

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1911.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 814, 20th November 1911.

Building grant.

Sanctioning a — not exceeding Rs. 10,496 towards the cost of constructing a building for the Anjuman-i-Muflid-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school, Madras.

22.3.24 ✓

17.2.43 ✓

23-6-44 ✓

4-12-53 ✓

21-12-56 ✓

3.4.58 ✓

11-6-58 ✓

30/7/61 ✓

2.6-630 ✓

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1911.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 814, 20th November 1911.

Building grant.

Sanctioning a — not exceeding Rs. 10,496 towards the cost of constructing a building for the Anjuman-i-Muadd-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school, Madras.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

I

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., Acting Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Madras, the 27th March 1911.

No.—R.C. 1915.

I have the honour to submit the enclosed application for aid towards the cost of constructing a building for the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school, Madras, and to recommend that a grant of Rs. 7,000 which is a third of the actual amount to be spent on account of the proposed work may be sanctioned under rule 51 of the Grant-in-Aid Code.

2. The necessity for the proposed building is explained in column 12 of the above application.

3. The duplicate copies of the plans and of the abstract estimate will be submitted as soon as they are received from the management.

4. A plan of the site, together with the necessary information regarding the nature of the soil and sub-soil, has been called for and will be submitted on receipt.

II

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated
28th April 1911.

The Director of Public Instruction submits for sanction in connection with an application for grant-in-aid an estimate amounting to Rs. 35,000 and two plans for constructing a building for the Anjuman Technical and Industrial school, Madras.

2. The plans and estimates have been scrutinized in the Chief Engineer's office.

3. As the pressure on piers marked X on plan is more than 4 tons per square foot, provision has been made in the Chief Engineer's office to have them built of "brick in cement" to a height of 10 feet.

4. The Superintending Engineer should satisfy himself that the foundations provided are suitable. The maximum pressure on the soil is 1.36 tons per square foot: the nature of the soil and sub-soil is not stated.

5. With these remarks, the estimate amounting to Rs. 35,105 as altered in the Chief Engineer's office is recommended for sanction.

C. A. SMITH,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

III

Letter—from the Hon'ble Mr. J. H. STONE, M.A., F.R.H.S., Acting Director of Public Instruction.

To—the Secretary to Government, Educational department.

Dated—Madras, the 11th May 1911.

No.—Ref. on Cur. 1915.

In continuation of this office R.C. No. 1915, dated 27th March 1911, recommending that a grant of Rs. 7,000 may be sanctioned towards the cost of constructing a building for the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school, Madras, I have the honour to forward as promised in paragraph 3 of the above letter the duplicate copies of the plans and the abstract estimate.

IV

Memorandum No. 731-4, Educational, dated 26th June 1911.

With reference to the accompanying statement of proposed reductions in the estimate for the Anjuman Lawley memorial hall submitted to Government with his letter R.C. No. 1915, dated 27th March 1911, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to obtain and forward for reference the revised sketch referred to in column 4 thereof.

(By order.)

M. S. MASCARENHAS,
Under Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, with the statement to be returned with reply.

V

Letter—from the Honorary Secretary, the Anjuman.

To—the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated—Madras, the 4th September 1911.

No.—706.

In reply to your letter No. 1915, dated 31st August 1911, I beg to inform you that no other plan and estimate has been made, besides the one already submitted; the revised estimate only relates to substitution of materials, but no alteration in the design is contemplated.

R.C. No. 1915, dated 14th September 1911.

Submitted to Government with reference to Memorandum No. 731-4, dated 26th June 1911.

2. The design referred to by the Honorary Secretary is the one submitted with this office letter R.C. No. 1915, dated 27th March 1911.

J. H. STONE,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

VI

Memorandum No. 2300-1, Educational dated 21st September 1911.

With reference to his letter R.C. No. 1915, dated 14th September 1911, the Director of Public Instruction is requested to return the statement of reductions in the estimate for the Anjuman Lawley memorial hall which was forwarded to him with Memorandum No. 731-4, Educational, dated 26th June 1911.

(By order.)

M. S. MASCARENHAS,
Under Secretary to Government.

VII

Letter—from the Correspondent, the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam.

To—the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated—Madras, the 27th September 1911.

At a meeting of the Standing Committee of the Anjuman held on the 20th September 1911 it was resolved "That Government be asked, through the Director of Public Instruction, to permit commencement of building (The Lawley hall) in anticipation of grant." May I kindly request the favour of early compliance for which I beg to thank you in anticipation and remain.

Ref. on Cur. No 1915, dated 7th October 1911.

Submitted to Government, Educational, in continuation of this office Ref. on Cur. No. 1915, dated 27th March 1911, and recommended.

J. H. STONE,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

VIII

Memorandum No. 2487-1, Educational, dated 13th October 1911.

The authorities of the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school, Madras, will be permitted, if they so desire, to begin the work of extending the building occupied by the school without prejudice to their application for a grant for the purpose, which is now under the consideration of Government, and on the distinct understanding that no guarantee is given that any grant will be sanctioned towards its cost.

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

IX

*Letter—*from K. T. B. TRESSLER, Esq., Acting Superintendent of Industrial Education.

*To—*the Director of Public Instruction.

*Dated—*Madras, the 3rd October 1911.

*No.—*263-I.

I have the honour to forward herewith the statement of proposed reduction in the expenditure on the Anjuman Lawley memorial hall as requested in your telephonic message of date.

R.C. No. 1915, dated 10th October 1911.

Submitted to Government with reference to Memorandum No. 2300-1, Educational, dated 21st September 1911.

J. H. STONE,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

X

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 11th November 1911.

The plans and estimates for the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school at Madras have been examined again with reference to the reductions proposed by Mr. Henry Irwin—

(i) The revised sketch referred to in the statement of proposed reductions has not been forwarded. The proposed reduction in funds is not shown anywhere. The Chief Engineer is therefore unable to form an opinion whether the reduction is feasible.

(ii) The proposed reduction by using brick-backing to a stone faced wall seems out of the question as the least thickness of the wall for which brick-backing is proposed is 1' 11" according to the drawings, whereas the least thickness should be 1' 10½" under the circumstances.

(iii) The calculations made in the Chief Engineer's office show that the scantlings cannot be reduced.

(iv) The proposal to reduce the sections of rolled steel joists by providing intermediate columns not having been shown on a drawing with full particulars as to the scantlings and columns proposed, the Chief Engineer is unable to express any opinion as to the feasibility of the proposed reduction.

(v) Brickwork cannot be substituted for cutstone in columns in ground floor as the pressure is excessive for brickwork.

2. There is no objection on grounds of stability to the rest of the proposed reductions, provided that the country bricks in lime mortar which it is proposed to use instead of stock brick (items 2, 3 and 4 of the statement) are strong enough to carry a safe load of 4 tons to the square foot.

3. The savings anticipated by Mr. Irwin on items 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12 and 13 of the statement which have not been approved amount to Rs. 7,679, and deducting this from Rs. 11,416 (his total anticipated savings) the net amount of savings comes to Rs. 3,737.

C. A. SMITH,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

XI

*Letter—*from the Honorary Secretary, the Anjuman.

*To—*the Director of Public Instruction.

*Dated—*Madras, the 6th November 1911.

*No.—*781.

In acknowledging receipt of your Proceedings Cur. No. 1915 of the 18th October 1911, I beg to inform you that at a meeting held at the Anjuman on the 1st instant it was resolved that "Having regard to the terms of the letter the commencing of the work has been postponed pending grant."

I should feel extremely indebted to you if you could get the grant sanctioned at an early date in order that the building may be completed as soon as possible.

Ref. on Cur. No. 1915, dated 14th November 1911.

Submitted to Government with reference to Memorandum No. 2487-1, Educational, dated 13th October 1911.

A. G. BOURNE,
Director of Public Instruction.

Order—No. 814, Educational, dated 20th November 1911.

The Government are pleased to sanction a grant not exceeding one-third of the actual expenditure nor exceeding Rs. 10,496 towards the cost of constructing a building for the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam Technical and Industrial school, Madras, subject to the following conditions:—

(1) That in carrying out the work the suggestions of the Chief Engineer in his notes read above are adopted;

(2) that the work is completed before the 31st July 1912;

(3) that a certificate of the actual expenditure incurred on the work is submitted before the 31st August 1912;

(4) that all other conditions prescribed in the Grant-in-Aid Code have been duly complied with.

2. On the above conditions being fulfilled the grant will be paid as funds become available.

(True Extract.)

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction, with plans and estimates.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 814, EDUCATIONAL, DATED
20TH NOVEMBER 1911.

[SUBJECT.— *Application for a grant of Rs. 7,000 for the Anjuman-i-Mufid-i-Ahla-i-Islam.*]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 27th March 1911, No. 1915.

The plans and estimates may be forwarded to the Public Works Department u.o. for scrutiny. That department may also be requested to state the time which will be required for the completion of the work.

P.R.—3-4-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—3-4-11.

Will the Public Works Department please treat this as urgent?

G. F. PADDISON—4-4-11.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Note by the Chief Engineer, dated 28th April 1911.

The Chief Engineer has scrutinized the estimate and has increased the amount from Rs. 35,000 to Rs. 35,105.

The file may now be returned to Educational.

R. SUBBA AIYAR—27-4-11.

P. HAWKINS—28-4-11.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The cost of constructing a building for the Anjuman was originally estimated at Rs. 35,226, that is, Rs. 35,000 + Rs. 226 subsequently added owing to errors in calculation. This estimate was reduced to Rs. 28,510. In the application for grant the estimated cost of the building was shown as Rs. 21,000.

2. The Chief Engineer has scrutinized the original estimate for Rs. 35,000 and has raised it by Rs. 105. In his letter No. 1915, dated 27th March 1911, the Director of Public Instruction recommended a grant of only Rs. 7,000 representing one-third of the "actual amount to be spent on the proposed work" (Rs. 21,000). Perhaps a grant not exceeding Rs. 7,000, which represents one-third of the cost entered in the application, may be sanctioned. The only alteration suggested by the Chief Engineer in the estimate is that indicated in paragraph 3 of his note on page 27; and the alteration in the estimated cost is trifling.

3. A draft order is submitted for approval. It may be sent to the Public Works Department u.o. with the request that the date within which the work could be completed may be specified.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—4-5-11.

M. S. MASOARENHAS—5-5-11.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Submitted with reference to paragraph 3 above.

R.K.—9-5-11.

May be allowed eight months for completion.

P. HAWKINS—23-5-11.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The blanks in clauses (2) and (3) of the draft order have been filled in. The draft order is for approval.

P.R.—26-5-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—26-5-11.

When the amount of the estimate is Rs. 35,105 as fixed by the Public Works Department, how does the Director of Public Instruction arrive at Rs. 21,000 as "the actual amount to be spent"? What portion of the building is to be left out?

M. S. MASCARENHAS—27-5-11.

According to the original estimate, the cost of the building was Rs. 35,000. This estimate was subsequently revised by a reduction both in the quantity of the work and in rate and its amount was reduced to Rs. 23,810.

2. In their scrutiny the Public Works Department adopted the figures of the original estimate, namely, Rs. 35,000, and raised it by Rs. 105 being the cost of constructing a few additional pillars. According to the Public Works Department, therefore the amount of the estimate is Rs. 35,105. If that department had adopted the figures of the revised estimate, the cost would have been shown as Rs. 23,810 + Rs. 105 or Rs. 23,915.

3. In the application for grant the estimated cost of the building was shown by the authorities of the school as Rs. 21,000 instead of as Rs. 23,810 and the amount of the grant asked for as Rs. 7,000. The Director of Public Instruction also recommended a grant of only Rs. 7,000, "which is a third of the actual amount to be spent on account of the proposed work." It is possible that the revised estimate of Rs. 23,810 was further reduced subsequently to Rs. 21,000 and that this latter figure was accordingly adopted as the estimated cost for the computation of the building grant. There is however nothing in the papers to show exactly how this figure of Rs. 21,000 was arrived at.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—30-5-11.

Perhaps the Public Works Department may like to examine the estimate again with reference to the above remarks.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—31-5-11.

L. DAVIDSON—31-5-11.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Will the Educational Department please obtain and forward the revised sketch on plan referred to in the current.

R.K.—22-6-11.

P. HAWKINS—23-6-11.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

The draft memorandum is submitted for approval.

P.R.—26-6-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—26-6-11.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—26-6-11.

[Issued as Memorandum No. 731-4, dated 26th June 1911.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 14th September 1911, R.O.C. No. 1915.

The Director of Public Instruction has not returned the statement which was forwarded to him with Memorandum No. 731-4, dated 26th June 1911. A draft memorandum requesting him to return it is submitted for approval.

P.R.—18-9-11.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—19-9-11.

[Issued as Memorandum No. 2300-1, dated 21st September 1911.]

*Demi-official—from A. Y. G. CAMPBELL, Esq., C.I.E., I.C.S., Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor.
To—the Hon'ble Mr. L. DAVIDSON, I.C.S., Acting Secretary to Government, Educational Department.
Dated—the 23rd September 1911.
No.—1093-1.*

I am desired by His Excellency to enquire what has been done regarding a grant in aid of the building of the new Lawley Hall in connection with the Anjuman at Madras. His Excellency understood from the Hon'ble Sir Murray Hammick that there would be no difficulty about the grant, but he is informed that the Committee of the Anjuman has not yet received any reply to their application for it. His Excellency laid the foundation stone of the building on 3rd April 1911.

Please see notes on pages 1 and 2 ante. In the circumstances therein stated, the figures of the estimate required further examination. In Memorandum No. 731-4, dated 26th June 1911, further information was called for from the Director of Public Instruction. Please see his reply thereto (printed with the order). In Memorandum No. 2300-1, dated 21st September 1911, the Director of Public Instruction has been asked to return certain statements which were sent to him for reference with the former memorandum. The receipt of those statements from the Director of Public Instruction is awaited. In the meanwhile, the file may be forwarded to the Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor u.o. for perusal and return with reference to his demi-official above.

P.R.—26-9-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—26-9-11.

L. DAVIDSON—27-9-11.

To the Private Secretary to His Excellency the Governor.

PRIVATE SECRETARY'S OFFICE.

Returned with thanks.

A. Y. G. CAMPBELL—29-9-11.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 7th October 1911, R.O.C. No. 1915.

Permission to commence the work in anticipation of the sanction of the grant may be given "on the distinct understanding that no guarantee is given that any "grant will be sanctioned towards its cost"—cf. Secretary's note on page 1 of the

Notes to G.O. No. 425, Educational, dated 1st July 1911, and Memorandum No. 1100-1, Educational, dated 30th May 1911, on page 4 of that Government Order.

2. A draft memorandum is submitted for approval.

P.R.—11-10-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—12-10-11.

L. DAVIDSON—13-10-11.

[Issued as Memorandum No. 2487-1, dated 13th October 1911.]

From the Director of Public Instruction, dated 10th October 1911, R.C. No. 1915.

With reference to the Public Works Department note on page 2 *ante*, the Honorary Secretary, the Anjuman, states that no other plan and estimate have been made besides those already submitted, and that the revised estimate only relates to the substitution of materials but not to any alteration in the design.

2. Submitted that the file may now be forwarded to the Public Works Department u.o. for scrutiny of estimates, with reference to the Under Secretary's note, dated 31st May 1911, on page 2 *ante*.

P.R.—14-10-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—14-10-11.

M. S. MASCARENHAS—14-10-11.

To the Public Works Department.

Demi-official—from the Hon'ble Sir MURRAY HAMMICK, K.C.S.I., C.I.E., I.C.S., Member of Council.

To—the Hon'ble Mr. L. DAVIDSON, I.C.S., Acting Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Dated—Adyar, the 20th October 1911.

The Committee of the Anjuman are very anxious to begin building the "Lawley Hall." Apparently they have just had a *demi-official* order from Government or the Director of Public Instruction to the effect that if they begin it is at their peril and that they may lose the promised grant. Will you kindly look up the papers and let me see how the matter stands? If possible, they should be allowed to begin at once.

The file regarding the payment of a grant to the Anjuman for the construction of the Lawley Hall was forwarded u.o. to the Public Works Department on 14th October 1911 for the scrutiny of the plans and estimates before sanctioning the grant. The file on the subject has been obtained from the Public Works Department and is submitted. The authorities of the Anjuman have in the meantime been permitted to commence the work—*vide* Memorandum No. 2487-1, dated 13th October 1911.

K. RANGASWAMI AIYANGAR—28-10-11.

The Anjuman Committee merely received intimation in the customary form that they might commence work, notwithstanding article 52 of the Code which prescribes grant sanction and notice to the Executive Engineer as a *preliminary* to construction. This intimation was coupled, as usual, with the warning that no grant could be guaranteed pending Public Works Department scrutiny.

L. DAVIDSON—30-10-11.

Please hurry up the Public Works Department in their scrutiny and get it done as soon as possible. Outsiders naturally do not understand this kind of order, which is enigmatical. They naturally do not like to begin on this order.

M. H[AMMICK]—30-10-11.

This may go to Public Works Department u.o. with a request that the scrutiny of the estimates may be hurried up.

R. SUNDARAM AIYAR—31-10-11.

Yes; please.

L. DAVIDSON—31-10-11.

To the Public Works Department.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Note by the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department.

A copy of the Chief Engineer's note on the subject has been added to the file. The file may now be returned to the Educational Department.

P.R.—11-11-11.

P. K. SUBBA AIYAR—11-11-11.

W. G. MOLESWORTH—11-11-11.

C. A. SMITH—11-11-11.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

	RS.
The original estimated cost was	35,236
The amount of reduction anticipated by Mr. Irwin was	11,416
∴ the net amount of the estimate as originally submitted is	23,810
The amount of reduction admitted by the Chief Engineer is only	3,737
∴ the net amount of the estimate as approved by the Chief Engineer	31,489

Under article 51 of the Grant-in-Aid Code, a grant not exceeding one-third of Rs. 31,489 (Rs. 10,496) is admissible. The authorities of the Anjuman have, however, applied only for a grant of Rs. 7,000 representing one-third of the "actual amount to be spent on the proposed work." There is nothing in the papers to show exactly how this figure of Rs. 21,000 was arrived at.

2. The question now for consideration is whether a grant of Rs. 7,000 which is recommended by the Director of Public Instruction may be sanctioned and the authorities of the school may be left to

* Rs. 10,496 since the Rs. 10,000 limit has been done away with.

L. DAVIDSON—17-11-11.

apply for an additional grant, if they want; or whether a maximum grant of Rs. 10,000* may be sanctioned.

3. The draft order already in the file proposes a grant of Rs. 7,000 subject to orders.

P.R.—16-11-11.

The Chief Engineer objects to some of the reductions (*vide* his note read with the order) and it is seen from paragraph 2 of his notes that the objections are on grounds of stability. As modified by the Chief Engineer the estimate amounts to Rs. 31,489 and it is for consideration whether any grant may at all be sanctioned, even the Rs. 7,000 applied for, unless the management is prepared to construct the building according to the Chief Engineer's modified estimate. Otherwise, Government will be giving a grant for a building the stability of which is not assured.

Perhaps the Director of Public Instruction may be asked to ascertain and report whether the management is prepared to carry out the work as now modified by the Chief Engineer.

R. SUNDARAM AIYAR—16-11-11.

They must, I think, be required to do so, as a condition of grant. I would give Rs. 10,496.

L. DAVIDSON—17-11-11.

Yes; give the Rs. 10,496 on the understanding that the Chief Engineer's alterations are carried out.

M. H[AMMICK]—19-11-11.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____, dated _____, 19____

” ” ” ” ” 19

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 731, From the D.P. 9, No. 1915/11, dated 27-3-1911

19

" " " " , " " 19

Note by the Chief Engineer „ „ 28 — 6 — 1911
 „ „ „ „ — — — — —

ORDER

No. 814, dated 20. 11. 1911.

THE Government are pleased to sanction a grant not

exceeding one-third of the actual expenditure, nor ex-

ceeding Rs. 10,496 towards the cost of

Constructing a building for the Anjuman-i
Mufid-i Ahla-i-Islam Technical and
Industrial School, Madras,
subject to the following conditions:—

- (1) That in carrying out the work the suggestions of the Chief Engineer in his notes read above are adopted;
- (2) that the work is completed before the ^{31st June} ~~1912~~ ¹⁹¹³;
- (3) that a certificate of the actual expenditure incurred on the work is submitted before the ^{31st August} ~~1st March~~ 1912;
- (4) that all other conditions prescribed in the Grant-in-aid Code have been duly complied with.

2. On the above conditions being fulfilled the grant will be paid as funds become available.

TO PRESS, MADRAS
GOVT.

A	B	C
Please send proof in triplicate. The papers have been carefully edited.	Proof returned corrected. Please strike off and send number of copies as indicated.	As the matter is clear manuscript and has been carefully edited, (b) proof need not be sent. Please print and supply number of copies as indicated.
	Type may be released <u>after usual interval</u> at once.	Type may be released after usual interval.
.....Clerk.	Clerk.	Clerk.
.....Supdt.	Supdt.	Supdt.

(b) Three copies of proof of all notes should be sent for approval, except when instructions are given to the contrary.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS.

TO BE FILLED IN BY THE PRESS.

Diary.	Initials of Press official.
Received in Press on.....	23-11-11
First proof furnished on.....	1-12-11
Call for revised proof received on.....	
Revised proof furnished on.....	
Corrected proof received for striking on.....	15-12-11
Final copies supplied on.....	23-12-11

Account of copies.	Complete copies.	Notes.	Issue copies.
Supplied to Secretariat for Record.....	35	19	
" " " Issue.....	35		
For Volumes.....	16		
For E. T.....			
Total			