Hon'ble Mr. Cardew - vide his note dated 23rd January 1911 on page 5 of the notes with G.O.No.52 Edl., dated 30th January 1911. The proposal was accepted and the Government of India was requested to allot one lakh for the purpose - vide clause (1) of the telegram dated 25th January 1911 on page 2 of G.O.No.62 Edl., dated 30th January 1911. The Government of India sanctioned the grant in their letter No.364 dated 11th March 1911 on page 2 and 3 of G.O.No.209 Edl., dated 11th April 1911. This sum of one lakh was intended for initial charges. Please see the Honble Mr. Cardew's note referred to above.

2. As regards the two institut^{es}~~ions~~ to be opened the Hon'ble Member has remarked that the reorganized Madura Technical Institute will be one of them. Please see his remark against para.3 of the office note on page 3 of G.O.No.296 Edl., dated 19th May 1911. Proposals for the reorganization of this institute are under consideration. These include provision for a mechanical Engineering Department in the institute. This portion of the scheme was referred to Mr. James for opinion and his reply will be considered in connection with the Madura Technical Institute file. He considers that Madras would be the best place for such a school. With regard to the 2nd institute to be opened in the Northern Circars a scheme was framed to enlarge and develop the St. Aloysius' ~~Industrial~~ school Vizagapatam so as to make it a centre for ^{teaching} mechanical engineering, carpentry and smithy work for the Vizagapatam and Ganjam districts, but it is held in abeyance owing to the absence of Brother Emile, the Superintending ^{of} the School, on sick leave - vide G.O.No.284 Edl., dated 13th May 1911.

T.K. 26-12-11.

R.S.

30-12-11.

I shd. like to see the original of
(Tr) Please return it.

8
8-1-12

Apparently the 3.57 letter held over for 1912-13
include one letter for the Nebraska Technical
Institutes. Perhaps the D.P.I. may be working at
concrete plans.

Submitted that the original of W is
not traceable. Search is being made.
A copy of the D.P.I.'s letter which
was recorded in that S.O. (no 2847, 13.5.11)
has however been obtained & is attached
to W.

Re
8.1.12.

These notes may be useful.

8
8.1.12

[fo. No 46 misd 19-1-12]

[Pl. 33.]
1,200-20-1-11.

PLAQUE

9
10
Educational
~~LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL~~ DEPARTMENT

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., No.	, dated	19
Again G.O., No.	, dated	19
Current No.	of 19 , dated	, from
" "	" 19 , "	" "
" "	" 19 , "	" "

ORDER.
Mis

No 46819. 1. 12

Record

L.D.

8.1.12

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 191

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, DATED
191 _____

CURRENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO G. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT.

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESTROY
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.--

- A = Copy in full.
- B = Not to be copied.
- F = Copy abstract only.
- Q = Copy Heading only.