

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

MISCELLANEOUS.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd.

Regd.

} 1912.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 565, 15th August 1911.

College of Engineering.

Sanctioning the payment to Apprentice Overseer Corporal MacAlister of travelling allowance for the journeys performed by him in the discharge of his duties while undergoing his practical course and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit a draft amending rule 46 of the rules of the — so as to provide for the payment of travelling allowances in similar cases.

Government of Madras.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

MISCELLANEOUS.

FOR RECORD ONLY.

Recd.

Recd.

} 1912.

Enclosures

Spare copies

G.O. No. 565, 15th August 1911.

College of Engineering.

Sanctioning the payment to Apprentice Overseer Corporal MacAlister of travelling allowance for the journeys performed by him in the discharge of his duties while undergoing his practical course and requesting the Director of Public Instruction to submit a draft amending rule 46 of the rules of the — so as to provide for the payment of travelling allowances in similar cases.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

HEAD—the following paper :—

Letter—from R. W. FORMBY, Esq., Executive Engineer, Coimbatore Division.
To—the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.
Dated—the 15th June 1911.
No.—514-S.E., 54-B.

I have the honour to submit the following case for favour of addressing Government on the subject.

2. Corporal J. A. MacAlister, apprentice overseer, posted to this division for completion of his practical training, was kept in charge of the bridge works at 20/7 of Ootacamund-Kallar road, with charge of 9 miles of the same road from 17/6 to 26/1 and with head-quarters at Benhope. He had thus to travel a distance of 18 miles to and from and consequently had to maintain a bicycle to go down the ghat and come up on a country pony or by train from Kallar. For the journeys thus performed by him for the discharge of the official duties entrusted to him, he claimed travelling allowance consisting of daily allowance and trainage according to circumstances.

3. The Deputy Accountant-General disallowed the claims on the score that in paragraph 46 of the rules relating to the constitution and working of the College of Engineering, Madras, published in G.O. No. 191, Educational, dated 29th March 1909, communicated with Chief Engineer's Memorandum No. 2186 C., dated 5th May 1909, no provision was made for the grant of travelling allowance for journeys around head-quarters.

4. The paragraph quoted above speaks only of travelling allowance admissible to apprentice overseers for the journey from Madras to the site of the work to which they are posted and for all journeys performed on *transfer* during this apprenticeship, but does not make any mention of travelling allowance admissible for journeys performed for the discharge of duties while undergoing training. This, I think, was not made, considering, apparently, that the ordinary travelling allowance allowed to subordinates in the department getting the same pay for such journeys would be allowed to apprentice overseers also. I think also that Government had no intention of allowing no travelling allowance to apprentices, to meet the extra expenses incurred by them, in the discharge of the duties entrusted to them.

5. In this connection I may add that Civil Engineer and Engineer-Subordinate students are allowed travelling allowance for journeys performed by them over 5 miles and by train during their practical course.

6. Under these circumstances, I think that the claim to travelling allowance of Apprentice Overseer Corporal MacAlister is justifiable.

7. I therefore request that you will be good enough to address Government to allow travelling allowance to the apprentice overseer for the journeys performed by him in the discharge of his duties and to modify the ruling quoted above if necessary.

Encl. No. 409/W-19, dated 16th June 1911.

Copy forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, for favour of orders.

The undersigned considers that the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore division, has made out a strong case for the grant of travelling allowance.

A. G. ROMILLY,
Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

Order—No. 565, Educational, dated 15th August 1911.

The Government sanction the payment to Apprentice Overseer Corporal MacAlister of travelling allowance for the journeys performed by him in the discharge of the duties entrusted to him while undergoing his practical course.

2. Rule 46 of the rules of the College of Engineering should be amended so as to provide for the payment of travelling allowances in similar cases and the Director of Public Instruction will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations.

(True Extract.)

L. DAVIDSON,
Ag. Secretary to Government.

To the Director of Public Instruction.
" Accountant-General.
" Public Works Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

NOTES CONNECTED WITH G.O. No. 565, EDUCATIONAL,
DATED 15TH AUGUST 1911.

[SUBJECT.—Travelling allowance to Corporal J.A. MacAlister, Apprentice Overseer,
Coimbatore.]

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

From the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, dated 16th June 1911, No. 409 W/19.

Submitted.

2. The Deputy Accountant-General, Public Works Branch, has disallowed the claims to travelling allowance of apprentice overseer Corporal MacAlister, with reference to paragraph 46 of the rule relating to the constitution of the College of Engineering, Madras, published in G.O. No. 191, Educational, dated 29th March 1909.

3. For the reasons given in paragraphs 4 and 5 of his letter, the Executive Engineer, Coimbatore division, requests that sanction of Government may be obtained to allow travelling allowance to the apprentice overseer for the journeys performed by him in the discharge of his duties and to modify the ruling quoted above, if necessary. The Superintending Engineer, IV Circle (Mr. A. G. Romilly), in submitting the case for orders, considers that the Executive Engineer has made out a strong case for the grant of travelling allowance.

4. As the question is one for Educational to deal with, the file may, it is submitted, be sent to that department with Secretary's recommendation.

M.G.—6-7-11.

Apprentice overseers are generally employed on work that is useful to Government as well as instructive to themselves, as in this case, and it was presumably not intended to debar them from ordinary travelling allowance.

P. HAWKINS—9-7-11.

C. A. SMITH—10-7-11.

To the Educational Department.

EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

Under rules 54 and 59 of the rules for the working of the College of Engineering, Page 21 of G.O. No. 191, Educational, dated 29th March 1909. Engineer students and Upper Subordinate students undergoing their practical course are entitled to travelling allowance at the rates sanctioned for third class officers in the following cases:—

- (1) for joining the divisions to which they are posted, and
- (2) while they are employed on works.

Military apprentices are students of the Upper Subordinate class and they seem to be eligible for travelling allowances while employed on works just like the other students of the Upper Subordinate class. The omission to provide for this in rule 46 of the College of Engineering rules seems to be due to some oversight.

Page 20 of G.O. No. 191, Educational, dated 29th March 1909.

2. In these circumstances it is for consideration whether the payment of travelling allowance to military apprentice MacAlister may be sanctioned.

T.K.—18-7-11.

It is not clear why the provision in rule 46 regarding payment of travelling allowances, etc., to military students is different from that contained in rule 59 in the case of Upper Subordinate students, to which class the military students belong. The file may first be sent u.o. to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

K. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—19-7-11.

Yes.

L. DAVIDSON—20-7-12.

To the Director of Public Instruction.

The provision of travelling allowance for military apprentices was first made in the rules published in G.O. No. 530, Educational, dated 22nd August 1898, and apparently was based on Army Regulations, India, Vol. II, Part I, paragraph 1330, referring in particular to military students at Roorkee. It seems unlikely that the omission to provide for travelling allowance on works was deliberate.

J. H. STONE—6-8-11.

The draft below is for approval.

T.K.—11-8-11.

K. RANGASWAMI AYYANGAR—11-8-11.

L. DAVIDSON—12-8-11.

Approved.

M. H[AMMICK]—14-8-11.

[G.O. No. 565, Educational, dated 15th August 1911.]

READ AGAIN G.O., No., dated 191
 " " " " " 191

READ ALSO:—

C. No. 1667, From the P.W.D., No. 1286, dated 11-7-1911

191

ORDER

No. 565- dated 15. 8. 1911

mis

The Govt sanction the ^{Copied} payment to apprentice overseer ² master of travelling allowance for the journeys performed by him in the discharge of the duties entrusted to him while undergoing his practical course.

2. Rule 46 of the rules of the College of Eng. ^{shall} be amended so as to provide for the payment of travelling allowances in similar cases and the D.P.I. will be requested to submit a draft of the necessary alterations to be made in the rules.

To
The D.P.I. and
-- A.G.
-- P.W.D. alt

Sept 15. 8. 11.
17/8/11

$$\frac{7K}{11.8-11} \quad \frac{20}{11.8-11} \quad \frac{2}{12.84}$$